

School Profile

Created Thursday, October 31, 2013

Page 1

School Information

School Information District Name:	Colquitt County
School Information School or Center Name:	Stringfellow Elementary School

Level of School

Elementary (K-5 or Primary, Elementary)

Principal

Principal Name:	Darlene Reynolds
Principal Position:	Principal
Principal Phone:	2298906187
Principal Email:	dreynold@colquitt.k12.ga.us

School contact information

(the persons with rights to work on the application)

School contact information Name:	Josh Purvis
School contact information Position:	Assistant Principal
School contact information Phone:	2298906187
School contact information Email:	jpurvis@colquitt.k12.ga.us

Grades represented in the building

example pre-k to 6

pre-k to 5

Number of Teachers in School

21

FTE Enrollment

330

Fiscal Agent Memo of Understanding

The application is the project implementation plan, not simply a proposal. This project is expected to be implemented with fidelity upon SBOE approval. When completing the application, please remember that sub-grantees will not be permitted to change the project's scope that is originally outlined in the application, scored by reviewers during the application review process, and approved by SBOE. This policy is designed to provide basic fairness to applicants for discretionary sub-grants.

Fiscal Agent/Applicant Required Signatures:

I hereby certify that I am the an authorized signatory of the fiscal agent for which grant application is made and that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, complete and accurate. I further certify, to the best of my knowledge, that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, assurances, and certifications. I also certify that the requested budget amounts are necessary for the implementation of the program described in the attached application.

Please sign in blue ink.

Name of Fiscal Agent's Contact Person: Lynn K. Clark


Position/Title of Fiscal Agent's Contact Person: Dir. of Elem. Curriculum

Address: P.O. Box 2708

City: Moultrie Zip: 31776

Telephone: (229) 890-6194 Fax: (229) 890-6180

E-mail: lclark@colquitt.k12.ga.us


Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (District Superintendent or Executive Director)

Samuel A. DePaul
Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head (District Superintendent or Executive Director)

12/2/2013
Date (required)

Preliminary Application Requirements

Created Tuesday, December 03, 2013

Page 1

Click on the General Application Information link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL General Information Packet-Cohort 3

Did you download and read the General Information document to assist you with writing the grant?

-
- Yes
-

Click on the SRCL Rubric link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL Scoring Rubric-Cohort 3

Did you download and read the SRCL Rubric to assist you with writing the grant?

-
- Yes
-

Click on the Assessment Chart link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL Required Assessments Chart

Did you download and read the Assessment Chart to assist you in writing the grant?

-
- Yes
-

Assessments

I understand that implementing the assessments mentioned on page 6 in the General Information Packet is a necessary part of receiving SRCL funding.

-
- I Agree
-

Unallowable Expenditures

Preparation of the Proposal: *Costs to develop, prepare, and/or write the SRCL proposal cannot be charged to the grant directly or indirectly by either the agency or contractor.*

Pre-Award Costs: *Pre-award costs may not be charged against the grant. Funds can be used only for activities conducted and costs incurred after the start date of the grant.*

Entertainment, Refreshments, Snacks: *A field trip without the **approved** academic support will be considered entertainment. End-of-year celebrations or food associated with parties or socials are unallowable expenditures. Game systems and game cartridges are unallowable.*

Unapproved out of state or overnight field trips, including retreats, lock-ins, etc.

Incentives (e.g., plaques, trophies, stickers, t-shirts, give-a-ways)

Advertisements, Promotional or Marketing Items

Decorative Items

Purchase of Facilities or vehicles (e.g., Buses, Vans, or Cars)

Land acquisition

Capital Improvements, Permanent Renovations

Direct charges for items/services that the indirect cost rate covers;

Dues to organizations, federations or societies for personal benefits

Any costs not allowed for Federal projects per EDGAR, which may be accessed at <http://www.ed.gov/policy/fund/reg/edgarReg/edgar.html>.

NOTE: This is NOT an all-inclusive list of unallowable expenses. If you have questions about unallowable expenses please e-mail your questions to jmorrill@doe.k12.ga.us

Upon approval by the State Board of Education, sub-grantees will be required to submit electronic budgets through GaDOE Consolidated Application Portal. All budget requests must be made in accordance with the use of funds for the SRCL project and must meet the requirements in EDGAR and OMB circulars.

• I Agree

Grant Assurances

Created Tuesday, December 03, 2013

Page 1

The sub-grantee assures that it has the necessary legal authority to apply for and receive a SRCL Grant.

-
- Yes
-

Sub-grantee certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

-
- Yes
-

The SRCL projects will target students who attend Title I schools or schools eligible for Title I schoolwide programs and their families.

-
- Yes
-

The SRCL project will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.

-
- Yes
-

The Grantee will participate in all technical assistance/information-sharing opportunities and professional development activities provided through the STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT Project Grant Program.

-
- Yes
-

All activities must be correlated with the development of STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT goals for children birth through grade 12.

-
- Yes
-

The second year of funding is dependent upon successful program implementation and progress aligned with the components of the request for application submitted.

-
- Yes
-

Prior to any material change affecting the purpose, administration, organization, budget, or operation of the SRCL project, the Sub-grantee agrees to submit an appropriately amended application to GaDOE for approval.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee agrees to notify the GaDOE, in writing, of any change in the contact information provided in its application.

-
- Yes
-

The activities and services described in the application shall be administered by or under the supervision and control of the Sub-grantee. The Sub-grantee shall not assign or subcontract, in whole or in part, its rights or obligations without prior written consent of GaDOE. Any attempted assignment without said consent shall be void and of no effect.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee will use fiscal control and sound accounting procedures that will ensure proper disbursement of and account for Federal and state funds paid to the program to perform its duties.

-
- Yes
-

Funds shall be used only for financial obligations incurred during the grant period.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee will, if applicable, have the required financial and compliance audits conducted in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1966 and OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."

-
- Yes
-

The fiscal agent will adopt and use proper methods of administering each program, including: (A) the enforcement of any obligations imposed on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program; and (B) the timely correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, evaluation and/or technical assistance.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Georgia Department of Education, the U.S. Department of Education, or other state or Federal officials.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee will submit reports to GaDOE as may reasonably be required. The Sub-grantee will maintain such fiscal and programmatic records and provide access to those records, as necessary, for those departments to perform their duties.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee will submit an annual summative evaluation report no later than June 30.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee agrees that GaDOE, or any of its duly authorized representatives, at any time during the term of this agreement, shall have access to, and the right to audit or examine any pertinent books, documents, papers, and records of the Sub-grantee related to the Sub-grantee's charges and performance under the SRCL sub-grant.

-
- Yes
-

The property (e.g., computers, equipment, classroom desks, tables, and pilferable items) purchased with the SRCL grant funds must be managed in accordance with EDGAR section 74.34 through 74.37 (for non-profit organizations) and with EDGAR section 80.32 and 80.33 (for school districts).

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee certifies that it will abide by GaDOE's Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Policy. Applicants with a conflict of interest must submit a disclosure notice.

-
- Yes
-

The Sub-grantee will comply with the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (34 C.F.R. 99).

-
- Yes
-

Sub-grantee will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, which prohibits discrimination on a basis of disability.

-
- Yes
-

In accordance with the Federal Drug-Free Workplace and Community Act Amendments of 1989 and the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, the Sub-grantee understands that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance, marijuana, or dangerous drug is prohibited at geographic locations at which individuals are directly engaged in the performance of work pursuant to the 21st CCLC grant.

-
- Yes
-

All technology purchases (software and hardware) will be approved by the LEA Technology Director for compatibility with current operating systems and building infrastructure. The Technology Director must ensure that any purchases for the building will be able to be implemented and sustained beyond the grant period.

-
- Yes
-

Georgia Department of Education Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Policy

Georgia's conflict of interest and disclosure policy is applicable to entities conducting business on behalf of and /or doing business with the Department and entities receiving a grant to implement a program and/or project approved by the State Board of Education. This policy is applicable for entities receiving state and/or Federal funds.

Questions regarding the Department's conflict of interest and disclosure policy should be directed to the program manager responsible for the contract, purchase order and/or grant.

I. Conflicts of Interest

It is the policy of the Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) to avoid doing business with Applicants, subcontractors of Applicants who have a conflict of interest or an appearance of a conflict of interest. The purpose of this policy is to maintain the highest level of integrity within its workforce, and to ensure that the award of grant Agreements is based upon fairness and merit.

a. Organizational Conflicts of Interest.

All grant applicants ("Applicants") shall provide a statement in their proposal which describes in a concise manner all past, present or planned organizational, financial, contractual or other interest(s) with an organization regulated by the GaDOE, including but not limited to Local Education Agencies (LEAs), or with an organization whose interests may be substantially affected by GaDOE activities, and which is related to the work under this grant solicitation. The interest(s) in which conflict may occur shall include those of the Applicant, its affiliates, proposed consultants, proposed subcontractors and key personnel of any of the above. Past interest shall be limited to within one year of the date of the Applicant's grant proposal. Key personnel shall include:

- any person owning more than 20% interest in the Applicant
 - the Applicant's corporate officers
 - board members
 - senior managers
 - any employee who is responsible for making a decision or taking an action on this grant application or any resulting Agreement where the decision or action can have an economic or other impact on the interests of a regulated or affected organization.
- i. The Applicant shall describe in detail why it believes, in light of the interest(s) identified in (a) above, that performance of the proposed Agreement can be accomplished in an impartial and objective manner.
 - ii. In the absence of any relevant interest identified in (a) above, the Applicant shall submit in its grant application a statement certifying that to the best of its knowledge and belief no affiliation exists relevant to possible conflicts of interest. The Applicant must obtain the same information from potential subcontractors prior to award of a subcontract.

Conflict of Interest & Disclosure Policy

- iii. GaDOE will review the statement submitted and may require additional relevant information from the Applicant. All such information, and any other relevant information known to GaDOE, will be used to determine whether an award to the Applicant may create a conflict of interest. If any such conflict of interest is found to exist, GaDOE may:
 1. Disqualify the Applicant, or
 2. Determine that it is otherwise in the best interest of GaDOE to make an award to the Applicant and include appropriate provisions to mitigate or avoid such conflict in the grant awarded.
- iv. The refusal to provide the disclosure or representation, or any additional information required, may result in disqualification of the Applicant for an award. If nondisclosure or misrepresentation is discovered after award, the resulting grant Agreement may be terminated. If after award the Applicant discovers a conflict of interest with respect to the grant awarded as a result of this solicitation, which could not reasonably have been known prior to award, an immediate and full disclosure shall be made in writing to GaDOE. The disclosure shall include a full description of the conflict, a description of the action the Applicant has taken, or proposes to take, to avoid or mitigate such conflict. GaDOE may, however, terminate the Agreement for convenience if GaDOE deems that termination is in the best interest of the GaDOE.

b. Employee Relationships

- i. The Applicant must provide the following information with its application and must provide an information update within 30 days of the award of a contract, any subcontract, or any consultant agreement, or within 30 days of the retention of a Subject Individual or former GaDOE employee subject to this clause:
 1. The names of all Subject Individuals who:
 - a. Participated in preparation of proposals for award; or
 - b. Are planned to be used during performance; or
 - c. Are used during performance; and
- ii. The names of all former GaDOE employees, retained by the Applicant who were employed by GaDOE during the two year period immediately prior to the date of:
 1. The award; or
 2. Their retention by the Applicant; and
 3. The date on which the initial expression of interest in a future financial arrangement was discussed with the Applicant by any former GaDOE employee whose name is required to be provided by the contractor pursuant to subparagraph (ii); and
 4. The location where any Subject Individual or former GaDOE employee whose name is required to be provided by the Applicant pursuant to subparagraphs (i) and (ii), are expected to be assigned.
- iii. "Subject Individual" means a current GaDOE employee or a current GaDOE employee's father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, half sister, spouse of an in-law, or a member of his/her household.

Conflict of Interest & Disclosure Policy

- iv. The Applicant must incorporate this clause into all subcontracts or consultant agreements awarded under this Agreement and must further require that each such subcontractor or consultant incorporate this clause into all subcontracts or consultant agreements at any tier awarded under this Agreement unless GaDOE determines otherwise.
- v. The information as it is submitted must be certified as being true and correct. If there is no such information, the certification must so state.

c. **Remedies for Nondisclosure**

The following are possible remedies available to the GaDOE should an Applicant misrepresent or refuse to disclose or misrepresent any information required by this clause:

1. Termination of the Agreement.
2. Exclusion from subsequent GaDOE grant opportunities.
3. Other remedial action as may be permitted or provided by law or regulation or policy or by the terms of the grant agreement.

- d. **Annual Certification.** The Applicant must provide annually, based on the anniversary date of Agreement award, the following certification in writing to GaDOE. The annual certification must be submitted with the grantees annual end of year program report.

ANNUAL CERTIFICATION OF DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIPS

The Applicant represents and certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief that during the prior 12 month period:

A former GaDOE employee(s), current GaDOE employee, or Subject Individual(s) has been retained to work under the Agreement or subcontract or consultant agreement and complete disclosure has been made.

No former GaDOE employee(s), current GaDOE employee, or Subject Individual(s) has been retained to work under the Agreement or subcontract or consultant agreement, and disclosure is not required.

II. **Disclosure of Conflict of Interest after Agreement Execution**

If after Agreement execution, Applicant discovers a conflict of interest which could not reasonably have been known prior to Agreement execution; an immediate and full disclosure shall be made in writing to GaDOE. The disclosure shall include a full description of the conflict, a description of the action the Applicant has taken, or proposes to take, to avoid or mitigate such conflict. GaDOE may, however, terminate this Agreement for convenience if GaDOE deems that termination is in the best interest of GaDOE.

Conflict of Interest & Disclosure Policy

III. **Incorporation of Clauses**

The Applicant must incorporate the clauses in paragraphs A, B, and C of this section into all subcontracts or consultant agreements awarded under this Agreement and must further require that each such subcontractor or consultant incorporate this clause into all subcontracts or consultant agreements at any tier awarded under this Agreement unless GaDOE determines otherwise.




Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (official sub-grant recipient)

Samuel A. DePaul, Superintendent

Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head and Position Title

12/2/2013

Date



Signature of Applicant's Authorized Agency Head (required)

Samuel A. DePaul, Superintendent

Typed Name of Applicant's Authorized Agency Head and Position Title

12/2/2013

Date

Signature of Co-applicant's Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)


Typed Name of Co-applicant's Authorized Agency Head and Position Title (if applicable)

Date (if applicable)

District Narrative

Brief History of the System

Colquitt County (CC) is a rural agricultural community of 46,000 nestled in the heart of South Georgia. The Colquitt County School System (CCSS) began in 1873 with “Each Day – Excellence in Every Way” being the foundation for all decisions. Though that sentiment is still evident today, Colquitt County’s generational poverty and rapidly increasing Hispanic population are both challenges to and opportunities for excellence. Almost 35% of our school-age children live in poverty as compared to a 19% state average. Dramatic changes in the system’s ethnic makeup are evident in the chart below.



System Demographics

	TOTAL STUDENTS	BLACK	HISPANIC	WHITE	OTHER
CC High	1696	26%	21%	49%	4%
Achievement Center	108	48%	19%	29%	4%
Gray Junior	1269	27%	21%	49%	3%
Williams Middle	1370	27%	25%	44%	4%
Cox	564	43%	45%	10%	2%
Doerun	316	26%	14%	58%	2%
Funston	389	14%	52%	33%	2%
Hamilton	285	2%	6%	86%	6%
Norman Park	598	7%	40%	51%	2%
Odom	672	10%	51%	36%	3%
Okapilco	520	35%	21%	41%	3%
Stringfellow	375	75%	17%	5%	3%
Sunset	686	33%	17%	44%	5%
Wright	615	27%	9%	61%	2%
GEAR (Gifted 3-5)	188	7%	12%	73%	9%
SYSTEM	9651	27%	25%	45%	3%

Special Populations:

- Special Education – 11.6%
- ESOL – 12.8%
- Migrant – 9.2%
- Gifted – 16.7%
- PreK – 28 Classrooms (608 slots)
- Pre-School (Migrant/Sp Ed) – 52 students

74% of students were eligible for free/reduced meals in 2012-13, but now all PK-9th grade students eat free through the Community Eligibility Provision. All schools are Title I eligible.

Current Priorities

CCSS is committed to the daily pursuit of excellence in student achievement while working with parents and the community to serve the needs of all children in a positive and safe environment. The following priorities drive the current district curricular focus:

- Deep understanding and implementation of CCGPS
- Development of ELA/Math units aligned to CCGPS
- Utilization of formative/summative assessment data to determine instructional needs
- Participation in Georgia’s Formative Instructional Practice modules
- Closing achievement gaps of subgroups
- Increase in graduation rate
- Restructuring of the gifted education program

Strategic Planning

Beginning July, 2012, CCSS embarked upon a renewed mission involving all stakeholders in a formal strategic planning process. University of Georgia’s Fanning Institute was enlisted to organize an unbiased approach to the system’s strategic planning process. Approximately 200 community members and school leaders met to discuss goals and objectives of the system. Input was then solicited from student representatives, parents, teachers, and other stakeholders to create a draft strategic plan. The formal plan was adopted by the school board in March, 2013. The strategic plan is a living document which will be reviewed and revised frequently.

