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School Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Information</th>
<th>District Name:</th>
<th>Colquitt County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School Information</td>
<td>School or Center Name:</td>
<td>Funston Elementary School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level of School

Elementary (K-5 or Primary, Elementary)

Principal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Mr. Ricky Reynolds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>Position:</td>
<td>Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>229-941-2626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rreynold@colquitt.k12.ga.us">rreynold@colquitt.k12.ga.us</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School contact information

(the persons with rights to work on the application)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School contact information</th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Mrs. Robin L. Calhoun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School contact information</td>
<td>Position:</td>
<td>Instructional Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School contact information</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>229-873-6738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School contact information</td>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rcalhoun@colquitt.k12.ga.us">rcalhoun@colquitt.k12.ga.us</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grades represented in the building

example pre-k to 6

PK-5

Number of Teachers in School

19

FTE Enrollment

339
Fiscal Agent Memo of Understanding

The application is the project implementation plan, not simply a proposal. This project is expected to be implemented with fidelity upon SBOE approval. When completing the application, please remember that sub-grantees will not be permitted to change the project’s scope that is originally outlined in the application, scored by reviewers during the application review process, and approved by SBOE. This policy is designed to provide basic fairness to applicants for discretionary sub-grants.

Fiscal Agent/Applicant Required Signatures:

I hereby certify that I am the an authorized signatory of the fiscal agent for which grant application is made and that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, complete and accurate. I further certify, to the best of my knowledge, that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, assurances, and certifications. I also certify that the requested budget amounts are necessary for the implementation of the program described in the attached application.

Please sign in blue ink.

Name of Fiscal Agent’s Contact Person: Lynn K. Clark

Position/Title of Fiscal Agent’s Contact Person: Dir. of Elem. Curriculum

Address: P.O. Box 2708

City: Moultrie Zip: 3176

Telephone: (229) 890-6194 Fax: (229) 890-6180

E-mail: lclark@colquitt.k12.ga.us

Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (District Superintendent or Executive Director)

Samuel A. DePaul

Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head (District Superintendent or Executive Director)

12/2/2013

Date (required)
Preliminary Application Requirements
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Click on the General Application Information link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL General Information Packet-Cohort 3

Did you download and read the General Information document to assist you with writing the grant?

- Yes

Click on the SRCL Rubric link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL Scoring Rubric-Cohort 3

Did you download and read the SRCL Rubric to assist you with writing the grant?

- Yes

Click on the Assessment Chart link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL Required Assessments Chart

Did you download and read the Assessment Chart to assist you in writing the grant?

- Yes

Assessments

I understand that implementing the assessments mentioned on page 6 in the General Information Packet is a necessary part of receiving SRCL funding.

- I Agree

Unallowable Expenditures

Preparation of the Proposal: Costs to develop, prepare, and/or write the SRCL proposal cannot be charged to the grant directly or indirectly by either the agency or contractor.

Pre-Award Costs: Pre-award costs may not be charged against the grant. Funds can be used only for activities conducted and costs incurred after the start date of the grant.
Entertainment, Refreshments, Snacks: A field trip without the approved academic support will be considered entertainment. End-of-year celebrations or food associated with parties or socials are unallowable expenditures. Game systems and game cartridges are unallowable.

Unapproved out of state or overnight field trips, including retreats, lock-ins, etc.

Incentives (e.g., plaques, trophies, stickers, t-shirts, give-a-ways)

Advertisements, Promotional or Marketing Items

Decorative Items

Purchase of Facilities or vehicles (e.g., Buses, Vans, or Cars)

Land acquisition

Capital Improvements, Permanent Renovations

Direct charges for items/services that the indirect cost rate covers;

Dues to organizations, federations or societies for personal benefits


NOTE: This is NOT an all-inclusive list of unallowable expenses. If you have questions about unallowable expenses please e-mail your questions to jmorrill@doe.k12.ga.us

Upon approval by the State Board of Education, sub-grantees will be required to submit electronic budgets through GaDOE Consolidated Application Portal. All budget requests must be made in accordance with the use of funds for the SRCL project and must meet the requirements in EDGAR and OMB circulars.

• I Agree
The sub-grantee assures that it has the necessary legal authority to apply for and receive a SRCL Grant.

- Yes

Sub-grantee certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

- Yes

The SRCL projects will target students who attend Title I schools or schools eligible for Title I schoolwide programs and their families.

- Yes

The SRCL project will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.

- Yes

The Grantee will participate in all technical assistance/information-sharing opportunities and professional development activities provided through the STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT Project Grant Program.

- Yes

All activities must be correlated with the development of STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT goals for children birth through grade 12.

- Yes

The second year of funding is dependent upon successful program implementation and progress aligned with the components of the request for application submitted.

- Yes

Prior to any material change affecting the purpose, administration, organization, budget, or operation of the SRCL project, the Sub-grantee agrees to submit an appropriately amended application to GaDOE for approval.
• Yes

The Sub-grantee agrees to notify the GaDOE, in writing, of any change in the contact information provided in its application.

• Yes

The activities and services described in the application shall be administered by or under the supervision and control of the Sub-grantee. The Sub-grantee shall not assign or subcontract, in whole or in part, its rights or obligations without prior written consent of GaDOE. Any attempted assignment without said consent shall be void and of no effect.

• Yes
The Sub-grantee will use fiscal control and sound accounting procedures that will ensure proper disbursement of and account for Federal and state funds paid to the program to perform its duties.

- Yes

Funds shall be used only for financial obligations incurred during the grant period.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will, if applicable, have the required financial and compliance audits conducted in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1966 and OMB Circular A-133, “Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.”

- Yes

The fiscal agent will adopt and use proper methods of administering each program, including: (A) the enforcement of any obligations imposed on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program; and (B) the timely correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, evaluation and/or technical assistance.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Georgia Department of Education, the U.S. Department of Education, or other state or Federal officials.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will submit reports to GaDOE as may reasonably be required. The Sub-grantee will maintain such fiscal and programmatic records and provide access to those records, as necessary, for those departments to perform their duties.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will submit an annual summative evaluation report no later than June 30.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee agrees that GaDOE, or any of its duly authorized representatives, at any time during the term of this agreement, shall have access to, and the right to audit or examine any pertinent books, documents, papers, and records of the Sub-grantee related to the Sub-grantee’s charges and performance under the SRCL sub-grant.

- Yes
The property (e.g., computers, equipment, classroom desks, tables, and pilferable items) purchased with the SRCL grant funds must be managed in accordance with EDGAR section 74.34 through 74.37 (for non-profit organizations) and with EDGAR section 80.32 and 80.33 (for school districts).

- Yes

The Sub-grantee certifies that it will abide by GaDOE’s Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Policy. Applicants with a conflict of interest must submit a disclosure notice.

- Yes
The Sub-grantee will comply with the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (34 C.F.R. 99).

• Yes

Sub-grantee will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, which prohibits discrimination on a basis of disability.

• Yes

In accordance with the Federal Drug-Free Workplace and Community Act Amendments of 1989 and the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, the Sub-grantee understands that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance, marijuana, or dangerous drug is prohibited at geographic locations at which individuals are directly engaged in the performance of work pursuant to the 21st CCLC grant.

• Yes

All technology purchases (software and hardware) will be approved by the LEA Technology Director for compatibility with current operating systems and building infrastructure. The Technology Director must ensure that any purchases for the building will be able to be implemented and sustained beyond the grant period.

• Yes
Georgia Department of Education
Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Policy

Georgia’s conflict of interest and disclosure policy is applicable to entities conducting business on behalf of and/or doing business with the Department and entities receiving a grant to implement a program and/or project approved by the State Board of Education. This policy is applicable for entities receiving state and/or Federal funds.

Questions regarding the Department’s conflict of interest and disclosure policy should be directed to the program manager responsible for the contract, purchase order and/or grant.

I. Conflicts of Interest
   It is the policy of the Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) to avoid doing business with Applicants, subcontractors of Applicants who have a conflict of interest or an appearance of a conflict of interest. The purpose of this policy is to maintain the highest level of integrity within its workforce, and to ensure that the award of grant Agreements is based upon fairness and merit.

a. Organizational Conflicts of Interest
   All grant applicants (“Applicants”) shall provide a statement in their proposal which describes in a concise manner all past, present or planned organizational, financial, contractual or other interest(s) with an organization regulated by the GaDOE, including but not limited to Local Education Agencies (LEAs), or with an organization whose interests may be substantially affected by GaDOE activities, and which is related to the work under this grant solicitation. The interest(s) in which conflict may occur shall include those of the Applicant, its affiliates, proposed consultants, proposed subcontractors and key personnel of any of the above. Past interest shall be limited to within one year of the date of the Applicant’s grant proposal. Key personnel shall include:

   - any person owning more than 20% interest in the Applicant
   - the Applicant’s corporate officers
   - board members
   - senior managers
   - any employee who is responsible for making a decision or taking an action on this grant application or any resulting Agreement where the decision or action can have an economic or other impact on the interests of a regulated or affected organization.

i. The Applicant shall describe in detail why it believes, in light of the interest(s) identified in (a) above, that performance of the proposed Agreement can be accomplished in an impartial and objective manner.

ii. In the absence of any relevant interest identified in (a) above, the Applicant shall submit in its grant application a statement certifying that to the best of its knowledge and belief no affiliation exists relevant to possible conflicts of interest. The Applicant must obtain the same information from potential subcontractors prior to award of a subcontract.
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John D. Barge, State Superintendent of Schools
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iii. GaDOE will review the statement submitted and may require additional relevant information from the Applicant. All such information, and any other relevant information known to GaDOE, will be used to determine whether an award to the Applicant may create a conflict of interest. If any such conflict of interest is found to exist, GaDOE may:

1. Disqualify the Applicant, or
2. Determine that it is otherwise in the best interest of GaDOE to make an award to the Applicant and include appropriate provisions to mitigate or avoid such conflict in the grant awarded.

iv. The refusal to provide the disclosure or representation, or any additional information required, may result in disqualification of the Applicant for an award. If nondisclosure or misrepresentation is discovered after award, the resulting grant Agreement may be terminated. If after award the Applicant discovers a conflict of interest with respect to the grant awarded as a result of this solicitation, which could not reasonably have been known prior to award, an immediate and full disclosure shall be made in writing to GaDOE. The disclosure shall include a full description of the conflict, a description of the action the Applicant has taken, or proposes to take, to avoid or mitigate such conflict. GaDOE may, however, terminate the Agreement for convenience if GaDOE deems that termination is in the best interest of the GaDOE.

b. Employee Relationships

i. The Applicant must provide the following information with its application and must provide an information update within 30 days of the award of a contract, any subcontract, or any consultant agreement, or within 30 days of the retention of a Subject Individual or former GaDOE employee subject to this clause:

1. The names of all Subject Individuals who:
   a. Participated in preparation of proposals for award; or
   b. Are planned to be used during performance; or
   c. Are used during performance; and

ii. The names of all former GaDOE employees, retained by the Applicant who were employed by GaDOE during the two year period immediately prior to the date of:

1. The award; or
2. Their retention by the Applicant; and
3. The date on which the initial expression of interest in a future financial arrangement was discussed with the Applicant by any former GaDOE employee whose name is required to be provided by the contractor pursuant to subparagraph (ii); and
4. The location where any Subject Individual or former GaDOE employee whose name is required to be provided by the Applicant pursuant to subparagraphs (i) and (ii), are expected to be assigned.

iii. "Subject Individual" means a current GaDOE employee or a current GaDOE employee's father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, half sister, spouse of an in-law, or a member of his/her household.

Georgia Department of Education
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iv. The Applicant must incorporate this clause into all subcontracts or consultant agreements awarded under this Agreement and must further require that each such subcontractor or consultant incorporate this clause into all subcontracts or consultant agreements at any tier awarded under this Agreement unless GaDOE determines otherwise.

v. The information as it is submitted must be certified as being true and correct. If there is no such information, the certification must so state.

c. Remedies for Nondisclosure
The following are possible remedies available to the GaDOE should an Applicant misrepresent or refuse to disclose or misrepresent any information required by this clause:

1. Termination of the Agreement.
2. Exclusion from subsequent GaDOE grant opportunities.
3. Other remedial action as may be permitted or provided by law or regulation or policy or by the terms of the grant agreement.

d. Annual Certification. The Applicant must provide annually, based on the anniversary date of Agreement award, the following certification in writing to GaDOE. The annual certification must be submitted with the grantees annual end of year program report.

ANNUAL CERTIFICATION OF DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIPS

The Applicant represents and certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief that during the prior 12 month period:

[ ] A former GaDOE employee(s), current GaDOE employee, or Subject Individual(s) has been retained to work under the Agreement or subcontract or consultant agreement and complete disclosure has been made.

[ ] No former GaDOE employee(s), current GaDOE employee, or Subject Individual(s) has been retained to work under the Agreement or subcontract or consultant agreement, and disclosure is not required.

