


A Resource Guide to Support School Districts' English Learner Language Programs

Chapter 1: Complete EL Entrance & Exit Procedures & Post-Exit Information

ESOL Language Programs

Supplemental Title III, Part A Language Programs



ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Introduction

This living document is designed as a reference for district and school personnel working with English Learners (ELs). The content of this Resource Guide represents a compilation of information, examples and resources to support local school systems' ESOL language programs. This Resource Guide will be continuously updated to provide further clarity, information, and update on federal or state legislature or guidance. The electronic format provides access to recent updates and web-based resources.

It is expected that local education agencies (LEAs) in Georgia will have LEA-specific written guidance regarding their EL Language programs and that their guidance will align with state guidance. If you have questions, please contact the GaDOE ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs office.

Resource Guide Update Tracking

Updates made to this Resource Guide will be organized in this chart.

Date	Topic	Page
4.8.2019	Alternate ACCESS Exit Procedures	p. 31
4.8.2019	EL Exit Procedures for ELs with Disabilities who IEP precludes assessment in one or more language domains	p. 32
4.26.2019	EL=I Code Procedures (Incorrect HLS)	p. 12
4.26.2019	Local decision for Exchange Student Visitors	p. 23
5.9.2019	Clarified definition of "disability that precludes assessment in one or more language domain"	p. 17 & p. 32
5.9.2019	Clarification on "same score for three consecutive years"	p. 32
5.9.2019	Reverse-Reclassification Procedures	p. 36
6.10.2019	Out-of-State Transfer EL students	p. 22
10.12.2019	Multilingual/Multiliterate Home Language Survey Amendment Procedures	p. 14
10.12.2019	Federal Programs Titles I & III, Part A Monitoring of EL ACCESS Participation Rates	p. 29
10.12.2019	Timeline considerations for IEP teams considering EL/SWD for exit when student has met ALTERNATE ACCESS criteria.	p. 32
10.12.2019	Clarification on Timeline for Reverse-Reclassification Procedures	p. 36-38
10.12.2019	Chart Added: LEAs' 2019 Minimum ACCESS Overall CPL Criteria for EL Exit or Consideration for EL Exit	p. 52-55
10.18.2019	Clarification on Timeline for EI Reclassification Procedures	p. 28
11.20.2019	Clarification on EL Exit Date	p. 28, 33, 34

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

General Information

State Language Program Name

English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)

English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) is the state-funded language instruction educational program for eligible English learners (ELs) in grades K-12 in Georgia public schools (Georgia School Law Code 1981, §20-2-156, enacted in 1985).

The ESOL Language Program was first established in 2002 by the Georgia General Assembly. The purpose of the ESOL language program is to provide English language development instruction and language support services to identified K-12 English Learners (ELs) in Georgia's public-school systems for the purpose of increasing their English language proficiency and subsequently their academic achievement.

The responsibility for educating the **whole English Learner child**, both in language development and academic content, is shared by regular classroom teachers and English language specialist teachers alike. Classroom teachers, ESOL teachers and other support staff should collaborate to determine instructional scaffolds and language-focused activities needed to make language and content as comprehensible as possible for ELs throughout the entire school day. As a result, all teachers function as academic language teachers when EL students are enrolled in their classes.

Rationale

Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI) and the Equal Educational Opportunities Act (EEOA), public schools and State educational agencies (SEAs) have a legal obligation to remove barriers and ensure that students who are not fully proficient in English can meaningfully participate in their educational programs and services. (See also, [Office for Civil Rights Dear Colleague Letter of January 7, 2015](#)). These federal expectations require schools to develop and implement an evidence-based language instruction educational program (LIEP) that has a reasonable chance of success and which allows EL students access to grade-level core curriculum to succeed in the general education classroom.

According to *Lau v. Nichols* (1974), public schools comply with their legal obligations under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI) by taking affirmative steps to ensure that students with limited English proficiency can meaningfully participate in educational programs and services. In addition, the *Equal Educational Opportunities Act* (EEOA) requires public schools and State educational agencies (SEAs) to overcome language barriers that impede equal participation of students in their instructional programs. Finally, the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) at the U.S. Department of Education and the Civil Rights Division at the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) share authority for enforcing Title VI in the education context. (See OCR & DOJ *Dear Colleague Letter: English Learner Students and Limited English Proficiency Parents*, January 2015.)

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Recognizing the universal importance of education, the federal government assumed a larger role in financing public schools with the passage of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) in 1965. Through subsequent reauthorizations, ESEA has continued to assist the states in establishing accountability for results and improving the inclusiveness and fairness of American education.

Program

The core ESOL language program is a civil right offered by all public schools in Georgia to K-12 students with a home language other than English who qualify based on a state-approved language screener. (See Georgia School Law Code 1981, §20-2-156, enacted in 1985; and See *EL Entrance / Exit Procedures* in Georgia) Various researched-based language program delivery models are used across the state to provide scheduled English Language Development (ELD) courses, collaborative ELD services with language-differentiated supports within content classrooms, language instruction computer software programs, sheltered content courses, and dual language instruction to more than 100,000 EL students in Georgia.

ESOL language instruction is focused on developing EL students' academic English proficiency in each content area of the *Georgia Standards of Excellence (GSE)*. The WIDA Consortium English Language Development (ELD) Standards aligned with the GSE guide the work of ESOL teachers. Differentiated instructional practices, both in ESOL and general education classes, ensure that the language development needs of Georgia's EL students are met. In ESOL language programs it is appropriate, when practicable, to use the student's home language as a means of facilitating instruction and providing limited English-proficient (LEP) parents with school-related information.

Goal

The goal of the ESOL language instruction educational program for EL students is to increase both English language proficiency (ELP) and academic language proficiency in content-area subject matter. Successful ESOL language programs focus on collaboration and shared accountability for the success of all EL students.

Framework for EL Programs: Systems of Continuous Improvement



Georgia's System of Continuous Improvement frames the work of EL Language Programs. First, daily English language instruction for EL students takes place within a **Coherent Instruction System**. Professionally qualified ESOL teachers and school leaders teach and assess EL students as part of the **Effective Leadership** and **Professional Capacity Systems**. Schools reach out to engage EL parents and their families within the **Parent & Family Engagement System**, and EL students are provided with wrap-around, culturally responsive services within the **Supporting Learning Environment** of schools.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

The process of Continuous Improvement is framed as a problem-solving cycle around these five systems. It includes identifying the needs of EL students, selecting appropriate language-focused interventions, planning to implement and then implementing such interventions, either within the core classroom instruction (ESOL) or as a supplemental language program (perhaps federally-funded); and, finally, examining EL students' progress on a continuous basis to make the necessary changes to the language interventions or programs as applicable. In participating local education agencies (LEAs), Title III, Part A Language Program funds provide supplemental language instruction to select EL students who may need additional language instruction and support beyond the core, OCR-required state-funded ESOL language program.

Georgia’s Standardized Statewide EL Entrance & Exit Procedures per ESEA/ESSA Title III, Part A

Registration/Enrollment Processes

1. Federal Laws
2. State Guidance
3. **Home Language Survey (HLS)**
4. LEA Flexibility
5. Data Collected at Registration
 - a. Parents’ preferred language of communication
 - b. Students’ primary language
6. Process: When Parents Report an Incorrect HLS

Part I: EL Entrance Proceduresp. 15

1. Process: Screening for Eligibility
 - a. **ELP Screener** Options
 - b. Students with Disabilities
 - c. EL Eligibility Criteria
 - d. EL Entrance **Flowcharts**
2. Coding Screener Date & Results
 - a. Date of ELP Screener
 - b. Screener Tab in SLDS
 - c. Identifying students as EL=YES or EL=No
3. Transfer Students
 - a. In-State
 - b. Out-of-State
 - c. Reducing Misidentification of EL Students
4. **Parent Notification** for ESOL Language Program Services
5. Parent Notification for Title I or Title III Supplemental Language Program Services (when applicable)

Part II: EL Exit Proceduresp. 26

1. State EL Exit Procedures & Criteria
2. EL Exit Flowcharts
3. LEA Flexibility
4. EL Reclassification Team Decision & Form
5. Transfer Students
6. English Learners with Disabilities
7. Coding Exited EL Data

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Post-Exit Monitoring Processes.....p. 35

1. OCR Requirements
2. LEA Flexibility
3. Coding EL-Monitored Data
4. Reverse-Reclassification

EL Students in State Accountability System..... p. 39

1. EL Subgroup for CCRPI
2. ELP Progress Points
3. Closing the Gap

Additional Resources..... p. 41

1. Quick References – Links
2. Federal & State Laws
3. Q & As
4. ELP Assessments by State
5. 2018 Exit Criteria by LEA
6. 2019 Exit Criteria by LEA (coming in August)
7. Historical EL Exit Criteria in Georgia

Enrollment/Registration Processes for All Students

Federal Laws

Under Section 3113(b)(2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), each State educational agency (SEA) is required to establish and implement standardized statewide procedures for English learners (ELs) to enter and exit from EL status and language instruction educational programs (LIEPs). (*Sept. 23, 2016 Non-Regulatory Guidance Addendum: English Learners and Title III of the ESEA/ESSA*) This means the procedures must be consistently applied across the State. Statewide procedures for entrance include: the home language survey questions that Local education agencies (LEAs) must use, the specific English Language Proficiency (ELP) screener LEAs must administer, the scores on the ELP screener that will result in the identification of a English Learner, and the timeline for implementing the process.

According to the *OCR Dear Colleague Letter* (Jan 2015), all public schools must have procedures in place to accurately and timely identify potential English Learners and then determine if they are EL students through a valid and reliable ELP screener.

State Guidance

All public-school systems in Georgia are required to use a **Home Language Survey (HLS)** at the time of enrollment to identify the primary (home) language(s) of all Kindergarten - Grade12 students enrolled in the public-school system. The HLS identifies those students who should be referred for an ELP screener to determine whether they will be classified as EL students entitled to English language instructional programs.

- Registration staff must administer the HLS **at the time of enrollment** and not thereafter.
- Parents may not decline to complete a Home Language Survey. (The generic use of the term “parents” in this guidance document refers to any legal guardians and primary caregivers enrolling the student.)
- Per OCR and ESEA Title I, Part A requirements, the HLS must be in a language the parents can understand to the extent practicable.
 - State translations of the [HLS](#) are available in several languages at the GaDOE ESOL language Program Forms Bank.
 - If written translations are not practicable, school districts must offer limited English proficient parents free oral interpretation of the written information (*OCR Dear Colleague Letter, Jan 2015*)

All students enrolling in a U.S. school for the first time must answer the state-required three HLS questions in order.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Home Language Survey Questions

The state-required three-question *Home Language Survey* is found at [GaDOE ESOL website](#). Given that the HLS process must be standardized statewide, LEAs should not use different HLS questions than these three:

1. Which language does your child best understand and speak?
2. Which language does your child most frequently speak at home?
3. Which language do adults in your home most frequently use when speaking with your child?

If the answer to **any of the three state-required questions** indicates a language other than English, *the student has* a Primary Home Language Other Than English (PHLOTE) and, as such, is considered a **potential English Learner** and must be administered the state-required grade-level appropriate ELP screener.

If the answer to all three questions is English, the student is NOT a potential English learner and **should not** be administered the ELP screener. Transfer students' original HLS and possible EL documents take precedence in this process.

Enrollment personnel must be trained on the importance of using enrollment forms in a language parents understand (to the extent practicable) and securing interpretation supports so that non-English-speaking parents understand all aspects of the enrollment process, including the intent and purpose of the *Home Language Survey*. Extensive training of school personnel who are assisting parents in the enrollment process could lead towards the reduction of students incorrectly identified as potential English Learners and/or erroneously screened for English proficiency.

NOTE: The Home language Survey (HLS) is a one-time document. It must be signed and dated by the parents and maintained in the student's permanent/cumulative file. As part of the enrollment packet it is possible that the HLS is administered more than once. Receiving local education agencies (LEAs) will make every effort to obtain the original HLS from transferring LEAs. In absence of the original HLS, schools will maintain a copy in the student's records that hopefully mirrors the original on used to determine ELP screening.

The following languages should be accepted as English languages and would NOT trigger the need to screen the student for English proficiency.