Strategic Planning Goals	
Future Ready Students	Provide all students with a rigorous and relevant course of instruction grounded with real world applications.
21st Century Professionals	Attract and retain highly effective, enthusiastic staff with skills to lead, teach, assess, and support learning needs of all students.
Leadership for Innovation and Collaboration	Engage parents, staff, community, EL parents, and others to partner with the school system.
21st Century Systems	Provide technology necessary to support 21 st century student learning and instruction.
Budgeting, Planning and Funding	Provide facilities, technology, safety, and other pertinent matters necessary to support 21 st century student learning and ensure transparency in all aspects of the budgeting /planning processes.

Current Management Structure

The chart below shows the current management structure of the system with asterisks indicating individual changes in leadership (principal, assistant or district) this school year.

CCSS Superintendent	
Principals	
Elementary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cox ** • Doerun • Funston • Hamilton * • Norman Park * • Odom * • Okapilco • Stringfellow * • Sunset * • R.B. Wright 	Secondary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Williams Middle * • Gray Junior High ** • Colquitt County High ***
Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Learning Services* <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Middle/Secondary Curriculum • Pre-K /Elementary Curriculum • Information Services • Gifted Education * • CTAE Director* • Federal Programs Director* • Homeless Liaison 	
Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Network Services • Alternate Education * 	
Assistant Superintendent of Business Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employee Benefits • Comptroller* • Payroll • School Nutrition • Transportation • School Nurse Coordinator 	
Director of Facilities/Construction	
Director of Special Education	
Coordinator School/Community Relations	

Past Instructional Initiatives

CCSS is initiative-rich with efforts to meet the needs of all sub-groups. Charting of past and present initiatives revealed an exorbitant hodge-podge of initiatives.

Initiative	01-02	02-03	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14
ELA													
America's Choice/Georgia's Choice													
Rigby Leveled Readers													
Language Adoption (TE Only)													
Standards Based Classrooms/GPS													
Saxon Phonics													
Fountas/Pinnell Phonics													
Melissa Forney Writing Training													
Dr. Cupp Readers													
Literacy Coaches (# of coaches)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10			1	1
Commitment to AP/ISS at schools													
Local Reading Assessment Toolkit													
Treasures Program Adoption													
Scholastic Reading 180 (Gr 8-9)													
Best Practices for Reading Instruction													
Sunday System													
Fast ForWord (4 schools/hospital)													
Laying the Foundation (Gifted 6-12)													
ASSESSMENTS													
GRASP													
DIBELS Next													
Implementation of CCGPS													
OAS													
STAR/AR/AM													
ESOL													
SIOP													
WIDA Standards													
ESOL Endorsement													
Academic Content Vocabulary													
Thinking Maps													
Virginia Rojas Instructional Equity													
Rosetta Stone													
General													
Math Coaches									3	3	3	1	1
Ruby Payne Poverty Study													
Differentiation Study													
Ken O'Conner Grading Study													
Math Endorsement													
Gifted Endorsement													
Co-Teaching and Inclusion													
21 st Century Classrooms													
Bring Your Own Technology													
Thinkgate (Grades 10-12)													
SLDS													
PK-12 Graduation Focus													
Social Studies Unit Development													

	01-02	02-03	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14
Science Unit Development													
CCGPS Rollout for ELA/Math													
PD 360													
Educational Impact													
Formative Instructional Practices													
TKES/LKES													

Literacy Curriculum

CCSS’s literacy curriculum is driven by the CCGPS. State suggested units, with local revisions, are currently being used in reading and writing. McMillan McGraw-Hill’s Treasures Program was adopted, but materials are not aligned with CCGPS; hence, there are recognized gaps in scope and sequence for instruction.

Literacy Assessments Used District-wide

2013-14 Required Universal Reading Screenings (DIBELS Next – Grades 1-5)			
	Beginning of Year	Middle of Year	End of Year
K	GKIDS Baseline	<i>GKIDS(quarterly)</i>	GKIDS, Fry Words, Phonological Awareness
1	Letter/Name and Letter/Sound Correspondence, Fry Words, Phonological Awareness	Oral Reading Fluency (ORF) Fry Words	ORF Fry Words
2	ORF, Fry Words		
3-5	ORF Comprehension (DAZE)	ORF DAZE	ORF DAZE

Students scoring below benchmark level on universal screeners are tested on Phonological Awareness, Phonics Inventory, Decoding Inventory, and Fry Word inventory (depending upon grade level and abilities). Instructional plans are then determined based upon diagnosed needs. Treasures’ Running Records are used to move students from level to level in guided reading instruction.

Need for a Striving Reader Project

The following concerns were evidenced in the compilation of needs assessment data at the district level:

- Lack of explicit, systematic, and CCGPS-aligned resources for reading, writing, language, and speaking/listening
- Lack of continuity in literacy instruction across the curriculum
- Lack of fidelity in the use of Response to Intervention tools
- Absence of robust professional development
- Weakness in utilization of test data to drive instruction

The need for Striving Reader funding in the CCSS is dire. As stated in the Why document (page 26), “Literacy is paramount in Georgia’s efforts to lead the nation in improving student achievement.” Considering the increasing diversity of our student population, class sizes, staff reduction, inconsistency of instructional initiatives, stagnant test scores, TKES/LKES, and ever-dwindling general fund reserves, timing is extremely critical. Instructional staff members are anxious to receive instructional direction, horizontally and vertically aligned materials, intense professional learning with support, and resources to assist with the mission for excellence.

District Management Plan and Key Personnel

The decision to apply for Georgia’s Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant was made only after intense discussion with all elementary school leaders and district support personnel. Responsibilities included with the grant application and implementation were fully discussed. The system is committed to applying for, receiving, implementing, and monitoring the grant with integrity and quality. Grant funding will provide a vehicle to support all goals within our district’s strategic plan.

The implementation, monitoring, and reporting of goals and objectives in the grant will be ultimately managed at a district level, running through the office of elementary curriculum. The chart below indicates those individuals involved in the district level process.

District Department	Individuals Responsible	Tasks for Grant Implementation
Curriculum And Instruction	Lynn Clark, Curriculum Director	Grant Administrator – oversee implementation/reporting of project
	Jenny Funderburk, Curriculum Director Dr. Todd Cason, Asst. Superintendent Debra Turner, Literacy Coach	Coordination of district-wide initiatives (assessment, instruction, interventions, materials, professional development)
Business Service	Brad Gregory, Comptroller Becky Rychener, Purchasing Bookkeeper Faye Wood, Payroll	Budget approval Payments Reports
Federal Programs	James Harrell, Director Jennifer Weaver, Bookkeeper	Consolidated application assistance Coordination for federal funding
Technology	Emily Nichols, Director	Support for technology
Special Education	Etta Faggioni, Director	Support for special education
Gifted Education	Donna Marshall, Director	Support for gifted education

Day-to-day grant operations will be managed at the elementary school sites by individuals as indicated below:

Elementary School	Name, Position
Cox	Jim Horne, Principal / Teresa Willis, Assistant Principal
Doerun	Chuck Jones, Principal / Terri Carr, Instructional Support Specialist
Funston	Ricky Reynolds, Principal / Robin Calhoun, Instructional Support Specialist
Hamilton	Krista Harrell, Principal / Terri Carr, Instructional Support Specialist
Norman Park	Keith Adams, Principal / Michelle Daniels, Assistant Principal
Odom	Trish Lirio, Principal / Leamon Madison, Assistant Principal
Okapilco	Eric Croft, Principal / Sherry Jones, Instructional Support Specialist
R. B. Wright	Marc Bell, Principal / Summer Hall, Assistant Principal
Stringfellow	Darlene Reynolds, Principal / Josh Purvis, Assistant Principal
Sunset	Bruce Owen, Principal / Charla Brinson, Assistant Principal

While ten elementary schools have prepared individual grant applications, all stakeholders have worked as a united team throughout the process. Numerous informational and work sessions have been held, and this collaborative work will be ongoing.

Colquitt County School District: Stringfellow Elementary School

Timeline	Purpose of Meeting	Attendees
October 4, 2013	RESA – Grant Awareness Session	Lynn Clark, Debra Turner, Summer Hall
October 14, 2013	System – Grant Awareness Meeting Visit from Julie Morrill <i>MADE DECISION to APPLY</i>	District and School Leaders
October 21, 2013	Introductory Grant Writing Workday Review of Why, What, How Documents and Application Process	District Curriculum Leaders School Grant Writing Teams
October 31 November 4, 11, 18, December 2, 9	Grant Writing Work Sessions	
December 11-12, 2013	Upload Grant Applications	

As a result of the grant writing process, literacy needs throughout the system have been clearly identified. Based upon findings through the needs improvement process, a detailed literacy plan has been developed for each school that will guide work for the next five years. Instructional staff members have agreed to participate in ongoing professional learning activities. Administrators have committed to learning with their staff and to providing subsequent monitoring of professional learning. Staff members will be provided face to face and online opportunities to participate in the development of a budget, as well as with decisions regarding performance plans. School and district level literacy meetings will continue on a monthly basis after the grant application is submitted. Community stakeholders will be involved in the process of improving literacy on a quarterly basis throughout the duration of the grant and beyond. The ultimate goal for the grant process is long-term sustainability.

Experience of the Applicant

The Colquitt County School System (CCSS) has extensive experience with regards to successful implementation of large-scale initiatives. The district oversees an annual budget of approximately \$75 million including federal, state, and local funds. Within this budget, the LEA provides a variety of system-wide initiatives. Over the past two years, the LEA has successfully introduced iPads into every K-9 classroom. As a result of sound budgeting and system-wide professional learning, students benefit from enhanced learning opportunities through technology. Another significant initiative in recent years is implementation of Common Core Curriculum. Curriculum directors have maximized sparse resources, bringing together curriculum teams to create detailed lesson plans utilizing existing resources.

The table below identifies recent large-scale initiatives of the Colquitt County School System.

Initiative	School Level(s) Impacted	FY13 Funds
Title IA – Academic Achievement/School Improvement	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$3,479,358
Title IC – Migrant Education	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$772,092
Title IIA – Teacher Quality	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$576,117
Title IIIA – Limited English Proficient	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$203,050
Title VI-B – Rural and Low Income	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$211,880
CTAE Program	Jr. High, High	\$661,945
Carl Perkins IV Grants	Jr. High, High	\$112,822
Homeless Grant	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$35,500
Pre-School Handicapped State Grant	PreK	\$124,023
Bright From the Start PreK Program	PreK	\$2,274,270
S.T.A.R. – Student Transition and Recovery Program	Mid., Jr. High, High	\$89,000
School Nurses at every school site	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$437,691
BRAVO & TOY– Certified and Classified teacher/employee of the year programs	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$7,600
Hospital Homebound Program	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$69,424
SAAF – Strong African American Families Project	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	
Elementary Art Program (through Colquitt County Arts Center)	Elementary	\$32,000
Archway Project (University of Georgia)	Jr. High, High	\$10,000
JROTC	Jr. High, High	\$102,921
Telehealth Grant	Elem., Mid., Jr. High, High	\$225,000
Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) School Lunch Program	Elem., Mid., Jr. High	
iPads for Classroom use	Mid., Jr. High	\$25,000

The following tables indicate audit findings over the past five years. All past findings have been corrected; current findings are being addressed.

Colquitt County School District: Stringfellow Elementary School

Colquitt County School System Audit Findings					
Year	Project Title	Is There an Audit?	Finding Number	Indicator	Audit Results - Findings
2009	Title IA	Yes			None
2009	Title IA - School Improvement	Yes			None
2009	Title IC	Yes			None
2009	Title II A	Yes			None
2009	Title III A Immigrant	Yes			None
2009	Title III A LEP	Yes			None
2009	Title IV A Safe and Drug Free Schools - Consortium	Yes			None
2009	Title VI-B	Yes			None
2009	McKinney Vento	Yes			None
2009	Professional Learning	Yes			None
2009	High School Graduation Coach	Yes			None
2009	Middle School Graduation Coach	Yes			None
2010	Title IA	Yes	1	1.4	Overarching Requirement LEA Monitoring of Schools and Programs
2010	Title IA	Yes	2	2.3	Overarching Requirement CLIP 7 Title 1A-ARRA
2010	Title IA	Yes	3	3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 3.16	Overarching Requirement - Parental Involvement
2010	Title IA	Yes	10	4.19	Overarching Requirement - Parental Involvement
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	11	5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5	Overarching Requirement - ARRA Indicators on School
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	16	6.1	Overarching Requirement - Public School Choice
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	17	7.3, 7.5	Overarching Requirement - Supplemental Educational Services
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	19	8.1, 8.4, 8.6	Overarching Requirement - Schoolwide Programs
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	22	11.1, 11.2, 11.3	Fiduciary Responsibility - Comparability of Services
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	25	12.12	Fiduciary Responsibility - Allocations and Carryover
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	26	14.3, 14.4, 14.6	Fiduciary Responsibility - Equipment and Real Property
2010	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes	31	17.1	Fiduciary Responsibility - Attendance Area Determination
2010	Title I A Distinguished Schools Award	Yes			None
2010	Title II-A Improving Teacher Quality	Yes	32	22.1, 22.4	Title II Part A Teacher Quality - Title II-A
2010		Yes	34	23.3	Private Schools
2010	Title I A School Improvement	Yes			None

Colquitt County School District: Stringfellow Elementary School

	Title I-C Migrant Education	Yes			None
2010	Title II-D Enhancing Education Thru Technology	Yes			None
2010	Title II-D Engaging AP Students Thru Handheld Computers				
2010	Title III-A LEP	Yes			None
2010	Title IV A Safe and Drug Free Schools - Consortium	Yes			None
2010	Title VI-B	Yes			None
2010	McKinney Vento	Yes			None
2010	Title II-A Advanced Placement Grant	Yes			None
2010	Professional Learning	Yes			None
2010	State Fiscal Stabilization Funds	Yes			None
2011	Title I A Academic Achievement	Yes			None
2011	Title I A Distinguished Schools Award	Yes			None
2011	Title I A Grants-ARRA	Yes			None
2011	Title I A School Improvement	Yes			None
2011	Title I A School Improvement Grant ARRA	Yes			None
2011	Title IC Migrant	Yes			None
2011	Title II A Improving Teacher Quality	Yes			None
2011	Title II-A Advanced Placement Grant	Yes			None
2011	Title II-D Enhancing Education Thru Technology	Yes			None
2011	Title III A LEP	Yes			None
2011		Yes			None
2011	Title VI-B Rural and Low Income Schools	Yes			None
2011	McKinney Vento	Yes			None
2011	Professional Learning	Yes			None
2011	State Fiscal Stabilization Funds	Yes			None
2012	Title IA	Yes	1	1.1	LEA Monitoring of Schools and Programs
2012	Title IA	Yes	2	5.4, 5.5	Public School Choice
2012	Title IA	Yes	3	6.7, 6.10	Supplemental Educational Services
2012	Title IA	Yes	4	9.3	Audits
2012	Title IA	Yes	5	14.1, 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5, 14.6	Expenditure Of Funds (Allowable and within Period Availability)
2012	Title IA	Yes	6	15.2	Supplement Not Supplant
2012	Title IA	Yes	7	16.1, 16.2, 16.3	Comparability Of Services

Colquitt County School District: Stringfellow Elementary School

2012	Title IA	Yes	8	17.1, 17.3, 17.4	Equipment and Real Property
2012	Title IA	Yes	9	23.6	Title VI, Part B - Periodic Certification on File
2012	Title IA	Yes	1	2.2	Comprehensive LEA Improvement Plan and RT3 Approved Scope of Work
2013	Title IA	Yes	2	3.4, 3.7	Parental Involvement
2013	Title IA	Yes	3	4.4, 4.5, 4.6	School Improvement 1003(a)
2013	Title IA	Yes	4	7.13, 7.21	Georgia's ESEA Flexibility Waiver and Flexible Learning Program
2013	Title IA	Yes	5	9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, 9.6	Targeted Assistance Programs
2013	Title IA	Yes	6	15.9	Expenditure Of Funds (Allowable and within Period Availability)
2013	Title II A Improving Teacher Quality	Yes	7	26.2, 26.10, 26.12, 26.13, 26.15, 26.20, 26.27	Title II Part A Needs assessment

CCSS places much effort into budget planning. The Superintendent and Comptroller hold meetings regularly, beginning in November, to prepare for the subsequent budget year. During these meetings, district administrators, school administrators, and board members address all areas of need through effective coordination of resources. The budget is stringently analyzed each year in attempt to identify areas that can be reduced or cut, thus making the most of our local, state, and federal revenues.

Spending controls are strictly followed to ensure that all purchases and payments fall within budgeted parameters set forth by the budget committee. All local, state, and federal funds are monitored by the business office under direction of the comptroller. A purchase order system is used by schools to request funding. Purchase orders require site-based administrator signatures. Once received by the business office, these requests are properly coded to the correct funding source, and the determination is made by the comptroller if funds are available. Annual audits are performed to confirm that all funds have been expended as directed. CCSS has consistently followed proper internal controls with regard to governmental accounting procedures and has received no audit findings on school system financial statements in the previous five years.

