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Redesigning the CCRPI

- Georgia’s College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI) was first implemented in 2012 as an alternative to No Child Left Behind’s Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).
  - Georgia’s Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waiver provided the opportunity to implement a new accountability system that included multiple measures, provided a more holistic picture of school performance, and addressed several shortcomings of the AYP system.
  - The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) of 2015 provided an opportunity to reflect on several years of CCRPI implementation, and, in consultation with stakeholders across the state, to revise CCRPI to expand upon its successes and address its shortcomings.
Redesigning the CCRPI

What we heard...

- Simplify
- Focus on key opportunities and outcomes
- Avoid gaming and chasing points
- Minimize annual changes
- Focus on school improvement
- Update the online reporting system
- Provide more comparative information
- Release results earlier

What we did...

- Reduced the number of indicators
- Focused the indicators on key opportunities and outcomes, including opportunities to show growth and improvement
- Simplified scoring by reporting all indicators, components, and overall scores on a 0-100 point scale
- Developed a new online reporting system that is easier to comprehend and navigate
- Streamlined GaDOE processes to release results earlier
Redesigned CCRPI

**Vision**
Accountability should play a supporting role in assisting our state to reach its mission of offering a holistic education to every child and preparing them for college, career, and life. Accountability should not be the driving force behind decisions about educating children.

**Purpose**
The purpose of CCRPI is to provide an objective measure of the extent to which schools, districts, and the state are succeeding in providing high-quality opportunities and outcomes for students that can be used for communication and continuous improvement.

- **Content Mastery**
  - Are students achieving at the level necessary to be prepared for the next grade, college, or career?

- **Progress**
  - How much growth are students demonstrating relative to academically-similar students?

- **Closing Gaps**
  - Are all students and all student subgroups making improvements in achievement rates?

- **Readiness**
  - Are students participating in activities preparing them for and demonstrating readiness for the next level, college, or career?

- **Graduation Rate**
  - Are students graduating from high school with a regular diploma in four or five years?

---

**School climate star rating**

**Financial efficiency star rating**
Content Mastery

- Achievement scores in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies
  - Utilize weights based on achievement level to incentivize moving all students to the next level:
    - Beginning Learners = 0 points
    - Developing Learners = 0.5 points
    - Proficient Learners = 1.0 point
    - Distinguished Learners = 1.5 points
- Content areas for all three grade bands will be weighted according to the number of state tests administered within each grade band
Content Mastery

• If the participation rate for all students or a subgroup of students falls below 95%, the achievement score for that group of students will be multiplied by the actual participation rate divided by 95%.

• This ensures the adjustment is proportional to the extent to which the 95% participation rate was not attained.

• The adjusted achievement score will be utilized in CCRPI calculations.
## Content Mastery Example

### Elementary/Middle School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Participation Rate (%)</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Distinguished</th>
<th>ELA Achievement Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x 0</td>
<td>x 0.5</td>
<td>x 1</td>
<td>x 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ELA Achievement Score = 75**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>Participation Rate (%)</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Distinguished</th>
<th>Mathematics Achievement Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x 0</td>
<td>x 0.5</td>
<td>x 1</td>
<td>x 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mathematics Achievement Score = 92**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Participation Rate (%)</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Distinguished</th>
<th>Science Achievement Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>107*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x 0</td>
<td>x 0.5</td>
<td>x 1</td>
<td>x 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Science Achievement Score = 107**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Studies</th>
<th>Participation Rate (%)</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Distinguished</th>
<th>Social Studies Achievement Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x 0</td>
<td>x 0.5</td>
<td>x 1</td>
<td>x 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Participation Rate Adjustment:**

67 x (92/95) = 64.9

**Social Studies Achievement Score = 67**

Content Mastery = 75 x (37.5%) + 92 x (37.5%) + 100 x (12.5%) + 64.9 (12.5%) = 83.2
Content Mastery Example
High School