1. English, other than Standard English (Language Code 51)
2. American Sign Language (ASL)

If the child has a disability that precludes assessment of one or more language domains, please refer to **EL Entrance Procedures for Students with Disabilities** on pg. 16.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Timeline

Under **ESEA/ESSA Sec. 3113(b)(2)**, a student who may be an English Learner must be assessed for such status within 30 days of enrollment in a school in the state. An SEA should also have procedures in place to identify in a timely manner EL student who may not have been identified during this initial identification period. An SEA should make every effort to identify students who are EL students as soon as possible in order to provide timely support for students who may be in need of language services. (See *September 26, 2016, Nonregulatory Guidance: English Learners and Title III, Addendum Selected Topic 2.*)

Under **ESEA/ESSA Sec. 1112(e)(3)** parents must be notified within 30 calendar days after the beginning of the school year and two weeks after the start of the school year that their child was placed in a language instruction educational program (LIEP). Since statewide, standardized EL entrance procedures to determine EL eligibility precede the placement of eligible EL students in language programs, it is therefore the LEA's responsibility to ensure, when applicable, that the ELP screening process occurs before this parent notification deadline.

If students are screened towards the end of Preschool, the 30-day window starts the first day of Kindergarten.

Note: Although all students enrolled in a Pre-Kindergarten program will most likely use the 1st day of Pre-Kindergarten as the U.S. school start date, the 1st day of Kindergarten, not Pre-Kindergarten, is considered by U.S.D.E. as the official **start date** for EL eligibility (and/or Immigrant eligibility). Since an LEA must administer the ELP assessment annually to all ELs in schools served by the state in all grades in which there are EL students, Kindergarten through Grade 12, an LEA should only include students in Kindergarten through Grade 12 for all EL student and Immigrant student reporting requirements under Title III, Part A. [See 34 C.F.R. §200.5(a)(2)]

In addition to following the federal timelines for potential EL identification and parent notification, LEAs should establish ongoing procedures and processes for ensuring all students have an HLS on file, in case potential EL students are inadvertently overlooked. It is recommended that LEAs establish periodic student record checks to ensure all potential ELs have been identified as applicable.

LEA Checklist for Home Language Survey (HLS) Process

Since the parents' answers to the *HLS* questions provide evidence that the LEA followed federal and state EL entrance procedures to screen or not to screen a student, LEAs may want to use the following questions as a checklist:

- Is the HLS part of our school's initial enrollment processes?
- Is it only administered once to parents during their child's initial U.S. enrollment?
- Is it maintained in the student's permanent /cumulative file?

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

- Is it in a language parents have indicated they understand?
- Has it been completed, signed and dated by the parent enrolling the student?

OCR has identified compliance issues in school districts that do not have a process to initially identify the primary or home language of all enrolled students and those that use an inadequate HLS that fails to identify a significant number of potential EL students.

Note: For students who are transferring from other U.S. school systems, if the school system has the HLS embedded in its registration packet, the parents' responses on the HLS will often cause the receiving LEA to **contact** the transferring LEA to try to obtain the **original** Home Language Survey. Decisions regarding the screening process will then depend on the transferring school's records.

LEA Flexibility

LEAs have flexibility to incorporate the HLS in their registration process, whether online or in paper format. Registration personnel should ensure that parents understand the intent and purpose of the HLS, even in an online environment.

When choosing an online registration option, LEAs will ensure that:

- When a written translation is not available, and the parents have indicated they do not understand English, the HLS is orally interpreted in the parents' primary language.
 - The LEA must maintain documentation evidence that the HLS was interpreted in a language the parent has indicated they understand.
- Parents understand the intent and purpose of the HLS questions.
- If the online enrollment process requires a parent signature and date for all the information, including the embedded HLS, this documentation must be maintained in the student's permanent records in case of an OCR request for review.

Data Collected at Registration

Student Primary Language - indicates the student's primary spoken language if other than English.

- STUDENT PRIMARY LANGUAGE must be entered if the student is coded EL= 'Y'.
- STUDENT PRIMARY LANGUAGE cannot be BLANK (English) if the student is an ENGLISH LEARNER (EL).
- A STUDENT PRIMARY LANGUAGE is required when the PLACE OF BIRTH code is not the United States (code 2310 – U.S. or 1790 – Puerto Rico).

Parent Language of Communication - Indicates the language preference of the parent for written communication between the school and the family. **Beginning in 2019-2020**, the following question is required under ESEA/ESSA Title I, Part A as part of the Registration and Data Collection Processes and *could be placed* at the bottom of the HLS 3-required questions, *per local decision*.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Data Collection Question ONLY – is NOT part of the HLS:

In which language would you prefer to receive school communication?

Process: When Parents Report an Incorrect HLS

According to the *Jan 2019 Addendum to September 23, 2016 Non-Regulatory Guidance: English Learners and Title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as Amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)*, the following state guidance is provided to Districts regarding procedures to establish when a parent reports an incorrect Home Language Survey.

An erroneously identified EL is a student who was identified as an EL but should not have been because the student does not in fact meet the definition of “English learner” in ESEA section 8101(20). An erroneous identification of an English Learner (EL=Y) student may have occurred as part of the initial identification process, e.g., due to a parent’s inaccurate completion of the Home Language Survey (HLS), administration of an ELP screener without providing for appropriate accommodations for a student with disabilities*, inaccurate scoring on the annual ELP assessment, or other reasons.

Note: As of April 2019, WIDA has not provided its consortium members with a list of appropriate accommodations that maintain the validity and reliability of the WIDA Screeners. See guidance on screening potential English learners with identified disabilities, p. 14.

A student may have also been erroneously identified as a *non-English Learner* (EL=N), due to a parent’s misunderstanding and incorrect completion of the HLS or incorrect scoring of the ELP screener or annual ELP assessment, among other reasons.

In order to change a student’s incorrect EL=Y status, each LEA must have established written procedures in its Federal Programs Handbook, Title III Manual and/or ESOL Handbook that ensure the following steps are followed:

1. If a parent notifies the LEA that the original Home Language Survey answers are not correct.
2. If the LEA deems the request plausible, the parent will be given the opportunity to complete a *Home Language Survey Addendum*. (See English & Spanish Addendums on ESOL Forms website.)
Note: The addendum requires the parent’s signature to be notarized. Both the original HLS and the HLS Addendum should be in a language parents have indicated they understand.
3. Title III/ESOL Coordinator will approve the new HLS Addendum, after which the school will proceed to take the next steps based on the new HLS.
4. If the next steps require a status change, the LEA will use the EL= I code for the remainder of that school year to show that the student was incorrectly identified as an

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

EL. After the June 30th Student Record sign-off is completed, the student will be coded as EL= N from then on.

5. The LEA will keep all documentation in the student's file and report to the GaDOE via secure Portal messaging system and by **June 30th** the following:
 - i. Student's Name,
 - ii. Student's Grade-Level,
 - iii. Parent's Reason for Change,
 - iv. Name of Administrator or ESOL Coordinator who approved the Status Change,
 - v. Former Status Code,
 - vi. New Status Code, and
 - vii. Date Status Change Effective.

The report should be saved with the following name: DISTRICT.YEAR.TitleIII.EL-ICodeReport (See [Sample LEA Title IIIA EL-I Code Use Report](#) on the ESOL Language Programs website.)

Note: There is no requirement to provide an explanation in Data Collections for correcting an erroneous EL=N code. If the LEA has discovered that the EL=N code was incorrect, the statewide, standardized EL entrance procedures (i.e. HLS + possible ELP Screener criteria) would then be applied.

6. DATA COLLECTIONS ALERT:

- a. Use of the EL-I code will trigger an error flag which should be explained in the year-end Student Record. The error is relievable with the explanation.
- b. *How long do we report the student as EL=I?*

The LEA should only report the student as EL=I for the remainder of that school year. Subsequently, after the EL=I year, the student should be coded as **EL=No**, even if the student has transferred to another LEA.

7. ASSESSMENT ALERT:

- a. Use of the EL=I code after the ACCESS testing I.D. tickets have been issued, will need to be explained to the System Testing Coordinator.

8. CROSS-FUNCTIONAL MONITORING ALERT:

- a. The Title III CFM process reviews whether an LEA is following statewide standardized EL Entrance & Exit Procedures according to ESSA.
- b. A spot review of student records will be used to inform this Indicator during onsite CFM.
- c. Former and/or current EL=I student's records may be reviewed during the CFM process.
- d. The CFM process will also include a review of the state's Data Collections EL=I Code Report to ensure LEA and State reports align.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Note: Schools and school systems should use caution when following these procedures with parents, making sure that a student's proficiency in his home language is the contributing cause of the student's limited academic proficiency in English, and not necessarily a student's disability or suspected disability.

Regardless of whether other states' HLS questions differ from Georgia's, the HLS is a valid document which triggers subsequent ELP screening or not. However, it is challenging for LEAs when parents respond differently to HLS questions year after year. It is even possible that English-only responses on a receiving LEA's HLS may have been non-English responses on the transferring LEA's HLS or vice versa. LEAs are faced with following the statewide, standardized EL entrance process that fulfills the intent and purpose of the definition of English learner and the purpose for the ESOL language program.

New Process: When Parents Report a Multilingual-Multiliterate Home Language Environment

When parents report two primary home languages, one of which is English, the statewide standardized procedure is to identify this student as a potential English learner and screen him or her for English language proficiency. If the student qualifies for EL status, schools usually identify the primary home language as the non-English language, since both primary home languages cannot be simultaneously recorded in the SIS.

However, some families are multilingual-multiliterate and believe their child is developing proficiency in two or more languages concurrently, and therefore, each language is just as "primary" as the other(s). Educational research literature calls these children simultaneous bilinguals or multilinguals to distinguish them from sequential bilinguals/multilinguals. With the rise in dual language programs in Georgia and the influx of multilingual-multiliterate families this scenario is requiring schools and school systems to develop an alternate set of HLS procedures.

If a parent of a developing multilingual child feels that the ELP screening process is discriminatory against multilingual-multiliterate families, the parent may request a [Multilingual Home Language Survey \(MLL HLS\) Amendment](#). This amendment provides guidance and describes the process to change the student's primary home language code to English. With an English primary home language, the student would not be considered a potential English learner nor would be screened for ELP.

Each school district or LEA in Georgia must follow written procedures for this process in its Federal Programs Handbook, Title III Manual and/or ESOL Handbook that ensure the MLL HLS Amendment guidance is followed as described on the [Multilingual Home Language Survey \(MLL HLS\) Amendment](#) and all documentation is maintained in the student's permanent/cumulative file.

Part I: EL ENTRANCE PROCEDURES

Process: Screening for Eligibility

Initial Questions to Consider & Summary of Related Process

Is this the student's first-time enrollment in a U.S. school?	Has the student been enrolled in a GA school before? (In-state transfer)	Has the student been enrolled in a U.S. school in another state?
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Administer the HLS 2. If a language other than English is indicated for any of the three state-required questions, the student has a <i>Primary Home Language Other Than English</i> (PHLOTE) and, as such, is considered a potential English Learner. 3. Administer the appropriate ELP Screener. 4. Follow the state ELP Screener criteria to determine whether the potential EL qualifies to be classified as an English Learner. Kindergarten W-APT Flowchart Kindergarten MODEL Flowchart Grades 1-12 WIDA Screener Flowchart 5. Code student appropriately in SIS. 	<p>Identify whether the student is an English Learner or not <u>based on transferring LEA records</u> and/or the original HLS, original screener, or the latest ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 assessment.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Claim the student in GUIDE to see if he has an EL status code in Georgia or not. 2. Check the student's previous enrollment history in SLDS. 3. Check the student's records in SLDS to see if there are any former ACCESS test results. Check prior ACCESS test results against state or LEA entrance/exit criteria for that year. 4. When applicable, open the SLDS EL Tab and check to see if student was previously screened. Even if the LEA is not using the SLDS EL Tab, it can be opened to see transferring LEA information. 5. Make every effort possible to obtain prior EL records in a timely manner. 	<p>Identify whether the student is an English Learner or not <u>based on transferring LEA records</u>.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Call the previous school or District and ask about: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The original Home Language Survey, b. Screening documents, and/or c. English Language Proficiency (ELP) testing results, 2. Call the previous school or District again. 3. Email and call the previous school or District again. 4. Make every effort possible to obtain prior EL records in a timely manner.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

State-Adopted ELP Screener Options

Since 2006, Georgia is a member of the WIDA Consortium. Therefore, LEAs must use **one** of the following WIDA ELP screeners to determine whether a potential English Learner student qualifies to be classified as an English Learner or not.

1. The Kindergarten WIDA-ACCESS Placement Test (K W-APT)
2. The Kindergarten Measure of Developing English Language (K MODEL)
3. The WIDA Screener (Online or Paper)

Kindergarten W-APT

- The Kindergarten WIDA-ACCESS Placement Test (K-WAPT) is an ELP screening instrument designed to measure the English language proficiency of potential EL students enrolled in pre-school through 1st semester Grade 1 only.