CCSS is committed to excellence in academic achievement. All decisions made with regard to program initiatives and sustainability center on what is best for students. In 2010, CCSS received over \$1 million in ARRA funds. A good portion of this was used to hire additional certified teaching staff. Even after these funds were depleted, the school system continued to fund these positions. In its continued efforts to do what is best for all students, CCSS has maintained a variety of programs despite the lack of full funding for these initiatives. Examples which lack full funding include system-wide Technology Specialists, School Nurses, JROTC, Bright from the Start Pre-K Program, and Hospital-Homebound. These programs along with many others are vital contributors to the academic, emotional, and social growth of our students.

The following list consists of initiatives implemented internally without outside funding support:

- iPads – Over a two year budget cycle, K-9 classroom teachers received iPads for instructional use using general fund dollars.
- BRAVO and TOY– Balancing Responsibility and Achievement while Valuing Others for classified employees and Teacher of the Year programs recognize achievements of staff from school sites.
- Elementary Art Program – In conjunction with Colquitt County Arts Center, a comprehensive art program is provided for all elementary school students.
- Archway Project - The Archway Partnership with the University of Georgia takes on various projects to target specific areas of improvement needed within our community. These include graduation rate improvement, after school activities, SPLOST and infrastructure planning

With protocols in place for sound financial management, grant funds will enhance educational opportunities for years to come.

School Narrative


School History

Dr. Jackie R. Stringfellow Elementary School (JRS) is one of ten public elementary schools in the Colquitt County School System. JRS currently serves Pre-Kindergarten through fifth-grade students. The two-story school was built in 1905 on the present location. Stringfellow was renovated in 2004.


In the old part of the building, one multi-purpose classroom was eliminated and split to make office areas for speech, social worker and guidance. In the new part of the building, we added a music room, teacher lounge, 2 self-contained special education classrooms, life skills room, and a computer lab. Upstairs, we added 6 additional classrooms. Stringfellow’s gym was built in 2008.

Demographics

Stringfellow Elementary is one of the two highest poverty schools as measured by free and reduced lunch. Due to our transient neighborhood, withdrawals and entries are frequent. Since the 2006-2007 school year, the school's enrollment totals have remained fairly constant. The enrollment in the 2007-2008 school year was 317 students and has increased to a current enrollment of 372 students. The chart below shows Stringfellow’s demographics for the 2006-2007 and 2013-2014 school years.



Since the 2010-2011 school year, Stringfellow students have shown a decline in all assessed subjects. This decline coincides with the lack of available funding due to the economic crisis and the initial shift to CCGPS. The chart below shows a comparison between CRCT results from 2010-2011 and 2012-2013 school years.



Administrative and School Leadership Team

1. School Leadership Team – School Leadership Team - Stringfellow’s school leadership team consists of both administrators, ELA and Math teachers from grades K-5, Special Education teacher, Pre-K teacher, counselor, and a paraprofessional. Monthly meetings focus on making data driven decisions for school improvement that are supported by research-based criteria. This team also reviews any school-wide concerns brought to it by the stakeholders of the school.
2. Teacher Leader Committees - Stringfellow has several teacher led committees. These committees provide leadership to accomplish tasks that would not otherwise be addressed. Stringfellow believes it is important for teachers to become involved in the school beyond the constraints of their classrooms. Teachers can choose to sign up for one of the following committees: Staff Development Team, School Climate, Wellness, Reading Celebration, Parent Involvement, Yearbook, and Sunshine.

Past Instructional Initiatives

Stringfellow has implemented many initiatives to increase student engagement and achievement in literacy. A complete list of past instructional initiatives is provided in the district narrative. We have followed the district’s lead as to which initiatives to implement. The Stringfellow faculty recognizes the need for a consistent and systematic initiative that that will be sustained for a long enough time to impact our students at their current level of performance.

Current Instructional Initiatives

In preparation for this school year, our focus was to simplify our literacy efforts. In looking at the initiatives that we have attempted to implement, we fully understand that we have been working tirelessly in too many different areas of literacy. Our goal was to trim away any unsuccessful initiatives. This year, our focus centers around DIBELS Next. We are implementing 3 benchmark assessments: beginning, middle, and end of year. We are using diagnostic follow-up assessments to determine the specific areas of concern for each student. All of our teachers are currently using the *Best Practices for Reading Instruction Boxes* to provide specific instruction in the areas of concern as identified through diagnostic testing. We are focused on becoming a data-driven school. We are looking at growth percentiles on all students K-5 in reading fluency and comprehension, as well as Fountas and Pinnell levels.

Professional Learning Needs

The leadership team, in accordance with the faculty and staff at Stringfellow Elementary, has decided that our school's greatest professional learning needs are reading interventions for struggling readers, small group differentiated instruction, and classroom management. We understand that due to budget constraints, we are limited in what we can do for professional learning in our designated areas of need. We have attempted to be creative in deciding how to address each area of concern.

1. Reading Intervention for Struggling Students – We have decided to use peer mentoring/collaboration with strong reading teachers who have a good history of student achievement scores. Teachers will also do observations of effective teachers in other schools. These resources are inexpensive and will hopefully provide practical ways to properly implement reading interventions for struggling readers. Finally, administrative assistance in this area will also be available for teachers.
2. Classroom Management - Due to funding restraints, Stringfellow teachers will use Educational Impact (online professional development), peer mentoring, as well as observations of other schools' classrooms in this area as well. These resources are inexpensive and will hopefully provide practical ways to properly manage classrooms. Courses on differentiation and classroom management have been created and are available on Educational Impact to help with classroom management. This course will be available for both teachers and paraprofessionals and is mandatory for those who struggle in this area.
3. Small Group Instruction - Again, Educational Impact, peer mentoring and/or collaboration, as well as observations of other schools' classrooms will be utilized to improve small-group instruction. Administration, along with members of the leadership team when appropriate, will observe and meet regularly with teachers who struggle in this area to provide feedback necessary to improve differentiated instruction.

Need for a Striving Readers Project

Stringfellow's data indicates a definite need for support in literacy instruction. One of the first casualties from the economic crisis was professional learning in literacy. At the same time, there was a significant reduction in funding for textbook adoption. This has left our system searching for an effective literacy program, which addresses the needs of all our students. Our search is limited to a program that can be aligned with CCGPS and is not accompanied with any cost. This criterion leaves a very short list of programs with little to no proven data. Our students are in desperate need of an intervention for reading.

With 98% of our student body being below the poverty level, many of our students are receive little/no reading support at home. The highest level of education of most parents is a high school diploma, with many not having completed high school. Most of our students return home from school to a single-parent home. The parent has to struggle to provide food, clothes, and shelter, which leaves little time to work on literacy. In several cases, the parents are illiterate themselves.

The need for this grant at Stringfellow cannot be overstated. We need funding to provide a consistent and systematic program as well as the professional learning to know how to implement the program and to provide literacy instruction successfully. *"Literacy is the gate-keeper for the ability to become a lifelong learner and contributor to society."* (Why, p.118) Our goal as educators is to prepare our students to become successful members of society. Society demands that its' members are able to read. This grant will assist us in reaching our goal of increasing student achievement in regards to literacy.

Literacy Plan

Building Block 1. Engaged Leadership

A. Action: Demonstrate commitment to learn about and support evidence-based literacy instruction in his/her school

Why is this important?

In order for initiatives to be successful at the school level, the leadership must support the initiative. Our literacy plan begins with our administration. It is imperative that we as leaders provide for and participate in quality professional learning opportunities for our teachers. "Leaders at all levels recognize quality professional development as the key strategy for supporting significant improvements. They are able to articulate the critical link between improved student learning and the professional learning of teachers." (The Why, p. 144)

What are we currently doing?

The administrator will continue to:

- Schedule protected time for literacy and teacher collaboration
- Provide time and support for staff to participate in job-embedded professional learning (including coaching, if available, peer-mentoring, learning community, grade-level meetings focused on student work, etc.)

How will we move forward?

The administrator will:

Planning:

- Schedule regular literacy observations to monitor use of literacy strategies, student engagement and learning, and consistent use of effective instructional practices

Expanding:

- Provide professional learning based on student data and teacher need. Serve as a model by studying literacy research and best practices, sharing professional resources among faculty, facilitating professional discussions, and training team leaders as facilitators
- Ensure continued excellence in professional learning by continuing to analyze data and adjusting professional learning accordingly

Sustaining

- Ensure continued growth through professional learning by providing opportunities for new staff to receive necessary support in becoming acquainted with programs, materials and previously learned strategies.
- Develop a pipeline of leaders by identifying and training leaders for succession
- Make hiring decisions collaboratively based upon literacy goals

B. Action: Organize a Literacy Leadership Team

Why is this important?

Our Literacy Plan includes an expansion of our school leadership team of teacher leaders and administrators into a fully operational Literacy Leadership Team. According to page 143 of The Why document, a strong effective Literacy Leadership Team is critical to the educational process: "A strong, highly-trained Literacy Leadership Team comprises the core of this professional learning network."

What are we currently doing?

The literacy team led by the administrator will continue to:

- Analyze multiple forms of student, school, and teacher data, including results of the Literacy Instruction

Literacy Plan

Observation Checklist or its equivalent, to develop a list of prioritized recommendations and goals for improvement

- Determine what additional data is needed in order to make informed decisions about the path forward
- Schedule and protect time for Literacy Leadership Team (or School Improvement Team) to meet and plan
- Select or develop a walk-through observation form, such as Literacy Instruction Observation Checklist, to ensure consistency of effective instructional practices
- Identify and prioritize a list of students to be targeted for intervention or support
- Ensure use of research-based practices aligned with CCGPS
- Utilize technology to maintain communication among team members
- Plan for ongoing data collection and analysis to inform program development and improvement

How will we move forward?

The literacy team led by the administrator will:

Planning:

- Identify stakeholders and partners to be part of the literacy leadership team:

Expanding:

- Use student achievement data to meet individual teacher needs through follow-up assistance and professional learning
- Re-assign staff as needed to maximize literacy goals

Sustaining:

- Continue to analyze formative and summative student assessment results and refine literacy goals based on the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards (CCGPS)
- Share student achievement gains with District Literacy Leadership Team and School Board members through online media and traditional outlets
- Participate on District Literacy Leadership Team
- Rewrite/refocus School Improvement Plan goals, objectives, and actions according to student achievement results
- Remain focused on the goals and objectives of the School Improvement Plan to keep staff motivated, productive, and centered on student achievement

C. Action: The effective use of time and personnel is leveraged through scheduling and collaborative planning (K-5).

Why is this important?

The Why document page 58 states “the most effective elementary schools provided an average of 60 minutes a day of small, ability-grouped instruction.” We currently have a 90 minute Writing and Language block. We also have a daily 60 minute Reading Foundational Skills block. This time is guarded and is intended to provide instruction on the foundational skills and interventions for deficit skills identified in our diagnostic follow-up tools. It is imperative that this time is provided in early grades as the time requirement increases in the upper elementary grades – “literacy instruction for adolescents should extend beyond a single language arts period and be integrated in subject area coursework.”

What are we currently doing?

The administration will continue to:

- Provide a protected, dedicated 90-120-minute block for literacy instruction for all students in self-contained classrooms

Literacy Plan

- Study flexible scheduling options to include additional time for reading intervention (double dosing)
- Consider the utilization of the entire staff when developing a schedule for literacy instruction
- Schedule time for collaborative planning teams within and across the curriculum
- Ensure that teams meet for collaborative planning and examining student data/work during scheduled times
- Ensure that in any grade in which instruction is departmentalized, students receive two to four hours of literacy (reading and writing) instruction across language arts and in content area classes
- Prepare agendas and action summaries for all meetings

How will we move forward?

The administration will:

Planning:

- Consider consulting with support services such as scheduling experts to ensure that existing time and personnel are used most effectively
- Leverage instructional time for disciplinary literacy by scheduling instruction for disciplinary literacy in all content areas
- Assess the talents and training of all current staff in the area of literacy instruction before making teaching assignments

Implementing:

- Maximize use of scheduled times for collaborative meetings

Expanding:

- Maximize use of scheduled instructional time by identifying effective strategies for differentiating instruction, promoting active engagement, and teaching key areas of literacy and writing instruction
- Collaborate with other team members to maximize instructional time through the use of peer observations to analyze lessons
- Study formative student assessment results and use the results to continue to determine the impact of efforts to maximize use of time

Sustaining:

- Use technology to provide professional learning to new and continuing teachers
- Share professional learning at team and staff meetings
- Encourage teachers to share stories of success in the community, both online and through traditional outlets

D. Action: Create a school culture in which teachers across the curriculum are responsible for literacy instruction as articulated in the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards

Why is this important?

“The need to communicate clearly and quickly has never been more important than in today’s highly competitive, technology-driven global economy” (The Why, p. 27) We understand that the most effective way to make great strides in literacy is for teachers in all content areas to immerse the students in literacy rich language and strategies. In order for students to be proficient communicators, it is essential that “content-area teachers at all grade levels must include reading comprehension and processing subject-specific texts in all areas” (The Why, p. 26).

What are we currently doing?

The administration will continue to:

- Analyze multiple forms of student, school, and teacher data to develop a list of prioritized

Literacy Plan

recommendations and goals for improvement

- Identify and prioritize a list of students to be targeted for intervention or support
- Monitor instruction to ensure consistent use of effective instructional practices that include disciplinary literacy and active student engagement across content areas
- Partner with First Baptist Church for:
 - Student Mentors
 - Teacher Mentors
 - Book Fair – Assist with setup and running
 - Book Room Maintenance – Level and organize our school bookroom
 - Back Pack Buddies – Food is provided for the weekend to students in need

How will we move forward?

The administration will:

Planning:

- Plan for targeted, sustained professional learning for the staff on literacy strategies and deep content knowledge
- Select or develop a walk-through and/or observation form (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist, or some other instrument) to ensure consistency of effective instructional practices
- Be strategic about assigning teachers, i. e., assign staff that is not instructing or tutoring non-academic duties

Implementing:

- Design and implement infrastructure to provide guidance and support for students and families
- Provide professional learning to develop the understanding that a comprehensive system of learning supports differs from a case-by-case, fragmented approach and to enhance motivation and capability of the critical mass of stakeholders
- Utilize all staff to support literacy instruction

Expanding:

- Develop and maintain infrastructure to support literacy (accountability, data collection and evaluation across organizations)
- Enlist literacy learning in outside organizations
- Provide English language services that extend beyond the classroom.

Sustaining:

- Keep the focus (fiscal and instructional) on literacy development even when faced with competing initiatives
- Provide a literacy resource room for parents and caregivers in the school
- Provide parents and caregivers with links to websites that provide resources to strengthen literacy

E. Action: Optimize literacy instruction across all content areas

Why is this important?

CCGPS holds educators across all content areas accountable for teaching literacy standards. “Students must be able to comprehend, to make inferences, to draw conclusions, to communicate in oral and written formats, and to create and synthesize ideas” (The Why, p. 49). This statement reveals the importance of literacy in every classroom regardless of content area.

What are we currently doing?

Literacy Plan

While we recognize the importance of literacy instruction in all classrooms, we are not currently implementing literacy instruction across all content areas. This is certainly an area of instructional focus.

How will we move forward?

The administration will:

Planning:

- Identify appropriate strategies to help ELs meet English language proficiency standards
- Identify or develop a systematic procedure for teaching academic vocabulary in all subjects
- Create a plan to integrate literacy in all subjects as articulated within CCGPS
- Identify or develop a school-wide writing rubric that is aligned with the CCGPS to set clear expectations and goals for performance

Implementing:

- Ensure the use of research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of the CCGPS
- Support teacher in their use of appropriate strategies to help ELs meet English language proficiency standards
- Require the teaching of academic vocabulary in all subjects using a systematic process
- Require writing as an integral part of every class every day
- Ensure that teachers provide meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen

Expanding:

- Identify skills or knowledge that needs to be strengthened in the future for students to reach standards proficiency
- Monitor literacy instruction across the curriculum through:
 - Formal and informal observations
 - Lesson plans
 - Walkthroughs
 - Student work samples
- Ask teachers to identify exemplary samples of student work to model features of quality writing
- Encourage teachers to identify common themes, where possible, across subject areas, immersing students in content vocabulary connected to the topic

Sustaining:

- Expand meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen (e.g., contests, debates, speeches, wikis, blogs, creating YouTube videos, and drama)
- Expand the types of writing across the subject areas (e.g., songs, manuals, wikis, blogs, captions, word problems, e-mails, ads, instructions, etc.)
- Celebrate and publish good student writing products in a variety of formats (i.e., school or classroom blogs and websites, student blogs, local newspapers, literacy magazines, classroom and school libraries, etc.)
- Host family nights that engage parents in activities that demonstrate the importance of proficiency in literacy

F. Action: Enlist the community at large to support schools and teachers in the development of college-and-career-ready students as articulated in the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards.

Why is this important?