**ELA**
- Participation Rate = 98%
  - Beginning: 18% \( \times 0 = 0 \)
  - Developing: 26% \( \times 0.5 = 13 \)
  - Proficient: 44% \( \times 1 = 44 \)
  - Distinguished: 12% \( \times 1.5 = 18 \)
  - ELA Achievement Score = 75

**Mathematics**
- Participation Rate = 97%
  - Beginning: 11% \( \times 0 = 0 \)
  - Developing: 18% \( \times 0.5 = 9 \)
  - Proficient: 47% \( \times 1 = 47 \)
  - Distinguished: 24% \( \times 1.5 = 36 \)
  - Mathematics Achievement Score = 92

**Science**
- Participation Rate = 95%
  - Beginning: 4% \( \times 0 = 0 \)
  - Developing: 14% \( \times 0.5 = 7 \)
  - Proficient: 46% \( \times 1 = 46 \)
  - Distinguished: 36% \( \times 1.5 = 54 \)
  - Science Achievement Score = 107*

**Social Studies**
- Participation Rate = 92%
  - Beginning: 20% \( \times 0 = 0 \)
  - Developing: 32% \( \times 0.5 = 16 \)
  - Proficient: 42% \( \times 1 = 42 \)
  - Distinguished: 6% \( \times 1.5 = 9 \)
  - Social Studies Achievement Score = 67

Participation Rate Adjustment:
- \( 67 \times \left( \frac{92}{95} \right) = 64.9 \)

**Content Mastery**
- \( 75 \times (25\%) + 92 \times (25\%) + 100 \times (25\%) + 64.9 \times (25\%) = 83.0 \)
Progress

- Progress scores in English language arts, mathematics, and progress toward English language proficiency (EL students)
  - Utilize weights based on level of growth to incentivize moving all students to the next level
  - ELA and mathematics will receive 90% of the weight, and progress toward English language proficiency will receive 10% of the weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELA and Mathematics SGPs</th>
<th>EL Progress toward Proficiency – ACCESS for ELLs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SGP Range</strong></td>
<td><strong>Point Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-65</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-99</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Progress Example

## ELA Progress Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SGPs 1-29</th>
<th>14%</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGPs 30-40</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGPs 41-65</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGPs 66-99</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ELA Progress Score = 97**

## Mathematics Progress Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SGPs 1-29</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGPs 30-40</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGPs 41-65</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGPs 66-99</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mathematics Progress Score = 80**

## Progress Toward ELP

| No positive movement | 10% | 0  |
| Moved less than one band | 22% | 11 |
| Moved one band | 50% | 50 |
| Moved more than one band | 18% | 27 |

**Progress Toward ELP Score = 88**

**Progress =** 97 x (45%) + 80 x (45%) + 88 x (10%) = **88.5**
Closing Gaps

- CCRPI achievement improvement targets will be calculated individually for all schools and districts (all students and each subgroup of students)
  - \( \text{Improvement Target} = (100 - \text{baseline}_{2017}) \times 0.03 \)
- Sets an expectation of improvement or maintenance of high achievement for all students and provides an opportunity for schools to demonstrate improvement
- Closing Gaps measures the extent to which all students and all subgroups met improvement targets
- Improvement targets will also be calculated for graduation rates and EL progress toward English language proficiency but they will not be included in Closing Gaps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup Performance:</th>
<th>Improvement Flag:</th>
<th>Closing Gaps Points:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did not improve</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved but did not meet the 3% target</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met the 3% target</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met the 6% target</td>
<td>Green with star</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Available for ED, EL, SWD subgroups*
Improvement Targets Example

**Students With Disability**

Baseline\textsubscript{2017}  
42.8

Improvement Target (3\%)  
\[(100 - 42.8) \times 0.03 = 1.72\]  
42.8 + 1.72 = 44.52

Improvement Target (6\%)  
\[(100 - 42.8) \times 0.06 = 3.43\]  
42.8 + 3.43 = 46.23

If the 2018 score is:  
the subgroup:  
and the flag will be:

\(\leq 42.8\)  
did not improve  
\[
\]