II. Disclosure of Conflict of Interest after Agreement Execution

If after Agreement execution, Applicant discovers a conflict of interest which could not reasonably have been known prior to Agreement execution; an immediate and full disclosure shall be made in writing to GaDOE. The disclosure shall include a full description of the conflict, a description of the action the Applicant has taken, or proposes to take, to avoid or mitigate such conflict. GaDOE may, however, terminate this Agreement for convenience if GaDOE deems that termination is in the best interest of GaDOE.
III. **Incorporation of Clauses**

The Applicant must incorporate the clauses in paragraphs A, B, and C of this section into all subcontracts or consultant agreements awarded under this Agreement and must further require that each such subcontractor or consultant incorporate this clause into all subcontracts or consultant agreements at any tier awarded under this Agreement unless GaDOE determines otherwise.

________________________________________________________________________
Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (official sub-grant recipient)

______________________________
Samuel A. DePaul, Superintendent

Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head and Position Title

12/2/2013

Date

________________________________________________________________________
Signature of Applicant’s Authorized Agency Head (required)

______________________________
Samuel A. DePaul, Superintendent

Typed Name of Applicant’s Authorized Agency Head and Position Title

12/2/2013

Date

________________________________________________________________________
Signature of Co-applicant’s Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)

Typed Name of Co-applicant’s Authorized Agency Head and Position Title (if applicable)

Date (if applicable)
District Narrative

Brief History of the System

Colquitt County (CC) is a rural agricultural community of 46,000 nestled in the heart of South Georgia. The Colquitt County School System (CCSS) began in 1873 with “Each Day – Excellence in Every Way” being the foundation for all decisions. Though that sentiment is still evident today, Colquitt County’s generational poverty and rapidly increasing Hispanic population are both challenges to and opportunities for excellence. Almost 35% of our school-age children live in poverty as compared to a 19% state average. Dramatic changes in the system’s ethnic makeup are evident in the chart below.

![Enrollment By Ethnicity](image)

System Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL STUDENTS</th>
<th>BLACK</th>
<th>HISPANIC</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC High</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement Center</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray Junior</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Middle</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doerun</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funston</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman Park</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odom</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okapilco</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stringfellow</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEAR (Gifted 3-5)</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYSTEM</strong></td>
<td><strong>9651</strong></td>
<td><strong>27%</strong></td>
<td><strong>25%</strong></td>
<td><strong>45%</strong></td>
<td><strong>3%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Special Populations:

- Special Education – 11.6%
- ESOL – 12.8%
- Migrant – 9.2%

- Gifted – 16.7%
- PreK – 28 Classrooms (608 slots)
- Pre-School (Migrant/Sp Ed) – 52 students

74% of students were eligible for free/reduced meals in 2012-13, but now all PK-9th grade students eat free through the Community Eligibility Provision. All schools are Title I eligible.

Current Priorities

CCSS is committed to the daily pursuit of excellence in student achievement while working with parents and the community to serve the needs of all children in a positive and safe environment. The following priorities drive the current district curricular focus:

- Deep understanding and implementation of CCGPS
- Development of ELA/Math units aligned to CCGPS
- Utilization of formative/summative assessment data to determine instructional needs
- Participation in Georgia’s Formative Instructional Practice modules
- Closing achievement gaps of subgroups
- Increase in graduation rate
- Restructuring of the gifted education program

Strategic Planning

Beginning July, 2012, CCSS embarked upon a renewed mission involving all stakeholders in a formal strategic planning process. University of Georgia’s Fanning Institute was enlisted to organize an unbiased approach to the system’s strategic planning process. Approximately 200 community members and school leaders met to discuss goals and objectives of the system. Input was then solicited from student representatives, parents, teachers, and other stakeholders to create a draft strategic plan. The formal plan was adopted by the school board in March, 2013. The strategic plan is a living document which will be reviewed and revised frequently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Planning Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future Ready Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st Century Professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership for Innovation and Collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st Century Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budgeting, Planning and Funding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current Management Structure

The chart below shows the current management structure of the system with asterisks indicating individual changes in leadership (principal, assistant or district) this school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCSS Superintendent</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Principals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elementary</strong></td>
<td><strong>Secondary</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cox **</td>
<td>• Williams Middle *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Doerun</td>
<td>• Gray Junior High **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funston</td>
<td>• Colquitt County High ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hamilton *</td>
<td>• Norman Park *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Odom *</td>
<td>• Okapilco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Okapilco</td>
<td>• Stringfellow *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sunset *</td>
<td>• Sunset *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• R.B. Wright</td>
<td>• R.B. Wright</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Learning Services*</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Middle/Secondary Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pre-K/Elementary Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gifted Education *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CTAE Director*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Federal Programs Director*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Homeless Liaison</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Network Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Alternate Education *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assistant Superintendent of Business Services</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Employee Benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comptroller*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Payroll</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• School Nutrition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• School Nurse Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Director of Facilities/Construction</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Director of Special Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coordinator School/Community Relations</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Past Instructional Initiatives

CCSS is initiative-rich with efforts to meet the needs of all sub-groups. Charting of past and present initiatives revealed an exorbitant hodge-podge of initiatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>01-02</th>
<th>02-03</th>
<th>03-04</th>
<th>04-05</th>
<th>05-06</th>
<th>06-07</th>
<th>07-08</th>
<th>08-09</th>
<th>09-10</th>
<th>10-11</th>
<th>11-12</th>
<th>12-13</th>
<th>13-14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America’s Choice/Georgia’s Choice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigby Leveled Readers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Adoption (TE Only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards Based Classrooms/GPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxon Phonics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fountas/Pinnell Phonics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Forney Writing Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Cupp Readers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literacy Coaches (# of coaches)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to AP/ISS at schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Reading Assessment Toolkit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasures Program Adoption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholastic Reading 180 (Gr 8-9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Practices for Reading Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonday System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast ForWord (4 schools/hospital)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laying the Foundation (Gifted 6-12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRASP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBELS Next</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of CCGPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR/AR/AM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIDA Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESOL Endorsement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Content Vocabulary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking Maps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Rojas Instructional Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosetta Stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Coaches</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Payne Poverty Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken O’Conner Grading Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Endorsement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifted Endorsement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Teaching and Inclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st Century Classrooms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring Your Own Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinkgate (Grades 10-12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK-12 Graduation Focus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Studies Unit Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Literacy Curriculum

CCSS’s literacy curriculum is driven by the CCGPS. State suggested units, with local revisions, are currently being used in reading and writing. McMillan McGraw-Hill’s Treasures Program was adopted, but materials are not aligned with CCGPS; hence, there are recognized gaps in scope and sequence for instruction.

Literacy Assessments Used District-wide

| 2013-14 Required Universal Reading Screenings (DIBELS Next – Grades 1-5) |
|---|---|---|
| Beginning of Year | Middle of Year | End of Year |
| K | GKIDS Baseline | GKIDS (quarterly) | GKIDS, Fry Words, Phonological Awareness |
| 1 | Letter/Name and Letter/Sound Correspondence, Fry Words, Phonological Awareness | Oral Reading Fluency (ORF) | ORF |
| 2 | ORF, Fry Words | ORF | Fry Words |
| 3-5 | ORF Comprehension (DAZE) | ORF | DAZE |

Students scoring below benchmark level on universal screeners are tested on Phonological Awareness, Phonics Inventory, Decoding Inventory, and Fry Word inventory (depending upon grade level and abilities). Instructional plans are then determined based upon diagnosed needs. Treasures’ Running Records are used to move students from level to level in guided reading instruction.

Need for a Striving Reader Project

The following concerns were evidenced in the compilation of needs assessment data at the district level:

- Lack of explicit, systematic, and CCGPS-aligned resources for reading, writing, language, and speaking/listening
- Lack of continuity in literacy instruction across the curriculum
- Lack of fidelity in the use of Response to Intervention tools
- Absence of robust professional development
- Weakness in utilization of test data to drive instruction

The need for Striving Reader funding in the CCSS is dire. As stated in the Why document (page 26), “Literacy is paramount in Georgia’s efforts to lead the nation in improving student achievement.” Considering the increasing diversity of our student population, class sizes, staff reduction, inconsistency of instructional initiatives, stagnant test scores, TKES/LKES, and ever-dwindling general fund reserves, timing is extremely critical. Instructional staff members are anxious to receive instructional direction, horizontally and vertically aligned materials, intense professional learning with support, and resources to assist with the mission for excellence.
District Management Plan and Key Personnel

The decision to apply for Georgia’s Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant was made only after intense discussion with all elementary school leaders and district support personnel. Responsibilities included with the grant application and implementation were fully discussed. The system is committed to applying for, receiving, implementing, and monitoring the grant with integrity and quality. Grant funding will provide a vehicle to support all goals within our district’s strategic plan.

The implementation, monitoring, and reporting of goals and objectives in the grant will be ultimately managed at a district level, running through the office of elementary curriculum. The chart below indicates those individuals involved in the district level process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Department</th>
<th>Individuals Responsible</th>
<th>Tasks for Grant Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum And Instruction</td>
<td>Lynn Clark, Curriculum Director</td>
<td>Grant Administrator – oversee implementation/reporting of project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jenny Funderburk, Curriculum Director</td>
<td>Coordination of district-wide initiatives (assessment, instruction, interventions, materials, professional development)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Todd Cason, Asst. Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debra Turner, Literacy Coach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Service</td>
<td>Brad Gregory, Comptroller</td>
<td>Budget approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Becky Rychener, Purchasing Bookkeeper</td>
<td>Payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Faye Wood, Payroll</td>
<td>Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Programs</td>
<td>James Harrell, Director</td>
<td>Consolidated application assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jennifer Weaver, Bookkeeper</td>
<td>Coordination for federal funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Emily Nichols, Director</td>
<td>Support for technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>Etta Faggioni, Director</td>
<td>Support for special education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifted Education</td>
<td>Donna Marshall, Director</td>
<td>Support for gifted education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Day-to-day grant operations will be managed at the elementary school sites by individuals as indicated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary School</th>
<th>Name, Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cox</td>
<td>Jim Horne, Principal / Teresa Willis, Assistant Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doerun</td>
<td>Chuck Jones, Principal / Terri Carr, Instructional Support Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funston</td>
<td>Ricky Reynolds, Principal / Robin Calhoun, Instructional Support Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>Krista Harrell, Principal / Terri Carr, Instructional Support Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman Park</td>
<td>Keith Adams, Principal / Michelle Daniels, Assistant Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odom</td>
<td>Trish Lirio, Principal / Leamon Madison, Assistant Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okapilco</td>
<td>Eric Croft, Principal / Sherry Jones, Instructional Support Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. B. Wright</td>
<td>Marc Bell, Principal / Summer Hall, Assistant Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stringfellow</td>
<td>Darlene Reynolds, Principal / Josh Purvis, Assistant Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset</td>
<td>Bruce Owen, Principal / Charla Brinson, Assistant Principal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While ten elementary schools have prepared individual grant applications, all stakeholders have worked as a united team throughout the process. Numerous informational and work sessions have been held, and this collaborative work will be ongoing.
### Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (November 4, 11, 18, December 2, 9)</th>
<th>Purpose of Meeting</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 4, 2013</td>
<td>RESA – Grant Awareness Session</td>
<td>Lynn Clark, Debra Turner, Summer Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 14, 2013</td>
<td>System – Grant Awareness Meeting&lt;br&gt;Visit from Julie Morrill&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;MADE DECISION to APPLY</td>
<td>District and School Leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 21, 2013</td>
<td>Introductory Grant Writing Workday&lt;br&gt;Review of Why, What, How&lt;br&gt;Documents and Application Process</td>
<td>District Curriculum Leaders&lt;br&gt;School Grant Writing Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 31, November 4, 11, 18, December 2, 9</td>
<td>Grant Writing Work Sessions</td>
<td>District Curriculum Leaders&lt;br&gt;School Grant Writing Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 11-12, 2013</td>
<td>Upload Grant Applications</td>
<td>District Curriculum Leaders&lt;br&gt;School Grant Writing Teams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result of the grant writing process, literacy needs throughout the system have been clearly identified. Based upon findings through the needs improvement process, a detailed literacy plan has been developed for each school that will guide work for the next five years. Instructional staff members have agreed to participate in ongoing professional learning activities. Administrators have committed to learning with their staff and to providing subsequent monitoring of professional learning. Staff members will be provided face to face and online opportunities to participate in the development of a budget, as well as with decisions regarding performance plans. School and district level literacy meetings will continue on a monthly basis after the grant application is submitted. Community stakeholders will be involved in the process of improving literacy on a quarterly basis throughout the duration of the grant and beyond. The ultimate goal for the grant process is long-term sustainability.
Experience of the Applicant

The Colquitt County School System (CCSS) has extensive experience with regards to successful implementation of large-scale initiatives. The district oversees an annual budget of approximately $75 million including federal, state, and local funds. Within this budget, the LEA provides a variety of system-wide initiatives. Over the past two years, the LEA has successfully introduced iPads into every K-9 classroom. As a result of sound budgeting and system-wide professional learning, students benefit from enhanced learning opportunities through technology. Another significant initiative in recent years is implementation of Common Core Curriculum. Curriculum directors have maximized sparse resources, bringing together curriculum teams to create detailed lesson plans utilizing existing resources.