Colquitt County's goal is akin to Georgia's as referenced in The Why document "Georgia's goal for all students is that they become self-sustaining, lifelong learners and contributors to their communities" (p. 31). "The

Literacy Plan

demands for clear and concise communication, especially writing, in the workplace are increasing. If students are not prepared for these demands, the chances for employment and advancement decrease.” (The Why, p. 27).

What are we currently doing?

The administration will continue to:

- Ask past students who have been particularly successful encourage to speak to students and the community at large as to the potential for schools to change lives
- Evaluate the effectiveness of after-school tutoring programs and partner with community and faith-based groups to accommodate more students
- Focus proactively on broad issues that may prevent students from learning
- Establish a mentoring system from within and outside of the school for every student who needs additional support

How will we move forward?

The administration will:

Planning:

- Create a shared vision for literacy for the school and community, making the vision tangible and visible (e.g., number of students involved in active book clubs; graphing scores; rewards for improvement in literacy)
- Identify key members of the community, governmental and civic leaders, business leaders, and parents to serve as members of a community advisory board
- Contact potential members and schedule at least two meetings annually

Implementing:

- Convene meetings of the community advisory board at scheduled times.
- Develop an agenda for each meeting to promote cooperation and communication among participants and the schools
- Enlist members of the various participating entities to provide leadership by:
 - Serving as mentors
 - Speaking to groups of students
 - Publicizing efforts within the community
 - Visiting classrooms to support teachers and students
 - Adoption of different schools by civic groups

Expanding:

- Actively support teachers in their efforts in schools

Sustaining:

- Celebrate academic successes publically through traditional and online media
- Pursue additional funding sources for specialized literacy staff and materials
- Ask local businesses to help heighten awareness about reading or literacy topics
- Foster relationships among schools, postsecondary education institutions, the workforce, families, and communities

Literacy Plan

Building Block 2: Continuity of Instruction

A. Action: Ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum through the use of collaborative teams (See Leadership Sections I. D., E.)

Why is this important?

We recognize the need for the teachers to have a common planning time. Therefore, the school will continue with the practice of common planning time and will “provide educators with the knowledge and skills to collaborate” (The Why, p. 143). We also feel it is imperative to have vertical team meetings, where teachers will meet with common content area teachers from different grade levels. This will provide a platform for rich discussion on the progression of literacy skills.

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Develop administrative awareness of the need to identify gaps
- Administration establishes an expectation of shared responsibility for literacy across the curriculum
- Meet in disciplinary teams, either physically or virtually, according to regularly established times for collaborative planning and examining student data/work
- Prepare agendas and action summaries for all meetings
- Use protocols to examine student work (e.g., Collaborative Assessment Conference, Consultancy, Tuning Protocol) from Looking at Student Work
- Utilize online options to provide ongoing professional learning to new and continuing teachers

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Design infrastructure for shared responsibility for development of literacy across the curriculum
- Establish cross-disciplinary teams for literacy instruction
 - Schedule time for teams to meet for regular collaboration and examination of student data/work
 - Identify team roles, protocols, and expectations
 - Research the components of the professional learning community model
 - Identify specific, measurable student achievement goals aligned with grade- level expectations to be shared by teachers in all subjects

Implementing:

- Observe model lessons, organize materials, and practice effective instructional strategies using videos where possible
- Plan and implement lessons that address the literacy needs of students

Expanding:

- Research effective strategies for differentiating instruction, promoting active engagement, and teaching key areas of literacy and writing instruction
- Collaborate with other team members to conduct peer observations and analyze lessons to improve disciplinary literacy instruction using videotaping where possible
- Study formative student assessment results and use the results to continue to adjust instruction
- Assess effectiveness of team actions on student learning
- Alter teams as necessary to ensure optimal effectiveness

Sustaining:

Literacy Plan

- Share professional learning online and at team and staff meetings
- Showcase evidence of student learning success on the school or class websites

B. Action: Support teachers in providing literacy instruction across the curriculum

Why is this important?

We cannot successfully implement our literacy plan without providing professional learning for all of our teachers. This is especially important for the math, science and social studies teachers who do not have much training in literacy instruction. Recommendation 2 of the Georgia Literacy Task Force includes the provision for “professional learning opportunities for teachers and school personnel to identify and evaluate the characteristics of effective literacy instruction, especially in the areas of reading, writing, and speaking” (The Why, p. 37).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Identify the concepts and skills students need to meet expectations in CCGPS
- Provide awareness sessions for entire faculty to learn about CCGPS for literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects
- Make writing a required part of every class every day, using technology when possible

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Study research-based strategies and resources, particularly those found in “The Why” document of the Georgia Literacy Plan
- Provide professional learning on research-based instructional strategies and use of rubrics to improve literacy instruction
- Discuss ways to infuse literacy throughout the day including the use of technology

Implementing:

- Use research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of the CCGPS
- Implement appropriate strategies to help ELs meet English language proficiency standards
- Teach academic vocabulary in all subjects using a commonly adopted, systematic procedure
- Teach and have students practice writing as a process (pre-write, draft, revise, edit, and publish online and on hardcopy)
- Infuse all types of literacy throughout the day e.g., print, online, blogs, wikis, social media

Expanding:

- Identify skills or knowledge needed to be strengthened in future lessons for students to reach standards proficiency
- Monitor the use of instructional strategies to improve literacy through formal and informal observations
- Discuss exemplary samples with students to model features of quality writing
- Guide students to focus on their own improvement
- Share creative ideas to infuse literacy throughout the day

Sustaining:

- Discuss alternative instructional strategies or modifications that may be better suited to promoting student learning of the CCGPS (and for ELs, English language proficiency standards)
- Stay abreast of effective strategies for literacy instruction

Literacy Plan

- Expand the types of writing across the subject areas (e.g., songs, manuals, captions, word problems, e-mails, ads, instructions, etc.)
- Celebrate and publish good student writing in a variety of formats (e. g., district and school websites and blogs, social media, local newspapers, literacy magazines, classroom and school libraries, etc.)
- Plan a literacy celebration for the entire school

C. Action: Collaborate with supporting out-of-school agencies and organizations within the community

Why is this important?

The definition of literacy by the Georgia Literacy Task Force includes the following goal: “Georgia’s goal for all students is that they become self-sustaining, lifelong learners and contributors to their communities” (The Why, p. 31). The Literacy Leadership Team at Stringfellow Elementary School believes that our community’s learners, present and future, are interdependent. As a result, we believe that engaging our out-of-school agencies and organizations to support our students’ literacy will benefit not only our students, but our community at large. One of the Reading Next research-based program elements to improve literacy achievement is “a comprehensive and coordinated literacy program, which is interdisciplinary and interdepartmental and may even coordinate with out-of-school organizations and the local community” (The Why, p. 67).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Survey parents to gauge parental awareness of school process/procedures
- Galvanize local capacity to support students and families in need
- Establish a mentoring system within school staff and outside the school for every student who needs additional support
- Develop avenues of communication (both virtual and face-to-face) with key personnel in out-of-school organizations as well as governmental agencies that support students and families
- Partner with community and faith-based groups to accommodate more students

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Implementing:

- Identify and contact learning supports in the community that target student improvement (e.g., tutoring, mentoring, out-of-school programming)
- Establish a means of continual communication (e.g., texting, twitter, email, etc.) between teachers and out-of-school providers
- Utilize all staff to support literacy instruction (e.g., assign non-academic duties to personnel not engaged in literacy instruction)

Expanding:

- Develop and maintain infrastructure to support literacy (accountability, data collection and evaluation across organizations)
- Evaluate the effectiveness of after-school tutoring programs using pre- and post-testing as well as progress monitoring assessments
- Develop strategies for maintaining momentum and progress of a learning support system
- Provide for professional learning and resources that support literacy learning in outside organizations.

Sustaining:

Literacy Plan

- Keep the focus (fiscal and instructional) on literacy development even when faced with competing initiatives
- Continue to focus proactively on broad issues that may prevent students from learning (e.g., health, nutrition, homelessness, drop-out, attendance)
- Pursue additional funding sources for specialized literacy staff and materials
- Continue to foster relationships/networks among schools (particularly within feeder patterns), families, and communities.

Literacy Plan

Building Block 3. Ongoing formative and summative assessments

A. Action: Establish an infrastructure for ongoing formative and summative assessments to determine the need for and the intensity of interventions and to evaluate the effectiveness of instruction

Why is this important?

The use of formative assessments, as The Why emphasizes, should be used to drive and affect instructional strategies in the classroom. The strategies used by the teacher should be adjusted according to the results of the formative assessments. “Formative assessments are only effective if they are followed by effective instructional responses or appropriate types of feedback” (The Why, p. 98). “Because of new information with each assessment, the educator is able to provide a continual cycle for student improvement” (The Why, p. 97). We have a series of 3 screenings in place, throughout the year, that serve as a “temperature gauge.” Next, we use follow-up diagnostic assessments to diagnose the reason for the delay in literacy achievement.

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Implement Formative Instructional Practices that focus on student ownership of learning
- Provide timely, descriptive feedback to students with opportunities to assess their own learning (e.g., graphing their progress)
- Research and select effective screening, progress monitoring, and diagnostic tools to identify achievement levels of all students
- Ensure that teachers understand the purpose for and use of formative assessment and how it differs from summative assessment
- Task the data team with developing procedures and expectations for staff to review and analyze assessment results
- Make a data collection plan for storing, analyzing, and disseminating assessment results
- Use screening, progress monitoring, and curriculum-based assessments to influence instructional decisions regarding flexible 4-tier service options for Response to Intervention (RTI)
- Continue to provide assessment measures that can help identify high achieving/advanced learners who would benefit from enrichment activities
- Analyze student data in teacher teams to develop and adjust instructional plans

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Expanding:

- Designate a person or persons to be responsible for ensuring continued fidelity to all formative assessment procedures and timelines beyond year one
- Use technology to share relevant student progress data with parents and caregivers in an easily interpreted user-friendly format

Sustaining:

- Continue to research and select effective screening, progress monitoring, and diagnostic tools to identify readiness levels of all students
- Continue to provide consistent expectations across classrooms and teachers by identifying or developing common curriculum-based assessments (formal, informal, performance based)
- Continue to purchase assessment and intervention materials aligned with students’ needs

Literacy Plan

B. Action: Use universal screening and progress monitoring for formative assessment

Why is this important?

The Why document emphasizes the importance of screening basic literacy skills “multiple times throughout the year with a valid and reliable instrument in order to track progress or lack of it” (The Why, p. 101). Therefore, this is the second year that we have implemented the DIBELS Next screenings. We supplement the screenings with the DIBELS Next progress monitoring passages for both DAZE comprehension and Oral Reading Fluency. In order for us to determine the students’ current level, as well as monitor progress, we must have a proven and consistent measure to monitor growth.

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Identify literacy skills needed to master CCGPS in each content area
- Include assessment measures to identify high achieving/advanced learners who would benefit from advanced coursework
- Develop an assessment calendar to include universal screenings and progress monitoring (both general-outcome and classroom based), designating persons responsible
- Administer assessments and input data according to the established timeline
- Assign a person or persons responsible for monitoring and maintaining fidelity of all formative assessment procedures and timelines
- Analyze student data in teacher teams to develop and adjust instructional plans

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Use SRI for grades 3-5
- Select or develop school- or system-wide classroom-based formative assessments to assess efficacy of classroom instruction
- Develop a plan for formative language assessment
- Develop a plan for formative writing assessment

Implementing:

- Provide timely, descriptive feedback to students with opportunities to assess their own learning (e.g., graphing their progress)

Sustaining:

- Provide continued professional learning to staff who administer assessments to maintain use of standardized procedures and accurate data recording
- Acknowledge staff’s efforts to improve their use of assessment data to inform instruction
- Make data-driven budget decisions aligned with literacy priority

C. Action: Use diagnostic assessment to analyze problems found in literacy screening

Why is this important?

“Once the pool of at-risk students is identified, more comprehensive assessments of their reading ability should be conducted to inform appropriate intervention placements” (The Why, p. 102). Little information can be determined by simply looking at the results on the screenings. A more in-depth assessment is needed to analyze the reason for a delay in literacy achievement. We use the information gained in the follow-up

Literacy Plan

assessment to determine where to place the students in the Best Practices for Reading Instruction Box. This information is also vital for creating a true differentiated learning environment.

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Develop a protocol for ensuring that students who are identified at risk by screenings receive follow-up diagnostic assessment
- Identify diagnostic assessments, where possible, that isolate the component skills needed for mastery of literacy standards
- Select interventions that include diagnostic assessments and multiple-entry points to avoid a one-size-fits-all approach
- Use results of the diagnostics for student placement within an intervention and to adjust instruction

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Expanding:

- Use student assessment data to assist students and teachers in setting learning goals and in monitoring progress toward those goals
- Use technology for communicating data to the district literacy leadership team in a timely manner

Sustaining:

- Recognize and celebrate individual student's incremental improvements toward reaching literacy goals

D. Action: Use summative data to make programming decisions as well as to monitor individual student progress

Why is this important?

Summative data should be analyzed to make placement decision for students as they move forward. This will help make programming decisions more objective as opposed to subjective. The Why document includes an assessment plan that will "assist educators in learning how to interpret and analyze results from multiple sources to set goals for students and to identify appropriate instructional strategies" (The Why, p. 96).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Analyze previous year's outcome assessments to determine broad student needs and serve as a baseline for improvement
- During teacher team meetings, focus discussions on changes that can be made to improve the instructional program for all students
- Disaggregate data to ensure the progress of subgroups
- Plan lessons, re-teaching, and intervention activities that target areas of need
- Analyze assessment data to identify teachers who need support
- Administer summative assessments at scheduled intervals

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Implementing:

- Discuss assessment results with students to set individual goals

Expanding:

Literacy Plan

- Using online training options, offer professional learning on strategies to address specific skills identified as school-wide or subject area weaknesses
- Apply protocols for looking at student assessments and evaluating student progress
- Record online collaboration sessions for those who could not attend at the designated time

Sustaining:

- Based on analysis of summative assessment data:
 - Evaluate the effectiveness of programs and policies
 - Redefine school improvement goals
 - Adjust curriculum alignment to eliminate gaps
 - Ensure that students are appropriately placed in specific programs

Action 3.E.: Develop a clearly articulated strategy for using data to improve teaching and learning (See V. A.)

Why is this important?

In order to be a data driven school, we must make decisions to improve teaching strategies and interventions based on the data gathered from screenings and assessments. The NCEE made “five recommendations to schools and districts seeking to maximize the use of data to improve teaching and learning. Classroom-level recommendations: make data part of an ongoing cycle of instructional improvement and teach students to examine their own data and set learning goals. Administrative recommendations: establish a clear vision for school-wide data use; provide supports that foster a data-driven culture within the school; and develop and maintain a district-wide data system” (The Why, p. 120, 121).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Schedule collaborative planning time for data meetings at a minimum of once/month
- Develop a protocol for making decisions to identify the instructional needs of students
- Develop a data storage and retrieval system
- Develop procedures and expectations for staff to review and analyze assessment results

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Implementing:

- Communicate the expectations for meetings
- Teach the data meeting protocol to the data team members
- Train teachers to use the decision-making protocol to identify student instructional needs and group them by instructional commonalities
- Implement protocol with fidelity

Expanding:

- Evaluate the process for using data to ensure that it continues to meet the needs of students and teachers

Sustaining:

- Continue to build collaborative data meetings into the monthly calendar
- Ensure that the data storage and retrieval system is effective and efficient

Literacy Plan

Building Block 4. Best Practices in Literacy Instruction

A. Action: Provide direct, explicit instruction in literacy for all students

Why is this important?

“According to the *Report of the National Reading Panel*, there are five essential components of effective early reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension” (The Why, p. 64). It is imperative that we target these five components of reading in all students regardless of ability level. Research shows that “explicit and systematic instruction in the five essential components must be provided” (The Why, p. 65). Therefore, we, as a system, must continue to use the data from diagnostic assessments to determine if there are gaps in grade-level instruction.

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Examine student data to identify areas of instruction with greatest needs (e.g., word identification, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension)
- Ensure a daily literacy block in K-5 that includes whole-group explicit instruction in word identification, vocabulary, and comprehension as well as small groups for differentiation for all students.
- Review teacher and student data to improve instruction

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Research and select a core program that will provide continuity based on a carefully articulated scope and sequence of skills that is integrated into a rich curriculum of literary and informational texts
- Allocate which aspects of literacy instruction students are to receive in each subject area
- Plan and provide professional learning on direct, explicit instructional strategies to build students’ vocabulary, comprehension, and writing skills within each subject area
- Plan and provide professional learning on differentiated instructional options for literacy assignments

Implementing:

- Provide training to all pertinent staff in the use of the core program
- Provide professional learning on the tenets of explicit instruction:
 - Use of data to inform instructional decisions and explicit teaching
 - Selection of appropriate text for strategy instruction
 - Telling students specific strategies to be learned and why
 - Modeling of how strategy is used
 - Guided and independent practice with feedback
 - Discussion of when and where strategies are to be applied

Expanding:

- Provide extended time for literacy instruction
- Share effective differentiated lessons and differentiation strategies in teacher team meetings
- Provide families access to resources that differentiate support for students

Sustaining:

- Continue analyzing data to determine the impact of teaching strategies on student achievement
- Continue to provide ongoing training to all pertinent and new staff in the use of the core program

Literacy Plan

- Provide support to new teachers on differentiated instruction for all learners, including English language learners and students with exceptionalities
- Provide opportunities for teachers to learn more about how to make adolescent curriculum more accessible to all learners (e.g., participate in professional learning provided by district and state, attend conferences and/or institutes)
- Encourage teachers to participate in online professional communities to share ideas, questions, lesson plans and videotapes of classes

B. Action: Ensure that students receive effective writing instruction across the curriculum

Why is this important?