Kindergarten WIDA MODEL

- The WIDA Measure of Developing English Language (MODEL) is another ELP screening instrument designed to measure the English language proficiency of potential EL students enrolled in pre-school through 1st semester Grade 1 only.
- For screening purposes, the MODEL is permitted for use in Kindergarten-1st Semester Grade 1 only.
 - The WIDA MODEL cannot be used as a screener in Grades 1-12.
 - Districts have the flexibility to use the WIDA MODEL as a formative language assessment in Grades 1-12, if they so choose.
 - A [WIDA Model Score Calculator](#) is available on the WIDA website to help educators calculate the adjusted grade-specific overall composite scores when using the MODEL as a formative assessment in grades 1-12.

Grades 1-12 WIDA Screener

- The WIDA Screener is an ELP screening instrument designed to measure the English language proficiency of potential EL students enrolled in 2nd semester Grade 1- Grade 12 only.
- The WIDA Screener may be used as a paper screener or online ELP screener.
- The WIDA Screener for students in grades 1-12 is divided into grade clusters: 1; 2-3; 4-5; 6-8; and 9-12. The instructions as to the appropriate grade cluster screener to be administered to the students in grades 1-12 are outlined in the WIDA Screener Test Administration Manual and the administration procedures should be followed as indicated in the manual.

Grade-Appropriate WIDA Screeners & Language Domain Subtests

Kindergarten W-APT and WIDA MODEL Screeners		WIDA Screener	
Students enrolling during Kindergarten registration - 1 st semester Kindergarten.	Students enrolling in 2 nd semester Kindergarten – 1 st Semester Grade 1	Students enrolling in the 1 st semester of the lowest grade in a grade-level cluster.	Student enrolling in the 2 nd semester of the lowest grade in a grade-level cluster.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

<p>Administer only the Listening and Speaking tests of the Screener.</p>	<p>Administer all four language domain tests</p>	<p>Administer a lower grade cluster WIDA Screener. Example: A 4th grader enrolling 1st semester 4th grade will be administered the Grades 2-3 WIDA Screener.</p>	<p>Administer the current grade cluster WIDA Screener. Example: A 4th grader enrolling 2nd semester 4rd grade will be administered the Grades 4-5 WIDA Screener.</p>
---	--	---	---

Potential English Learners with Disabilities

Significant Cognitive Disabilities

WIDA ELP screeners may not be valid screeners for making EL eligibility determinations for students who have been identified as non-verbal or having a significant cognitive disability. To determine whether the student qualifies as an English Learner or not, a committee consisting of the parent, an ESOL teacher, the classroom teacher, a special educator, and a school administrator should be convened to discuss the best educational option for the student.

The committee meeting minutes must be maintained in the student's cumulative record along with notes supporting the committee's decision. This decision may be revisited at any time.

- The IEP Team decision must be maintained in the student's cumulative record along with evidence supporting the committee's decision. This decision may be revisited at any time.

Students with Disabilities that Preclude Assessment of One or More Language Domains

WIDA ELP screeners may not be valid screeners for making EL eligibility determinations for students whose IEP precludes the assessment of one or more language domains.

- To determine whether the student qualifies as an English Learner or not, the IEP team should be convened to discuss how to determine EL eligibility when one or more language domains are missing.
- Students who are deaf, blind, and non-verbal would be considered as having a disability that precludes assessment in one or more language domains. Beyond these reasons, the Assessment Director should seek counsel from the GaDOE Assessment Division who would consult with Special Education and EL Learner Programs.
- The IEP Team decision must be maintained in the student's cumulative record along with evidence supporting the committee's decision. This decision may be revisited at any time.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Screener Cost

- If the LEA chooses to utilize **WIDA MODEL Kindergarten** or the paper version of the **WIDA Screener**, there will be ongoing costs to the LEA associated with these screeners.
- ELP Screener costs must not be funded using Title III, Part A funds, since the obligation to identify all EL students is part of an LEA's civil rights obligations (*Lau v. Nichols, 1974*). "Because of the Title III supplanting prohibition in ESEA Title III Sec. 3115(g), which was not changed by ESSA, Title III funds **may not be used to** satisfy an LEA's civil rights obligations to ELs. The legal obligations of an LEA under the civil rights laws are independent of the amount or type of state or federal funding received. Therefore, an LEA may not use Title III fund for identification of ELs, including costs of administering a screening assessment, home language survey, or related tools" (See Non-regulatory Guidance Addendum, Topic 4).
- This also means that an LEA may not use Title IIIA-funded staff to administer ELP Screeners or assessments. If staff are split-funded with local or state fund sources, the local/state portion may be used to fund the administration of ELP Screeners or assessments.

Screener Results

- The **Kindergarten W-APT** (KW-APT) results are calculated as raw scores for Listening and Speaking (1st semester Kinder) from 0-30 indicating low, mid, high, or exceptional proficiency.
- For 2nd semester Kindergarten students and Grade 1 students, the KW-APT results will include the Reading and Writing domain raw scores. Scoring details can be found in the W-APT Test Administration Manual and on the scoring sheets behind the WIDA Secure Portal.
- The **WIDA MODEL-Kindergarten** and the online or paper Grades 1-12 **WIDA Screener** results are reported as English Language Proficiency (ELP) levels based on WIDA's Continuum of English Language Development Continuum of six levels.

EL Eligibility Criteria - Kindergarten W-APT (See [Flowchart](#))

From 2nd semester Pre-kindergarten through 1st semester Kindergarten -

1. Administer **only** the Listening and Speaking portions of the Kindergarten W-APT and note the combined Listening and Speaking Score.
2. If the student's combined Listening and Speaking Score is ≥ 29 , the student meets the state definition and Does Not Qualify (DNQ) as an English Learner.
3. If the combined **Listening and Speaking Raw Score is ≤ 28** , then the student qualifies and is identified as an English Learner and coded as such in the district's Student Information System (SIS).
4. No additional District-defined criteria are permitted.

From 2nd semester Kindergarten through 1st semester Grade 1 -

1. Administer all **four** components of the **Kindergarten W-APT**.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

2. If the following is true:
 - Listening and Speaking raw score is ≥ 29 ,
and,
 - the Reading score is ≥ 11 ,
and,
 - the Writing score is ≥ 12 ,
then,
 - the student is not eligible to be coded as an English Learner and Does Not Qualify (DNQ) as an English Learner.
5. If not all three criteria are met, the student qualifies and is identified as an English Learner and coded as such in the district's Student Information System (SIS).

EL Eligibility Criteria - WIDA Kindergarten MODEL (See [Flowchart](#))

The **WIDA MODEL** for **Kindergarten** yields a score between 1 and 6 on the WIDA English Language Development (ELD) scale. Consistent with WIDA Screener administration guidelines, the following is true:

From 2nd semester Pre-kindergarten through 1st semester Kindergarten:

1. Administer the Listening and Speaking sections only of **WIDA MODEL for Kindergarten**.
2. If the Oral Proficiency Composite (Listening + Speaking) Proficiency Level is < 5.0 , the student qualifies and is identified as an English Learner and coded as such in the district's Student Information System (SIS).
3. If the Oral Proficiency Composite (Listening + Speaking) Proficiency Level is ≥ 5.0 , the student Does Not Qualify (DNQ) as an English Learner.

From 2nd semester Kindergarten to 1st semester Grade 1:

1. Administer all four components of **WIDA MODEL for Kindergarten**: Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing.
2. If the student's Overall Composite Proficiency Level score is < 5.0 , the student qualifies and is identified as an English Learner and coded as such in the district's Student Information System (SIS).
3. If the student's Overall Composite Proficiency Level score is ≥ 5.0 , the student Does Not Qualify (DNQ) as an English Learner.

EL Eligibility Criteria - WIDA Screener (See [Flowchart](#))

Consistent with current WIDA test administration guidelines, the **WIDA Screener** is administered to students from 2nd semester Grade 1 - 2nd semester Grade 12. The criteria for eligibility are as follows:

1. Administer the all four language domains of WIDA Screener: Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

2. If the student's Grade Level Adjusted Overall composite score is ≥ 5.0 or higher on the **WIDA Screener**, the student does not qualify for English language assistance services.
3. If the student's Grade Level Adjusted Overall Composite score is < 5.0 on the **WIDA Screener**, the student qualifies to be classified as an English Learner.
4. A [WIDA Screener Paper Score Calculator](#) is available on the WIDA website to help educators calculate the adjusted grade-specific overall composite score result.

Certification to Administer WIDA Screeners:

Only certified personnel who have completed the annual required WIDA training modules for the WIDA Screener are permitted to administer this assessment. GaDOE ESOL Unit requires annual WIDA certification for all certified personnel who will administer the WIDA Screener. The annual certification period runs from July 1 to June 30.

- Access to the www.wida.wisc.edu site to complete the training modules can be obtained from the LEAs ESOL Coordinator.
- Access to the WIDA AMS site to administer the WIDA Screener can be obtained from the LEA System Testing Coordinators.

WIDA offers a [Quick Start Guide](#) behind the secure portal for Training and administration guidance for the Kindergarten W-APT Screener.

Training and administration guidance are included in the WIDA MODEL Kindergarten kit and training and administration guidance are available on the www.wida.wisc.edu for Kindergarten W-APT.

Recording ELP Screener Date & Results Screener Results

The state recommends the use of the EL Screener Tab in SLDS to maintain vital ELP Screener information for all Districts in Georgia to access as needed. The GaDOE SLDS Department offers WIDA Screener training to all LEAs.

DATE OF ELP SCREENER

Starting in the 2018-2019 school year, all LEAs are required to record the Date of ELP Screener in the Student Information System.

- The **DATE OF ELP SCREENER** is the testing date a student is screened for the EL program and is determined to be an English Learner (**EL** = 'Y') student.
- The date must be in the format `yyyymmdd` where 'yyyy' is the complete year (e.g. '2002'), 'mm' is the month (01-12), and 'dd' is the date (01-31). (See [FY19 Student Record Data Collection Data Element Detail](#))

Note: For transfer EL students, indicate the date the ELP Screener was administered in that transferring District. *This is NOT the date the EL student enrolls in your District's ESOL Language Program.*

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

ELP Screener Date Unavailable for Out-of-State or In-State Transfer EL Students


When an LEA is unable to determine with certainty the Date of ELP Screener for Out-of-State or In-State Transfer EL Students, the LEA will construct this date as follows:

1. Determine the school year for which you have the earliest ELP assessment results.
2. Use the first day of school for that school year, as the Date of ELP Screener.

Out-of-State errors in Data Collections for missing Date of ELP Screeners will be relievable with explanation.

Infinite Campus Users –

The Date of ELP Screener is called “**Identified Date**” in IC, and appears on the first page of the EL Information Tab. Please identify the Date the ELP Screener was administered in the “Identified Date” section.



Active EL Record

*Program Status: EL

Identified Date: 02/05/2014

Expected Exit Date:

Program Exit Date:

Exit Reason:

First Year Monitoring:

Second Year Monitoring:

Third Year Monitoring:

Fourth Year Monitoring:

Parent Notified:

Parent Declined Date:

Comments

Student transferred from Clayton County, Ga. Through SLDS it appears that he was identified in the 2013-2014 school year. Last ACCESS Score of 2.7 was recorded in the 17-18 school year.

- Modified by: [REDACTED] 03/08/2019 11:04

Census Information

****To update read only fields, please go to Census->People->Identifies**

Home Primary Language: 30: Vietnamese

First Entered US School: No Data Available

Birth Country: Vietnam

Power School Users – The Date of ELP Screener is called “Date of ELP Screener” in Power School, and appears on the State/Province Georgia, General Information TAB, **not** on the EL/ESOL Programs tab.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Active Military Parent/Guardian	
Military Reserve	<input type="checkbox"/>
Residential Environment Code	
Homeless Primary Night Shelter	
Immigrant Status	(Y) Yes
Migrant	
Date of Entry to U.S. School	10/10/2018
Less Than 3 Years Total in US Schools (36 months)	(Y) Yes
City of Birth	
State of Birth	
Place Of Birth	(0940) Guatemala
Country of Origin	
Priority For Service	(N) No
Date of ELP Screener	10/16/2018
Primary Language (Cannot be blank if EL = "Y")	(028) Spanish Current EL = Y
Parent Communication Language (Default is English for non-EL students and Blank for EL students)	(028) Spanish Current EL = Y



ASPEN Users –

The “Date of ELP Screener is called **“ASSESSMENT DATE”** as noted in the Screenshot below:

Qualification Summary Tab

The screenshot shows the 'Qualification Summary Tab' in the ASPEN system. Three blue arrows point to specific fields:

- Arrow 1 points to the 'Ed. Plans' section, labeled 'EL Ed Plan'.
- Arrow 2 points to the 'Qualification Summary' tab, labeled 'Qualification Summary Tab'.
- Arrow 3 points to the 'Assessment Date' field, labeled 'Assessment Date'.

Identification of Out-of-State Transfer Students

ELs who transfer from another LEA within the United States or from a Department of Defense school and there are official records to support their pre-determined eligibility and services within the past year, the school must accept these records and place the child accordingly in language assistance programs.