The table below identifies recent large-scale initiatives of the Colquitt County School System.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>School Level(s) Impacted</th>
<th>FY13 Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title IA – Academic Achievement/School Improvement</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$3,479,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title IC – Migrant Education</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$772,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title IIA – Teacher Quality</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$576,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title IIIA – Limited English Proficient</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$203,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title VI-B – Rural and Low Income</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$211,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAE Program</td>
<td>Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$661,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Perkins IV Grants</td>
<td>Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$112,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Grant</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$35,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-School Handicapped State Grant</td>
<td>PreK</td>
<td>$124,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bright From the Start PreK Program</td>
<td>PreK</td>
<td>$2,274,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.T.A.R. – Student Transition and Recovery Program</td>
<td>Mid., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$89,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Nurses at every school site</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$437,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAVO &amp; TOY – Certified and Classified teacher/employee of the year programs</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$7,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Homebound Program</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$69,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary Art Program (through Colquitt County Arts Center)</td>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archway Project (University of Georgia)</td>
<td>Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JROTC</td>
<td>Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$102,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telehealth Grant</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High, High</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) School Lunch Program</td>
<td>Elem., Mdl., Jr. High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPads for Classroom use</td>
<td>Mid., Jr. High</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following tables indicate audit findings over the past five years. All past findings have been corrected; current findings are being addressed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Is There an Audit?</th>
<th>Finding Number</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Audit Results - Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title IA - School Improvement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title IC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title II A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title III A Immigrant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title III A LEP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title IV A Safe and Drug Free Schools - Consortium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title VI-B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>McKinney Vento</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Professional Learning</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>High School Graduation Coach</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Middle School Graduation Coach</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement LEA Monitoring of Schools and Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement CLIP 7 Title 1A-ARRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 3.16</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement - Parental Involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement - Parental Involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement - ARRA Indicators on School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement - Public School Choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.3, 7.5</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement - Supplemental Educational Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.1, 8.4, 8.6</td>
<td>Overarching Requirement - Schoolwide Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.1, 11.2, 11.3</td>
<td>Fiduciary Responsibility - Comparability of Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>Fiduciary Responsibility - Allocations and Carryover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14.3, 14.4, 14.6</td>
<td>Fiduciary Responsibility - Equipment and Real Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>Fiduciary Responsibility - Attendance Area Determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A Distinguished Schools Award</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title II-A Improving Teacher Quality</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22.1, 22.4</td>
<td>Title II Part A Teacher Quality - Title II-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>Private Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I A School Improvement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title I-C Migrant Education</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title II-D Enhancing Education Thru Technology</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title II-D Engaging AP Students Thru Handheld Computers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title III-A LEP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title IV A Safe and Drug Free Schools - Consortium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title VI-B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>McKinney Vento</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title II-A Advanced Placement Grant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Professional Learning</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>State Fiscal Stabilization Funds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title I A Academic Achievement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title I A Distinguished Schools Award</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title I A Grants-ARRA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title I A School Improvement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title I A School Improvement Grant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title I A School Improvement Grant ARRA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title IIC Migrant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title II A Improving Teacher Quality</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title II-A Advanced Placement Grant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title II-D Enhancing Education Thru Technology</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title III A LEP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title VI-B Rural and Low Income Schools</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>McKinney Vento</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Professional Learning</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>State Fiscal Stabilization Funds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1, 1.1</td>
<td>LEA Monitoring of Schools and Programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2, 5.4, 5.5</td>
<td>Public School Choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3, 6.7, 6.10</td>
<td>Supplemental Educational Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4, 9.3</td>
<td>Audits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5, 14.1, 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5, 14.6</td>
<td>Expenditure Of Funds (Allowable and within Period Availability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6, 15.2</td>
<td>Supplement Not Supplant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7, 16.1, 16.2, 16.3</td>
<td>Comparability Of Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Colquitt County School District: Funston Elementary School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Grant No.</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.1, 17.3, 17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4, 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.4, 4.5, 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.13, 7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, 9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCSS places much effort into budget planning. The Superintendent and Comptroller hold meetings regularly, beginning in November, to prepare for the subsequent budget year. During these meetings, district administrators, school administrators, and board members address all areas of need through effective coordination of resources. The budget is stringently analyzed each year in attempt to identify areas that can be reduced or cut, thus making the most of our local, state, and federal revenues.

Spending controls are strictly followed to ensure that all purchases and payments fall within budgeted parameters set forth by the budget committee. All local, state, and federal funds are monitored by the business office under direction of the comptroller. A purchase order system is used by schools to request funding. Purchase orders require site-based administrator signatures. Once received by the business office, these requests are properly coded to the correct funding source, and the determination is made by the comptroller if funds are available. Annual audits are performed to confirm that all funds have been expended as directed. CCSS has consistently followed proper internal controls with regard to governmental accounting procedures and has received no audit findings on school system financial statements in the previous five years.

CCSS is committed to excellence in academic achievement. All decisions made with regard to program initiatives and sustainability center on what is best for students. In 2010, CCSS received over $1 million in ARRA funds. A good portion of this was used to hire additional certified teaching staff. Even after these funds were depleted, the school system continued to fund these positions. In its continued efforts to do what is best for all students, CCSS has maintained a variety of programs despite the lack of full funding for these initiatives. Examples which lack full funding include system-wide Technology Specialists, School Nurses, JROTC, Bright from the Start Pre-K Program, and Hospital-Homebound. These programs along with many others are vital contributors to the academic, emotional, and social growth of our students.
The following list consists of initiatives implemented internally without outside funding support:

- **IPads** – Over a two year budget cycle, K-9 classroom teachers received iPads for instructional use using general fund dollars.
- **BRAVO and TOY** – Balancing Responsibility and Achievement while Valuing Others for classified employees and Teacher of the Year programs recognize achievements of staff from school sites.
- **Elementary Art Program** – In conjunction with Colquitt County Arts Center, a comprehensive art program is provided for all elementary school students.
- **Archway Project** - The Archway Partnership with the University of Georgia takes on various projects to target specific areas of improvement needed within our community. These include graduation rate improvement, after school activities, SPLOST and infrastructure planning.

With protocols in place for sound financial management, grant funds will enhance educational opportunities for years to come.
School Narrative

School History

Established in 1906, Funston Elementary School is a small, rural elementary school located in the northwest corner of Colquitt County, Georgia. Quietly nestled in a farming community near Moultrie, Funston Elementary, one of ten elementary schools in the county, holds the distinction of having been named a Title I Distinguished School for the last three years. Funston School has stood as a symbol of pride within this close-knit town where many of its principals and staff for four-generations have matriculated.

Despite its rich heritage, the impact of a downward economy has ushered in a high poverty rate resulting in a Free and Reduced lunch status of 100% (Community Eligibility Provision) which mirrors the high unemployment across the entire district. Other factors creating change over time are the high influx of transient and migrant populations. The racial makeup is currently: 32% Caucasian, 52% Hispanic, 14% African-American, and 2% Multi-Racial. Nonetheless, the daily average attendance is 96%. Of its 33 certified teachers, 100% are highly qualified to educate a diverse 389 learners.

Funston houses 18 regular education classrooms, two 4-year old Pre-K classrooms, and one 3-year old Migrant Preschool classroom. The needs of students with disabilities (9.53%) are met through inclusion models by two interrelated resource teachers and two special education paraprofessionals who work collaboratively with the regular education teachers. Gifted learners (15%) receive instruction in weekly pull-out and collaborative settings through advanced content curriculum from 9 teachers, 8 of whom are currently pursuing their Gifted Endorsement certification. English Learners are served by two full-time ESOL (English to Speakers of Other Languages) teachers and one part-time teacher using the push-in model. Kindergarten and Pre-K classes have full-time paraprofessionals while first through fifth grades have limited paraprofessional support who assist with small group and individual instruction. Computer-assisted instruction is provided by a full-time paraprofessional who collaborates with academic teachers. A speech and language pathologist provides services part-time. Great strides are made to service the needs of all students.

Administrative and Teacher Leadership Team

The School Leadership Team consists of our Principal, Ricky Reynolds; Instructional Specialist, Robin Calhoun; Media Specialist, Meg Bishop; Counselor, Susan Herndon; Secretary, Brooke Smith; Special Education teacher, Dusty Smith; ESOL teacher, JoBeth Hunnicutt; Pre-K Paraprofessional, Yunet Nunez; Kindergarten teacher, Debbie Taylor; First grade teacher, Heather Horne; Second Grade teacher, Anna Edgar; Third Grade teacher, Annie Walker; Fourth Grade teacher, Lisa Kennedy; Fifth Grade teacher, Terry Beck; and P.T.O. members, Laura Hall and Beth Parker. This group meets regularly to discuss components of the School Improvement Plan, the overall instructional program and review collection of data provided routinely by teachers to drive instruction and the professional development plan.
Other interest and event committees spearheaded by teachers include Reading Committee, United Way, Relay for Life, the High School Homecoming float Committee, Fall Festival, Festival on the Square, Funston Barbeque, Washington, D.C. trip, P.T.O., and the Social Committee.

Past Instructional Initiatives

A reference to Colquitt County’s District’s Initiative chart will reveal a plethora of instructional initiatives in literacy implemented at the school level which was designed to meet the needs of all learners. Though research-based to enhance student achievement, the wide variety of overlapping programs was short-lived and measureable gains attributed to any is largely undetermined. Staff changes within departments along with the change to Common Core Georgia Performance Standards (CCGPS) over the last three years have created lapses in professional training, inconsistencies in the instructional curriculum, and inadequate teacher resources. A clear, systematic, sustained approach to the teaching of literacy has yet to be fully implemented.

Current Instructional Initiatives

Literacy teaching practices to-date include remnants of America’s Choice, Sunday, and Reading First. DIBELS Next is used for the on-going assessments of learners’ reading ability and differentiating of instruction using the Differentiation Box, driven by Informal Decoding Inventory and Informal Phonics Inventory results. The Common Core Georgia Performance Standards are implemented in K-5 ELA/Math classes. Thinking Map strategies are employed with ESOL subgroups. Fast ForWord and DreamBox were implemented this term for Tier 2 instruction, but due to budget restraints, only in Kindergarten through first grade for Fast ForWord and DreamBox in Kindergarten through second grades.

Professional Learning Needs

The annual professional needs survey results are stated as follows:

- Differentiated instruction for improved academic achievement for all students across the curriculum with emphasis on special needs learners
- Increased strategies for the explicit teaching of writing in all subjects areas
- Best practices training for implementation of (CCGPS) in Reading and Math
- Blended learning through integrated technology for CCGPS lessons
- Formative assessments to facilitate student learning and increase their motivation
- Gifted Endorsement certification to extend learning opportunities for high-achieving students

Need for a Striving Readers Project

Funston School believes that all students can learn, achieve, and succeed when taught by qualified staff, and when given ample time, proper modifications, and adequate resources. In order to be aligned with our system’s grading policy of providing students with multiple opportunities to master content objectives through well-planned, relevant courses of study, all teachers need
proper training. Because of budget cuts, professional development has been replaced with “in-house” meetings. The inconsistency between groups fails to lend itself to sustainable practices. From administrators to content-area teachers, to the paraprofessional support, a common understanding of literacy must be embraced to redefine our focus for how to lead our community to become self-sustaining, lifelong learners and global contributors. All educators must participate in high quality professional development that ensures their capability to develop and deliver a literacy plan which encompasses reading, writing, speaking, and listening critically in a variety of settings.

Funston’s changing demographics to the socioeconomically disadvantaged (74%) and transient populations, dictate that we explore new and different ways to service our students. Many of these students are represented in several subgroups creating a high impact on the school’s achievement record.

Technology is vital to engaging the 21st century learner; however, our technology has not been kept up to date due to reduced revenue. Classrooms and computer labs require additional computers to accommodate the larger class sizes. Online programs for individualized instruction to meet the needs of our gifted and talented students, as well as the at-risk, are expenditures we have been unable to make. The technology integrated lessons of CCGPS could be better taught with additional hardware and upgraded programs.

The research indicates that all students flourish in a print rich environment; therefore, the media center and classroom libraries must be restocked with the academic and informational texts which are referenced in our CCGPS unit frameworks. Language development among all subgroups is a necessity for the foundational elements of a standards-based curriculum.

The Striving Readers Project provides the focus, design, and revenue to supply Funston’s students with equal access to the quality of education we believe is a right for all children. This proposal is being sought because we believe that the strategic goals of this literacy plan will make a difference in teacher morale which translates into retaining students in school to receive the education and skills needed for college and the workforce.
Building Block 1. Engaged Leadership

A. Action: Demonstrate commitment to learn about and support evidence-based literacy instruction in his/her school

“Higher student achievement can be linked to effective professional learning (The Why, p. 141). The goal of professional learning is to support viable, sustainable professional learning, improve teacher instruction, and ultimately promote student achievement (The Why, p. 141). Funston Elementary School is committed to engaging all personnel, who directly impact instruction, with high-quality, job-embedded, sustained, collaborative learning to build their confidence in evidence-based practices in literacy instruction (The How, p. 5).