\(> 42.8 \text{ but } \leq 44.52\)  
improved but did not meet the 3\% target  
\[
\]

\(\geq 44.52 \text{ but } < 46.23\)  
met the 3\% target  
\[
\]

\(\geq 46.23\)  
met the 6\% target  
\[
\]
# Closing Gaps Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flag Count</th>
<th>ELA</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Social Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
<td>![Red Flag]</td>
<td>![Red Flag]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>![Yellow Flag]</td>
<td>![Red Flag]</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
<td>![Red Flag]</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green (6%)</td>
<td>![Green Flag]</td>
<td>![Star Flag]</td>
<td>![Star Flag]</td>
<td>![Red Flag]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Flag Count Calculation**

- Red: $7 \times 0 = 0$
- Yellow: $7 \times .5 = 3.5$
- Green: $11 \times 1 = 11$
- Green (6%): $3 \times 1.5 = 4.5$

**Closing Gaps**

$$\frac{19}{28} \times 100 = 67.9\%$$
Readiness

- Elementary and middle school readiness focus on foundational skills, such as literacy, attendance, and enrichment beyond the traditional core.

- In high school, literacy and attendance continue to be critical indicators of postsecondary readiness. Students should also participate in accelerated enrollment opportunities – academic or technical; complete a pathway; and demonstrate college or career readiness.

- Readiness indicators will be weighted equally.

DEFINING READINESS

- **Early grades**: Foundational skills and concepts
- **Later grades**: Multiple paths to succeed by expanding opportunities and personalizing learning
- **Graduates** are college and/or career ready
- **Life-long learning**
Readiness

CCRPI Readiness Indicators

**Elementary School**

**Literacy:** Percent of students in grades 3-5 demonstrating reading comprehension at or above the midpoint of the College & Career Ready “Stretch” Lexile Band for each grade level.

**Student Attendance:** Percent of students in grades K-5 absent less than 10% of enrolled days.

**Beyond the Core:** Percent of students earning a passing score in fine arts or world language.

**Middle School**

**Literacy:** Percent of students in grades 6-8 demonstrating reading comprehension at or above the midpoint of the College & Career Ready “Stretch” Lexile Band for each grade level.

**Student Attendance:** Percent of students in grades 6-8 absent less than 10% of enrolled days.

**Beyond the Core:** Percent of students earning a passing score in fine arts, world language, physical education/health, or career exploratory.
Readiness

CCRPI Readiness Indicators

High School

**Literacy:** Percent of students in 9th Grade Literature and American Literature demonstrating reading comprehension at or above the midpoint of the College & Career Ready “Stretch” Lexile Band for each course.

**Student Attendance:** Percent of students in grades 9-12 absent less than 10% of enrolled days.

**Accelerated Enrollment:** Percent of 12th graders earning credit for accelerated enrollment via Dual Enrollment, Advanced Placement, or International Baccalaureate courses.

**Pathway Completion:** Percent of 12th graders completing an advanced academic, CTAE, fine arts, or world language pathway.

**College and Career Readiness:** Percent of 12th graders entering TCSG/USG without needing remediation; achieving a readiness score on the ACT, SAT, two or more AP exams, or two or more IB exams; passing a pathway-aligned end of pathway assessment (EOPA) resulting in a national or state credential; or completing a work-based learning program.
Readiness Example
Elementary/Middle School

**Elementary School**

**Literacy:** Percent of students in grades 3-5 demonstrating reading comprehension at or above the midpoint of the College & Career Ready “Stretch” Lexile Band for each grade level.

**Student Attendance:** Percent of students in grades K-5 absent less than 10% of enrolled days.

**Beyond the Core:** Percent of students earning a passing score in fine arts or world language.

\[
\text{Readiness} = 70 \times (33.3\%) + 90 \times (33.3\%) + 95 \times (33.3\%) = 84.9
\]

**Middle School**

**Literacy:** Percent of students in grades 6-8 demonstrating reading comprehension at or above the midpoint of the College & Career Ready “Stretch” Lexile Band for each grade level.