One of the program components identified by *Reading Next* is “intensive writing, including instruction connected to the kinds of writing tasks students will have to perform well in high school and beyond” (The Why, p. 66). The National Commission on Writing research found that “people who cannot write and communicate clearly will not be hired, and if already working, are unlikely to last long enough to be considered for promotion” (The Why, p. 44). Also stated in this research: “Corporations with greatest employment growth potential assess writing during hiring” (The Why, p. 45).

What are we currently doing?

While we understand it is important to have effective writing instruction across the curriculum, we do not currently have a plan in place to implement writing instruction across all content areas. This will certainly be an area of focus for our school.

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Design a vertically and horizontally articulated writing plan consistent with CCGPS
- Plan professional learning on best practices in writing instruction in all subject areas

Implementing:

- Implement a plan for instruction in writing that is consistent with CCGPS and is articulated vertically and horizontally.
- Develop the programs, protocol, and/or materials necessary to implement the plan at each level

Expanding:

- Expand the writing plan across all subjects consistent with CCGPS
- Share exemplar examples of technology being used for production, publishing, and communication across the curriculum
- Develop a coordinated plan for writing instruction across all subject areas to include:
 - Explicit instruction
 - Guided practice
 - Independent practice

Sustaining:

- Provide professional development for new staff members
- Create a plan that describes how technology will be used for production, publishing, and communication across the curriculum
- Provide ongoing professional development to strengthen skills in writing across the curriculum
- Provide professional learning on best practices in writing instruction in all subject areas

Literacy Plan

C. Action: Teachers work to develop and maintain interest and engagement as students progress through school.

Why is this important?

Research from Guthrie and Humenick which focused on improving students' motivation to read included four recommendations: "providing content goals for reading, supporting student autonomy, providing interesting texts, and increasing social interactions among students related to reading" (The Why, p. 51). Therefore, in order to successfully implement a quality literacy program, we must provide ways for the students to take ownership of their literacy achievement. In addition, "incorporating technology into instruction can increase motivation at the same time that it enhances literacy by fostering student engagement" (The Why, p. 53).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Teachers should be made to understand the need for any or all of the following:
 - Providing students with opportunities to self-select reading material and topics for research
 - Taking steps to provide students with an understanding of the relevance of their academic assignments to their lives
 - Increasing opportunities for collaborating with peers
 - Increasing access to texts that students consider interesting

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Teachers should be made to understand the need for any or all of the following:
 - Scaffolding students' background knowledge and competency in navigating content area texts to ensure their confidence and self-efficacy
 - Leveraging the creative use of technology within the learning process to promote engagement and relevance.

Implementing:

- Explore ways to use peer collaboration with and discuss within the context of PLCs (e.g., literature circles, cross-age interactions)

Expanding:

- Utilize an interest inventory so students can self-select topics on which to read and reflect
- Provide hands-on learning activities that bring the materials read to life
- Involve secondary students as role models for the elementary school students (football players, band members, cheerleaders, clubs, foreign language students)
- Set goals for the students to strive to achieve
- Ensure that texts are current and are relevant to the student's interest whenever possible
- Challenge students to share what they read

Sustaining:

- Create a community partnership that engages students and stakeholders in meaningful collaborative activities
- Periodically review student data in order to ensure that the literacy goal is high, but achievable
- Assist the students by guiding content rich discussions regarding the text they are reading

Literacy Plan

Building Block 5. System of Tiered Intervention (RTI) for All Students

A. Action: Use information developed from the school-based data teams to inform RTI process (see Section 3. E.)

Why is this important?

The RTI process is vital to the success of all learners. “Responding to student performance is a critical element of all classroom learning environments. The teacher’s ability to identify areas of focus, scaffold the learning for the individual to reach the expectation, and support the solidification of new learning behaviors is vital to student success” (The Why, p. 126).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Determine percentage of students currently being served in each tier at each grade level
- Develop protocols for identifying students and matching them to the appropriate intervention
- Analyze data for individuals to identify students in need of intervention according to established protocols
- Schedule grade-level data-analysis team meetings
- Develop process monitoring the implementation of research-based interventions at the building level and across the system
- Provide building and system-level support of the process
- Monitor to ensure that interventions are occurring regularly and with fidelity
- Monitor results of formative assessment to ensure students are progressing

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Budget for recurring costs of data collection, intervention materials, and technology used for implementation

Implementing:

- Purchase, train and implement data collection
- Purchase, schedule, train providers and implement intervention
- Designate a person to lead the county RTI efforts

Expanding:

- Develop standardized protocols for the collection of critical information to determine students’ literacy competence in various content areas and response to interventions

Sustaining:

- Consider the options available through technology to provide ongoing, job-embedded support for data collection and analysis as well as for intervention, e.g., videotaping, videoconferencing, online collaboration

B. Action: Provide Tier I Instruction based upon the CCGPS in all grades to all students in all classrooms (See Sections 4. A & B)

Why is this important?

In an effective Tier 1 general education classroom, “teachers routinely address student needs and environmental factors to create the optimal learning environment” (The Why, p. 126). This optimal learning environment includes expert standards-based instruction, differentiation of instruction with flexible grouping,

Literacy Plan

multiple means of learning and demonstration of learning, universal screenings and progress monitoring of learning through multiple formative assessments. (The Why, p. 132)

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Examine student data to determine the current percentage of successful students in the areas of literacy (i.e., reading and writing)
- Examine student data to focus on instructional areas of greatest need (e.g., vocabulary, comprehension, written expression)
- Ensure that teachers develop and agree upon common classroom-based formative assessments within each subject area to ensure consistent expectations across classrooms
- Schedule time for instructional planning as well as for student progress conversations across (vertical) as well as within (horizontal) grade levels
- Use data from universal screening process to identify general weaknesses in instruction Tier I as well as struggling students
- Use system-developed classroom-based formative assessments to monitor consistent grade-level implementation of curriculum and to gauge students' progress toward mastery of CCGPS at each grade level for all schools

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Examine student data to determine the current percentage of successful students in the areas of literacy (i.e., reading and writing)
- Develop a plan to strengthen Tier I instruction of disciplinary literacy in each content area

Implementing:

- Ensure that teachers within each subject area plan together to implement jointly adopted literacy instruction
- Ensure that teachers develop and agree upon common classroom-based formative assessments within each subject area to ensure consistent expectations across classrooms
- Use system-developed classroom-based formative assessments to monitor consistent grade-level implementation of curriculum and to gauge students' progress toward mastery of CCGPS at each grade level for all schools

Expanding:

- Establish protocols to teach and monitor teachers' effective questioning and feedback skills
- Ensure adequate time for planning and implementing flexible grouping based on students' learning needs
- Monitor the planning, delivery and assessment for students with special learning needs (EL, SWD, gifted)
- Support teachers' effective use of time through use of technology during each stage of the process

Sustaining:

- Continue to ensure that teachers consistently provide instruction that includes explicit instruction designed to meet the individual students' needs
- Encourage the use of technology to support proactive communication between students and teachers, parents and teachers (e.g., cell phones, texting, email)

Literacy Plan

- Ensure that communication between teachers and administrators is ongoing and effective

C. Action: Implement Tier 2 needs-based interventions for targeted students

Why is this important?

As student data shows the need for additional support for student learning, Tier 2 interventions to address specific learning needs are put into practice, along with progress monitoring tools which gauge progression toward mastery of specific goals. (The Why, p. 126, 133). Teachers must select research based interventions to use to help the students achieve their academic goals. These intervention strategies are the foundation of the RTI process. We also know that the intervention strategies must be aligned to the needs of the students. (The Why, p. 124).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Schedule times for collaborative discussion and planning between content area T1 teachers and interventionists (teachers or para- educators)
- Monitor effectiveness of standard intervention protocols in place for students (based on universal screening, progress monitoring and benchmark data)
- Monitor student movement between T1 and T2
- Ensure that teachers consistently provide research-validated interventions designed to meet individual student's needs
- Document data points to monitor student response to intervention

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Plan and provide professional learning for interventionists on:
 - Appropriate use of supplemental and intervention materials
 - Diagnosis of reading difficulties
 - Direct, explicit instructional strategies to address difficulties
 - Charting data
 - Graphing progress
- Provide professional learning to ensure school-wide understanding of assessment data and anticipated levels of student mastery during the school year
- Ensure effectiveness of interventions by:
 - Building sufficient blocks of time into the daily schedule
 - Providing adequate space conducive to learning
 - Ensuring that they are provided by competent, well-trained teachers

Extending:

- Establish protocols to ensure consistent progress monitoring, data collection, and reporting
- Ensure adequate time for planning and implementing interventions
- Provide sufficient resources (time, training cost, materials and implementation of interventions)
- Study schools successful in closing the achievement gap have effected change

Sustaining:

- Encourage the use of technology to ensure proactive communication between students and teachers,

Literacy Plan

parents and teachers, e.g., cell phones, texting, email

- Use technology to track and endure the movement of students between T1 and T2 based on response to interventions

D. Action: In Tier 3, ensure that Student Support Team (SST) and Data Team monitor progress jointly

Why is this important?

“During the intervention, progress monitoring is used to determine the student’s response to the intervention.” (The Why, p.126) In order to determine the effectiveness of the interventions, data must be collected and analyzed. The Why document (pages 134 and 127) emphasizes the importance of the data team confirming the fidelity of implementation of interventions and aggressively monitoring the student’s response to these intense interventions.

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- In addition to everything that occurs at T1 and T2, data teams (expanded to include school psych, ESOL teacher, SLP, etc.) meet to:
 - Discuss students in T3 who fail to respond to intervention
 - Verify implementation of proven interventions
 - Ensure that interventionist has maintained fidelity to intervention protocol prior to referral
- T3 SST/data teams meet at least once a month to discuss student progress based on daily interventions that include a minimum of four data points
- Interventions are delivered 1:1 – 1:3 during a protected time daily by a trained interventionist
- Teachers consistently provide research-validated interventions designed to meet individual student’s needs
- Data points are documented to monitor student response to daily intervention (NOTE: 12 weeks of data collection with four data points are required prior to referral for special education if a specific learning disability is suspected)

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Expanding:

- Ensure that T3 includes proven interventions that address behavior

Sustaining:

- Continue to ensure that:
 - Students move into and out of T2 and T3
 - Data is used to support response to intervention
 - Referrals to special education are equivalent to proportion of school and system population that represent ethnic and racial composition as a whole
 - Schools and system consistently use decision-making checklist to ensure appropriate recommendations of evidence-based interventions.

E. Action: Implement Tier 4 specially-designed learning through specialized programs, methodologies or instructional based upon students’ inability to access the CCGPS any other way

Why is this important?

The Why document (page 134) states that Tier 4 is developed for students needing additional support and who meet eligibility criteria for special program placement, including gifted, ESOL, and special education. A

Literacy Plan

continuum of services should be outlined to meet specific student needs.

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- School schedules are developed to ensure least restrictive environment (LRE)
- Ensure that building and system administrators are familiar with funding formulas affecting students in special programming
- Consider assigning a case manager to each student with (IEP) (i. e., the case manager should maintain contact even if the student is served by a different special educator in multiple settings (such as team taught) so that communication with student and parents is seamless)
- Special education, ESOL, and gifted teachers participate in professional learning communities to ensure strict alignment with delivery of CCGPS, even in separate settings
- IEP teams include key members required to support students' individualized transition plans and/or attainment of College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards
- Special education, EL, or gifted case managers meet plan and discuss students' progress regularly with general education teachers

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Sustaining:

- Student data supports the exit of students from T4.
- A system of checks and balances ensures fidelity of implementation and progress of student subgroups at a rate commensurate with typical peers indicative of closing the present gap in performance

Building Block 6. Improved Instruction through Professional Learning

A. Action: Ensure that preservice education prepares new teachers for all the challenges of the classroom

Why is this important?

All professionals, regardless of occupation, need to professional learning. "The goal of professional learning is to support viable, sustainable professional learning, improve teacher instruction, and ultimately promote student achievement." (The Why, p. 141) Professional learning should not be viewed as a way to get out of the classroom, but as a means to grow as a professional. Professional learning is an invaluable piece of the education puzzle. In fact, professional learning is one of the most rewarding ways to spend money. "For every \$500 directed toward various school improvement initiatives, those funds directed toward professional development resulted in the greatest student gains on standardized achievement tests." (The Why, p. 141)

What are we currently doing?

This is a major area of concern for our school. Since the economic crisis, our school system has redirected the funding for professional learning. We recognize the importance of professional learning and plan to put measures in place to help in this area.

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Revise teacher preparation and training standards to include coursework in disciplinary literacy for pre-service teachers in all subject areas

Literacy Plan

Implementing:

- Enlist support from institutions of higher education to require pre-service teachers to demonstrate competency in reading theory and practice as well as in the development of disciplinary literacy
- Develop revised evaluation instruments for pre-service teachers

Expanding:

- Develop protocols for evaluating implementation of the new coursework
- Ensure that mentoring teachers are fully trained in providing instruction in disciplinary literacy

Sustaining:

- Continue to monitor and support the integration of disciplinary literacy
- Provide building and system-level administrators with professional learning on the need to integrate disciplinary literacy instruction into the content areas in order to help them make informed hiring decisions

B. Action: Provide professional learning for in-service personnel

Why is this important?

“According to the National Staff Development Council, substantiated academic growth will occur only when professionals receive ongoing, targeted professional learning” (The Why, p. 142). “Leaders at all levels recognize quality professional development as the key strategy for supporting significant improvements. They are able to articulate the critical link between improved student learning and the professional learning of teachers” (The Why, p. 144).

What are we currently doing?

The school will continue to:

- Schedule and protect time during the school day for teachers to collaboratively analyze data, share expertise, study the standards, plan lessons, examine student work, and reflect on practice
- Use teacher data (surveys and interest inventories; teacher observations) as well as student data to target professional learning needs
- Meet in collaborative teams (include pre-service teachers currently working within the school) to support teachers in using literacy strategies effectively

How will we move forward?

The school will:

Planning:

- Encourage every teacher to develop a professional growth plan based on a self-assessment of professional learning needs
- Hire an instructional coach to provide site-based support for staff
- Provide program-specific training in intervention programs before the beginning of the year to prepare teachers and staff for implementation
- Provide training in administering and interpreting results of assessments in terms of literacy

Implementing:

- Provide targeted professional learning on the CCGPS based on student and teacher needs
- Provide opportunities for teachers to practice techniques in non-threatening situations
- Develop a list of sites for an online professional library that includes research-based books, journals, magazines, videos, etc. that teachers can readily access for professional growth

Expanding:

- Revisit and revise professional learning yearly based on student mastery of CCGPS and classroom

Literacy Plan

observations

- Use formal and informal observations to monitor and improve literacy instruction (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist, or some other equivalent instrument)
- Continue program-specific professional learning each year for new and experienced teachers
- Encourage all teachers to share information learned at professional learning sessions

Sustaining:

- Analyze student data to evaluate effectiveness of current professional learning on student mastery of CCGPS in all subgroups
- Ensure that new personnel receive vital professional learning from earlier years
- Expand and strengthen school-university partnerships to build networks of support for literacy programs through the use of online collaborations, blogs and professional organizations
- Continue to encourage “professional talk” among staff and provide time for discussions

Needs Assessment, Concerns and Root Cause Analysis

Description of Needs Assessment Process/Types or Styles of Surveys/Participants

At the initial Striving Readers' grant application work session, the system literacy team studied the research and best practices in the Why document. The Needs Assessment Survey was taken by team as a preview activity, and the decision was made to have all K-5 staff (leaders, classroom teachers, special education staff, Media Specialist, Music, PE, Counselor, and paraprofessionals) take the survey. The survey was administered via Survey Monkey, and results were collected and analyzed at the system and school levels.

Because the results of the needs assessment survey were not sufficiently descriptive, a follow-up survey was created, drilling down to more specific literacy concerns – within the ELA classrooms as well as across the curriculum. The follow-up survey was administered to all K-5 certified teachers via Survey Monkey. The survey included questions on the following topics: reading, writing, language, handwriting, speaking/listening skills, materials and resources, allotted instructional time, professional learning, student engagement, integration of technology instruction, and literacy across the curriculum. Results were again analyzed at the system and school levels.

In addition to grant-specific needs assessment, Colquitt County schools recently completed an annual update of School Improvement Plans. All schools are in the process of preparing for an onsite AdvancEd external review. Stakeholders (teachers, paraprofessionals, students, parents, and community members) are included throughout the school improvement process.