Planning:
The administrator will:
- Provide and participate in professional learning based on student data and teacher needs aligned with the CCGPS, including state-sponsored Webinars and face-to-face sessions to learn about transition to the state standards (The What, p. 5)
- Study research-based guidelines, strategies, and resources for literacy instruction set forth in the “The Why” document of the most current iteration of the Georgia Literacy Plan
- Participate in professional learning in literacy leadership in order to be a competent advocate for classroom instruction (The How, p. 20)
- Schedule regular literacy observations to monitor use of literacy strategies, student engagement and learning, and consistent use of effective instructional practices

Implementing:
The administrator will:
- Serve as a model by studying literacy research and best practices, sharing professional resources among faculty, facilitating professional discussions, and training team leaders as facilitators
- Provide time and support for staff to participate in job-embedded professional learning (including coaching, if available, peer-mentoring, learning community, grade-level meetings focused on student work, etc.) (The How, p. 20)
- Conduct literacy walk-throughs to monitor use of literacy strategies, student engagement and learning, as well as to ensure consistent use of effective instructional practices (The How, p. 20)

Expanding:
The administrator will:
- Ensure continued excellence in professional learning by continuing to analyze data and adjusting professional learning accordingly
- Continue walk-throughs to monitor effective instructional literacy practices
- Provide timely feedback to teachers based on walk-throughs and observations

Sustaining:
The administrator will:
- Ensure continued growth through professional learning by providing opportunities for new staff to receive necessary support in becoming acquainted with programs, materials and previously learned strategies.
- Make hiring decisions collaboratively based upon literacy goals (The How, p. 20)
According to page 143 of *The Why* document, a strong effective Literacy Leadership Team is critical to the educational process: “A strong, highly-trained Literacy Leadership Team comprises the core of this professional learning network.”

**Planning:**
The literacy team led by the administrator will:
- Identify stakeholders and partners to be part of the literacy leadership team
- Select faculty representatives from within the feeder pattern for the school (i.e., preschools, daycares, middle school, high school, technical school) community leaders, parents
- Create a shared literacy vision for the school and community aligned with the Georgia Literacy Plan
- Evaluate current practices in all classrooms by using an observation or walkthrough tool (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist) to determine strengths in literacy instruction and to identify needs for improvement
- Schedule and protect time for Literacy Leadership Team (or School Improvement Team) to meet and plan
- Analyze multiple forms of student, school, and teacher data, including results of the Literacy Instruction Observation Checklist, or its equivalent, to develop a list of prioritized recommendations and goals for improvement
- Ensure that effective data analysis procedures and practices are understood and practiced

**Implementing:**
The literacy team led by the administrator will:
- Identify and prioritize a list of students to be targeted for intervention
- Convene Literacy Leadership Team with community stakeholders, afterschool providers, school faculty and parents (*The How, p.28*)
- Ensure that stakeholders understand literacy goals and their roles in meeting these goals
- Ensure use of research-based practices aligned with CCGPS
- Establish a system of communication for sharing information with all partners (e.g., one-call, e-mails, brochures, newsletters, website)
- Plan for ongoing data collection and analysis to inform program development

**Expanding:**
The literacy team led by the administrator will:
- Revise School Improvement Plan goals, objectives, and actions according to student test data
- Re-assign staff as needed to maximize literacy goals (*The How, p. 21*)
- Identify and allocate additional funding sources to support literacy
- Celebrate student achievement gains with parents and the local community through open houses, newspaper articles, displays of student work, website, etc.

**Sustaining:**
The literacy team led by the administrator will:
- Continue to analyze formative and summative student assessment results and refine literacy goals based on the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards (CCGPS)
- Define priorities and allocate needed resources to sustain literacy initiatives over time
- Visit other schools that have successfully improved student achievement to gain valuable insights and redeliver to stakeholders
• Celebrate student achievement gains with District Literacy Leadership Team and School Board members through online media and traditional outlets

C. Action: The effective use of time and personnel is leveraged through scheduling and collaborative planning (K-5).

The Why document page 58 states “the most effective elementary schools provided an average of 60 minutes a day of small, ability-grouped instruction.” This time requirement increases in the upper elementary grades – “literacy instruction for adolescents should extend beyond a single language arts period and be integrated in subject area coursework.” Funston daily allocates a protected 120-150 minute reading and writing block in K-5. Systematic and intensive small group instruction is delivered for identified students who have been targeted for intervention and acceleration (The What, p. 5).

Planning:
• Ensure that in any grade in which instruction is departmentalized, students receive two to four hours of literacy (reading and writing) instruction which extends beyond language arts to content area classes
• Study flexible scheduling options to include additional time for reading intervention (double dosing)
• Schedule collaborative planning time for vertical teams within and across the curriculum
• Create common rubrics and assessments

Implementing:
• Ensure that teams meet for collaborative planning and examining student data/work during scheduled times
• Maximize use of scheduled times for collaborative meetings
  o Prepare agendas and action summaries for all meetings
  o Use protocols to examine student work (e.g., Collaborative Assessment Conference, Consultancy, Tuning Protocol) from Looking at Student Work website http://www.lasw.org/index.html

Expanding:
• Maximize use of scheduled instructional time by identifying effective strategies for differentiating instruction, promoting active engagement, and teaching key areas of literacy and writing instruction
• Collaborate with other team members to maximize instructional time through the use of peer observations to analyze lessons
• Video classrooms for self-evaluations, peer observations, share literacy expertise, etc. within and among schools
• Study formative student assessment results and use the results to continue to determine the impact of efforts to maximize use of time

Sustaining:
• Use technology to provide professional learning to new and continuing teachers
• Share professional learning at team and staff meetings
• Use media to collaborate with other schools (schools within the feeder pattern and schools in close proximity)
• Encourage teachers to share stories of success in the community, both online and through traditional outlets
D. Action: Create a school culture in which teachers across the curriculum are responsible for literacy instruction as articulated in the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards

“The need to communicate clearly and quickly has never been more important than in today's highly competitive, technology-driven global economy” (The Why, p. 27) For students to be proficient communicators, it is essential that “content-area teachers at all grade levels must include reading comprehension and processing subject-specific texts in all areas” (The Why, p. 26).

Planning:
- Evaluate the school culture and current practices by surveying strengths and needs for improvement (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist)
- Analyze multiple forms of student, school, and teacher data to develop a list of prioritized recommendations and goals for improvement
- Plan for targeted, sustained professional learning for the staff on literacy strategies and deep content knowledge (The How, p. 24)
- Engage in professional learning with a focus on facilitation of group process and teaming
- Study current research on disciplinary literacy in the content areas
- Select or develop a walk-through and/or observation form (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist) to ensure consistency of effective instructional practices
- Monitor instruction to ensure consistent use of effective instructional practices that include disciplinary literacy and active student engagement across content areas (The How, p. 25)

Implementing:
- Establish a work group that focuses specifically on how learning supports are used including all major resources, e.g., school counselors, psychologists, nurses, social workers, health educators, special education staff, after-school program staff, classroom teachers, non-certified staff, parents, older students, community representatives, bilingual and Title I coordinators
- Provide professional learning to utilize all staff to support literacy instruction
- Incorporate technologies to more efficiently support stakeholder engagement (i.e., school website, email, electronic newsletters) (The How, p. 25)

Expanding:
- Fill program/service gaps and develop outreach linkages among families of schools (e.g., a feeder pattern, schools in close proximity)
- Develop and maintain infrastructure to support literacy (accountability, data collection and evaluation across organizations)
- Provide English language services that extend beyond the classroom.
- Provide family-focused services that engage parents in literacy programs and services
- Use technology to assist in incorporating culturally and linguistically appropriate two-way communications with parents and stakeholders
- Establish a mentoring system for every student who needs additional support from both within the school and from the community

Sustaining:
- Keep the focus (fiscal and instructional) on literacy development even when faced with competing initiatives (The How, p. 24)
- Provide a literacy resource room for parents and caregivers within the school
- Provide parents with links to websites that provide resources to encourage literacy
• Include academic supports such as tutoring, co-curricular activities, online learning opportunities and/or tutoring, and extended learning opportunities such as summer programs, after-school and Saturday academies to enhance literacy learning
• Maintain use of technology to communicate and promote the goals of literacy across the curriculum

E. Action: Optimize literacy instruction across all content areas

CCGPS holds educators across all content areas accountable for teaching literacy standards. “Students must be able to comprehend, to make inferences, to draw conclusions, to communicate in oral and written formats, and to create and synthesize ideas” (The Why, p. 49). Funston has responded to the “integration of literacy skills into the content areas”, noting that “in grades K-5, there are [now] separate sets of standards for reading literature and for reading informational texts” (The Why, p. 48)

Planning:
• Identify research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of the CCGPS as well as for differentiated instruction through tiered tasks
• Identify appropriate strategies to help ELs meet English language proficiency standards
• Identify or develop a systematic procedure for teaching academic vocabulary in all subjects (e.g., http://www.u-46.org/roadmap/files/vocabulary/acadvoc-over.pdf)
• Create a plan to integrate literacy in all subjects as articulated within CCGPS
• Consider the use of videotaping to develop the infrastructure for peer-to-peer coaching, modeling, co-teaching, observing and providing feedback to fellow teachers on the development of disciplinary literacy in all content areas
• Provide professional learning on:
  o Teaching academic vocabulary in all subjects
  o Planning instruction or modifications for ELs using the World-Class Instructional Design and Assessment (WIDA) standards
  o Incorporating the use of literature in content areas
  o Use of informational text in English language arts classes
  o Writing instruction (narrative, opinion, and informational) in all subject areas
  o Supporting opinions with reasons and information
  o Determining author bias or point of view
  o Text complexity that is appropriate to grade level
  o Text complexity that is adjusted to the needs of individual students
  o Guiding students to conduct short research projects that use several sources
  o Teaching students to identify and navigate the text structures most common to a particular content area (e.g., social studies, cause and effect; science, problem/solution)
• Identify or develop a school-wide writing rubric that is aligned with the CCGPS to set clear expectations and goals for performance

Implementing:
• Ensure the use of research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of the CCGPS
• Support teachers in their use of appropriate strategies to help ELs meet WIDA standards
• Require the teaching of academic vocabulary in all subjects using a systematic process such as http://www.u-46.org/roadmap/files/vocabulary/acadvoc-over.pdf
• Support teachers in the integration of literacy instruction and skill development necessary for achievement in all subjects as articulated within CCGPS
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- Implement a system using technology in which teachers may coach, model, co-teach, observe, and give feedback to one another on teaching strategies for literacy in the classroom
- Require writing as an integral part of every class every day
- Ensure instruction in and opportunities for:
  - Writing opinion pieces on topics or texts, supporting a point of view with reasons and information
  - Writing informative/explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas and information clearly
  - Writing narratives to develop real or imaginary experiences
- Use a school-wide writing rubric that is aligned with the CCGPS to set clear expectations and goals for performance
- Provide teachers with resources to provide a variety and choice in reading materials and writing topics
- Ensure that teachers provide meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen

Expanding:
- Identify skills or knowledge that needs to be strengthened in the future for students to reach standards proficiency
- Monitor literacy instruction across the curriculum through:
  - Formal and informal observations
  - Lesson plans
  - Walkthroughs
  - Student work samples
- Ask teachers to identify exemplary samples of student work to model features of quality writing
- Share ways for teachers to guide students to focus on their own improvement
- Encourage teachers to integrate appropriate text comprehension strategies into instruction in all subject areas (i.e., self-questioning, summarizing, predicting, inferencing, graphic organizers)
- Encourage teachers to identify common themes across disciplines immersing students in content vocabulary connected to the topic
- Create a forum to share creative ideas among the faculty to infuse literacy throughout the day

Sustaining:
- Discuss alternative instructional strategies or modifications that may be better suited to promoting student learning of the CCGPS (and for ELs, English language proficiency standards)
- Use online resources to stay abreast of effective strategies for the development of disciplinary literacy within the content areas, e.g., join online professional associations, blogs, and newsletters
- Expand meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen (e.g., contests, debates, speeches, creating YouTube videos, and drama)
- Expand the types of writing across the subject areas (e.g., songs, manuals, word problems, e-mails, ads, instructions, etc.)
- Differentiate literacy assignments by offering student choice (http://daretodifferentiate.wikispaces.com/Choice+Boards) (The How, p. 26)
- Celebrate and publish good student writing products in a variety of formats (i.e., websites, student blogs, local newspapers, literacy magazines, classroom and school libraries, etc.)
- Host family nights that engage parents in activities that demonstrate the importance of proficiency in literacy (The How, p. 27)
**F. Action:** Enlist the community at large to support schools and teachers in the development of college-and-career-ready students as articulated in the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards.

Funston Elementary’s goal is akin to Georgia’s as referenced in *The Why* document: “Georgia’s goal for all students is that they become self-sustaining, lifelong learners and contributors to their communities” (p. 31). “The demands for clear and concise communication, especially writing, in the workplace are increasing. If students are not prepared for these demands, the chances for employment and advancement decrease.” (*The Why*, p. 27).