**Student Attendance:** Percent of students in grades 6-8 absent less than 10% of enrolled days.

**Beyond the Core:** Percent of students earning a passing score in fine arts, world language, physical education/health, or career exploratory.

\[
\text{Readiness} = 70 \times (33.3\%) + 90 \times (33.3\%) + 95 \times (33.3\%) = 84.9
\]
Readiness Example
High School

Literacy: Percent of students in 9th Grade Literature and American Literature demonstrating reading comprehension at or above the midpoint of the College & Career Ready “Stretch” Lexile Band for each course. 68%

Student Attendance: Percent of students in grades 9-12 absent less than 10% of enrolled days. 91%

Accelerated Enrollment: Percent of 12th graders earning credit for accelerated enrollment via Dual Enrollment, Advanced Placement, or International Baccalaureate courses. 72%

Pathway Completion: Percent of 12th graders completing an advanced academic, CTAE, fine arts, or world language pathway. 89%

College and Career Readiness: Percent of 12th graders entering TCSG/USG without needing remediation; achieving a readiness score on the ACT, SAT, two or more AP exams, or two or more IB exams; passing a pathway-aligned end of pathway assessment (EOPA) resulting in a national or state credential; or completing a work-based learning program. 74%

Readiness = 68 x (20%) + 91 x (20%) + 72 x (20%) + 89 x (20%) + 74 x (20%) = 78.8

Accelerated Enrollment will be benchmarked at the 75th percentile of school performance (2018).
Balancing College and Career Readiness

In addition to content mastery and high school graduation, CCRPI includes multiple measures of college and career readiness and values multiple pathways to success.

- Literacy
- Student Attendance
- Accelerated Enrollment
- Pathway Completion
- College and Career Readiness

Entering TCSG/USG without remediation
ACT, SAT, AP, IB
National or state credential (end of pathway assessment)
Work-based learning
Graduation Rate

• High schools only
• Includes both the four-year and five-year adjusted cohort graduation rate
• Emphasizes graduating in four years while placing value on continuing to work with and graduate students who need more time
• The four-year graduation rate will be worth 2/3 of the points and the five-year graduation rate will be worth 1/3 of the points
# Graduation Rate Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-year adjusted cohort graduation rate</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year adjusted cohort graduation rate</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graduation Rate = \( 84.6 \times \frac{2}{3} + 88.5 \times \frac{1}{3} = 85.9 \)
Scoring and Reporting

• Consistent with state law (O.C.G.A. § 20-14-33), the overall CCRPI score will be reported on a 0-100 scale.

• The minimum N size for an indicator or component to be reported and included in CCRPI is 15.

• To increase ease of understanding and interpretation, each CCRPI indicator and component will also be reported on a 0-100 scale.

• The maximum score for an indicator or component is 100.

• Components will be weighted and combined according to the weights defined in the table to the right to determine the overall CCRPI score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content Mastery</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Gaps</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readiness</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scoring Example
Elementary/Middle School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content Mastery</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Gaps</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readiness</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCRPI Score = 83.2 x (30%) + 88.5 x (35%) + 67.9 x (15%) + 84.9 x (20%) = 83.1
Scoring Example
High School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content Mastery</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Gaps</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readiness</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>85.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$
\text{CCRPI Score} = 83.0 \times (30\%) + 88.5 \times (30\%) + 67.9 \times (10\%) + 78.8 \times (15\%) + 85.9 \times (15\%) = 82.9
$$
Redesigned CCRPI

- **Content Mastery**
  - English language arts achievement
  - Mathematics achievement
  - Science achievement
  - Social studies achievement

- **Progress**
  - English Language Arts growth
  - Mathematics growth
  - Progress toward English language proficiency (EL students)

- **Closing Gaps**
  - Meeting achievement improvement targets

- **Readiness**
  - **Elementary**: Literacy, student attendance, beyond the core
  - **Middle**: Literacy, student attendance, beyond the core
  - **High**: Literacy, student attendance, accelerated enrollment, pathway completion, college and career readiness

- **Graduation Rate**
  - **High School Only**
    - 4-year adjusted cohort graduation rate
    - 5-year adjusted cohort graduation rate
More About Improvement Targets

• The Redesigned CCRPI utilizes a new target structure in which improvement or maintenance of high achievement levels is expected of all schools and all subgroups.
  • The goal of this new target structure is to incentivize continuous, sustainable improvement.