The following data is being used to determine needs in addition to the literacy surveys:

- AdvancEd staff, student, and parent surveys
- Teacher Keys Evaluation System teacher self-assessments
- Annual professional learning needs staff surveys
- SLDS Data
- CCRPI Data
- Test Data – GKIDS, CRCT, CRCT Readiness Indicators for Instructional Planning and Decision Making, ITBS, 3rd and 5th Grade Writing Scores, ACCESS Data, DIBELS Next data, and diagnostic reading assessment data

Concerns/ Root Causes/ Current Actions/Research-Based Practices/Data Analysis Notes

The following concerns were consistently evidenced in survey results, both in the needs assessment survey and follow-up activity. Additional data used in the school improvement process validated concerns identified through staff input.

Building Block 1 – Engaged Leadership	
Concern #1: A shared literacy vision which is owned by school leadership, staff members, students, parents, and community. (What, p 5-6)	
<p>Root Causes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transition phase from GPS to CCGPS and implementation was overwhelming to all concerned • All Elementary Assistant Principals and Instructional Support Specialists being split between 2 schools last year • Lack of administrative focus on literacy during teacher evaluation/observations • Proliferation of literacy initiatives over the years leaving pieces of good programs which are now implemented without consistency, focus, and sustainability • Lack of focused, sustained professional development • Lack of community awareness of needs for early learning in literacy 	<p>Current Practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School newsletters • Administration monitors growth in Oral Reading Fluency, Reading Comprehension, and sight word recognition through DIBELS Next and Fry word list.
<p>Data Analysis Notes: Only 25% of our faculty agree that we are fully operational in creating a school culture in which the teachers accept responsibility for literacy instruction regardless of content area. 60% of our faculty recognizes the need for a shared vision among leadership, staff, students, and community. This is a need recognized by all teachers K-5.</p>	

Building Block 2 – Continuity of Instruction	
Concern #2: Consistent literacy instructional across the curriculum (What, p 7)	
<p>Root Causes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of adequate time in daily schedule for explicit literacy instruction in content areas • Changes to faculty and staff – As a result, our teachers are new to teaching and/or new to the grade or content • Lack of ongoing professional development for all teachers focusing on literacy skills in all content areas • Lack of consistent collaborative planning between content area teachers and ELA teachers • No organized plan for teaching writing skills throughout the curriculum 	<p>Current Practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focus on academic vocabulary • Introduction to Greek and Latin roots (grades 4-7) with purposeful link to content areas • Increase in written responses being required across the curriculum
<p>Data Analysis Notes: On the initial survey, 70% of our teachers indicated a need for more consistent literacy instruction across the curriculum. In the follow-up survey, the teachers reinforced the need for effectively integrating literacy skills across the content areas. While some felt somewhat comfortable, they showed a desire to learn more about speaking and listening skills, understanding Lexile levels, and effectively using</p>	

<p>materials at different levels for differentiation. According to survey results, this need appears in 3rd grade and continues through 5th grade. Grades 3-5 are departmentalized, isolating the direct responsibilities of direct literacy instruction to only one teacher. Departmentalization has contributed to many teachers feeling they are not responsible for literacy instruction.</p>	
<p align="center">Building Block 3 – Ongoing Summative and Formative Assessments</p>	
<p>Concern #3: An ongoing formative and summative assessment system (What, p 8)</p>	
<p>Root Causes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of different assessment systems over the years which has caused confusion for teachers • Lack of consistent long-term analysis of progress • Lack of funding for an online management system to streamline administration, recording and analysis of data • Insufficient professional learning on use of assessment data to drive instruction • No system-wide benchmark system 	<p>Current Practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implementing a modified version of DIBELS Next testing. • “At risk” students are tested further using specified diagnostic measures. • Using data to drive explicit reading instruction is in the earliest stages. • Formative Instructional Practice work • OAS formative test items
<p>Data Analysis Notes: While we have implemented periodic screenings, we still lack means to evaluate the effectiveness of instruction. Over 70% of our teachers realize the need for using data to improve teaching. This need comes to the surface with our 1st grade students, as this is where our county wide, reading data screenings begin.</p>	

<p align="center">Building Block 4: Best Practices in Literacy Instruction</p>	
<p>Concern #4: Direct, explicit instruction in reading, language, and writing across the curriculum that is aligned with CCGPS. (What, p 9,10)</p>	
<p>Root Causes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transition from GPS to CCGPS – more complex texts without focusing on literacy skills needed to gain understanding • No research-based scope and sequence • Lack of funding to adopt aligned materials • Lack of ongoing professional development • Lack of focused monitoring of current practices in literacy instruction • Lack of student motivation and engagement • Weak school-readiness skills – impoverished background knowledge, exposure to language, availability of print in homes (due to poverty) 	<p>Current Practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using Treasures (not aligned to GPS) • Using county’s revised integrated units (revised state units) • Lingering practices from America’s Choice design • Supplementing foundational skills’ instruction with Reading Differentiation Boxes, Jack and Jilly, FCRR materials, and teacher-selected resources • Efforts to deconstruct standards and understand intent of CCGPS • Efforts to align existing materials to CCGPS
<p>Data Analysis Notes: Survey data across all grade levels and content areas overwhelmingly indicates a need for a focus of materials, resources, and professional development. Over 85% of teachers feel that students need to receive more writing and reading instruction across the curriculum. This need is reinforced in the follow up survey where 100% of teachers in grades 3-5 feel the need for more appropriate materials for literacy and writing instruction within the CCGPS framework.</p>	


Building Block 5: System of Tiered Intervention (RTI) for All Students	
Concern #5: Systematic RTI protocol, resources, implementation, and monitoring. (What, p 11-12)	
Root Causes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate and inconsistent time for intervention groups • Research based materials frequently not used with fidelity • Inadequate and inconsistent professional learning for interventionists • No system wide coordinator of RTI 	Current Practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site-based student support teams • Documentation is reviewed by a system team when a child is referred for evaluation. • Schools work creatively to find time/materials for Tier 2/Tier 3 interventions. • Parents are involved in the RTI process.
Data Analysis Notes: Data indicates concerns with Tier 1 instruction, differentiation, and Tier 2/3 instruction across all grade levels. Over 75% of our faculty expressed the need for professional learning with intervention strategies. This is an area of concern among all teachers K-5.	

Building Block 6: Improved Instruction through Professional Learning	
Concern #6: Professional learning for literacy instruction for all leaders, teachers, and paraprofessionals. (What, p 13)	
Root Causes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction of school work days • Redirection of professional learning funds away from literacy • Staff turnover (attrition, changing grades/subjects) • Absence of plan for training and supporting new staff members • Lack of release time for all professional learning 	Current Practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The system has a professional learning plan which is the focus for all training. • Schools have individual site plans aligned to the system's goals.
Data Analysis Notes: Data was clear that all leaders, teachers, and paraprofessionals need ongoing professional learning opportunities about effective literacy instruction. Almost 90% of teachers expressed a need for additional professional learning in literacy. This need was evident in all teacher surveys ranging K-5. According to both surveys, professional learning is a critical area of need across all grade levels and content areas.	

Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data

Student Demographics


Our student body is unique among the other schools in Colquitt County. Primarily due to residential patterns, Stringfellow is the only school to serve a majority of African American students. The pie chart below shows the number of students from each ethnicity.




Assessments for Data Analysis

1. Reading Data


CRCT Reading – Analyzing the student data reveals little change in reading scores over the past 5 years. Stringfellow students have regressed since the implementation of CCGPS in 2012, though the Hispanics less than others.




DIBELS Next – The fact that only 48% of 2nd grade students are reading on grade level in oral reading fluency, indicates a serious weakness in Kindergarten through second grade instruction in foundational skills. The number of grade-level readers drops each year with minor increase in 5th grade.




Predictably, the DAZE screening of reading comprehension scores reflects the weakness in oral reading fluency. The vast majority of our students are not comprehending grade-level text. Though the percentage rises from 22% in 3rd grade to 36% in 5th, this is an area of great concern.



Lexile Level – Most alarming is that only 19.6% of our 3rd grade students read at a Lexile level of 650 or greater. The increased levels of text complexity being required by the CCGPS make this measure the most critical of the indicators yet.




Fifth grade students fared even worse on this measure with only 11.9% of students able to cope successfully with text at a Lexile level of 850 or higher.




2. CRCT Subjects – The charts below show the percentage of students by ethnicity who met or exceeded expectations on the CRCT from 2009-2013. Scores, across all content areas, show a peak year in 2010 and 2011 across subgroups, but then declined. As noted in the Needs Assessment/Root Causes section, this may be partially due to the transition from GPS to Common Core in 2012. At the same time, due to budgetary concerns, the county also made the decision to assign Assistant Principals a second role as halftime Instructional Support personnel. Due to this increased responsibility for administrators, time for classroom monitoring and support decreased. With the curriculum transition and administrators’ diminished time for follow up in the classroom, scores have suffered.


Language – Stringfellow has shown a steady decrease in scores across all ethnic backgrounds since 2012, with the exception of the Hispanic students who have shown growth since 2009.




Math – Following a peak in scores in years 2010 and 2011, there has been a significant drop in scores in 2012 and 2013 across all ethnic backgrounds.



Science – Stringfellow shows a 25% decline in scores for both Black and Hispanic ethnicities in 2012, with an additional drop in scores in 2013 for Black and White ethnicities.




Social Studies – Again, after a peak in 2010, scores declined in 2011 and 2012 across all ethnicities. However, in 2013 There is a significant rebound among the Hispanic population and 5% gain among African American students.




Student performance in the content areas indicates a need for professional learning in how to integrate literacy skills across the curriculum.

Subgroups:


Students with Disabilities show a trend similar to the entire school. The scores show increases in all content areas from 2009 through 2011, but then decline in each of the past 2 years.



Similarly, the **Economically Disadvantaged** subgroup shows an increase in reading, language and math from 2009 through 2011; but beginning in 2011, the scores have steadily declined in all academic areas.




The **English Language Learners** subgroup has shown a general increase in scores since 2009 in all academic areas with the exception of Math, where the scores have remained relatively stagnant (aside from a spike in 2010). Unlike the other subgroups, the EL population has shown consistent growth in Language scores since 2009.




3. Georgia Writing Assessment

Again, there has been strong growth in the percentage of students who met or exceeded from 2009 to 2012. However, there is a significant decline in the 2013 school year, primarily as a result of a large number of special education students in 5th grade.




Third-grade writing scores show a different pattern from all other forms of data collected. Though most data points show a peak year in 2011 followed by a decline to the current school year, the 3rd grade writing scores show a decline to the 2011 school year followed by a rapid growth, in all areas measured, to the current school year. This growth is attributed to an increased focus on writing and the addition of a new 3rd grade ELA teacher.




4. ITBS

The fact that our 5th graders have an average grade equivalency equal to 3rd grade is certainly cause for concern. There is no doubt that increasing literacy proficiency as well as strengthening vocabulary among all of our students will have a positive impact on the math, science, and social studies portions of this test.




Similar to our 5th Grade ITBS scores, the reading portions of this test are the lowest of all content areas. The math, science, and social studies scores on the test should show an increase as the literacy proficiency improves.



5. GKIDS

Our GKIDS information shows, by the end of Kindergarten, only 39% of our students meet the expectation in writing, while only 51% of our students meet the expectation in reading. This data clearly confirms the need for literacy support at the earliest levels of education.



Changes to Faculty and Staff

One issue is faculty/staff turnover. The table below shows the number of changes in each of the past three school years. This current school year shows the most turnover with four new certified teachers and a new administrator. The turnover is due to teacher transfer to other schools or teacher retirement. The lack of professional development funds available to train the new teachers makes turnover even more difficult. In addition to the certified turnover, we have three teachers who are new to their grade level. The need for professional development has never been higher at Stringfellow Elementary School.

	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013
Paraprofessionals	2	0	2
Classroom Teachers	2	2	4
Administration	1	2	1

Professional Learning

Stringfellow’s greatest professional learning needs, as determined by teacher surveys and questionnaires, are classroom management, small group instruction, and reading intervention for struggling readers. Teachers are currently engaged in the following professional learning: Educational Impact, Formative Instructional Practice, TKES, iPad training, CCGPS, and differentiating reading instruction based upon test data.

The data indicates the need for a systematic, sequential program of foundational skills instruction for improved word identification and fluency. Stringfellow also needs support for teachers in the integration of literacy skills into content area instruction and to strengthen instruction in vocabulary.

Goals:

The data presented in this section indicates the need for our students to receive effective literacy instruction at an early age. As many of our students enter school behind their expected level of performance, we must have a consistent literacy plan in place to move students to their appropriate level of performance.

1. Increase percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in reading and writing each year.
2. Increase percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th graders scoring at and above expectation in math, science, and social studies each year
3. Using school-based data, design a comprehensive system of tiered interventions for all students.

Objectives:

The objectives to meet these goals are clearly outlined with timeline, funding source, and measure of effectiveness in the project plan section.

Project Plan – Procedures, Goals, Objectives, and Support

***The following people will be responsible for the implementation and monitoring of the project plan: Grant Administrator (GA), School Administrators (SA), System and School Literacy Teams (LT), Approved Consultants (AC), Teachers (Reg Ed, Sp Ed, ESOL, Sp Areas) (T)**

Goal: Increase the percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in reading. (Building Blocks 4/5)			
Current Best Practices: (What, 9) DIBELS Next – disaggregation/use of data, diagnostic testing (Phonological Awareness, Phonics Inventory, Decoding Inventory, Fry Words), running records (What, 7), collaborative planning (What, 9), deconstructing standards, use of Differentiation Box training/materials			
Objectives	Timeline	Funding Source	Measure of Effectiveness
Conduct an audit of current resources/materials based on carefully articulated scope/sequence of skills and CCGPS alignment (What, 9)	Spring, 2014	Release Time SRCLG Local Funds	Center on Instruction Building the Foundation Scope and Sequence *LT
Research, select, purchase needed instructional materials (What, 9)			
Conduct classroom literacy observations to gauge current practice in reading instruction (What, 10)	Baseline Spring, 2014 Ongoing	N/A	Classroom Observation Data *SA
Provide research-based professional learning on components of literacy for all staff (Why, 141)	Summer, 2014 Ongoing	Release Time SRCLG	Professional Learning Log Classroom Observation Data *SA
Ensure daily literacy block of 120-150 minutes includes all grade-appropriate literacy components (whole group explicit instruction and differentiated small groups) (What, 10)	Fall, 2014	N/A	Classroom Schedules Walkthrough Observations *SA
Create/implement system plan for vertical/shared responsibility of literacy/reading goals across curriculum (What, 10)	Fall, 2014 Ongoing		Lesson Plans *SA, LT
Strengthen system-wide formative/summative assessments with protocol for administration of tests/using data	January, 2015 Ongoing	Release Time SRCLG	Analysis of Student Work
Provide professional learning for teachers and paras to develop/sustain intentional strategies for student engagement/motivation (What, 11)	Summer, 2015 Ongoing	SRCLG/PL Funds Release Time	Classroom Observations Formative/Summative Assessments
Conduct Family Literacy Night – Twice yearly (What, 7)	Ongoing	SRCLG	*SA, T
Purchase needed technology and professional learning for full implementation of technology in the classroom to support learning and motivation. (Why, 56)	Spring, 2015 Ongoing	SRCLG	*SA, LT
Additional Evidence of Research-Based Practice: “The ability to read is the bedrock of all types of literacy.” (Why, 98)			

Goal: Increase the percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in writing. (Building Blocks 4/5)			
Current Best Practices: (What, 10) CCGPS units, writing rubrics, use of student exemplar work, deconstructing standards			
Objectives	Timeline	Funding Source	Measure of Effectiveness
Conduct classroom literacy observations to gauge current practice in writing instruction (What, 10 and 13)	Baseline Spring, 2014 Ongoing	N/A	Analysis of Writing Samples *LT
Research/select best approach to developing/implementing a writing curriculum aligned with CCGPS which includes meaningful opportunities for daily writing (What, 10)	Spring, 2014	SRCLG Local Funds	
Provide professional learning on best practices for writing instruction across all content areas (What, 10)	Summer, 2014 Ongoing	SRCLG	Professional Learning Log Writing Samples *SA
Ensure that daily literacy block of 120-150 minutes includes explicit writing instruction, guided practice, independent practice for all students (What, 10)	Fall, 2014	N/A	Writing Samples Classroom Observations *SA
Design/implement CCGPS-aligned plan for writing that is articulated horizontally/vertically across all content areas (What, 6,7, and 10)	Fall, 2014 Ongoing	SRCLG Local Funds	Plan for Writing Instruction Lesson Plans Writing Samples *SA
Develop/incorporate valid formative/summative writing assessments (Why, 94-98) with protocol for administration/using data	Spring, 2015 Ongoing	Release Time SRCLG	Rubrics Analysis of Student Work *GA
Conduct Family Literacy Night – Twice Yearly	Ongoing	SRCLG	*SA, T
Purchase needed technology for classroom teachers and ESOL teachers to assist with writing instruction. Provide professional learning on how to use technology.	Spring, 2015 Ongoing	SRCLG	*SA, LT
Evidence of Research-Based Practice:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “The implementation of strong writing programs is crucial to a literacy initiative.” (Why, 45) 			