If record an ELP Screener or ELP assessment is not available for a potential EL student who has transferred from out-of-state, or records cannot be obtained within the federal timeline, it will

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

be necessary for the LEA to take steps to ensure that it meets the appropriate deadlines for notifying parents of child's placement in a language instruction educational program.

- If a student was screened in a **WIDA state** and **Did Not Qualify (DNQ)** as an English Learner, the student may not be screened again at a future date for reconsideration of eligibility without prior state approval. (See list of WIDA states at www.wida.wisc.edu.)
- If a student was screened in a non-WIDA state and **Did Not Qualify (DNQ)** by that state's eligibility criteria, the LEA may consider rescreening the student using the appropriate WIDA eligibility assessment if there is evidence that the student's level of English language proficiency will be/is a barrier to meeting Georgia's Standards of Excellence.

However, LEAs may **not consider re-screening** when the DNQ non-WIDA transferring student:

- Was screened more than 24 months (or the equivalent of two academic years) prior to enrollment in the current LEA; and
- Was enrolled and was successful in the general education program in the previous school; and
- Performed at the met or exceeded level on that State's content assessments (if such assessments were required and administered in the enrolled grades).

J-1 Visa, Foreign Exchange Students

According to CFR 22 § 62.10 Program administration, J-Visa student sponsors are responsible to "establish and utilize a method to screen and select prospective exchange visitors to ensure that they are eligible for program participation", and that the foreign exchange visitor "possesses sufficient proficiency in the English language, as determined by an objective measurement of English language proficiency, successfully to participate in his or her program and to function on a day-to-day basis." A sponsor must verify an applicant's English language proficiency through a recognized English language test, by signed documentation from an academic institution or English language school, or through a documented interview conducted by the sponsor either in-person or by videoconferencing, or by telephone if video-conferencing is not a viable option.

Thus, it is expected that all J-1 exchange visitors are proficient enough in English to participate successfully in their exchange program and to function on a day-to-day basis in U.S. schools. Whether to follow statewide, standardized EL Entrance Procedures and possibly provide English language services or not to exchange students can be a local decision as long as sponsors provide the District with evidence of English proficiency in one of the following ways:

- Results of a recognized English language test
- Signed documentation from an academic institution or English language school
- A documented interview conducted by the sponsor

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

EL Entrance Summary:

- Under Section 3113(b)(2) of the ESEA, each SEA receiving a Title III, Part A State formula grant must establish and implement standardized statewide entrance and exit procedures for EL students. The requirement that the procedures be “statewide” means they must be consistently applied across the State, regardless of whether the LEA receives or doesn’t receive a Title III subgrant. Statewide procedures for entrance in GA include the process for identification of ELs, the timeline for implementing that process, the home language survey the LEAs use, the specific ELP screener the LEAs administer, and the scores from the ELP screener that will result in the identification of a student as an EL.
- An SEA must ensure, in establishing the statewide entrance and exit procedures, that the procedures are consistent with Federal civil rights obligations under Title VI. The home language survey (HLS) is a questionnaire given to parents or guardians that helps schools and LEAs identify which students are potential ELs and who will require screening of their ELP to determine whether they qualify as English Learners.
- LEAs in GA use a Home Language Survey with 3-required standardized questions which can be found on GaDOE website and is provided in several languages. OCR requires that the HLS be provided to parents in a language they understand. Since it is a parent self-report of their “home” language, i.e. the student’s primary or dominant language, a parent’s signature and date is required on the document.
- The HLS is a legal document which triggers the need to screen or not to screen the student to determine his level of English proficiency. The process that follows the completed HLS is a process required by the state based on federal and OCR guidance; therefore, the ORIGINAL Home Language Survey (completed by parents of children enrolling in U.S. schools for the first time) should be maintained in student’s permanent records, along with a record of oral interpretation (when necessary), such as date/signature of oral interpreter. This evidence will protect the LEA if anyone (parent or OCR) questions why the child was screened. The original signed/dated HLS in a language the parent understands will provide the evidence needed by the school that statewide standardize procedures were implemented with fidelity. This document will also be reviewed by the Title III Specialists during the Cross-functional Monitoring Process as evidence that the LEA is following the statewide standardized EL Entrance and Exit procedures as required by ESEA/ESSA.
- If the HLS indicates a language other than English, the student should be screened with the appropriate WIDA screener. However, if the student is an in-state or out-of-state **transfer student**, then the LEA should **first review** prior school records to find the **original HLS** and determine whether another school/LEA administered an ELP screener or summative ELP assessment. (SLDS and the EL Screener Tab are excellent resources for this detective work.)
 - **Note:** A student’s prior records will determine the EL status of the student, not any additional Home Language Surveys a parent may complete when transferring across LEAs. Transfer students should not be re-screened

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

immediately based on information on a possible 2nd, 3rd, or 4th Home Language Survey.

- An LEA has 30 days at the beginning of the school year (and 10 days during the school year) to identify whether the newly enrolled or transfer students are potential English Learners, and then whether they are ELs, and then notify their parents of their placement in a language instruction program (when applicable). LEAs should maintain core EL documentation in an EL student's permanent file to support data clerks who are sending these documents to transfer LEAs.

Core EL documentation includes the following:

1. Original, signed/dated HLS in a language parents can understand
2. ELP Screener Scores & Date
3. Annual Signed/Dated Parent Waiver, when applicable
4. Annual ELP Assessments
5. EL Reclassification Form, when applicable
6. Monitoring documents for ELs who have exited EL status (EL-1 and EL-2), when applicable

Parent Notification for ESOL Language Program Services

The OCR and Title I, Part A require LEAs to notify parents regarding their child's EL status and placement in a language instruction educational program. GaDOE EL Language Programs provides LEAs with a form for initial eligibility and continuing eligibility. Please see the ESOL website [Parent Notification Forms](#) for this requirement. These forms are provided in several languages. (See additional guidance on the *Family Engagement System for EL Families*, when available on the ESOL Website.)

Parents' Rights to Opt-out / Waive ESOL Language Program Services

(See guidance for a *Coherent Language Instruction System for EL Students* to be posted soon on the ESOL website.)

Parent Notification for Supplemental Title I and/or Title III Language Program Services, When Applicable

In addition, if the LEA is receiving a Title I or Title III, Part A subgrant and is providing EL students with additional, supplemental language instruction educational programs, federal statute requires parents to be notified of this program no later than 30 days after the beginning of the school year. For newly identified ELs during the school year, parents must be notified during the first two weeks of the student being placed in the supplemental program in addition to the ESOL program.

Please see the Title I, Part A Parents of English Learners website for the required forms in several languages. For more information, please contact a GaDOE Family Engagement Specialist whose contact information is available at <http://www.gadoe.org/Pages/Support.aspx>.

Part II: English Learner Exit Procedures

Under **Sec. 3113(b)(2)** of the ESEA/ESSA, the state educational agency (SEA) must establish and implement standardized statewide entrance and exit procedures for ELs. The requirement that the procedures be “statewide” means they must be consistently applied across the State. The state must ensure that these procedures are consistent with Federal civil rights obligations under Title VI (42 U.S.C.§2000d to d-7). Title VI regulations have been interpreted by case law to require that a student demonstrate proficiency on a valid and reliable ELP assessment in order to be exited from EL status (*Rios v. Read*, 480 F. Supp. at 23.)

In Georgia, the standardized statewide EL Exit Procedures are as follows:

1. LEAs must administer the annual ELP assessment to **all** English Learners (Kindergarten ACCESS, Grades 1-12 ACCESS for ELLs 2.0, & Alternate ACCESS, as applicable) per Title I, Part A requirement. In collaboration with Title III, Part A, Title I Part A will be monitoring each LEA’s EL ACCESS Participation Rate on an annual basis. Please see [Federal Programs Monitoring Process](#).
2. LEAs must follow the standardized statewide ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0 Overall Composite Proficiency Level criteria as well as the Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing language domain criteria (when applicable) to identify EL students who have met the criteria to **exit EL status**. (Please see the updated EL Exit Flowcharts on the [ESOL Language Program website](#).)
 - a. [Kindergarten EL Exit Flowchart](#):
 - Must exit all Kindergarten EL students who score CPL ≥ 5 , and Listening, Speaking, Reading ≥ 5 , and Writing ≥ 4.5 on the Kindergarten ACCESS.
 - b. [Grades 1-12 EL Exit Flowchart](#):
 - Must exit all EL students who score Overall CPL ≥ 5 on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0
 - LEAs may establish written procedures **an EL Exit minimum criterion within the state-approved range ACCESS CPL 4.3-4.9**. An LEA may include additional measures in its standardized exit procedures that are valid, reliable, objective and applied and weighted consistently across the LEA.
 - Therefore, in order for LEA input to be applied and weighted consistently across the state, LEAs must convene an EL Reclassification Team to complete an [EL Reclassification Form](#) on **all** EL students scoring at or above the LEA-established minimum exit criterion, when **this criterion is in the 4.3-4.9 range**.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

- Using the [EL Reclassification Form](#), the EL Reclassification Team will decide whether to exit the EL student or not. (Federal guidance states that any additional measures used for this decision should not be measures that do not measure English language proficiency, such as the results of the mathematics content assessment.)
- The final decision (whether to exit or not) **must be recorded on** the [EL Reclassification Form](#), which **must be maintained** in the exited EL student's permanent/cumulative file (DO NOT PURGE!).

c. [Alternate ACCESS EL Exit Procedures](#)

- d. Exit Procedures for ELs with a Disability that precludes assessment in one or more language domains (See [Less-than-Four-Domains Exit Procedures](#))

EL Reclassification Team Decision

Reclassification is a term that is used when an EL student's English language proficiency level reaches the state and LEA's definition of English proficient, and the student is then exited from EL status and the student's status is reclassified from English Learner=Yes (EL=Y) to English Learner Monitor Year 1 or EL=1.

The state mandated English language proficiency assessment, ACCESS for ELLs® or Alternate ACCESS, is used to initiate a student's exit from EL status. If the LEA has chosen an EL exit ACCESS Overall Composite Proficiency Level (CPL) score between the state-flexibility 4.3 and 4.9, then an EL Reclassification Team must be convened for each EL student scoring at or above the LEA chosen criteria, up to CPL 4.9.

Notes:

- The name of the *EL Reclassification Form* may be misleading. The intent of this form is that the EL Reclassification Team **will consider** for exit **all students** whose ACCESS 2.0 scores fall within the LEA's established range up to 4.9. The team may decide to exit some EL students and not exit other EL students who may have similar ACCESS score results. Thus, the *EL Reclassification Form* provides the documentation for this decision, when communicating with parents, transferring schools, and other stakeholders. You may want to think of the form as an *EL Consideration-for-Reclassification Form*.
- An English Learner **must be exited from EL status** for ESEA purposes (i.e. for purposes of Title I and Title III requirements) when the student satisfies the state's standardized statewide exit procedures.
- The **LEA may no longer use Title III funds** for services for that exited student. However, an exited student may continue to receive English language services with **local or state funds** after exiting EL status.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

- BUT, if many exited students are needing continued language support, an LEA should verify *that ELs are not being exited prematurely due to a score of proficiency on the state ELP assessment (ACCESS for ELLs) that is set too low to ensure actual English language proficiency* and, therefore, a student's ability to succeed in the classroom. (Excerpt from the Addendum to *September 23, 2016 Non-Regulatory Guidance: English Learners and Title III of the ESEA, as Amended by the ESSA*, p. 5)

Timeline for EL Exit Procedures

May

- Schools receive ACCESS for ELLs Score Results
- School teachers and leaders review EL students' ACCESS for ELLs and Alternate ACCESS score results to determine which EL students meet the state ACCESS criteria for exit. (See Kindergarten and Grades 1-12 EL Exit Flowcharts with state established criteria.)
- When school districts have chosen to "consider for exit" ELs in grades 1-12 scoring within the state's flexibility range of ACCESS Overall CPL 4.3-4.9, then schools schedule EL Reclassification Team Meetings to determine whether the students will be exited from EL status. In the case of ELs with significant cognitive disabilities meeting the ALTERNATE ACCESS exit criteria to consider for exit, IEP teams will be convened to make the exit determinations.
- Most EL Reclassification Team meetings and final decisions will occur before the end of the school or immediately after, although the student's EL status change **should not be recorded** in the SIS until after the June 30th Student Record Sign-Off is completed. Remember, if the EL Reclassification Team meeting is held at the beginning of the new school year, the decision is made for the end of the school year when the student received the ACCESS exit score. It is important to note that when the EL Exit Date is recorded in the SIS, **please ensure you use an end-of-school-year date or June 30.**

July – Exited EL students' status is changed in the SIS, although the EL Exit Date must be an end-of-the-school year date or June 30. Please ensure that a July or August date is not used for the EL Exit Date. The student is only exited at the end of a school year, not the beginning of a school year.