### Planning:
- Create a shared vision for literacy for the school and community, making the vision tangible and visible (e.g., graphing scores; rewards for improvement in literacy)
- Identify key members of the community, governmental and civic leaders, business leaders, and parents to serve as members of a school literacy team
- Contact potential members and schedule at least two meetings annually
- Identify and contact learning supports in the community that target student improvement (e.g., tutoring, mentoring, afterschool programming)

### Implementing:
- Convene meetings of the school literacy team at scheduled times.
- Develop an agenda for each meeting to promote cooperation and communication among participants
- Establish a mentoring system from within and outside of the school for every student who needs additional support
- Enlist members of the various participating entities to provide leadership by:
  - Serving as mentors
  - Speaking to groups of students on impact of literacy in career orientation
  - Publicizing efforts within the community
  - Visiting classrooms to support teachers and students

### Expanding:
- Investigate similar efforts in other communities
- Actively support teachers in their efforts through recognitions
- Open school buildings for adult learners from the community in the evenings, encouraging a community of learners
- Evaluate the effectiveness of after-school tutoring programs and partner with community and faith-based groups to accommodate more students
- Utilize technology to communicate and promote the goals of literacy throughout the community

### Sustaining:
- Celebrate academic successes publically through traditional and online media
- Invite former Funston alumni to speak at Reading Celebrations as to the potential for schools to change lives
- Continue to focus proactively on broad issues that may prevent students from learning
- Pursue additional funding sources for specialized literacy staff and materials
- Ask local businesses to help heighten awareness about reading or literacy topics (e.g., a supermarket chain may agree to print a literacy message on its shopping bags; utility suppliers might feature tips in their monthly statements).
- Foster relationships among social and civic groups within the community to serve as visiting readers to classes
### Building Block 2: Continuity of Instruction

**A. Action: Ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum through the use of collaborative teams (See Sections 1. D. and E.)**

Funston will continue the practice of common planning time and will “provide educators with the knowledge and skills to collaborate” (*The Why*, p. 143). Funston’s academic teachers share a common 45 minute planning period daily to do collaborative lesson planning, analyze student data/work samples, and meet with the instructional coach. Meeting agendas are generated weekly in alignment with School Improvement Plan.

**Planning:**
- Develop administrative awareness of the need to identify gaps
- Identify specific, measurable student achievement goals aligned with grade-level expectations to be shared by teachers in all subjects (*The How*, p. 29)
- Administration establishes an expectation of shared responsibility for literacy across the curriculum
- Establish cross-disciplinary teams for literacy instruction
- Establish or select protocols for team meetings, such as those found on [http://www.lasw.org/methods.html](http://www.lasw.org/methods.html)
- Schedule time for teams to meet for regular collaboration and examination of student data/work samples

**Implementing:**
- Meet in disciplinary teams according to regularly established times for collaborative planning and examining student data/work samples
- Prepare agendas and action summaries for all meetings
- Use protocols to examine student work (e.g., Collaborative Assessment Conference, Consultancy, Tuning Protocol) from Looking at Student Work website [http://www.lasw.org/index.html](http://www.lasw.org/index.html)
- Observe model lessons, organize materials, and practice effective instructional strategies using professional videos
- Plan and implement lessons that address the literacy needs of students

**Expanding:**
- Research effective strategies for differentiation
- Collaborate with other team members to conduct peer observations and analyze lessons to improve disciplinary literacy instruction using videotaping where possible (*The How*, p. 29)
- Study formative student assessment results and use the data to continue to drive instruction
- Assess effectiveness of team actions on student learning

**Sustaining:**
- Utilize online options to provide ongoing professional learning to new and continuing teachers
- Share professional learning online and at team and staff meetings
- Collaborate with others using videotaping and online sharing options to conduct peer observations, share literacy expertise, etc.
- Showcase evidence of student learning success on the school website (e.g., writing assignments, improved test scores, awards or recognitions)
- Encourage teachers to share stories of success in the community and through school website
B. Action: Support teachers in providing literacy instruction across the curriculum

The CCGPS, as stated in the “Why” document, insists that instruction in reading, writing, speaking, and language be a shared responsibility within the school (p. 27). Recommendation 2 of the Georgia Literacy Task Force includes the provision for “professional learning opportunities for teachers and school personnel to identify and evaluate the characteristics of effective literacy instruction, especially in the areas of reading, writing, and speaking” (The Why, p. 37).

Planning:

- Provide awareness sessions for entire faculty to learn about CCGPS for literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects
- Provide teachers with opportunities to practice teaching the concepts and skills identified using videotaping to provide feedback
- Study research-based strategies and resources, particularly those found in “The Why” document of the Georgia Literacy Plan
- Study the English language proficiency standards resources, strategies, technologies, and accommodations for English learners (ELs)
- Identify and plan direct, explicit instructional strategies to teach text structures, vocabulary, and background knowledge that students need to learn for each subject area (The How, pp. 30-31) http://www.myread.org/explicit.htm
- Study a variety of strategies for incorporating writing in all subjects http://apps.educationnorthwest.org/traits/lessonplans.php
- Provide professional learning on research-based instructional strategies and use of rubrics to improve literacy instruction
- Discuss ways to infuse literacy throughout the day including the use of technology

Implementing:

- Use research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of the CCGPS
- Implement appropriate strategies to help ELs meet English language proficiency standards
- Teach academic vocabulary in all subjects using a commonly adopted, systematic procedure, such as http://www.u-46.org/roadmap/files/vocabulary/acadvoc-over.pdf
- Coach, model, co-teach, observe, and give feedback to fellow teachers using videos and social media where possible on the use of literacy strategies in the classroom
- Channel available funding into moving toward a one-to-one computer model for entire student body as soon as possible
- Use a school-wide writing rubric that is aligned with the CCGPS to set clear expectations and goals for performance (The What, p. 7)
- Teach and have students practice writing as a process (pre-write, draft, revise, edit, and publish online and on hardcopy)
- Provide variety and choice in the types, media and genre of both reading and writing assignments
- Develop meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen using face-to-face and online options

Expanding:

- Identify skills or knowledge needed to be strengthened in future lessons for students to reach standards proficiency
- Monitor the use of instructional strategies to improve literacy through formal and informal observations
- Discuss exemplary samples with students to model features of quality writing
- Guide students to focus on their own improvement
- Provide opportunities for reading varied genres to improve fluency, confidence, and understanding
- Integrate appropriate comprehension strategies into instruction in all subject areas (i.e., self-questioning, summarizing, predicting, inferencing, graphic organizers)
- Integrate a common theme across subject areas, immersing students in content vocabulary connected to the topic

**Sustaining:**
- Discuss alternative instructional strategies or modifications that may be better suited to promoting student learning of the CCGPS (and for ELs, English language proficiency standards)
- Use online resources to stay abreast of effective strategies for literacy instruction (*The How*, p.26)
- Expand opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen using face-to-face and online options for listening, viewing and communicating
- Expand the types of writing across the subject areas (e.g., songs, manuals, captions, word problems, e-mails, ads, instructions, etc.)
- Differentiate assignments by offering student choice (http://daretodifferentiate.wikispaces.com/Choice+Boards)
- Celebrate and publish good student writing in a variety of formats (e.g., district and school websites, local newspapers, literacy magazines, school libraries, etc.)
- Host “Authors’ Night” for family involvement that demonstrates the importance of literacy proficiency

**C. Action: Collaborate with supporting out-of-school agencies and organizations within the community**

The definition of literacy by the Georgia Literacy Task Force includes the following goal: “Georgia’s goal for all students is that they become self-sustaining, lifelong learners and contributors to their communities” (*The Why*, p. 31). The Literacy Leadership Team at Funston Elementary School believes that our community’s learners, present and future, are interdependent. As a result, we believe that engaging our out-of-school agencies and organizations to support our students’ literacy will benefit not only our students, but the community at large. One of the Reading Next research-based program elements to improve literacy achievement is “a comprehensive and coordinated literacy program, which is interdisciplinary and interdepartmental and may even coordinate with out-of-school organizations and the local community” (*The Why*, p. 67).

**Planning:**
- Map available fiscal and human resources related to support services throughout the community, highlighting where gaps occur (*The What*, p.8)
- Develop a survey of needs from parents, students, teachers, and counselors that can be used to match available resources to actual need
- Appoint a person in a leadership role (e.g., administrator, coach) at the school who will be in charge of transitions for all students
- Articulate what an integrated learning-supports infrastructure should look like at the community level
- Evaluate all available funding sources to determine what can be leveraged to support literacy efforts
- Ensure that all appropriate stakeholders participate in critical planning and decision-making activities

**Implementing:**
- Identify and contact learning supports in the community that target student improvement (e.g., tutoring, mentoring, out-of-school programming)
- Establish a means of continual communication (e.g., texting, email, etc.) between teachers and out-of-school providers
- Design and implement infrastructure to provide guidance and support for students and families
- Establish a work group (e.g., school counselors, psychologists, nurses, social workers, special education staff, after school program staff, safe and drug free school staff, classroom teachers, non-certified staff, parents, older students, community representatives, bilingual and Title I coordinators) that focuses specifically on how learning supports are used
- Develop a comprehensive system of learning supports to enhance motivation and capability of the critical mass of stakeholders
- Incorporate technologies to more efficiently support stakeholder engagement (i.e., electronic newsletters) *(The What, p.8)*

**Expanding:**
- Partner with community to accommodate more students
- Develop and maintain infrastructure to support literacy (accountability, data collection and evaluation across organizations)
- Evaluate the effectiveness of after-school tutoring programs using pre- and post-testing as well as progress monitoring assessments
- Provide for professional learning and resources that support literacy learning in outside organizations.
- Open school buildings for adult learners from the community in the evenings, encouraging a community of learners
- Establish a mentoring system from within and outside the school for every student who needs additional support
- Using technology, translate school documents into other languages to assist parents
- Incorporate culturally and linguistically appropriate two-way communications with parents and stakeholders online and face-to-face

**Sustaining:**
- Keep the focus (fiscal and instructional) on literacy development even when faced with competing initiatives
- Continue to focus proactively on broad issues that may prevent students from learning (e.g., health, nutrition, homelessness, attendance)
- Pursue additional funding sources for specialized literacy staff and materials
- Include academic supports such as tutoring, co-curricular activities, and extended learning opportunities such as summer programs, online tutoring programs, after-school and Saturday academies to enhance literacy learning
- Ask local businesses to help heighten awareness about reading or literacy topics (e.g., a supermarket chain may agree to print a literacy message on its shopping bags; utility suppliers may feature tips in their monthly statements)
- Continue to foster relationships/networks among schools (particularly within feeder patterns), families, and communities.
**Building Block 3. Ongoing formative and summative assessments**

A. Action: Establish an infrastructure for ongoing formative and summative assessments to determine the need for and the intensity of interventions and to evaluate the effectiveness of instruction.

Funston advocates the use of formative assessments to drive and affect instructional strategies in the classroom. According to *The Why*, p. 98, “Formative assessments are only effective if they are followed by effective instructional responses or appropriate types of feedback”. “Because of new information with each assessment, the educator is able to provide a continual cycle for student improvement” (*The Why*, p. 97).

**Planning:**
- Provide consistent expectations across classrooms and teachers by identifying or developing common curriculum-based assessments (formal, informal, and performance based).
- Locate or develop common mid-course assessments for use across all classrooms and include a variety of formats (multiple choice, short answer, constructed response, essay, online tests).
- Provide assessment measures to identify high achieving/advanced as well as struggling learners who would benefit from enrichment activities.
- Task the data team with developing procedures and expectations for staff to review and analyze assessment results.
- Define a process for selecting appropriate interventions for struggling readers.
- Identify and purchase assessment and intervention materials aligned with students’ needs.
- Identify and train all staff who will administer assessments to ensure standardized procedures and accurate data recording.
- Have all materials and procedures in place prior to start of the school year.
- Develop a formative assessment calendar based on local, state, and program guidelines, including specific timeline for administration and persons responsible.
- Make a data collection plan for storing, analyzing, and disseminating assessment results.

**Implementing:**
- Administer assessments, input and analyze data according to the established timeline.
- Provide timely, descriptive feedback to students with opportunities to assess their own learning (e.g., graphing their progress).
- Evaluate the results of the assessments in order to adjust expectations and instruction in all classrooms.
- Use screening, progress monitoring, and curriculum-based assessments to influence instructional decisions regarding flexible 4-tier service options for Response to Intervention (RTI).
- Upgrade technology infrastructure to support assessment administration and dissemination of results.

**Expanding:**
- Designate personnel to be responsible for ensuring continued fidelity to all formative assessment procedures and timelines beyond year one.
- Analyze student data in teacher teams to develop and adjust instructional plans.
- Use technology to share relevant student progress data with parents and caregivers in an easily interpreted, user-friendly format.
- Utilize online options such as Skype and Google+ for collaboration among teachers within the same and different schools (*The How*, p.36).
- Record online collaboration sessions for those who could not attend at the designated time.
Sustaining:
- Continue to research and select effective screening, progress monitoring, and diagnostic tools to identify readiness levels of all students (*The How, p. 34*)
- Continue to provide consistent expectations across classrooms and teachers by identifying or developing common curriculum-based assessments (formal, informal, and performance based)
- Continue to provide assessment measures that can help identify high achieving/advanced learners who would benefit from enrichment activities (*The How, p. 34*)
- Continue to purchase assessment and intervention materials aligned with students’ needs
- Use online training options to train/retrain all staff who will administer assessments to ensure standardized procedures and accurate data recording

B. Action: Use universal screening and progress monitoring for formative assessment

As cited in *The Why* document (p. 101) Funston teachers practice the importance of screening basic literacy skills “multiple times throughout the year with a valid and reliable instrument in order to track progress or lack of it”. DIBELS Next, district benchmark assessments, and pre/post tests are administered in Math and Reading three times a year to evaluate student learning and provide instruction and support where it is indicated.