Goal: Increase the percentage of third, fourth, and fifth graders scoring at and above expectation in math, science, and social studies. (Building Block 2)			
Current Best Practices: Grade level math units incorporating daily writing, Year at a Glance sequence of content area topics			
Objectives	Timeline	Funding Source	Measure of Effectiveness
Provide professional learning on literacy instruction within content areas: (What, 6 and 10) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explicit comprehension strategies • Text complexity • Incorporation of non-fiction and literary texts • Academic vocabulary Writing experiences in all genres incorporating content area topics (Why, 50-55)	Summer, 2015 Ongoing	SRCLG	Professional Learning Log Classroom Observations *SA
Purchase content-based texts (multiple formats)	January, 2015		
Develop common formative/summative assessments within content areas with protocol for using data (What, 8)	January, 2015 Ongoing	Release Time SRCLG	Student Data *GA, SA, T
Adopt systematic plan for teaching academic vocabulary in all subjects. (What, 6)	Fall, 2015 Ongoing	SRCLG Local Funds	
Evidence of Research-Based Practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “A successful interaction with any text depends on the student’s ability to access, use, and evaluate content material based on background and vocabulary knowledge, word study strategies, fluency, motivation and now even familiarity with the media used to deliver the content.” (Why, 49) 			

Goal: Using school-based data, design a comprehensive system of tiered interventions for all students. (Building Blocks 3/5)			
Current Best Practices: (What, 11) System assessment calendar, DIBELS Next testing in grades 1-5, follow-up diagnostic testing (What, 10), reading foundational block in daily schedule (What, 12), intervention groups, school RTI committee, system SST review process			
Objectives	Timeline	Funding Source	Measure of Effectiveness
Strengthen use of screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring assessments	Summer, 2014	SRGLG	DIBELS Next Data SRI Data *GA, SA, T
Train teachers on effective data usage for planning/implementing interventions and monitoring student progress (Why, 122-124)	Fall, 2014 Ongoing		RTI Data *GA
Inventory, evaluate, purchase, and train individuals on appropriate intervention materials			Inventory of Materials *GA, SA
Schedule protected intervention time either during the day or in extended day/year			Schedules *SA, T
Review data to determine effectiveness of all instruction	January, 2015 Ongoing	N/A	RTI Data Analysis of Assessments *GA, SA
Evidence of Research-Based Practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “The Georgia Literacy Plan includes a deliberate and comprehensive plan for assessment...to plan for instruction.” (Why, 94) 			

Response to Intervention Model

Leveled Instructional Tier	<i>Data should be part of an ongoing cycle of instructional improvement.</i>	Instructional Strategies
<p>Tier I Quality standards-based instruction provided to all students in all classrooms (Why, 126)</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Classroom instruction based on CCGPS • Best practices identified by the National Reading Panel • Universal screening
<p>Tier II Standard protocol interventions provided for targeted students (Why, 126)</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnostic testing to identify causes of student weaknesses • Consistent segments of instruction based on need (phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension) – small group setting (5-7 students) • Progress monitoring • Adjustment of interventions
<p>Tier III Based on evidence-based protocols SST/Data teams monitor progress jointly (What,12 and Why, 127)</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensive interventions in small groups (1-3) • Increased frequency and duration • Intensive monitoring/adjustment of interventions
<p>Tier IV Specially-designed learning to meet individual needs (Why, 127)</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Due process • Based on individual learning plan • Specialized programs, methodologies, and instructional deliveries • Intensive monitoring/adjustment of interventions

**Tentative Master Schedule
2014-2015**

K	7:30-8:00	8:00-9:00	9:00-10:30	10:30 – 11:00	11:00-11:25	11:25-12:10	12:15-12:50	1:00-2:30		
	Breakfast	Reading	Phonics/Writing	Science/SS	Intervention	Activity	Lunch	Math		
1st Grade	7:30-8:00	8:05-8:50	9:00-10:00	10:00-10:45	10:45 – 12:00	12:00-12:30	12:30-12:45	12:45-1:30	1:30-2:30	
	Intervention	Activity	Reading	Intervention	Math	Lunch	Recess	Lang/Writing	Science/SS	
2nd Grade	7:30-8:00	8:00-9:10	9:10-9:55	10:00-10:45	10:45-11:15	11:15-11:45	11:45-12:00	12:00-1:00	1:00-1:45	1:45-2:30
	Intervention	Math	Activity	Intervention	Language	Lunch	Recess	Reading	Writing	Science/SS
3rd Grade	7:30-8:00	8:00-9:00	9:00-9:30	9:30-10:30	10:30-11:20	11:20-11:35	11:45-12:15	12:15-1:15	1:15 – 2:30	
	Intervention	Writing	Language	Reading	Activity	Recess	Lunch	Math	Science/SS	
4th Grade	7:30-8:00	8:00-9:20	9:20-9:35	9:35-10:15	10:15-11:00	11:00-11:32	11:30-12:50	12:50-1:40	1:40-1:55	1:55-2:30
	Intervention	Reading	Recess	Language	Writing	Lunch	Math	Activity	Science	SS
5th Grade	7:30-8:00	8:00-8:45	8:45-10:15	10:15-11:00	11:00-11:30	11:30-12:32	12:00-1:15	1:15-1:40	1:35-2:20	
	Intervention	Reading	Language	Writing	Science	Lunch	Math	SS	Activity	

Assessment/Data Analysis Plan

Current Assessment Protocol

Assessment	Grade Level Assessed	Purpose	Skills Assessed	Frequency
GKIDS	Kindergarten	Measure/monitor mastery of skills	CCGPS	Baseline and Quarterly
Writing Portfolio	K-5	Measure/monitor growth	Writing	Quarterly (indicated in Units)
Georgia Writing Assessment	3 and 5	Measure mastery of Writing Standards	Writing	1 time per year: Winter
Fry Word Inventory	K-3 4-5 as needed	Assess fluency/accuracy of high frequency words	High Frequency Words	3 times per year: October, January, and April (ongoing as needed)
Phonological Awareness Inventory	K-1	Diagnostic	Phonological Awareness Skills	Minimum of 1 time per year (ongoing as needed)
Letter Name Correspondence	1	Diagnostic	Letter names	1 time per year
Letter Sound Correspondence	1	Diagnostic	Letter Sounds	1 time per year
Reading Levels	K-5	Assess reading level	Independent reading level (Fountas & Pinell)	Ongoing throughout year
DIBELS Next	1-5	Universal Screener	Oral Reading Fluency and Comprehension	ORF: 3 times per year (2-5) 2 times per year for 1 DAZE: 3 times per year (3-5)
Informal Phonics Inventory	1-3	Diagnostic	Alphabetic Knowledge and Decoding	As necessary
Informal Decoding Inventory	3-5	Diagnostic	Decoding	As necessary
ACCESS for ELs	K-5	Screener, Diagnostic	Language	1 time per year
Georgia Online Assessment	3-5	Formative	CCGPS/GPS	Ongoing
CRCT	3-5	Criterion- Reference Achievement	CCGPS/GPS	1 time per year
ITBS	3 and 5	Norm-Reference Gifted Screening	All Content Areas	1 time per year
Georgia Alternate Assessment	K-5	Achievement	CCGPS/GPS	Ongoing/Reporting 1 time per year
Unit Assessment Tests	K-5	Mastery Guide Instruction	CCGPS	Weekly/Bi-weekly

Comparison of Current Assessment Protocol with SRCL Assessment Plan

Currently the district requires administration of DIBELS Next ORF three times per year in grades 2-5 and 2 times per year in grade one. However, only one reading passage is used at this time with no retelling. In addition, students in grades 3-5 are assessed with DIBELS Next DAZE three times per year. Follow-up diagnostic testing including Phonological Awareness, Informal Phonics Inventory, and Informal Decoding Inventory protocol are well established. Consistent progress monitoring is in the emergent stage. The DIBELS Next components for grades K and 1 are not being used presently. State-mandated testing will definitely continue for outcome measures. Scholastic Reading Inventory is not being used at this time.

Implementation of New Assessments/Discontinuation of Current Assessments

With implementation of the grant, our school will follow the schedule for literacy assessments as listed below. The Blitz team approach for school-wide benchmark testing has been used with success the past two years, so this process will continue. State tests will continue as mandated.

Assessment	Grade Level Assessed	Persons Responsible	Frequency
DIBELS Next ISF, LNF, PSF, NWF	K-1	School Assessment Blitz Team	3 times per year
Phonological Awareness Inv.	K-1	Replace with DIBELS Next	
Letter Name Correspondence	1		
Letter Sound Correspondence	1		
DIBELS Next ORF	1-5	School Assessment Blitz Team	3 times per year
DIBELS Next DAZE	3-5	Replace with SRI	
Scholastic Reading Inventory	3-5	School Assessment Blitz Team	3 times per year
Follow-up Diagnostic Testing	K-5	Classroom Teachers	3 Times/As Needed
Fry Word Inventory	K-3 and 4-5 as needed		
Unit Assessment Tests	K-5		
Running Records	K-5	Classroom Teachers	Ongoing
Unit Assessment Tests	K-5		Weekly/Bi-weekly
<i>Progress monitoring components of DIBELS Next, SRI, and diagnostic assessments will be implemented with fidelity to guide instruction as expected within the RTI model.</i>			

Professional Learning Needs for New Assessments

Teachers and administrators will receive formal training on administration of Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI) and DIBELS Next. A system team will be trained on SRI by Scholastic consultant, and all schools will then have a full day of on-site support. The system team will use online training options for DIBELS Next. The system team will use the “train the trainer” model to redeliver information at the schools. In addition, training will be provided on progress monitoring tools, available reporting, and effective use of all data to guide instruction.

Refresher training will be provided for all teachers on the administration of diagnostic tests in order to insure fidelity. Teachers will be trained to use the data for differentiation within the classrooms, with a focus on the entire cycle of using data and progress monitoring to improve student achievement. Work will lead to the development of formative assessments using CCGPS and knowledge gained from analysis of data.

Communication of Data to Parents and Stakeholders

The results of school-wide data reports will be communicated to parents and stakeholders in the following manner:

- Hardcopy reports sent home to parents
- Title Parent Meetings and/or PTO meetings
- School Report Card
- School Council, Literacy Team, Leadership Team, and Board Meetings
- School website or other media

Individual student data will be shared with parents at parent teacher conferences or hardcopy reports sent to parents. We will provide parents with an easily interpreted graph of their child's DIBELS Next data, which allows us to "use technology to share relevant student progress data with parents and caregivers in an easily interpreted user-friendly format" (How, 3B).

Use of Data to Develop Instructional Strategies/Determine Materials and Needs

The use of assessment data is crucial to the implementation of an effective Response to Intervention model. Dr. Richard Stiggins, an expert in classroom-based formative assessments, suggests, "The principle assessment challenge that we face in schools today is to ensure that sound assessment practices permeate every classroom - that assessments are used to benefit pupils....This challenge has remained unmet for decades, and the time has come to conquer this final assessment frontier: the effective use of formative assessment to support learning." (Why, 95) Colquitt County Schools are determined to overcome the danger of allowing the process of testing to overwhelm the product. We are committed to effectively using the data to drive decision making at all levels.

Stringfellow is primarily focused on becoming a data driven school. Student assessment data is collected and compiled for comparison to expected levels of performance. Our focus is on the following assessments: DIBELS Next ORF, DIBELS Next DAZE, Fry Word Inventory, Running Records, letter and number recognition, rote counting, and letter sounds. After analyzing the benchmark scores on the assessments, an expected level of growth is calculated. This percentage is the growth each student should show every year. To assist with close monitoring of student achievement, students are flagged as SpEd, EL, Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3. Since the purpose is growth, every student should make progress regardless of ability level.

By generating a growth percentage for each class, the effectiveness of teaching strategies can be closely monitored. For example, if the growth percentage for Fry Words falls short of the expected level of performance, the administrator and teacher can analyze Fry Word instruction to find areas for improvement. By measuring growth, administration can help teachers take ownership of their classroom achievement. Likewise, the teacher is able to help students take ownership of their learning. The primary focus of all data analysis is to encourage students to take ownership of their achievement.

The results of student assessment data will be used for the following purposes (Why, 96):

- Identify students' strengths and weakness, thus grouping as indicated for targeted instruction
- Establish learning goals for students
- Inform students and parents of progress toward goals and work to adjust goals as warranted

- Inform process of intervention
- Evaluate effectiveness of the instruction in meeting the goals for each student, thus being able to adjust instruction as needed
- Match instruction to learning through effective instructional design
- Evaluate effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction
- Determine if fundamental content-based literacy skills are lacking, thus identifying programmatic needs
- Identify areas of need for professional learning opportunities

Resources, Strategies and Materials to Support Literacy Plan

Resources Needed to Implement Literacy Plan (including student engagement)

- Research-based literacy instructional materials
- Professional learning – consultant fees, stipends, or release time (subs), and materials
- Literary and informational texts on various levels (specific focus on student interests) for classrooms and media center
- Content-based texts on various levels and aligned to units of study
- Take-home libraries
- Digital content-based texts on various levels and aligned to units of study
- K-5 literacy manipulative classroom sets
- Travel expenses for conferences
- Scholastic Reading Inventory
- DIBELS Next Data Management
- Research-based intervention materials and/or software with necessary professional learning (to include all content areas)
- Trained intervention specialists
- Grant administrator
- Site-based instructional specialist
- Literacy Instruction Observation Checklist
- Family involvement activities
- Extended day/year program for students
- Transportation for extended day/year activities
- Personnel to staff extended day/year program
- Consumable materials – notebooks, dividers, paper, toner, markers, poster boards, tabs, etc.
- Classroom computers
- Networkable printers
- Interactive boards for unequipped classrooms
- Portable lab of interactive tablets with appropriate applications
- Wireless connectivity infrastructure

Activities that Support Literacy Intervention Programs

- Dedicated scheduled time for intervention
- Flexible, needs-based grouping
- DIBELS Next Screening for oral reading fluency and comprehension
- Use of diagnostic follow-up tools (Phonological Awareness Inventory, Informal Phonics Inventory, Decoding Inventory, Fry Words, Comprehension Check with passages and rubric)
- Use of data to drive instruction
- Emerging protocol for Response to Intervention
- Research-based intervention materials - Fast ForWord, Sonday, Differentiation Boxes (Walpole and McKenna), Jack and Jilly
- ESOL training on strategies for teaching academic content vocabulary
- Mentor program
- Title I parent coordinators
- Parent education through family academic nights

- Special Education and ESOL – Co-teaching Training
- ESOL – Rosetta Stone student software and teacher training
- WIDA and ACCESS training

Shared Resources Available

- Pacing guides
- Instructional units with resources on the local share drive
- Progression of Reading Skills document (explanation of reading foundational skills with examples of instructional activities)
- Florida Center for Reading Research resources
- Classroom Extended Text Sets (grades 3-5 for integrated units)
- Treasures Materials – for grade level instruction and intervention
- Interactive boards
- Teacher/student computers
- Computer lab – Ed. City, Mega Math, Study Island, United Streaming, Nettekker
- Mobile classroom set of student tablets
- Student Response Systems
- Bookrooms including professional resources as well as student leveled readers
- Media Center resources

List of Library Resources/Description of Library

The library uses the Destiny Circulation Software System for online cataloging of books. Resources are arranged by the Dewey Decimal System and contain:

- 7,566 total copies
- Average publication date - 1997
- 1,983 Easy Books
- 1,355 Fiction Books
- 2,391 Nonfiction Books
- 499 DVD/VHS copies (Fiction and Nonfiction)
- 634 Reference Materials
- 637 Professional Materials
- 53 Parent Resources
- Items per student 16.37

The library is equipped with:

- 6 computers (average age 5 years)
- 2 printers
- Projector mounted on the ceiling with a pull down screen
- 2 televisions (average age 13 years)
- 1 laminator (15 years old)

Activities that Support Classroom Practices

- Use of integrated units with resources available on local share drive
- Alignment of county pacing guides to CCGPS
- Research-based instructional strategies
- Differentiated instruction
- Progress monitoring
- Formative and summative assessments
- Vocabulary instruction in all content areas
- Technology-enhanced lessons
- Instruction in phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- Collaborative grade-level and cross grade-level planning including resource staff (school-wide and county-wide)
- Make and Take sessions for teachers with professional learning on how and why activity is important
- Formative Instructional Practice training

Additional Strategies Needed to Support Student Success

- Strategies for increasing student engagement
- DIBELS Next data management system
- Consistent use of DIBELS Next Progress monitoring
- Scholastic Reading Inventory – full use of data
- Explicit phonics instruction
- Grammar assessments
- Professional Learning in the following areas:
 - Best teaching practices for all components of literacy
 - Best teaching practices for direct instruction on process of writing
 - Best practices for writing instruction across content areas
 - Understanding Lexiles
 - Webb’s Depth of Knowledge
 - Strategies for student engagement and motivation
 - Integration of technology in instruction
 - Literacy across all content areas
 - Continuation of deconstructing standards
 - Development and utilization of common formative/summative assessments
 - Effective data usage for planning instruction, implementing interventions, and monitoring student progress
 - Interventions for all tiers of RTI
 - Refresher training on existing intervention materials
 - Differentiation and small group instruction
 - Specific training for paraprofessionals

Current Classroom Resources

- Treasures comprehensive reading program materials for grade level instruction and intervention
- Leveled libraries
- Manipulatives for direct literacy instruction

- Florida Center for Reading Research activities
- Limited resources for station activities
- Interactive boards (not every classroom) and projectors
- Printers
- Digital Cameras
- Internet access
- iPad per classroom
- Limited teacher/student computer workstations
- Assistive Technology (Snap and Read, CoWriter)

Alignment Plan for SRCLG and Other Funding

Resources, Strategies, and Materials	SRCLG will provide...	Funding Sources
Professional Learning	Literacy specific - consultant fees, training materials, reimbursement for substitutes, travel and registration fees for conferences, stipends	<p>The following funding sources will be utilized as deemed appropriate and available:</p> <p>QBE, Title I, Title II, Title III, Title VI, SPLOST, IDEA, SRCLG, eSPLOST, Local Funds, McKinney Vento Homeless Education Grant</p> <p>Many students also benefit from the YMCA Goizueta afterschool program activities.</p>
Instructional Technology	Computers, tablets, printers, costs of technology programs, wireless infrastructure	
Instructional Literacy Materials	Explicit literacy materials (and staff professional learning) for remediation and acceleration, leveled readers, manipulatives and supplies	
Literacy Assessments	DIBELS Next data management, Scholastic Reading Inventory, teacher resources for implementation of assessments	
Family Engagement	Materials for parent education, supplies for make it/take it sessions with families	
Extended Day/Year Activities	Personnel, supplies, transportation	
Field Trips	Admission fees, transportation	
Consumable Materials	Notebooks, dividers, paper, toner, markers, poster boards, tabs, etc.	