August/September -

- IF schools cannot meet the "end-of-school-year" deadline for EL or IEP Reclassification team meetings, they may convene some of these at the beginning of the school year, **but not later than 30 days after the beginning of the school year.** The decision to exit a student from EL status must be made at the end of the school year or immediately at the beginning of the next school year in order to schedule the student for appropriate ESOL language instruction when applicable. EL Reclassification team meetings may not occur at any other time during the school year. (See important information above on recording the Date for EL Exit.)

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Annual ELP Assessment

It is a federal and state requirement that school districts administer the WIDA *ACCESS for ELLs*® 2.0 annually to **all** English Learners in Georgia. See ESEA/ESSA Section 1111(b)(2)(B)(ix) and Section 1111(b)(2)(G). This assessment meets the federal requirements to measure EL students' academic English language proficiency. It assesses social and instructional English as well as the language associated with language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies within the school context and across the four language domains of speaking, listening, reading, and writing.

Only certified personnel who have completed the required WIDA training for the *ACCESS for ELLs*® 2.0 are permitted to administer the annual proficiency assessment. GaDOE Assessment and Accountability division requires annual WIDA *ACCESS for ELLs*® 2.0 certification for all certified personnel who will administer the assessment and provides the LEA System Testing Coordinators with information regarding access to the WIDA training website.

[GaDOE Assessment Division – ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0](#)

WIDA ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0

- *ACCESS for ELLs*® 2.0 is administered, annually, to all English learners in Georgia. It is an English language proficiency test designed to measure English learners' social and academic proficiency and progress in English. It assesses social and instructional English as well as the language associated with language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies within the school context across the four language domains of speaking, listening, reading, and writing. *ACCESS for ELLs* 2.0 meets the Title I mandate to evaluate the English language proficiency progress of all Grades K-12 EL students.
- Under the ESSA, all Grade K-12 students identified as English learners must take the *ACCESS for ELLs*® 2.0 English language proficiency (ELP) assessment annually, **including students whose parents have waived ESOL services, and including EL students with disabilities**. Section 1111(b)(2)(G); 34 C.F.R. §§ 200.5(a)(2), 200.6(h)
- Federal Programs Titles I and III, Part A will be monitoring school system's EL ACCESS Participation Rates annually.
- Students who have formally exited language assistance services are not administered the ACCESS 2.0 assessment, including those who just exited EL services (EL-1 & EL-2).

WIDA Alternate ACCESS for ELLs®

- Under the ESSA, all schools are required to assess annually the English language proficiency of all students identified as ELs, including students with disabilities. See Sec. 1111
- The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA; 2004) also mandates that students with disabilities participate in statewide and districtwide assessment programs, including

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

alternate assessments with appropriate accommodations, when it is documented in their Individual Education Program (IEP).

- WIDA's *Alternate ACCESS for ELLs* meets federal accountability requirements and provides educators with a measure sensitive to English language proficiency growth of ELs with significant cognitive disabilities.
- EL students who are eligible for the GAA 2.0 are the **only** EL students eligible for the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs. LEAs assessment procedures for EL students will be monitored by Federal Programs, Titles I and III, Part A.

English Learners with Disabilities may use [state-approved accommodations](#) when taking the ACCESS for ELLs or the Alternate ACCESS, as applicable. The IEP Team will determine which accommodations are needed.

Exiting Kindergarten EL Students (See Kindergarten [EL Exit Flowchart](#))

- The new Kindergarten *ACCESS for ELLs*® student report contains one proficiency level for each of the four domains and four composite scores. For determining whether a Kindergarten EL student has met the requirements to exit EL=Y status, the ACCESS for ELLs® Overall Composite Proficiency Level scores and the individual language domain scores must all be utilized.
- In order to exit EL=Y status, Kindergarten EL student must score an ACCESS Overall Composite Proficiency Level (CPL) score greater than or equal to 5.0 with at least a 4.5 in the Writing domain and at least a 5.0 in each of the Listening, Speaking and Reading domains.
- Kindergarten EL students who score less than 5.0 Overall **or** whose Writing domain is less than 4.5 **or** Listening, Speaking or Reading domain is less than 5.0 are *not* eligible to exit EL=Y status.
- Note: The Kindergarten exit criteria requires a maximum writing score.

Exiting EL Students in Grades 1-12 (See [Grades 1-12 EL Exit Flowchart](#))

- The student must be administered all components of the *ACCESS for ELLs*® 2.0 or *Alternate ACCESS* for ELL assessment.
- In addition, per the ESSA, LEAs will follow standardized statewide EL Exit Procedures.
 - If the student achieves an **Overall score of 5.0 or greater**, the student must be exited from the English Learner status and the ESOL language Program.
 - LEAs have the flexibility to consider EL students for exit using an EL Exit Criterion that falls within the state-approved 4.3-4.9 range. This criterion should be established in writing.
 - When exiting EL students within the 4.3-4.9 range, LEAs must use the *English Learner (EL) Reclassification Form* provided by the state.
 - Although LEAs have flexibility, in order to ensure that all LEAs are following standardized statewide EL Exit Procedures, the Reclassification Process must be applied in a uniform and consistent manner across the state.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

- Therefore, the *EL Reclassification Form* is a **required form** when considering exiting EL students who score below a WIDA ACCESS 2.0 Overall CPL 5.0.
- The *EL Reclassification Form* must be used for all students scoring at or above the LEA's established exit criterion, up to 4.9. It is not necessary to use the *EL Reclassification Form* when a student meets the 5.0 criterion.
- When making reclassification decisions, please ensure the process is implemented in a standardized manner across the LEA.
- This form should indicate the final decision of the EL Reclassification Committee, whether to exit the English Learner or not, and must be maintained in the student's permanent/cumulative file.

Receiving Exited EL Students from Transfer LEAs

When a Reclassified EL student transfers to another GA LEA, the receiving LEA **must** honor the EL Reclassification Decisions made by transferring LEA when the transfer occurs after the October FTE count.

If the transferring LEA uses a lower EL Exit Criterion than the receiving LEA, and if the transfer occurs during the first two weeks of school, the receiving LEA may observe student performance for two weeks and convene another EL Reclassification Team to review documentation and evidence, when applicable. However, the EL Exit Date must be June 30 of the school year that just ended.

When LEAs share their EL Exit Criterion with the state, these Exit Criteria will be posted on the GaDOE ESOL website as a Master List of LEA's Reclassification Criteria.

Exiting Procedures for English Learner Students with Disabilities

Since 2013, the *Alternate ACCESS for ELLs*® assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) has been used in Georgia for students in grades 1 -12 who are classified as English learners and have significant cognitive disabilities that prevent their meaningful participation in the regular *ACCESS for ELLs*® assessment. In order to participate in the Alternate ACCESS, a student must be eligible to participate in the GAA 2.0

The *Alternate ACCESS for ELLs*® aligns with the WIDA Alternate English Language Proficiency levels designed to expand upon Level P1 - Entering, by increasing the sensitivity of the measure for students who have significant cognitive disabilities. The alternate ELP levels give students a chance to demonstrate progress within Level P1.

In April 2019, The state has established that a student's Individual Education Program (IEP) Team may recommend exiting from EL status an English learner with significant cognitive disabilities who has been assessed yearly on WIDA's Alternate ACCESS for ELLs® English Language Proficiency (ELP) assessment when the student has reached the standardized statewide threshold as stated below. In order to make this recommendation, the IEP team will have documented evidence that the EL student's English proficiency is no longer a barrier to full

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

participation in the student's individualized program of instruction with supports as established in the IEP.

Alternate ACCESS Threshold for considering EL Exit:

Alternate ACCESS **Overall CPL P2 (Emerging)** for two consecutive years with IEP Team recommendation **OR** any other Alternate ACCESS Overall CPL – the **same score** - for three consecutive years with IEP recommendation.

EL Exit Procedures:

- The IEP Team will serve as an *English Learner (EL) Reclassification Team* by completing the state provided **EL Reclassification / IEP Team Rubric** for English Learners with significant cognitive disabilities who have met the state's established Alternate ACCESS Threshold for considering EL Exit, as stated above.
- IEP teams who are considering reclassification of EL/SWD who have met the Alternate ACCESS criteria may want to finalize any possible EL status changes **within 30 days of the beginning of the school year and before the October FTE count.**

Post-exit Procedures:

- For two consecutive school years, the exited student's progress toward IEP goals must be monitored by designated ESOL and Special Education personnel to ensure the exited student's English proficiency is not a barrier to full participation in classroom instruction and assessment in English. Monitoring documentation must be maintained in the exited student's permanent/cumulative records.
- The IEP team with a 2nd language specialist could revisit the EL Reclassification decision during the two-year post-exit period of monitoring student's progress, if the team determines the student would benefit from language assistance services as provided by the ESOL language program.

Exiting Procedures for English Learner Students with Less than Four Domains

Under the ESSA, as determined by the student's IEP team or 504 Plan, if a student's disability is directly related to a domain for which there are no appropriate accommodations, the student may be exempt from taking that language domain assessment.

Note: Students who are deaf, blind, and non-verbal would be considered as having a disability that precludes assessment in one or more language domains. Beyond these reasons, the Assessment Director should seek counsel from the GaDOE Assessment Division who would consult with Special Education and EL Learner Programs.

In April 2019, the state established that an English Learner (EL) with disabilities *whose disability precludes assessment of one or more language domains* should be considered for exit from English learner status when the student has met the following state-established criteria using a GaDOE-provided **Designate Composite Proficiency Level (D-CPL)**.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

D-CPL Process:

1. Administer the appropriate WIDA ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0 or Alternate ACCESS language domain tests to the EL student as established in the IEP.
2. For each language domain test not administered, clearly mark **Do Not Score** with a reason code of **SPD** in the student test booklet or in WIDA AMS.
3. **NOTE:** Although WIDA ACCESS *Individual Student Report* will only show results for the language domains administered and will not include composite proficiency levels, the report should still be shared with parents in a language they understand.
4. Using WIDA-provided language domain scale score tables, the GaDOE Assessment & Accountability Division will assign the **lowest exit score for the missing language domain(s)** to allow an overall composite proficiency level calculation.
5. The GaDOE Assessment & Accountability Division will calculate a **Designate Composite Proficiency Level (D-CPL)** for each EL student whose WIDA ACCESS score results are missing one or more language domains.
6. The GaDOE Assessment & Accountability Division will provide the school district with an ACCESS **Designate Composite Proficiency Level (D-CPL)** for each one of these EL students.
7. After receiving the GaDOE-generated **D-CPL**, the appropriate standardized statewide EL exit criteria for the ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0 or the Alternate ACCESS threshold for considering EL Exit would then be applied to determine whether the EL student will exit EL status or not. (See below)

ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0 Exit Criteria

An EL student with disabilities **must** be exited from EL status when the **ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0 D-CPL** is **5.0** or when the **D-CPL** meets the LEA-established exit criterion within the 4.3-4.9 range along with the *EL Reclassification* Form.

Alternate ACCESS Threshold for Considering Exit

An EL student with significant cognitive disabilities may be considered for exit from EL status when the **Alternate ACCESS D-CPL** is **P2** (Emerging) for two consecutive years with IEP Team recommendation **OR** any other Alternate ACCESS **D-CPL** for three consecutive years with IEP Team recommendation. (See *EL Reclassification / IEP Team Rubric*)

Data Collections Code - EL Exit Reason:

ACCESS for ELLs® 2.0 scores are generally received and evaluated before the end of the school year. Therefore, LEAs may determine that an EL has successfully met the criteria for exit from the ESOL program at the end of the school year. In order to ensure that LEA data is recorded correctly for accountability and for funding purposes, coding in the LEA's SIS **must not be changed for students before July 1**. The ELs' coding should remain until all state data collection has been completed and signed off.

NOTE: Student's EL Exit Date must be an end-of-school-year date or **June 30**; even though it is recorded in the SIS sometime at the beginning of the new school year.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

EL EXIT REASON

EL EXIT REASON describes the method used to determine the student’s placement in the monitoring phase. The **EL EXIT** is required when student’s **EL** status is ‘1’ or ‘2’.

Code	Description
‘1’	ACCESS for EL proficiency
‘2’	Language Assessment Conference (LAG) (discontinued 2017)
‘3’	Exited out of state or from a non-public
‘4’	EL Reclassification Team Decision
Blank	N/A

Data Reporting for Exited ELs

ELs who have met the standardized statewide EL Exit Procedures Criteria must be exited from EL status. The EL Exit Date must be an end-of-the-school-year date or June 30. However, this date cannot be recorded in in SIS until after July 1. Each year, after July 1st, the student’s post-EL Exit Status will change as depicted in the chart below.