Planning:
- Select or develop school or system-wide classroom-based formative assessments to assess efficacy of classroom instruction
- Include assessment measures to identify high achieving/advanced learners who would benefit from advanced coursework
- Select or develop formative assessments and implement pre/post tests in Science and Social Studies (*The What, pp. 6-7*)

Implementing:
- Develop an assessment calendar to include universal screenings and progress monitoring (both general-outcome and classroom based), designating persons responsible
- Administer assessments and input data according to the established timeline
- Provide timely, descriptive feedback to students with opportunities to assess their own learning (e.g., graphing their progress)

Expanding:
- Assign a person or persons responsible for monitoring and maintaining fidelity of all formative assessment procedures and timelines
- Analyze student data in teacher teams to develop and adjust instructional plans
- Use technology to share relevant student progress data with parents and caregivers in an easily interpreted user-friendly format
- Utilize online options such as Skype and Google+ for collaboration among teachers and parents

Sustaining:
- Provide continued professional learning to staff who administer assessments to maintain use of standardized procedures and accurate data recording
- Acknowledge staff’s efforts to improve their use of assessment data to inform instruction
- Make data-driven budget decisions aligned with literacy priority
C. Action: Use diagnostic assessment to analyze problems found in literacy screening

Based on the recommendations of Johnson et al (2011) in a discussion of universal screeners: “Once the pool of at-risk students is identified, more comprehensive assessments of their reading ability should be conducted to inform appropriate intervention placements” (The Why, p. 102). Funston plans literacy instruction and implements interventions where indicated using the DIBELS Follow-Up tools, namely the Informal Decoding Inventory and Informal Phonics Inventory.

Planning:
- Develop a protocol for ensuring that students who are identified by screenings receive diagnostic assessment
- Identify diagnostic assessments, where possible, that isolate the component skills needed for mastery of literacy standards
- Select interventions that include diagnostic assessments and multiple entry points to avoid a one-size-fits-all approach

Implementing:
- Use results of the diagnostics for student placement within an intervention and to adjust instruction
- Use technology to differentiate learning within content areas (e.g., use Lexiles to match students to text; provide practice opportunities to strengthen areas of weakness; use gloss option on e-books to provide definitions for unknown words; translate material into student’s first language; support students whose disabilities may preclude them from acquiring information through reading)

Expanding:
- Use student assessment data to assist students and teachers in setting learning goals and in monitoring progress toward those goals
- Use technology to share relevant student progress data with families in an easily interpreted format
- Use technology for communicating data to the district literacy leadership team in a timely manner

Sustaining:
- Recognize and celebrate individual student’s incremental improvements toward reaching literacy goals

D. Action: Use summative data to make programming decisions as well as to monitor individual student progress

The Why document includes an assessment plan that will “assist educators in learning how to interpret and analyze results from multiple sources to set goals for students and to identify appropriate instructional strategies” (The Why, p. 96).

Planning:
- Evaluate the capacity of technology infrastructure to support test administration and disseminate results
- Analyze previous year’s outcome assessments to determine broad student needs and serve as a baseline for improvement:
  - Georgia Criterion Referenced Competency Tests in grades 3-5 in math, social studies, science, and English Language Arts
  - Georgia Alternate Assessment (GAA) for students with disabilities
  - Georgia Writing Test in grades 3 and 5
• Identify common mid-course assessments (i.e., end-of-unit/chapter tests) that are used to measure progress toward standards
• Study how disciplinary standards are assessed on state and local tests
• Analyze assessment data to identify teachers who need support

Implementing:
• Discuss assessment results with students to set individual goals
• Upgrade the capacity of technology infrastructure to support administration of assessments and the dissemination of results
• Administer summative assessments at scheduled intervals
• Include specific times on the school calendar for analyzing summative assessment data
• Plan time in teacher teams to review assessment results to identify program and instructional adjustments
• During teacher team meetings, focus discussions on changes that can be made to improve the instructional program for all students

Expanding:
• Using online training options, offer professional learning on strategies to address specific skills identified as school-wide or subject area weaknesses
• Disaggregate data to ensure the progress of subgroups
• Apply protocols for looking at student assessments and evaluating student progress (The How, p. 38)
• Share and analyze student work samples as a way to inform instruction during collaborative planning (See Section 1. B. and 2. A.)
• Plan lessons, re-teaching, and intervention activities that target areas of need
• Utilize online options such as Skype and Google+ for collaboration among teachers within the same and different schools on lesson planning
• Record online collaboration sessions for those who could not attend at the designated time

Sustaining:
• Based on analysis of summative assessment data:
  o Evaluate the effectiveness of programs and policies
  o Redefine school improvement goals
  o Adjust curriculum alignment to eliminate gaps
  o Ensure that students are appropriately placed in specific programs
  o Using the school websites, recognize and celebrate individual student’s significant improvements and attaining designated standards of achievement

Action 3.E.: Develop a clearly articulated strategy for using data to improve teaching and learning (See 5. A.)

The NCEE made “five recommendations to schools and districts seeking to maximize the use of data to improve teaching and learning. Classroom-level recommendations: make data part of an ongoing cycle of instructional improvement and teach students to examine their own data and set learning goals. Administrative recommendations: establish a clear vision for school-wide data use; provide supports that foster a data-driven culture within the school; and develop and maintain a district-wide data system” (The Why, p. 120, 121).

Planning:
• Identify participants for data teams for building and specific grade bands
Define roles and responsibilities for team members – including, but not limited to:
  o Building administrators
  o General education teachers
  o Teachers of students with special needs (SWD, EL, Gifted)

Schedule collaborative planning time for data meetings at a minimum of once/month

Establish or select protocols for team meetings, such as those found on http://www.lasww.org/methods.html

Develop a protocol for making decisions to identify the instructional needs of students

Develop a data storage and retrieval system

Develop procedures and expectations for staff to review and analyze assessment results

**Implementing:**

  - Communicate the expectations for meetings
  - Teach the data meeting protocol to the data team members *(The How, p. 39)*
  - Train teachers to use the decision-making protocol to identify student instructional needs and group them by instructional commonalities
  - Implement protocol with fidelity
  - Using online options, provide teachers with the training and time to analyze the data to determine the need for intervention

**Expanding:**

  - Review protocols at beginning of meetings
  - Evaluate the process for using data to ensure that it continues to meet the needs of students and teachers

**Sustaining:**

  - Continue to build collaborative data meetings into the monthly calendar
  - Using online options to continue to train new members of the meetings in the expectations and function of the established protocols
  - Ensure that the data storage and retrieval system is effective and efficient
## Building Block 4. Best Practices in Literacy Instruction

### A. Action: Provide direct, explicit literacy instruction for all students

“According to the *Report of the National Reading Panel*, there are five essential components of effective early reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension” (*The Why*, p. 64). “Explicit and systematic instruction in the five essential components must be provided” (*The Why*, p. 65).

### Planning:

- Research and select a core program that will provide continuity based on a carefully articulated scope and sequence of skills that is integrated into a rich curriculum of literary and informational texts
- Examine student data to identify areas of instruction with greatest needs (e.g., word identification, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension)
- Compile and examine classroom observation data (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist, GA or some other instrument) using a checklist to gauge current practice in literacy instruction
- Allocate which aspects of literacy instruction students are to receive in each subject area
- Plan and provide professional learning on direct, explicit instructional strategies to build students’ vocabulary, comprehension, and writing skills within each subject area
- Plan and provide professional learning on differentiated instructional options for literacy assignments

### Implementing:

- Provide training to all pertinent staff in the use of the core program
- Provide professional learning on the tenets of explicit instruction:
  - Use of data to inform instructional decisions and explicit teaching
  - Selection of appropriate text for strategy instruction
  - Telling students specific strategies to be learned and why
  - Modeling of how strategy is used
  - Guided and independent practice with feedback
  - Discussion of when and where strategies are to be applied
- Using online options where feasible, provide professional learning on research-based differentiated instructional strategies that support diverse needs
- Using videotaping and peer-to-peer coaching, ensure that teachers receive frequent feedback and coaching
- Ensure a daily literacy block in K-3 that includes whole-group explicit instruction in word identification, vocabulary, and comprehension as well as small groups for differentiation for all students.

### Expanding:

- Address both academic and workplace literacy skills across all content areas and provide students with knowledge of a variety of career pathways
- Review teacher and student data to improve instruction
- Collaborate with and obtain additional support from other educators who on differentiated instruction via online communities of educators
- Share effective differentiated lessons and differentiation strategies in teacher team meetings
- Use videotaping of differentiated lessons to share with other educators
- Provide instructional and assessment accommodations/adaptations for English language learners according to their English proficiency levels, and accommodations for students with exceptionalities according to their needs and talents
- Provide families with access to resources that differentiate support for students

**Sustaining:**
- Continue analyzing data to determine the impact of teaching strategies on student achievement
- Continue to provide ongoing training to all pertinent and new staff in the use of the core program
- Provide support to new teachers on differentiated instruction for all learners, including English language learners and students with exceptionalities
- Stay abreast of current research and new findings related to differentiated instruction by developing a library of professional books, journals, and online sources
- Provide opportunities for teachers to learn more about how to make adolescent curriculum more accessible to all learners (e.g., participate in professional learning provided by district and state, attend conferences and/or institutes)
- Encourage teachers to participate in online professional communities to share ideas, questions, lesson plans and videotapes of classes

**B. Action:** Ensure that students receive effective writing instruction across the curriculum

One of the program components identified by *Reading Next* is “intensive writing, including instruction connected to the kinds of writing tasks students will have to perform well in high school and beyond” (*The Why*, p. 66). The National Commission on Writing research found that “people who cannot write and communicate clearly will not be hired, and if already working, are unlikely to last long enough to be considered for promotion” (*The Why*, p. 44). Also stated in this research: “Corporations with greatest employment growth potential assess writing during hiring” (*The Why*, p. 45).

**Planning:**
- Design a vertically and horizontally articulated writing plan consistent with CCGPS
- Develop or identify the programs, protocol, and/or materials necessary to implement the plan at each level
- Develop a coordinated plan for writing instruction across all subject areas to include:
  - Explicit instruction
  - Guided practice
  - Independent practice
- Provide professional learning on best practices in writing instruction in all subject areas
- Create a plan that describes how technology will be used for production, publishing, and communication across the curriculum

**Implementing:**
- Create a plan for instruction in writing that is consistent with CCGPS and is articulated vertically and horizontally (*The How*, p. 42)
- Develop or identify the programs, protocol, and/or materials necessary to implement the plan at each level
- Develop a coordinated plan for writing instruction across all subject areas to include:
  - Explicit instruction
  - Guided practice
  - Independent practice
- Provide professional learning on best practices in writing instruction in all subject areas
- Create a plan that describes how technology will be used for production, publishing, and
communication across the curriculum

Expanding:
- Design a vertically and horizontally articulated writing plan consistent with CCGPS
- Develop or identify the programs, protocol, and/or materials necessary to implement the plan at each level
- Develop a coordinated plan for writing instruction across all subject areas to include:
  - Explicit instruction
  - Guided practice
  - Independent practice
- Provide professional learning on best practices in writing instruction in all subject areas
- Create a plan that describes how technology will be used for production, publishing, and communication across the curriculum

Sustaining:
- Design a vertically and horizontally articulated writing plan consistent with CCGPS (*The How*, p. 42)
- Develop or identify the programs, protocol, and/or materials necessary to implement the plan at each level
- Develop a coordinated plan for writing instruction across all subject areas to include:
  - Explicit instruction
  - Guided practice
  - Independent practice
- Provide professional learning on best practices in writing instruction in all subject areas
- Create a plan that describes how technology will be used for production, publishing, and communication across the curriculum

C. Action: Teachers work to develop and maintain interest and engagement as students progress through school.

Research from Guthrie and Humenick which focused on improving students’ motivation to read included four recommendations: “providing content goals for reading, supporting student autonomy, providing interesting texts, and increasing social interactions among students related to reading” (*The Why*, p. 51). In addition, “incorporating technology into instruction can increase motivation at the same time that it enhances literacy by fostering student engagement” (*The Why*, p. 53).