Demonstration of How Any Proposed Technology Purchases Support RTI, Student Engagement, Instructional Practice, Writing, Etc.

Research shows that the use of technology substantially facilitates collecting, managing, and analyzing data used with RTI and all instructional programs. A technology-based literacy assessment program/process (DIBELS Next data management and SRI) will allow for effective, efficient, and immediate data to drive instructional decision-making. In addition, the progress monitoring tools will be personalized and beneficial for student growth. With decreased financial resources, funding supplemented by the SRCL grant will allow the updating of technological devices as well as the replacement of printers and supplies necessary for data reports and instruction.

Students become more motivated when instructional technology is utilized in classrooms. Providing consistent classroom opportunities to integrate technology will engage students in the process of learning. In addition, access to software, programs, activities, and strategies which promote engagement and individualized instruction will increase student engagement/motivation.

Technology is an essential tool for enhancing the learning experience, and professional learning for school staff is imperative for effective integration. Effective use of technology must support four key components of learning – active engagement, group participation, frequent interaction and feedback, and connection to real-world experiences. Students’ motivation to learn is increased when using technology.

Professional Learning Strategies Identified on the Basis of Documented Needs

“For every \$500 directed toward various school improvement initiatives, those funds directed toward professional development resulted in the greatest student gains on standardized achievement tests.” (Why, 141)

Professional Learning in Past Year – The chart below shows the percentage of current staff that participated in professional learning opportunities last school year. Stringfellow experienced an abnormally high amount of teacher turnover from last school year. With a lack of funding available to train teachers in all of the areas of professional learning, the percentages of attendance are low. As this table shows, Stringfellow teachers are expected to implement programs and initiatives in which they have not been trained. Therefore, professional learning is the primary focus of funding provided by the Striving Readers Grant.

	Attended
<i>TKES (Teacher Keys Effectiveness System)</i>	100%
<i>ELA Countywide Grade Level Meetings</i>	92%
<i>Math Countywide Grade Level Meetings</i>	92%
<i>CCGPS Deconstructing Standards</i>	72%
<i>IPad Training</i>	73%
<i>Fast ForWord</i>	36%
<i>ELA Summer Training Institute (held at UGA in Tifton)</i>	10%
<i>ELA Unit Writers K-5th</i>	16%
<i>Gifted Endorsement</i>	16%
<i>SACS Review</i>	68%
<i>CCGPS Reading/ELA Webinars (K-5th)</i>	42%
<i>Formative Instructional Practices</i>	32%
<i>DIBELS Next Training</i>	10%
<i>Summer Science training at RESA</i>	5%
<i>Thinking Maps (ESOL)</i>	100%
<i>ESOL Strategies (Virginia Rojas)</i>	100%

Ongoing Professional Learning

- TKES (Teacher Keys Effectiveness System)
- ELA Schoolwide/Countywide Grade Level Meetings
- Math Schoolwide/Countywide Grade Level Meetings
- CCGPS Deconstructing Standards
- Ipad Training
- Gifted Endorsement

- SACS Review
- CCGPS Reading/ELA Webinar
- Educational Impact (online professional learning database)
- Formative Instructional Practices
- Use of Statewide Longitudinal Data System resources
- Rosetta Stone – ESOL

Programmatic Professional Learning Needs Identified in Needs Assessment

- Differentiated Instruction: activities, strategies, and management
- Implementation of CCGPS
- Disaggregating DIBELS Next data
- Direct and explicit reading strategies to help struggling readers
- Explicit phonics instructional strategies
- Direct and explicit strategies for language/grammar instruction
- How to assist students in reading complex texts in all content areas
- Explicit vocabulary instruction
- Effective writing strategies
- Using technology to enhance instruction and promote engagement
- Literacy instruction across the curriculum
- How to use Lexiles
- Response to Intervention
- Mentoring for new teachers
- Participation in statewide professional literacy-based learning webinars, online courses, and conferences
- Strategies to support EL and SWD learners
- GA DOE OAS (Online Assessment System)

Process Used to Determine if Professional Development was Adequate and Effective

The following processes are used to determine the adequacy and effectiveness of professional development:

- Analysis of student achievement data-benchmark data for DIBELS Next and summative data for GKIDS & CRCT
- Analysis of achievement scores on 3rd and 5th grade Georgia Writing Assessment
- Formative assessments to measure student achievement gains
- Professional Learning Community meetings and documentation
- Walk-throughs and observations to collect data on professional learning implementation
- Written feedback and summaries of conducted walk-throughs and observations
- Evaluation of professional learning activities through a Needs Assessment Survey
- Presentation by teachers of successful strategies at grade-level and collaborative team meetings
- Course evaluation data from PD Express
- Review of lesson plans by administration
- Analyzing student work collaboratively

Professional Learning Plan

Due to funding shortages and the enormous pressures on teachers’ time, Colquitt County is proposing that the professional learning funding be directed toward providing teachers with sufficient increments of release time, spaced throughout the year, allowing teacher’s time to digest and experiment with what they are learning. The needs assessment was analyzed to determine which type of professional learning is most needed.

In order for successful implementation of our professional learning plan, we will ensure:

- Grade-group teams will participate together in online coursework (beginning with the modules at comprehensivereadingsolutions.org) during release time one to two times per month.
- Grade-group coursework will be spaced throughout the year to allow teachers time to digest and experiment with what they are learning.
- Meetings will be on-site to reduce time away from classrooms and to provide an opportunity for contextual discussion and planning time.
- Teachers will develop implementation rubrics for each module, as appropriate, to be used to guide their instruction and administrators’ observations.
- Ongoing, site-based training will permit administrators to participate with their faculties to give them knowledge of what their teachers are learning and demonstrate to their teachers their commitment to literacy instruction.

The table below outlines the professional learning plan with related goals and objectives from the literacy and project plan. The professional learning plan compiles a list of professional learning that administrators, teachers, and parents will complete. The indicated measures of effectiveness will be consistently used to determine if professional learning is meeting its intended purpose.

Goal: Increase the percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in reading.			
Objectives in Professional Learning	Timeline	Literacy Plan Reference	Measure of Effectiveness
Provide professional learning for teachers and paraprofessionals to develop/sustain intentional strategies for student engagement/motivation (What, 11)	Spring, 2015 Ongoing	Building Block 4 - A	PLC (Professional Learning Community) documentation and minutes
Provide research-based professional learning on components of literacy for all staff (Why, 141)	Summer, 2014 Ongoing	Building Block 4 - A	CCGPS Units
Review “Building Basic Skills” modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website	Fall, 2014 Ongoing	Building Block 4 - A	Walk-through observations
Provide direct and explicit reading strategies to help struggling readers on: phonics, phonological awareness, fluency, and comprehension	Ongoing	Building Block 4 - A	Summative Assessment Data, DIBELS Next

Goal: Increase the percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in writing.			
Objectives in Professional Learning	Timeline	Literacy Plan Reference	Measure of Effectiveness
Provide professional learning on best practices for writing instruction across all content areas (What, 10)	Summer, 2015 Ongoing	Building Block 4 - B	PLC documentation and minutes
Review "Writing" modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website	Fall, 2014 Ongoing		CCGPS units
Provide professional learning on Best Practices in writing instruction in all content areas	Spring, 2016 Ongoing		Walk-through observations
Provide training on use of technology to support literacy instruction and assessments	Summer, 2015 Ongoing	Building Block 4 – C	Summative Assessment Data, DIBELS Next

Goal: Increase the percentage of third, fourth, and fifth graders scoring at and above expectation in math, science, and social studies.			
Objectives in Professional Learning	Timeline	Literacy Plan Reference	Measure of Effectiveness
Provide professional learning on literacy instruction within content areas: (What, 6 and 10) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explicit comprehension strategies • Text complexity • Incorporation of non-fiction and literary texts • Academic vocabulary 	Spring, 2016 Ongoing	Building Block 2 – all sections	PLC documentation and minutes
Provide professional learning on data analysis within content areas (What, 8)	Summer, 2016 Ongoing	Building Block 5 – A Building Block 3 – all sections	CCGPS unit plan with documentation of the use of technology
Review "Teaching Vocabulary" modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website	Spring, 2014 Ongoing		Walk-through observations
Provide professional learning on research-based instructional strategies to teach vocabulary across content areas	Fall, 2015 Ongoing	Building Block 2 – A, B	Summative Assessment Data, DIBELS Next

Goal: Using school-based data, design a comprehensive system of tiered interventions for all students.			
Objectives in Professional Learning	Timeline	Literacy Plan Reference	Measure of Effectiveness
Identify research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of CCGPS as well as for differentiated instruction through tiered tasks (RTI)	Summer, 2015 Ongoing	Building Block 5 – B, C, D, E Building Block 1 – D	
Review data to determine effectiveness of all instruction	Ongoing	Building Block 5 – A Building Block 3 – all sections	
Review “Understanding Assessment” and “Designing Schoolwide Instruction” modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website	Fall, 2015 Ongoing	Building Block 5 – all sections Building Block 3 – all sections	
Provide PL for new staff on any new literacy initiatives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCGPS • Effective vocabulary instruction • PLC protocols • Online Assessment System • DIBELS Next administration & disaggregation of data • Differentiated Instruction 	Ongoing	Building Block 6	

Sustainability Plan

Stringfellow Elementary School is committed to ensuring the success of the grant beyond the funding cycle. Sustaining all programs and best practices initiated through the grant process is our intent. Funding will be secured from all available sources including local, state, and federal funds, as well as the local business community.

Sustainability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review expectations of the SRCL Grant annually with all staff • Train experienced teachers to provide training/mentoring assistance to new staff across all content areas • Train all administrators/instructional support specialists with teachers to ensure implementation of initiatives with fidelity • Provide members of the Board of Education with ongoing information about the need for and progress of the literacy initiatives
Expanding and Extending Lessons Learned	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creatively schedule extended planning times for all staff at least once each quarter, allowing for collaborative planning and review of data • Continue Professional Learning Communities that allow sharing of successful literacy practices, resulting in more effective teachers and academic gains for students • Create an online professional learning library by recording exemplar lessons, with videos being used as resources to extend best practices. • Schedule county-wide grade level meetings throughout school year for curriculum, assessment, and grant implementation discussions • Hold district meetings for administrators to discuss curriculum, best practices occurring in classrooms, and analysis of assessment data • Use data obtained throughout the grant to update/strengthen literacy plan • Encourage teacher participation in Gifted, ELL, Reading, Science, and Math endorsement programs to stay abreast of latest research/strategies • Provide families access to resources that differentiate support for students (How, 39) in order to expand learning into homes
Extending the Assessment Protocol	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue use of assessment instruments to monitor literacy achievement: GKIDS, DIBELS Next, SRI, CRCT, ACCESS, and formative assessments • Monitor continuation of assessment protocols as required by RTI guidelines • Purchase one-time site license for assessments – budget local, state, and federal funds for assessment costs after life of the grant • Establish Literacy Assessment Training Team who will provide subsequent professional learning on assessment protocols to all new staff • Collaborate with CPRESA to provide support/training • Continue use of assessment to drive instruction and meet student’s needs
Professional Learning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assign mentors to new staff members • Designate professional learning days in school calendar • Utilize Comprehensive Reading Solutions website for ongoing training in Professional Learning Communities • Create a professional learning video library by recording professional learning sessions • Develop library of professional books, journals, and online sources

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop resource pack of professional learning materials for new teachers • Collaborate with/participate in CPRESA trainings • Participate in “Technology Integration for 21st Century Classrooms” professional learning opportunities
<p>Developing Community Partnerships/ Other Funding Sources</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communicate frequently with all stakeholders concerning the importance of literacy across all content areas • Strengthen communication between schools and afterschool providers • Continue involvement of stakeholders in informational meetings • Establish Partners in Education (PIE), a partnership between businesses or civic organizations and school • Utilize parent volunteers within schools to provide assistance in classroom and materials/funding if appropriate • Enlist PTO to designate fundraisers for literacy initiatives • Continue to host Family Literacy Night to show the importance of literacy instruction from school and home
<p>Replacing Print Materials</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annually inventory/determine condition of print materials and necessity of replacement • Utilize local, state, and federal money to replace resources when needed
<p>Sustaining Technology</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordinate purchases of hardware/software obtained with grant funds through the system Technology Specialist to prevent duplication • Arrange for regular maintenance of equipment to extend life of hardware • Renew software and site technology licenses using local/federal funding if product is deemed effective • Budget annual renewal fees from local funds after the life of the grant

Budget Summary

As a result of a comprehensive review of literacy efforts at Stringfellow Elementary School, needs have been identified, data and available resources have been analyzed, and plans have been made to wisely utilize funding from the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant. Based upon Fall, 2013 FTE count of 330 and an estimated award of \$460 per student, the total funds received over a five year time frame are anticipated at \$151,800.

Basic literacy needs to be funded through the grant are as outlined below:

Curriculum Needs: In effort to meet students' literacy needs across the curriculum, grant funding will be used for the following items.

- Research-based materials/resources for direct instruction in reading and writing (across all content areas)
- Leveled texts for classroom/media center across all content areas (digital and print)
- K-5 literacy manipulatives
- Take home libraries
- Instructional literacy-based field trips
- Family Education/Parental Involvement Opportunities
- Consumable Materials
- Release time/funding for substitutes to develop common formative and summative assessments

Professional Learning: Professional learning is the linchpin for success in the educational arena. Staff members including teachers, paraprofessionals, and administrators absolutely must have adequate training before initiatives are implemented. Just as important as the initial training is the follow-up support and sustainability of training for new staff members through the years. Funding for professional learning is directly linked to increased student achievement.

- Consultant fees
- Instructional materials for training
- Conference registration fees and travel expenses
- Stipends for off-contract training
- Funding for substitutes
- Consumable materials for training

Response to Intervention: Colquitt County School System recognizes systematic weaknesses in the Response to Intervention (RTI) process. Efforts are necessary to insure the consistency of assessment administration and the effective use of data to inform instruction. In order for the RTI process to truly benefit students, teachers and interventionists must be provided ongoing professional learning and support. The process must be closely monitored at the system and school levels.

- Screening/Assessment Tools – Scholastic Reading Inventory and DIBELS Next (including professional learning for implementation)
- Intervention resources/materials/programs (print and digital)
- Progress monitoring tools

Personnel: Considering deep financial cuts in recent years, using grant funding to hire an intervention specialist to lower the group size for intensive instruction would be most beneficial. In addition, a grant administrator will be necessary during the first two years of grant implementation in order to maintain requirements. The need for additional help will decrease as student achievement gaps are closed.

- Grant administrator for the first two years of the grant (at least)
- Intervention specialist (for a couple of years to assist with closing achievement gaps)
- Personnel for any extended day/year programming

Technology: The innovative use of technology will promote student engagement and motivation while also enhancing instruction.

- Computers
- Wireless tablets
- Interactive boards
- Printers
- Infrastructure to extend wireless capability if needed
- Consumable materials

Miscellaneous

- Transportation costs associated with extended day/year programming