- The first-year post-exit starts July 1st after the student has met a qualifying exit score and/or Reclassification decision at the end of a school year or June 30.
- During the 2-year monitoring period Exited EL are coded **EL-1** and **EL-2** for Year 1 and Year 2.
- Once the two-year monitoring period has ended, the student’s coding for Data Collections Student Record purposes will change from EL-2 to **EL-3** (3rd Year Post-Exit), and then **EL-4** (4th year Post-Exit).
- Following the EL-4 designation, the student will be coded **EL-F** (Former EL).

Post Exit Data Reporting	
EL-1	1st year post-exit – student progress is monitored by teachers & reported to state
EL-2	2nd year post-exit – student progress is monitored by teachers & reported to state
EL-3	3rd year post-exit – student progress is reported to state
EL-4	4th year post-exit – student progress is reported to state
EL-F	5 or more years post-exit – student progress in no longer monitored

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Post-exit Monitoring Procedures

Overview

EL students who meet the standardized, statewide criteria for English proficiency and no longer meet the definition of an English Learner **must be exited** from EL status. They are no longer eligible to participate in the ESOL language program and assessment, nor in the Title III Part A supplemental language services. OCR considers a compliance issue if a school fails to exit EL students from EL programs after the EL students demonstrate proficiency in English.

Rationale

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) requires that districts **monitor the academic progress of post-exit EL students for two calendar years** to ensure that:

- Students have not been prematurely exited;
- Any academic deficits incurred have been remedied; and
- They are meaningfully participating in standards-based instruction and assessment comparable to their never-EL peers.

If a school fails to monitor the progress of exited EL students, OCR would consider that a compliance issue as well. (See *Dear Colleague Letter*, 2015, p. 34.)

If an exited student transfers from another state or a private school during the two-year monitoring period, the district is required to monitor the student's academic progress for the remainder of the two years. The district must maintain documented evidence that the student was monitored throughout the two-year monitoring phase. Sample monitoring forms may be found in the [ESOL Forms Bank](#) on the GaDOE [ESOL Language Programs](#) website.

Accommodations on State Assessments

For state assessment purposes post-exit EL students who are in the two-year monitoring period are eligible for appropriate standard accommodations as outlined on the EL/TPC form. See the current GaDOE Student Assessment Handbook on the [Assessment website](#). Please note, that after the two-year monitoring period ends, EL-3 and EL-4 students are no longer eligible for any EL-related testing accommodations.

Examining Exited-EL Students' Progress

LEAs have flexibility to design how the monitoring process will be implemented and documented. It is a best practice to make an initial follow-up progress check on a recently-exited EL student within two weeks of exiting EL status and ESOL language program services.

At the end of each progress reporting period, LEAs could choose to have ESOL teachers contact each of the student's teachers to determine if the student is adjusting and succeeding academically, and to identify any academic adjustments needed based on data from:

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

- Periodic review of grades and formative assessments
- Periodic review of benchmark assessments
- Periodic review of standardized test scores
- Periodic review of portfolio assessments
- Periodic student interviews
- Parent input
- Any other data as defined by the District

LEAs will want to design monitoring forms and processes for ESOL teachers to use. The state provides examples of these on the [ESOL Form Bank](#). Electronic monitoring processes are acceptable as well. Other tools for examining the progress of exited-EL students can be found in [Chapter 8](#) of USDE's English Learner Toolkit.

Post-Exit Reversal of Reclassification Decisions

As students become proficient in English and their need for support begins to decline, their progress must be monitored regularly. This monitoring process provides a safety net to ensure that if the student should begin to struggle due to language proficiency, it is caught quickly, and appropriate support is reinstated.

According to the OCR *Dear Colleague Letter* (2015, p. 34) and the English Learner Toolkit, Chapter 8, *"If an exited EL is not progressing academically as expected and monitoring suggests a persistent language need, LEAs should re-test the student's English language proficiency with a valid, reliable, and grade-appropriate ELP test to see if the student must be offered additional language assistance services. In no case should re-testing of an exited student's ELP be prohibited. If the student is reentered into EL services, however, the LEA should document the reasons why and the parent's consent to reentry."*

In Georgia, it is the responsibility of the MTSS or Rtl team to determine whether the EL-exited student is struggling because of a lack of content knowledge or whether the EL-exited student is struggling because of a lack of English language proficiency. ESOL teachers are essential to the MTSS or Rtl review process as they understand the process and timeframe of second language acquisition, especially those ESOL teachers who have taught the exited EL in recent years. The team may want to administer a valid ELP assessment from among vendor choices, however, **the WIDA ELP assessment is not an option for an exited EL students.**

- **First**, an LEA should examine whether its locally chosen exit criteria is ensuring that EL students are proficient enough in English to be successful in the classroom. If an LEA finds that changes to locally designed exit criteria are necessary, appropriate training would be provided to teachers and staff.
- **Secondly**, an LEA should ensure that the struggling student is provided all instructional support available to all students within the school.
- The **third step** is to ensure that the MTSS or Rtl team is aware of the student's lack of academic progress and success. The MTSS or Rtl team should examine student data and determine appropriate interventions or appropriate increases in the intensity and

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

duration of such interventions, following MTSS or Rtl protocols. Tier 2 or 3 progress monitoring processes should be implemented as for any other student.

Questions for the MTSS or Rtl team to consider:

1. Was the exited student educated formally in his/her home country? Was schooling consistent or did the student's education have prolonged periods of interrupted schooling or no schooling?
2. What were the exited EL student's *ACCESS for ELLs 2.0* scores and sub-scores in each domain?
3. Was the student exited from EL status based on a prior Language Assessment Conference? (**Note: The state-directed LAC protocol was eliminated in Spring 2017.**)
 - If a LAC determination had been made in the past, were the *ACCESS for ELLs 2.0* overall CPL scores at the high end of the 4.0-4.9 range or was the Literacy sub-score close to 4.8?
4. Was the student exited from English language assistance services based on English Learner Reclassification Review Committee decision? (Note: The LEA-directed Reclassification process was introduced Spring 2018.)
 - Was the student's *ACCESS for ELLs®* overall CPL score at the high end of the 4.3 - 4.9 range or at the low end?
5. Were the exited student's Georgia Milestones Language Arts/ELA End-of-Course scores borderline for meeting standards or where they significantly above the minimum requirement?
6. In the courses in which the exited student is struggling, what is her main area of difficulty?
 - a. Is she having difficulty specifically in the domain of writing, or reading, for example; or are there factors outside of language that are involved?
 - b. Is he struggling in a course in which he has always had difficulty?
 - c. Are the teachers differentiating instruction specifically to reach and support multi-lingual students?
 - d. Is it a lack of concentrated effort on the exited student's part, or does she turn in all assignments, but perhaps the quality of the work does not meet the requirements for a passing grade?
 - e. If so, would appropriate differentiation allow this student to adequately demonstrate his knowledge and comprehension of the content, or does he lack the background content information to be able to access the curriculum and fully comprehend the material?
 - f. Is this student receiving academic support to compensate for any lack of background information?

The final analysis of the Team reviewing all this evidence and data is to determine whether the problem truly is a lack of language proficiency, rather than a lack of content knowledge, cognitive issues, or a disability. (See Guidance on Comparison of Language Differences vs. Learning Differences in *Supporting Learning Environment System.*)

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

If it is determined that the problem is a lack of English language proficiency, then the MTSS or RtI team should follow the following *Reverse-Reclassification EL Entrance* procedures

1. Conduct an EL Reclassification Team Meeting and document the team's decision on the *EL Reclassification Form*.
 2. If the Team has determined that the student's status should remain as Exited Student (EL=1 or EL=2), no changes should be made in the Student Information System (SIS). All documentation should be maintained in the student's permanent/cumulative record.
 3. If the Team has determined that the student's status should **reverse back** to EL status, then parent's **consent must be** obtained, per OCR Guidelines.
 4. **Timeline** for changing student's status in SIS from EL=1 or EL=2 to EL=Y:
 - a. If the *Reverse-Reclassification* decision is made in August or September **before** the Oct FTE count:
 - Then, change the status back to EL immediately and serve the student in the ESOL language program again.
 - b. If the *Reverse-Reclassification* decision is made **between Oct FTE** and **March FTE**:
 - Then, change the status back to EL immediately and serve the student in the ESOL language program again.
 - However, you will need to explain the Data Collections Error you receive!
 - c. If the *Reverse-Reclassification* decision is made **after** the March FTE count:
 - Then, wait until **after** the June 30 Student Record sign-off to change the student's status back to EL=Y.
- All documentation should be maintained in the student's permanent/cumulative record.
 - After the student's status is reversed, and is identified again as an EL student, then the WIDA ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 assessment can be administered during the state testing window.
 - When the student meets the LEA's EL exit criteria for a 2nd time and is reclassified as English proficient for a 2nd time, then the two-year monitoring period must begin again – for a 2nd time.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

EL Students in the State Accountability System

ESEA Section 3121(a)(5) requires that LEAs report on the number and percentage of ELs meeting the challenging State academic standards for **four years** after such students are no longer English learners. To meet this requirement, an LEA must report to the State on the academic achievement of an EL for each year of the four years after such student has achieved English language proficiency and no longer receives EL services.

Therefore, students who have exited EL status are coded in SIS for Data Collections for each year post-exit up to four years. In this manner their academic progress can be reported and monitored by LEAs and the SEA.

Post Exit Data Reporting	
EL-1	1st year post-exit – student progress is monitored by teachers & reported to state
EL-2	2nd year post-exit – student progress is monitored by teachers & reported to state
EL-3	3rd year post-exit – student progress is reported to state
EL-4	4th year post-exit – student progress is reported to state
EL-F	5 or more years post-exit – student progress is no longer monitored

EL Subgroup for CCRPI

- According to Georgia’s approved [ESSA plan](#), all English learners and all exited students coded EL=1, 2, 3, & 4 are included in the EL SUBGROUP for CCRPI accountability purposes.
- Please review the [CCRPI Resources page](#) for a wide variety of information on English Learners and exited students in Georgia’s CCRPI accountability system.

ELP Progress Points

- The [CCRPI Resources page](#) publishes English language proficiency targets for schools and school systems.
- The [English Learner Programs](#) website, Title I, Title III, and ESOL Language Program websites will publish each year the Number and Percentage of EL Students Attaining English Proficiency by LEA and SEA.

Closing the Gap

- The [Accountability website](#) also published Closing the Gap targets that include targets for English learners.

English Learners in the System of Continuous Improvement (coming soon)

Chapter 2: Coherent Language Instruction System for EL Students

1. Planning for Quality Instruction
2. Scheduling Language Instruction
3. Language program models and ESOL Delivery Models
4. ESOL course numbers and descriptions
5. Parent's Right to Opt-Out of ESOL language program
6. Language instructional resources
7. Delivering Quality Instruction
8. Examining progress of EL students
9. Designing coherent instruction for sub-populations of EL students.
10. How federal programs (Titles I, III, & IV) can support a Coherent Instructional System for EL students.

Chapter 3: Professional Capacity System for EL Students

1. Attracting quality staff to work with EL students
2. Developing staff's expertise and capacity to teach EL students
3. Retaining staff
4. Ensuring staff collaboration

Chapter 4: Effective Leadership System for EL Language Programs

1. Creating & maintaining a climate/culture conducive to EL student learning
2. Cultivating and distributing leadership
3. Ensuring high quality instruction for EL students in **all** classrooms.
4. Managing resources for EL success
5. Driving language program improvement efforts
6. Evaluating language programs
7. Monitoring EL student progress

Chapter 5: Supportive Learning Environment System for EL Students

1. Creating a Welcoming Environment
2. Ensuring a culturally responsive system of support
3. Multi-tiered System of Supports
4. English Learners with Disabilities
5. Gifted English Learners

Chapter 6: EL Parent Family Engagement System

1. Welcoming cultural and linguistically diverse (CLD) families
2. Rights of Limited English Proficient (LEP) parents and families
3. Collaborating with CLD families to enhance language programs for student success
4. Collaborating with CLD community to empower leaders
5. Collaborating with school leaders and federal programs to maximize resources

Additional Resources

Quick References for EL Information and Resources

[ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Webpage](#)

[Accommodations for Student with Disabilities](#)

[Assessment](#)

[Assessment Handbook](#)

[Curriculum & Instruction](#)

[English Learner Toolkit](#)

[English Learner Programs](#)

Federal Programs maintains an EL Program website with communication resources.

[EL Language Programs Helpdesk](#)

GaDOE ESOL & Title III, Part A Language Programs maintain and utilize a multi-use email address ELPrograms@doe.k12.ga.us to attend to general inquiries with regards to the state ESOL and the federal Title III language programs. The Program Manager is responsible for monitoring the in-box and ensuring that appropriate and timely action is taken by staff to respond to or otherwise address issues, questions, or items received.

[Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 \(ESEA\), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 \(ESSA\)](#)

[ESOL Language Program](#)

This website houses the statewide, standardized *EL Entrance and Exit Procedures* as well as the ESOL Language Program Guidance framed in the Systems of Continuous Improvement.

[Georgia Professional Standards Commission](#)

[Georgia's State ESSA Plan approved January 18, 2018](#)

[Resource Guide: Accountability for English Learners Under the ESSA](#)

[Schools' Civil Rights Obligations to English Learner Students and Limited English Proficient Parents](#)

[State Funded ESOL Courses](#)

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

[Title III, Part A Language Program](#)

This website has resources for all aspects of Title III federal program management.

[USDE Office of English Language Acquisition](#)

[WIDA Consortium](#)

Federal Laws

Office for Civil Rights Laws

Stated below is an excerpt from the United States Code § 1703. **Denial of equal educational opportunity prohibited:**

No state shall deny equal educational opportunity to an individual on account of his or her race, color, sex, or national origin, by - (f) the failure by an educational agency to take appropriate action to overcome language barriers that impede equal participation by its students in its instructional programs.

In other words, federal law requires schools to provide language assistance services.

The United States Department of Education Office for Civil Rights (OCR) has responsibility for enforcing Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin in programs and activities that receive federal financial assistance.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 regulatory requirements have been interpreted to prohibit denial of equal access to education because of a student's limited proficiency in English. Thus, Title VI protects those students limited in their English language skills such that they are unable to participate in, or benefit from, regular or special education school instructional programs.

During the late 1960s, the OCR became aware that many school districts made little or no provision for the education of students who were unable to understand English. In an effort to resolve this problem, on May 25, 1970, the former Department of Health, Education and Welfare issued a memorandum to clarify Title VI requirements concerning the responsibility of school districts to provide equal education opportunity to language minority students.

The May 25 Memorandum explained that Title VI is violated if:

1. Programs for students whose English is less than proficient are not designed to teach them English as soon as possible or operate as a dead-end track.
2. Parents whose English is limited do not receive notices and other information from the school in a language they can understand.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

There are links to two additional OCR related documents, *OCR Compliance Guidance on Parent Communication Requirements* and *OCR's Blueprint for a District ESOL Plan*, under the Federal Resources link on the right side of the GaDOE ESOL webpage.

In the 1974 *Lau v. Nichols* case, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the May 25 Memorandum as a valid interpretation of the requirements of Title VI.

Based on the language of Title VI and the *Lau* holding, a foreign exchange student is a "person in the United States," and the *Lau* provisions would therefore apply. Also, under the ESEA, an exchange student would not be exempt from any Title I required assessment, specifically, in this case, the ELP assessment. An EL, who happens to be a foreign exchange student, would also be included in an LEA's count of ELs for purposes of allocating funds under 3114(a) of the ESEA.

Listed below is a review of other key legislation, court rulings, and administrative regulations addressing these students and the legal responsibilities of educational agencies serving them.

Other Federal Laws

Constitution of the United States, Fourteenth Amendment (1868)

1. "No State shall deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."
2. Equal Educational Opportunities Act (EEOA) (1974)
"No state shall deny equal educational opportunity to an individual on account of his or her race, color, sex or national origin, by the failure of an educational agency to take appropriate action to overcome language barriers that impede equal participation by its students in its instructional programs."

The Civil Rights Division, Educational Opportunities Section of the United States Department of Justice (<http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/edu/types.php>) is charged with enforcement of the EEOA, and as such, investigates allegations that State Educational Agencies (SEAs) or school districts are not providing adequate services to ELs. The webpage outlines specific factors for assessing compliance and conditions that may violate the EEOA.

1. fails to provide a language acquisition program to its EL students or fails to provide adequate language services to its EL students;
2. fails to provide resources to implement its language acquisition program effectively (e.g., an ESOL program lacks ESOL teachers or ESOL materials);
3. fails to take steps to identify students who are not proficient in English;
4. does not exit EL students from a language acquisition program when the EL students have acquired English proficiency, or exits EL students without written parental or guardian permission before the students acquire English proficiency;
5. fails to communicate meaningfully with non-English-speaking or limited-English-speaking parents and guardians of EL students by not providing such parents and guardians with written or oral translations of important notices or documents;

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

6. fails to provide language acquisition assistance to EL students because they receive special education services, or fails to provide special education services to EL students when they qualify for special education services; and
7. excludes EL students from gifted and talented programs based on their limited English proficiency.

Court Rulings

Supreme Court

1982 -- Plyler v. Doe

The Supreme Court ruled that the Fourteenth Amendment prohibits states from denying a free public education to immigrant children regardless of their immigrant status.

Federal Courts

1981 -- Castañeda v. Pickard

The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals formulated a method to determine school district compliance with the Equal Education Opportunities Act (1974). The three-part test includes the following criteria:

1. "the school is pursuing a program informed by an educational theory recognized as sound by some experts in the field or, at least, deemed legitimate experimental strategy;
2. the program and practices used by (the) school system are reasonably calculated to implement effectively the educational theory adopted by the school;
3. the school's program succeeds, after a legitimate trial, in producing results indicating that the language barriers confronting students are actually being overcome." *Id.* at 1009-10.

Castañeda states that the segregation of EL students is permissible only when "the benefits which would accrue to EL students by remedying language barriers which impede their ability to realize their academic potential in an English language educational institution may outweigh the adverse effects of such segregation." In other words, the OCR will not examine whether ESOL is the least segregated program for providing language services. Instead the OCR will examine whether the degree of segregation in the program is necessary to achieve the program's educational goals.

Questions/Answers -

Section I: Laws and Funding

1. Why is it necessary to identify language minority students? Is the LEA required to have an English-language assistance program?

Yes, the U.S. Office for Civil Rights, Department of Education, through the Civil Rights Act of 1964, requires the identification of language minority students by level of English language proficiency and the provision of language assistance services to identified ELs.

2. What is the correct terminology to identify language minority students?

The language in the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 identifies language minority students as *English learners* or EL students.

Section II: Registration Processes

Guidance for Student Enrollment & Withdrawal Rule

3. What are the first steps to take when students who do not speak any English enroll in the LEA?

- Determine whether the student is an English learner and therefore eligible for ESOL services (See *EL Entrance Procedures*).
- Schedule the student for ESOL classes with a certified or endorsed ESOL teacher who is knowledgeable about the WIDA English Language Development (ELD) Standards (<https://wida.wisc.edu/teach/standards/eld>).

Section III: Screening Potential EL Students

4. The student is transferring from a non-WIDA state and was currently being served by an ESOL program in that state. Is a WIDA screener assessment score necessary to place this student in our ESOL program?

- No. If a student is/was enrolled at the time of transfer there is no need for screening. The student is deemed eligible by virtue of his services provided in the other state and should begin receiving services immediately. However, the school should implement “due diligence” to procure the original HLS and ELP Screener scores.

5. The student was screened in a Non-WIDA state using that state’s ELP screener and was found to NOT Qualify as an English Learner. Should the student be rescreened with the current grade appropriate WIDA Screener in GA?

- If the Home Language Survey indicates an answer other than English, and if the other state’s Non-WIDA Screener was recently administered (within the last school year), then the student must be screened. Please refer to the Registration and Home Language Survey section for additional guidance.

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

6. The student was an English Learner in a Non-WIDA state and just exited EL status. Do we rescreen with the current grade appropriate WIDA Screener? Should the student be classified as monitored for two years?

- Do not re-screen the student.
- The student should be considered an EL-1 and EL-2 and monitored for the two-calendar year requirement.

7. The student was an English Learner in another state and exited from EL Status more than two years ago. Must this student still be screened with the current WIDA eligibility assessment?

- No. Ensure there is documentation to support the fact the student was previously an English Learner and was exited in that state.

8. Does this State Guidance on *EL Entrance & Exit Procedures* replace the need for local policies and procedures?

- No. Each LEA must develop local policies and procedures that fully address all aspects of the district ESOL language program. The GaDOE *EL Entrance & Exit Procedures Guidance and Systems of Continuous Improvement Guidance* address local compliance with both State Board of Education rules and federal requirements for a language assistance program; however, it is the responsibility of the LEA to develop policies to ensure district-specific compliance with state and federal regulations.

9. What is the WIDA Consortium?

- The World-Class Instructional Design Assessment Consortium (WIDA) is a consortium of 39-member states, the District of Columbia, the US Virgin Islands, and the Northern Mariana Islands, dedicated to the design and implementation of high standards and equitable educational opportunities for English language learners. Georgia has been a member of the Consortium since 2005.
- See WIDA Member states on page 40.
- The WIDA Consortium developed English language development (ELD) standards and an English language proficiency (ELP) test aligned with those standards, *ACCESS for ELLs 2.0*. A second assessment, the *Alternate ACCESS for ELLs*, is an assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) for students in grades 1 -12 who are classified as English Learners and have significant cognitive disabilities that prevent their meaningful participation in the *ACCESS for ELLs 2.0* assessment.
- More information about the WIDA Consortium may be found at <https://wida.wisc.edu/>

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

List of FY19 ELP Assessments by State

(as a reference for inter-state transfer students)

WIDA Consortium States:

AL, AK, CO, DE, FL, GA, HI, ID, IL, IN, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MT, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NC, ND, OK, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, UT, VT, VA, WI, WY

ELPA21 States:

Arkansas, Iowa, Nebraska, Ohio, Oregon, Washington, West Virginia

ELP Assessments in other states –

1. Arizona – AZELLA (Arizona English Language Learner Assessment)
2. California – ELPAC (English Language Proficiency Assessments for California) - <https://www.elpac.org/>
3. Kansas – KELPA2 (Kansas English Language Proficiency Assessment 2)
4. Louisiana – ELPT K-12 (English Language Proficiency Test)
5. Mississippi – ELPT (English Language Proficiency Test – CTB/LAS Links Assessment System)
6. New York – NYSESLAT (New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test)
7. Ohio – OELPA (Ohio English Language Proficiency Assessment)
8. Texas – TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System)

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

List of FY18 Exit Criteria for Grades 1-12 by LEA

(Self-reported by LEAs as a reference for inter-district GA transfer students)

ACCESS CPL Threshold Criteria	LEA
ACCESS CPL 5.0	ATLANTA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BANKS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BARTOW COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BLECKLEY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BRANTLEY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BROOKS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUFORD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BURKE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CARTERSVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT CHICKAMAUGA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT CLARKE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT Coastal Plains Education Charter School COLQUITT COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT COLUMBIA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT DADE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT DEKALB COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT Genesis Innovation Academy - Boys Genesis Innovation Academy - Girls HEARD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT IRWIN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT IVY PREP ACADEMY AT KIRKWOOD FOR GIRLS SCHOOL JACKSON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT JASPER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT JEFF DAVIS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT JEFFERSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT LANIER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LINCOLN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MARIETTA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT MITCHELL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MOUNTAIN EDUCATION CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL PELHAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT PICKENS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT PIERCE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT PIKE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ROCKDALE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT SCREVEN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT STEPHENS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TERRELL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TURNER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

	UNION COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WALKER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WARE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WAYNE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WEBSTER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WORTH COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
ACCESS CPL 4.9	MERIWETHER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT SOCIAL CIRCLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
ACCESS CPL 4.8	CALHOUN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT HARALSON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT PAULDING COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TAYLOR COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
ACCESS CPL 4.7	GEORGIA CYBER ACADEMY HARRIS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT PATAULA CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL
ACCESS CPL 4.6	BERRIEN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BRYAN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CARROLL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT COOK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MUSCOGEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT PULASKI COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
ACCESS CPL 4.5	BARROW COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CLINCH COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT COBB COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT COFFEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT FAYETTE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LAURENS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MILLER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TIFT COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
ACCESS CPL 4.4	BALDWIN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BREMEN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUTTS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT DODGE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
ACCESS CPL 4.3	ATLANTA HEIGHTS CHARTER SCHOOL BACON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BULLOCH COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDLER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CARROLLTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT CHATHAM COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CHATTOOGA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CHEROKEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CLAYTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CRISP COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

	DALTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT DAWSON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT DOOLY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ECHOLS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT EFFINGHAM COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ELBERT COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT EVANS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT FANNIN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT FLOYD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT Franklin County School District FULTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT GAINESVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT GILMER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT GLASCOCK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT GORDON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT GRIFFIN-SPALDING COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT HABERSHAM COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT HALL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT HART COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT HENRY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT HOUSTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT International Academy of Smyrna International Charter School of Atlanta JENKINS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT JOHNSON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LAMAR COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LIBERTY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LONG COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LOWNDES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT LUMPKIN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MACON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MADISON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MARION COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MONROE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MORGAN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT MURRAY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NEWTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT OCONEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT OGLETHORPE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT POLK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RABUN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
--	---