• Annual targets have been set for each school and district for all students and for all accountability subgroups, ensuring that the school’s or district’s starting point is taken into consideration.

• CCRPI improvement targets are defined as 3% of the gap between a baseline and 100%:
  • Improvement Target = (100 – baseline_{2017}) \times 0.03
Improvement Targets

• Each year, schools will be expected to meet the improvement target based on the prior year’s performance.
  • The improvement target is an expected gain and not an absolute number; thus, it allows schools to start fresh each year and encourages schools to continue to focus on improvement.
  • Improvement targets have been calculated using 2017 data as the baseline for each grade band in a school.
Improvement Targets

• A system of improvement flags is used to indicate the extent to which targets are met:
  • Green will indicate that the target was met
  • Yellow will indicate that improvement was made but the target was not met
  • Red will indicate that no improvement was made

• Once a performance rate of 90% has been attained, the target will be to remain at or above that level of performance; this is referred to as the maintenance level.

• Targets will be reset every 5 years. The next reset will use the 2022 data as the baseline.
Types of Targets

• **Achievement** – up to 40 targets per school/district (4 content areas x 10 subgroups) – these generate flags which are used for Closing Gaps

• **English Learner Progress toward English Language Proficiency** – one target per school/district – used for reporting and informational purposes only

• **Graduation Rate** – High schools only – used for reporting and informational purposes only
  - Up to ten 4-year graduation rate targets per school/district (one for all students and each subgroup)
  - Up to ten 5-year graduation rate targets per school/district (one for all students and each subgroup)
Achievement Targets

- Achievement targets are calculated for English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.
- Targets are based on the achievement rates calculated for the Content Mastery component of CCRPI.
- Achievement targets are utilized for the Closing Gaps components of CCRPI.
  - In addition to the 3% targets, 6% targets for achievement rates have been calculated for Economically Disadvantaged (ED), English Learner (EL), and Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroups.
  - These subgroups can earn extra points in Closing Gaps for meeting the 6% target. The maintenance level for these targets is 95%.
Achievement Targets

Target Calculation

\[
\text{Improvement Target} = (100 - \text{baseline}_{2017}) \times 0.03
\]

- If the 2017 achievement rate = 64.90
  
  - Then the improvement target is
  
    \[
    (100 - 64.9) \times 0.03 = 1.05
    \]

- The improvement expected each year is 1.05
Achievement Targets

Example

Students With Disability

Baseline_{2017} = 42.8

Improvement Target (3%) = (100 − 42.8) \times 0.03 = 1.72

Improvement Target (6%) = (100 − 42.8) \times 0.06 = 3.43

If the 2018 score is:

- \leq 42.8: did not improve
- > 42.8 but < 44.52: improved but did not meet the 3% target
- \geq 44.52 but < 46.23: met the 3% target
- \geq 46.23: met the 6% target

and the flag will be:
## Achievement Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>2017 Rate</th>
<th>3% Target</th>
<th>6% Target</th>
<th>2018 Rate</th>
<th>2018 Flag</th>
<th>2019 Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>91.40</td>
<td>Maintain 90 or Above</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>89.20</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>67.70</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>69.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>54.10</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>54.80</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>56.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>72.90</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>72.90</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>3% -73.71 6% - 74.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>95.80</td>
<td>Maintain 90 or Above</td>
<td>Maintain 95 or Above</td>
<td>92.70</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>3% -90.00 6% - 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>83.20</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>85.90</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>3% - 86.40 6% - 86.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Closing Gaps Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ELA</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Social Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All students</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟤</td>
<td>🔴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟤</td>
<td>🔴</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>🟤</td>
<td>🔴</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟤</td>
<td>🔴</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Learners</td>
<td>🔴</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟤</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students With Disability</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🔴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Flag Count