Planning:
- Teachers should be made to understand the need for any or all of the following:
  - Providing students with opportunities to self-select reading material and topics for research
  - Taking steps to provide students with an understanding of the relevance of their academic assignments to their lives
  - Increasing opportunities for collaborating with peers
  - Increasing access to texts that students consider interesting
  - Scaffolding students’ background knowledge and competency in navigating content area texts to ensure their confidence and self-efficacy
  - Leveraging the creative use of technology within the learning process to promote engagement and relevance.
## Implementing:
- Ensure that incentive programs, if used, are: *(The How, p. 41)*
  - Voluntary and not required
  - Not tied to grades
  - Incentives are minimal and are connected to reading, such as books
  - Are used with students who are unmotivated to read rather than with those who are already excited about reading
  - Teachers explore ways to use peer collaboration with and discuss within the context of PLCs *(e.g., literature circles, cross-age interactions)*

## Expanding:
- Increase access to texts through technology that students consider engaging
- Create a plan that describes how technology will be used for production, publishing, and communication across the curriculum *(The How, p. 42)*
- Provide professional learning on best practices in writing instruction in all subject areas *(The How, p. 42)*
- Incorporate Disciplinary Literacy to promote reading, writing, listening, speaking and thinking critically across the curriculum

## Sustaining:
- Continue analyzing data to determine the impact of teaching strategies on student achievement *(The How, p. 40)*
- Stay abreast of current research and new findings related to differentiated instruction by developing a library of professional books, journals, and online sources *(The How, p. 40)*
- Use online options to continue to train new teachers
- Make data-driven budget decisions aligned with literacy priority *(The How, p. 36)*
- Continue to build collaborative data meetings into the monthly calendar *(The How, p. 38)*
### Building Block 5. System of Tiered Intervention (RTI) for All Students

**A. Action:** Use information developed from the school-based data teams to inform RTI process (see Section 3. E.)

“Responding to student performance is a critical element of all classroom learning environments. The teacher’s ability to identify areas of focus, scaffold the learning for the individual to reach the expectation, and support the solidification of new learning behaviors is vital to student success” (*The Why*, p. 126).

**Planning:**
- Determine percentage of students currently being served in each tier at each grade level
- Articulate goals/objectives at building level based on identified grade-level and building needs
- Budget for recurring costs of data collection, intervention materials, and technology used for implementation
- Develop protocols for identifying students and matching them to the appropriate intervention

**Implementing:**
- Purchase, provide training, and implement data collection
- Purchase, schedule, train providers and implement intervention
- Analyze data for individuals to identify students in need of intervention according to established protocols (*The What*, p. 11)
- Monitor to ensure that interventions are occurring regularly and with fidelity
- Monitor results of formative assessment to ensure students are progressing

**Expanding:**
- Develop standardized protocols for the collection of critical information to determine students’ literacy competence in various content areas and response to interventions
- Schedule grade-level data-analysis team meetings
- Provide building support of the process
- Monitor implementation of research-based interventions at the building level

**Sustaining:**
- Use the Georgia Department of Education problem-solving checklist to evaluate:
  - Personnel providing interventions
  - The ease with which students move between tiers
- Consider the options available through technology to provide ongoing, job-embedded support for data collection and analysis as well as for intervention (e.g., videotaping, videoconferencing, online collaboration)

**B. Action:** Provide Tier I Instruction based upon the CCGPS in all grades to all students in all classrooms (See Sections 4. A & B)

In an effective Tier 1 general education classroom, “teachers routinely address student needs and environmental factors to create the optimal learning environment” (*The Why*, p. 126). This optimal learning environment includes expert standards-based instruction, differentiation of instruction with flexible grouping, multiple means of learning and demonstration of learning, universal screenings and progress monitoring of learning through multiple formative assessments. (*The Why*, p. 132)
Planning:
- Examine student data to determine the current percentage of successful students in the areas of literacy (i.e., reading and writing)
- Develop a plan to strengthen Tier I instruction of disciplinary literacy in each content area
- If fewer than 80% of students are successful:
  - Examine student data to focus on instructional areas of greatest need (e.g., vocabulary, comprehension, written expression)
  - Compile data from classroom observations and review of plans to determine current practice in literacy instruction in each subject area using a checklist (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist, GA education.ky.gov/nr/rdonlyres/.../ccsso_ccontentliteracy.pdf)
  - Provide professional learning on direct, explicit instructional strategies that build students’ word identification, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing skills (See Section 4. A.)
- Provide professional learning on:
  - GA DOE resources for RTI, universal screening (e.g., GRASP, Aimsweb, DIBELS, STEEP, etc.),
  - Team teaching and inclusion of students with special learning needs (EL, SWD, gifted) in the general education setting
  - School-wide understanding of assessment data and anticipated levels of student mastery during the school year

Implementing:
- Ensure that teachers within each subject area plan together to implement jointly adopted literacy instruction
- Ensure that teachers develop and agree upon common classroom-based formative assessments within each subject area to ensure consistent expectations across classrooms
- Ensure that teachers regularly meet, either face-to-face or online, to debrief on the progress of these lessons and to plan necessary changes
- Schedule time for instructional planning as well as for student progress conversations across (vertical) as well as within (horizontal) grade levels
- Use data from universal screening process to identify general weaknesses in instruction Tier I as well as struggling students
- Use system-developed classroom-based formative assessments to monitor consistent grade-level implementation of curriculum and to gauge students’ progress toward mastery of CCGPS at each grade level
- Promote the formation of professional learning communities with protected meeting times
- Provide professional learning to support literacy, either face-to-face or online

Expanding:
- Establish protocols to teach and monitor teachers’ effective questioning and feedback skills
- Ensure adequate time for planning and implementing flexible grouping based on students’ learning needs
- Monitor the planning, delivery and assessment for students with special learning needs (EL, SWD, gifted)
- Support teachers’ effective use of time through use of technology during each stage of the process
- Establish protocols to support professional learning communities and use decision-making model to evaluate effectiveness
Sustaining:
- Continue to ensure that teachers consistently provide instruction that includes explicit instruction designed to meet the individual students’ needs
- Encourage the use of technology to support proactive communication between students and teachers, parents and teachers (e.g., cell phones, texting, email)
- Ensure that communication between teachers and administrators is ongoing and effective

C. Action: Implement Tier 2 needs-based interventions for targeted students

As student data shows the need for additional support for student learning, Tier 2 interventions to address specific learning needs are put into practice, along with progress monitoring tools which gauge progression toward mastery of specific goals (The Why, p. 126, 133). “Professional learning in intervention strategies must be aligned to the needs of the students” (The Why, p. 124).

Planning:
- Plan and provide professional learning for interventionists on:
  - Appropriate use of supplemental and intervention materials
  - Diagnosis of reading difficulties
  - Direct, explicit instructional strategies to address difficulties
  - Graphing progress
- Schedule times for collaborative discussion and planning between content area T1 teachers and interventionists (teachers or para-educators)
- Provide professional learning to ensure school-wide understanding of assessment data and anticipated levels of student mastery during the school year
- Ensure effectiveness of interventions by:
  - Building sufficient blocks of time into the daily schedule
  - Providing adequate space conducive to learning
  - Ensuring that interventions are provided by competent, well-trained teachers

Implementing:
- Monitor effectiveness of standard intervention protocols in place for students (based on universal screening, progress monitoring and benchmark data)

Extending:
- Establish protocols to ensure consistent progress monitoring, data collection, and reporting
- Ensure adequate time for planning and implementing interventions
- Monitor student movement between T1 and T2
- Provide sufficient resources (time, training cost, materials and implementation of interventions)
- Study comparable schools that have been successful in closing the achievement gap (The How, p. 45)

Sustaining:
- Ensure that teachers consistently provide research-validated interventions designed to meet individual student’s needs
- Document data points to monitor student response to intervention
- Encourage the use of technology to ensure proactive communication between students and teachers, parents and teachers (e.g., cell phones, texting, email)
• Use technology to track and ensure the movement of students between T1 and T2 based on response to interventions

D. Action: In Tier 3, ensure that Student Support Team (SST) and Data Team monitor progress jointly

The Why document (pp. 134 and 127) emphasizes the importance of the data team confirming the fidelity of implementation of interventions and aggressively monitoring the student’s response to these intense interventions.

Planning:
• In addition to everything that occurs at T1 and T2, data teams (expanded to include school psych, ESOL teacher, SLP, etc.) meet to:
  o Discuss students in T3 who fail to respond to intervention
  o Receive professional learning on Student Support Team processes and procedures as outlined in the GA DOE manual and guidance
  o Verify implementation of proven interventions
  o Ensure that interventionist has maintained fidelity to intervention protocol prior to referral

Implementing:
• T3 SST/data teams meet at least once a month to discuss student progress based on daily interventions that include a minimum of four data points
• Interventions are delivered 1:1 – 1:3 during a protected time daily by a trained interventionist
• T3 SST/data teams follow established protocol to determine specific nature of ELs lack of progress (i.e., language difficulty or difference vs. disorder)

Expanding:
• Teachers consistently provide research-validated interventions designed to meet individual student’s needs
• Data points (four) are documented to monitor student response to daily intervention over a period of 12 weeks prior to referral for special education should a specific learning disability be suspected
• Ensure that T3 includes proven interventions that address behavior

Sustaining:
• Continue to ensure that:
  o Students move into and out of T2 and T3
  o Data is used to support response to intervention
  o Referrals to special education are equivalent to proportion of school population that represent ethnic and racial composition as a whole
  o School consistently uses decision-making checklist to ensure appropriate recommendations of evidence-based interventions.

E. Action: Implement Tier 4 specially-designed learning through specialized programs, methodologies or instructional based upon students’ inability to access the CCGPS any other way

The Why document (page 134) states that Tier 4 is developed for students needing additional support and who meet eligibility criteria for special program placement, including gifted, ESOL, and special education. A continuum of services should be outlined to meet specific student needs.
Planning:
- School schedules are developed to ensure least restrictive environment (LRE)
- Ensure that building administrators are familiar with funding formulas affecting students in special programming
- Consider assigning a case manager to each student with (IEP) (i.e., the case manager should maintain contact even if the student is served by a different special educator in multiple settings (such as team taught) so that communication with student and parents is seamless) *The How, p. 47*

Implementing:
- Most highly qualified and experienced teachers support the delivery of instruction for students with the most significant needs (i.e., best Math II teacher teams with best special education teacher for team-taught instruction)
- Special education, ESOL, and gifted teachers participate in professional learning communities to ensure strict alignment with delivery of CCGPS, even in separate settings

Expanding:
- IEP teams include key members required to support students’ individualized transition plans and/or attainment of College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards
- Special education, EL, or gifted case managers meet plan and discuss students’ progress regularly with general education teachers
- Case managers regularly participate in open houses, parent conferences and college and career planning activities

Sustaining:
- Student data supports the exit of students from T4.
- A system of checks and balances ensures fidelity of implementation and progress of student subgroups at a rate commensurate with typical peers indicative of closing the present gap in performance
## Building Block 6. Improved Instruction through Professional Learning

### A. Action: Ensure that preservice education prepares new teachers for all the challenges of the classroom

**Planning:**
- Meet with representatives from Professional Standards Commission to enlist support for ensuring that preservice teachers receive coursework in disciplinary literacy within content areas
- Revise teacher preparation and training standards to include coursework in disciplinary literacy for pre-service teachers in all subject areas

**Implementing:**
- Enlist support from institutions of higher education to require pre-service teachers to demonstrate competency in reading theory and practice as well as in the development of disciplinary literacy
- Provide professional learning, where necessary, for postsecondary faculty
- Develop revised evaluation instruments for pre-service teachers

**Expanding:**
- Develop protocols for evaluating implementation of the new coursework
- Ensure that mentoring teachers are fully trained in providing instruction in disciplinary literacy

**Sustaining:**
- Continue to monitor and support the integration of disciplinary literacy
- Provide building and system-level administrators with professional learning on the need to integrate disciplinary literacy instruction into the content areas in order to help them make informed hiring decisions

### B. Action: Provide professional learning for in-service personnel

“According to the National Staff Development Council, substantiated academic growth will occur only when professionals receive ongoing, targeted professional learning” (*The Why, p. 142*). “Leaders at all levels recognize quality professional development as the key strategy for supporting significant improvements. They are able to articulate the critical link between improved student learning and the professional learning of teachers” (*The Why, p. 144*).