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

	ROME CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT SUMTER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TATTNALL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT THOMAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT THOMASTON-UPSON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TOOMBS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WALTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WHEELER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WHITFIELD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
Grade-level Criteria	COMMERCE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT (Gr. 1-8 = 5.0; Gr. 9-12 = 4.7) GLYNN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (Gr. 1 & 2 = 4.6; Gr. 3-8 = 4.3; Gr. 9-12 =4.6)

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

List of FY19 Exit Criteria for Grades 1-12 by LEA

(Self-Reported by LEAs, as a reference for inter-district GA transfer students)

	ACCESS Threshold Criteria	LEAs
1	ACCESS CPL 5.0	Atlanta Heights Charter School Atlanta Public Schools Baconton Community Charter School Baldwin County Schools Banks County Schools Bleckley County School District Brooks County Schools Chattooga County School District Cherokee Charter Academy Chickamauga City Schools City Schools of Decatur Clay County Schools Cobb County School District Colquitt County School District Columbia County School District DeKalb County School District Early County School District Grady County School System Griffin - Spalding School District Heard County School System International Academy of Smyrna Jackson County School District Jefferson City Schools Lanier County Schools Liberty Tech Charter Academy Marietta City Schools Meriwether County School District Mountain Education Charter High School Pelham City Schools Pierce County School District Pike County School District Pulaski County Schools Screven County School System Social Circle City Schools Talbot County School District Troup County School District Turner County School District Union County School District Ware County Schools Washington County School District Wilkinson County Schools Worth County School District

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

<p>NOTE: All LEAs using an ACCESS Overall CPL between 4.3-4.9 are also using the Decision of an EL Reclassification Team that considers whether these EL students should exit or not. Each LEA in the rows below will have additional data that the EL Reclassification Team considers before making an Exit Decision. Please check with the individual LEA if you have a question about a transfer EL student. Thank you.</p>		
2	ACCESS Overall CPL 4.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dodge County Schools Jasper County Charter System Sumter County School District Towns County School District
3	ACCESS Overall CPL 4.8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Calhoun City Schools Crisp County Schools Haralson County Schools District
4	ACCESS Overall CPL 4.7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clinch County School District Forsyth County Schools Georgia Cyber Academy Pataula Charter Academy Paulding County School District Stephens County Schools Walton County School District White County School District
5	ACCESS Overall CPL 4.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carroll County Schools Cartersville City Schools Muscogee County School District
6	ACCESS Overall CPL 4.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appling County School District Barrow County School District Jones County School District
7	ACCESS Overall CPL 4.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gwinnett County Public Schools Taylor County School District
8	ACCESS Overall CPL 4.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appling County School District Bacon County School District Bartow County Schools Ben Hill County Schools Berrien County School District Bibb County School District Brantley County School District Bremen City Schools Brookhaven Innovations Academy Bryan County Schools Buford City Schools Bulloch County School District Burke County School District Butts County School District Camden County School District Candler County School District Carrollton City Schools Catoosa County Public Schools Chatham County School District Chattahoochee County School District Cherokee County School District Clarke County Schools

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

		Coastal Plains Education Charter High School
		Coffee County School District
		Commerce City Schools
		Cook County Schools
		Coweta County School District
		Crawford County School District
		Dade County Schools
		Dalton Public Schools
		Dawson County School District
		Decatur County School District
		Dooly County School System
		Dougherty County School System
		Douglas County School System
		Echols County School District
		Effingham County School District
		Elbert County School District
		Emanuel County School District
		Evans County School District
		Fannin County School District
		Fayette County School District
		Floyd County School District
		Foothills Education Charter High School
		Franklin County School System
		Fulton County Schools
		Gainesville City Schools
		Genesis Innovation Academy
		Georgia Connections Academy
		Gilmer County Schools
		Gordon County Schools
		Greene County School District
		Habersham County Schools
		Hall County Schools
		Harris County School District
		Hart County Charter System
		Henry County Schools
		Houston County School District
		International Charter Academy of Georgia
		International Charter School of Atlanta
		Jeff Davis County Schools
		Jefferson County School District
		Jenkins County School District
		Johnson County School District
		Lamar County School District
		Laurens County School System
		Lee County School District
		Liberty County School System
		Long County Schools
		Lowndes County School District
		Lumpkin County School District
		Macon County School District
		Madison County School System
		Marion County School District
		McIntosh County School System

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monroe County School District Morgan County Charter School System Murray County Schools Newton County School System Oconee County Schools ODYSSEY CHARTER SCHOOL Oglethorpe County School District Polk School District Putnam County School District Rabun County School District Richmond County School System Rockdale County Public Schools Rome City Schools Seminole County Schools Telfair County School District Thomas County Schools Thomaston-Upson Schools Tift County Schools Toombs County School District Towns County School District Trion City Schools Valdosta City Schools Walker County Schools Wayne County School District Wheeler County Schools Whitfield County Schools Wilkes County School District
	<p>Grade-Specific ACCESS Overall CPL Criteria</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clayton County Public Schools <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grades 1 - 3: 4.3 Overall CPL and 4.3 Literacy Sub-score • Grades 4-12: 4.3 Overall CPL Glynn County Schools <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grades 1st - 2nd - CPL 4.6 • Grades 3rd - 8th - CPL 4.3 and 2 or higher on GA ELA EOG Milestones • Grades 9th -12th - CPL 4.6 Pickens County Schools <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grades 1-12 \geq 5.0 • SWD student CPL \geq 4.3

Georgia's EL Entrance Criteria Changes from 2010-2019

(For research purposes ONLY.)

Historical Kindergarten W-APT EL Entrance Criteria			
2010 – 2016		2017-2018	
Pre-K to 1st Semester First Grade	Listening & Speaking (only) < 29 (at LEA discretion)	Pre-K to 1st Semester Kindergarten	Listening and Speaking (only) < 29
	Listening & Speaking = 19-28 & Reading < 11 & Writing < 12 (Additional district- defined factors were allowed)	2nd Semester Kindergarten to First semester First Grade	All four domains Listening & Speaking 19-28 & Reading < 11 & Writing < 12 (Additional factors were allowed.)

Georgia's Historical WIDA MODEL for Kindergarten EL Entrance Criteria			
2010 – 2016		2017-2018	
Pre-K to 1st Semester First Grade	Administer all 4 domains CPL < 5.0	Pre-K to 1st Semester Kindergarten	Listening and Speaking (only) < 5.0
	Listening & Speaking CPL < 5.0 (consult chart to determine)	2nd Semester Kindergarten to First semester first Grade	Administer all 4 domains CPL < 5.0

Historical GA EL Entrance Criteria Grades 1-12			
W-APT 2010 – 2016		WIDA Screener 2017-2018	
2nd Semester First Grade to Grade 12	Grade Level Adjusted Score < 5.0 (LAC consideration is allowable.)	2nd Semester First Grade to Grade 12	Administer all 4 domains Grade Level Adjusted Score < 5.0

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs

Georgia’s EL Exit Criteria Changes from 2006-2019

(For research purposes ONLY.)

Historical EL Exit Criteria for Students in Kindergarten			
2006-2009	2010-2013	2014-2017	2018-2019
<p><i>The maximum Overall Composite Score that a student taking the Kindergarten ACCESS for ELLs could receive is 3.4.</i></p> <p>In using ACCESS for ELLs scores as criteria in making exit decisions for kindergarten EL students, LEAs should review their students’ scores in context, paying particular attention to the Oral Language Score as well as other local criteria.</p>	<p>LEAs must exit EL students scoring an ACCESS “Accountability” Composite Proficiency Level (CPL) \geq 5.0 <u>with no individual language domain score less than 5.0.</u></p> <p>LEAs may consider other factors, such as students’ age, grade-level, GKIDS assessment, local inventory checklists, and other local assessments. LEAs have the option of NOT exiting Kindergarten English Learners even if their scores reflect required criteria. A conservative approach to exiting Kindergarten ELs is recommended.</p>	<p>LEAs must exit EL students scoring an ACCESS “Accountability” Composite Proficiency Level (CPL) \geq 5.0 <u>with no individual language domain score less than 5.0.</u></p> <p>All Kindergarten EL students NOT meeting this criterion may not be exited – a Language Assessment Conference (LAC) IS NOT AN OPTION anymore.</p>	<p>LEAs must exit EL students scoring an Overall Composite Score \geq 5.0 and Listening, Speaking, or Reading Language Domains are all \geq 5.0 and Writing Domain is \geq 4.5. LAC Decision is not allowed.</p>

* Language Assessment Conference (LAC)

Historical EL Exit Criteria for Students in Grades 1-12 ACCESS					
2006-2009	2010-2012	2013	2014 - 2015	2016-2017	2018-2019
<p>EL students who score ACCESS \geq 5.0 will be evaluated for reading/reading comprehension to determine if they have the cognitive academic language proficiency (CALP) in English for classroom success. Results</p>	<p>LEAs may NOT exit any EL student who took ACCESS Tier A.</p> <p>LEAs must exit students scoring ACCESS Tier C Overall CPL \geq 5.0 AND meeting proficiency on state reading comprehension assessment**.</p>	<p>Same as in 2012 <u>with the following exception</u> for students in Grades 1 & 2 who do not take CRCT Reading: “Proficiency” is an ACCESS Tier C Literacy Score \geq 4.7.</p> <p>NO LAC is</p>	<p>LEAs may NOT exit any EL student who took ACCESS Tier A.</p> <p>LEAs must exit EL students who scored ACCESS Tier C Overall CPL \geq 5.0 AND Literacy</p>	<p>LEAs must exit EL students who scored ACCESS 2.0 Overall CPL \geq 5.0 AND Literacy sub-score \geq 4.8</p> <p>LEAs may use the LAC Decision to exit EL students who</p>	<p>LEAs must exit all EL students who score ACCESS Overall CPL \geq 5.0. LEAs may NOT exit any EL student who scores ACCESS Overall CPL $<$ 4.3.</p> <p>LEAs may choose an EL Exit Criteria within the state-approved range of ACCESS Overall</p>

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs


<p>from the reading/reading comprehension state assessments will satisfy this requirement. For grade levels in which there is no state assessment, students shall be assessed using approved local reading/reading comprehension tests.</p>	<p>LEAs may refer students to LAC Decision Team if ACCESS Tier C Overall \geq 5.0 <u>but the student IS NOT PROFICIENT</u> on state reading comprehension assessment**.</p> <p>LEAs may refer students to LAC Decision Team if <u>ACCESS Tier C Overall is 4.0-4.9 AND student is proficient on state reading comprehension assessment**</u>.</p>	<p>permitted when using this exit criteria for EL students in Grades 1-2.</p>	<p>sub-score \geq 4.8</p> <p>LEAs may use the LAC Decision to exit EL students who scored ACCESS Tier B or Tier C Overall CPL \geq 5.0 AND a Literacy sub-score $<$ 4.8.</p> <p>LEAs may use a LAC Decision to exit EL students who scored ACCESS Tier B or Tier C Overall CPL between <u>4.0-4.9 AND</u> a Literacy sub-score \geq 4.8.</p>	<p>scored ACCESS 2.0 Overall CPL \geq 5.0 AND a Literacy sub-score $<$ 4.8.</p> <p>LEAs may use the LAC Decision to exit EL students who scored ACCESS 2.0 Overall CPL <u>4.0-4.9 AND</u> a Literacy sub-score \geq 4.8.</p>	<p>CPL 4.3 - 4.9, however, they <u>must use</u> an internal <i>EL Reclassification Review Committee</i> to make the exit or do-not-exit decision for any EL students scoring between 4.3 and 4.9.</p> <p>LEAs must have standardized written procedures which must be implemented with fidelity.</p> <p>The LAC Decision is DISCONTINUED, and LEAs <u>may not use this process</u>.</p>
---	--	---	--	--	--

* Language Assessment Conference (LAC) Decisions must consider language proficiency, classroom performance, teacher recommendations, criterion-referenced test scores, and writing samples to make an exit decision.

**

- “Proficiency” is a score of 2 (Meets Standards) or higher on Georgia’s Reading Criterion-Referenced Competency Test (CRCT) Total
- “Proficiency” is a score of “Pass” or higher on the Georgia High School Graduation Test (GHS GT) Language Arts Total
- “Proficiency” is a score of “70” or higher on a High School English Language Arts End-of-Course Test (EOCT)

ESOL and Title III, Part A Language Programs



205 Jesse Hill Jr. Drive SE

Atlanta, GA 30334

www.gadoe.org



@georgiadeptofed

Richard Woods, State School Superintendent

Educating Georgia's Future