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flag</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$7 \times 0 = 0$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$7 \times 0.5 = 3.5$</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$11 \times 1 = 11$</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green (6%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$3 \times 1.5 = 4.5$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Closing Gaps Calculation

\[
\text{Closing Gaps} = \left( \frac{19}{28} \right) \times 100 = 67.9
\]
EL Progress Toward ELP Targets

• Targets are calculated for EL progress toward English language proficiency
• Targets are based on the Progress Toward ELP indicator calculated for the Progress component of CCRPI
• Each school/district received one EL progress toward ELP target per grade band
• EL progress toward ELP targets are for informational and reporting purposes only; they are not used in Closing Gaps/CCRPI calculations
Progress Toward ELP Targets

**Target Calculation**

\[
\text{Improvement Target} = (100 - \text{baseline}_{2017}) \times 0.03
\]

- If the 2017 Progress Toward ELP rate = 88.0
  
  - Then the improvement target is \((100 - 88.0) \times 0.03 = 0.36\)

- The improvement expected each year is 0.36
# Progress Toward ELP Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>2017 Rate</th>
<th>Target Calc</th>
<th>3% Target</th>
<th>2018 Rate</th>
<th>2018 Flag</th>
<th>2019 Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>92.40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Maintain 90 or Above</td>
<td>89.20</td>
<td>[Red Flag]</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>35.60</td>
<td>(100 - 35.6) * .03</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>38.80</td>
<td>[Green Flag]</td>
<td>40.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73.80</td>
<td>(100 - 73.8) * .03</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>74.10</td>
<td>[Yellow Flag]</td>
<td>74.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>59.30</td>
<td>(100 - 59.3) * .03</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>60.32</td>
<td>[Red Flag]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>95.40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Maintain 90 or Above</td>
<td>93.80</td>
<td>[Green Flag]</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>88.20</td>
<td>(100 - 88.2) * .03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>88.90</td>
<td>[Green Flag]</td>
<td>89.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Graduation Rate Targets

- Targets are calculated for both the four- and five-year adjusted cohort graduation rates
- Targets are based on the graduation rates calculated for the Graduation Rate component of CCRPI – high schools only
- School/district subgroups without a graduation rate will not receive a graduation rate target
- Graduation rate targets are for informational and reporting purposes only – they are not used in Closing Gaps/CCRPI calculations
Graduation Rate Targets

**Target Calculation**

\[ \text{Improvement Target} = (100 - \text{baseline}_{2017}) \times 0.03 \]

- If the 2017 graduation rate = 85.0
- Then the improvement target is
  \[ (100 - 85.0) \times 0.03 = 0.45 \]
- The improvement expected each year is 0.45
Graduation Rate Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>2017 Rate</th>
<th>Target Calc</th>
<th>3% Target</th>
<th>2018 Rate</th>
<th>2018 Flag</th>
<th>2019 Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>94.50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Maintain 90 or Above</td>
<td>88.90</td>
<td>[Red]</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>46.60</td>
<td>(100-46.6)*.03</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>[Green]</td>
<td>49.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>63.50</td>
<td>(100-63.5)*.03</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>63.80</td>
<td>[Yellow]</td>
<td>64.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>82.70</td>
<td>(100-82.7)*.03</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>82.70</td>
<td>[Red]</td>
<td>83.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Maintain 90 or Above</td>
<td>91.50</td>
<td>[Green]</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>88.30</td>
<td>(100-88.3)*.03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>90.20</td>
<td>[Green]</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional Details

• Subgroups with an N size less than 15 will not receive a target or flag.

• Subgroups must have 1 year of data to generate a target and 2 years of consecutive data to generate a flag.

• Finalized targets have been submitted to USED and posted publicly.
New CCRPI Reporting System

- Easier to comprehend
- Provides more comparative information
- Reports every indicator and component on a 0-100 point scale for every subgroup
- Designed to draw people in and easily understand performance across subgroups
### School Climate Star Ratings
- School Climate Star Ratings will display on school reports.

### Financial Efficiency Star Ratings
- Financial Efficiency Star Ratings will display on district and school reports.
These rates are examples, not real data!
Example: Graduation Rate Component

These rates are examples, not real data!
## HOW DID STUDENT GROUPS IN THE STATE PERFORM?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Flag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-YEAR GRADUATION RATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL STUDENTS</td>
<td>81.14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE</td>
<td>79.80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER</td>
<td>90.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLACK</td>
<td>78.42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISPANIC</td>
<td>74.43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTI-RACIAL</td>
<td>82.04%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>84.51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED</td>
<td>77.07%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGLISH LEARNERS</td>
<td>60.18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY</td>
<td>60.09%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LEGEND
- [ ] Subgroup met improvement target
- [ ] Subgroup made progress, but did not meet improvement target
- [ ] Subgroup did not make progress and did not meet improvement target
New CCRPI Reporting System - Portal

College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI)
Year: 2018
District: County -
School: All Schools - ALL

ALL ELEMENTARY MIDDLE HIGH
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DATA DETAILS

Overview
Student Level Data

College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI)

Year: 2018
District: County -
School: All Schools - ALL

ALL ELEMENTARY MIDDLE HIGH

OVERVIEW CONTENT MASTERY PROGRESS CLOSING GAPS READINESS GRADUATION RATE FINANCIAL EFFICIENCY

DATA DETAILS

Data Details

To download a Student Data File: Please select the File Type, then click Download File.

Select Data File ▼

DOWNLOAD FILE
Identifying Schools for Support

• Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)
  • Lowest 5% of Title I Schools when ranked according to their three-year CCRPI average plus
  • All high schools with a 4-year cohort graduation rate ≤ 67% plus
  • Additional Targeted Support schools that remain on the TSI list for three consecutive years without exiting

• Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)
  • Consistently Underperforming Subgroup – all schools that have at least one subgroup that is performing in the lowest 5% of all schools in at least 50% of CCRPI components
  • Additional Targeted Support – Among all schools identified for Consistently Underperforming Subgroup, have at least one subgroup that is performing in the lowest 5% of all schools in all CCRPI components
  • Note: Title I schools identified for additional targeted support will move to the CSI list if they do not meet the TSI exit criteria after three consecutive years

• Entrance and exit criteria will be run annually, beginning fall 2018
More Information

• Information about the Redesigned CCRPI can be found in Georgia’s final ESSA Plan that was approved by USED on January 19, 2018
  • gadoe.org/essa

• Additional documentation is on the accountability website

accountability.gadoe.org and CCRPI Resources for Educators
  • Redesigned CCRPI Overview; Redesigned CCRPI Indicators; CCRPI Key Changes; CCRPI Side-by-Side; Beyond the Core Guidance; Lexile Scores – Multiple Uses; FAY Calculation Guidance; ES, MS, and HS Calculation Guides; CCRPI Calculator
Accountability Team

Paula Swartzberg, Director of Accountability
pswartzberg@doe.k12.ga.us or (404) 463-1539

Lacey Andrews, Accountability Specialist
landrews@doe.k12.ga.us or (404) 657-0251

Kris Floyd, Accountability Specialist
kfloyd@doe.k12.ga.us or (404) 463-1175

Nicholas Handville, Accountability Specialist
nhandville@doe.k12.ga.us or (404) 657-4122

August Ogletree, Ph.D., Accountability Research Specialist
aogletree@doe.k12.ga.us or (404) 463-6675

Tianna Sims-Miller, Ph.D., Program Manager, Accountability Research
tsims@doe.k12.ga.us or (404) 463-1166

Allison Timberlake, Ph.D., Deputy Superintendent for Assessment and Accountability
atimberlake@doe.k12.ga.us or (404) 463-6666

GaDOE Customer Service Survey:
http://gadoe.org/surveys/AsAc-H8PBVZM