**Planning:**
- Schedule and protect time during the school day for teachers to collaboratively analyze data, share expertise, study the standards, plan lessons, examine student work, and reflect on practice
- Use teacher data (surveys, interest inventories, and/or teacher observations) as well as student data to target professional learning needs
- Encourage every teacher to develop a professional growth plan based on a self-assessment of professional learning needs (*The How, p. 49*)
- Hire an instructional coach to provide site-based support for staff
- Provide program-specific training in intervention programs before the beginning of the year to prepare teachers and staff for implementation
- Provide training in administering and interpreting results of assessments in terms of literacy
- Consider the inclusion of some or all of the following in personnel in professional learning opportunities:
### Colquitt County School District: Funston Elementary School

- Paraprofessionals
- Support staff
- Interventionists
- Substitute teachers
- Pre-service teachers working at the school

### Implementing:
- Provide targeted professional learning on the CCGPS based on student and teacher needs
- Meet in collaborative teams (include pre-service teachers currently working within the school) to support teachers in using literacy strategies effectively
- Provide opportunities for teachers to practice techniques in non-threatening situations
- Use checklists tied to professional learning when conducting classroom observations or walkthroughs to ensure clear expectations and to provide specific feedback to teachers on student learning
- Develop a list of sites for an online professional library that includes research-based books, journals, magazines, videos, etc. that teachers can readily access for professional growth

### Expanding:
- Revisit and revise professional learning yearly based on student mastery of CCGPS and classroom observations
- Partner experienced teachers with pre-service and beginning teachers *(The How, p. 48)*
- Use a model of blended professional learning – combining online learning with face-to-face support – to provide content and resources to teachers and staff
- Use formal and informal observations to monitor and improve literacy instruction (e.g., Literacy Instruction Checklist, GA or some other equivalent instrument)
- Use classroom observations (or videotaping) to identify and support individual teachers with follow-up coaching, conferencing, and mentoring
- Continue program-specific professional learning each year for new and experienced teachers
- Encourage all teachers to share information learned at professional learning sessions

### Sustaining:
- Analyze student data to evaluate effectiveness of current professional learning on student mastery of CCGPS in all subgroups
- Revisit professional learning options to utilize experts within the school to develop and support colleagues
- Ensure that new personnel receive vital professional learning from earlier years
- Videotape important professional learning sessions for staff to review and share with colleagues within and out of the school
- Expand and strengthen school-university partnerships to build networks of support for literacy programs through the use of online collaborations, blogs and professional organizations
- Continue to encourage “professional talk” among staff and provide time for discussions *(The How, p. 49)*

---

Funston Elementary School: Literacy Plan
Needs Assessment, Concerns and Root Cause Analysis

Description of Needs Assessment Process/Types or Styles of Surveys/Participants

On October 21, 2013, the system literacy team studied the research and best practices in the Why document at the initial Striving Readers’ grant application work session. The Needs Assessment Survey was taken by the team as a preview activity, and the decision was made to have all K-5 staff (leaders, classroom teachers, special education staff, Media Specialist, Music, PE, Counselor, and paraprofessionals) take the survey. The survey was administered via Survey Monkey, and results were collected and analyzed at the system and school levels.

Because the results of the needs assessment survey were not sufficiently descriptive, a follow-up survey was created, drilling down to more specific literacy concerns – within the ELA classrooms as well as across the curriculum. The follow-up survey was administered to all K-5 certified teachers via Survey Monkey. The survey included questions on the following topics: reading, writing, language, handwriting, speaking/listening skills, materials and resources, allotted instructional time, professional learning, student engagement, integration of technology instruction, and literacy across the curriculum. Results were again analyzed at the system and school levels.

In addition to grant-specific needs assessment, Colquitt County schools recently completed an annual update of School Improvement Plans. All schools are in the process of preparing for an onsite AdvancEd external review. Stakeholders (teachers, paraprofessionals, students, parents, and community members) are included throughout the school improvement process.

The following data is being used to determine needs in addition to the literacy surveys:

- AdvancEd staff, student, and parent surveys
- Teacher Keys Evaluation System teacher self-assessments
- Annual professional learning needs staff surveys
- SLDS Data
- CCRPI Data
- Test Data – GKIDS, CRCT, CRCT Readiness Indicators for Instructional Planning and Decision Making, ITBS, 3rd and 5th Grade Writing Scores, ACCESS Data, DIBELS Next data, and diagnostic reading assessment data
## Concerns/ Root Causes/ Current Actions/ Research-Based Practices/ Data Analysis Notes

The following concerns were consistently evidenced in survey results, both in the needs assessment survey and the follow-up activity. Additional data used in the school improvement process validated the concerns as identified through staff input.

### Building Block 1 – Engaged Leadership

**Concern #1: Need for a shared literacy vision which is owned by school leadership, staff members, students, parents, and community.**

**Root Causes**
- Lack of principal involvement in CCGPS training and implementation
- Proliferation of literacy initiatives over the years leaving pieces of good programs which are now implemented without consistency, focus, and sustainability
- Lack of focused, sustained professional development
- Lack of community awareness/involvement in ways to support early learning in literacy

**Current Practice:**
- Involve school leadership in literacy training and implementation
- Efforts underway to deconstruct standards and understand intent of CCGPS
- Efforts to align existing materials to CCGPS
- Informational meetings with parents to help them understand CCGPS (with translators)
- School newsletters/websites

**Reference to “What” Document (Building Block 1)**

“Why” p. 31 – “All stakeholders...are responsible for promoting literacy. All teachers, media specialists, and administrators must be competent advocates of promoting literacy.”

**Data Analysis Notes:** Survey results reveal an opportunity for growth in involving our school leadership and community members in the literacy initiatives. Only 28% of survey respondents agreed that school is fully operational.

### Building Block 2 – Continuity of Instruction

**Concern #2: Need for consistent literacy instructional focus across the curriculum**

**Root Causes**
- Transition from GPS to CCGPS – more complex texts without focus on literacy skills needed to gain understanding
- Lack of ongoing professional development for all teachers focusing on literacy skills in all content areas
- Lack of consistent collaborative planning between content area teachers and teachers of literacy
- No organized plan for teaching writing skills across all subjects

**Current Practice:**
- Teachers providing explicit genre and discipline-specific text structures in reading, writing, listening and speaking skills in all content areas
- Teachers collaboratively planning and analyzing student written responses across the curriculum
- Content area teachers focusing on academic vocabulary and instructional strategies for building background knowledge through relevant experiences

**Reference to “What” Document (Building Block 2)**

“Why” p. 41 – “Strategies for readers need to be taught as orchestrated strategies, and the most important outcome of reading comprehension instruction should be a reader’s ability to self-monitor.”
for understanding, thus motivating a reader to use the strategies flexibly and with purpose – throughout all content areas.”

**Data Analysis Notes:** In the follow-up survey, 36% of teachers expressed need for effectively integrating literacy skills across the content areas. Content area teachers in grades three through five felt professional learning in disciplinary literacy has been received, but not consistently implemented.

### Building Block 3 – Ongoing Summative and Formative Assessments

**Concern #3: Need for a comprehensive balanced assessment system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root Causes</th>
<th>Current Practice:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Use of different assessment systems over the years which has caused confusion for teachers and lack of consistent long-term analysis of progress</td>
<td>• This is the second year that all elementary schools have used a modified version of DIBELS Next testing. Students identified at risk in the screening have been tested using specified diagnostic measures. There is still much work to be done to ensure consistency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of funding for an online management system</td>
<td>• Using data to drive explicit reading instruction is in the earliest stages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of professional learning focused on use of assessment data</td>
<td>• Formative Instructional Practice work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No system-wide benchmark system</td>
<td>• OAS formative test items</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference to “What” Document (Building Block 3)**

“Why – p. 96” Assessment materials should be aligned with student’s needs, and personnel must be adequately trained to administer testing, diagnose needs, and plan instruction.

**Data Analysis Notes:** The survey results reveal 50%, overall, feel that efforts are growing, but means to evaluate the effectiveness of instruction and intensity of intervention are inconsistent. Only 30% agree that time is devoted to analyze data in impacting instruction.

### Building Block 4: Best Practices in Literacy Instruction

**Concern #4: Need for quality, research-based materials, resources, and professional learning for literacy instruction that are systematic, explicit, and aligned with CCGPS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root Causes</th>
<th>Current Practice:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Transition from GPS to CCGPS</td>
<td>• Using Treasures (not aligned to GPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No research-based scope and sequence</td>
<td>• Using county’s revised integrated units (originally suggested by the state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of funding to adopt aligned materials</td>
<td>• Lingering practices from America’s Choice design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Proliferation of literacy initiatives with little consistency, focus, and sustainability</td>
<td>• Supplementing instruction with Reading Differentiation Boxes, Jack and Jilly, FCRR materials, and teacher-selected resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of ongoing professional development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of adequate time in daily schedule for direct, explicit literacy instruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of consistent monitoring of current practices in literacy instruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of student motivation and engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Weak school-readiness skills – background knowledge, exposure to language, availability of print in homes (due to poverty)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Reference to “What” Document (Building Block 4)**
“Incorporating technology into instruction can increase motivation at the same time that it enhances adolescent literacy by fostering student engagement.” *(Why, p 53)*

Writing demands for the 21st century are increasing not only in schools, but in workplaces that demand effective communication skills. Georgia advocates strong writing skills throughout school.

**Data Analysis Notes:** Staff (44% across grade levels and content areas) indicates a need for focus of literacy materials, resources, and professional development. Needs most prevalent in upper grade disciplines.

**Building Block 5: System of Tiered Intervention (RTI) for All Students**

**Concern #5:** Need for systematic response to intervention protocol, resources, implementation, and monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Root Causes</strong></th>
<th><strong>Current Practice:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Inadequate/inconsistent time for intervention groups</td>
<td>• Schools have a site-based student support team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research based materials frequently not used with fidelity</td>
<td>• Documentation is reviewed by a system team when a child is referred for evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inadequate professional learning for interventionists</td>
<td>• Schools work creatively to find resources for RTI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference to “What” Document (Building Block 5)</strong></td>
<td>• Parents are involved in the RTI process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Why p. 123</em> – “Intervention strategies are systematic compilations or well-researched, evidence-based specific instructional techniques. Schools have the responsibility of implementing intervention methods that efficiently and effectively offer students opportunities to be successful.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Analysis Notes:** Data indicates concerns with tiered instruction among regular ed. 48% of teachers feel the use of assessments in applying appropriate interventions is effective. More effort to insure that formative assessments are administered regularly is critical to students receiving instruction at the proper tier.

**Building Block 6: Improved Instruction through Professional Learning**

**Concern #6:** Need for professional learning for literacy instruction including all leaders, teachers, and paraprofessionals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Root Causes</strong></th>
<th><strong>Current Practice:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Redirection of professional learning funds</td>
<td>• The system has a professional learning plan which is the focus for all training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff turnover</td>
<td>• School has an individual site plan aligned to the system’s goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Absence of plan for training and supporting new staff members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of ongoing support for all professional learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference to “What” Document (Building Block 5) “Why” p. 140 – In an increasingly competitive global economy a need exists for students to have the strong literacy skills of reading, writing, listening, speaking, and viewing for college-and-career-ready opportunities. This requires teachers to learn to teach in ways that promote critical thinking and higher order performance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Analysis Notes:</strong> Data was clear (76%) that all administrators, teachers, and paraprofessionals need ongoing professional learning opportunities about effective literacy instruction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data**

**Student Demographics**
Funston Elementary School has the highest percentage of Hispanic students in Colquitt County with 52%. Our next largest ethnicity is White with 32%. The pie chart below shows the percentages from each ethnicity at our school. To meet the needs of special populations, Funston has addressed the root causes of our identified achievement needs through varied assessments and aligned professional development activities with state academic content. The primary focus has been in the implementation of effective instructional strategies in social studies and science to address the learners’ lack of background knowledge. Professional learning has included administrators and all personnel responsible for direct instruction.

![Demographics Pie Chart]

**CRCT**
In 2012, Funston's third, fourth, and fifth grade students scored higher in ELA, Reading, Math, Science, and Social Studies than the state average.

**Percentage of Students Who Met/Exceeded Standards in ELA:** Trend data indicate overall, 92.5% score at the meets or exceeds category. Highest increase (9%) is exhibited in the Hispanic group, while Caucasians experienced a slight increase (4%) and African-Americans increased by 3%. A need for a protected block to emphasize language and writing is noted school-wide. The CRCT data supports the need for increased literacy instruction in all content areas.

![Language Bar Chart]
**Percentage of Students Who Met/Exceeded Standards in Reading**

Over a 3-year period, each subgroup has demonstrated proficiency in attaining reading goals. However, the Caucasian group remained consistent (93%-96%) and the African-Americans experienced a decline (4%). Only the Hispanic group realized a gain (6%). With increasing text complexity, it will be imperative that all learners strive to synthesize and apply information presented in print and digital format.

![Reading Graph](image)

**Percentage of Students Who Met/Exceeded Standards in Math**

Trend data indicates a need for literacy strategies/skill development to be integrated across disciplines to insure that instructional practices address text complexity and comprehension reflected in varied assessments.

![Math Graph](image)
**Percentage of Students Who Met/Exceeded Standards in Science**

Funston’s aim is to increase the percentage of students in grades 3-5 who meet or exceed CCGPS targets for writing instruction, across all subject areas, with integrated technology.

![Science Chart]

**Percentage of Students Who Met/Exceeded Standards in Social Studies**

Funston’s aim is to increase the percentage of students in grades 3-5 who meet or exceed CCGPS targets in social studies from 84.2% to 86.2% as measured by the Georgia CRCT by making writing a required part of every content area.

![Social Studies Chart]
Percentage of Students with Disability (SWD) Who Met/Exceeded Standards
Funston’s goal is to develop protocols for identifying students and matching them to appropriate interventions. The school aims to increase the percentage of SWD, who meet or exceed, from 68% to 73% for grades 3-5 who meet or exceed CCGPS targets in reading and language as measured by the Georgia CRCT.

**Students with Disabilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of Economically Disadvantaged Students Who Met/Exceeded Standards
The economically disadvantaged consistently perform below peers and include learners across other subgroups due to deficits in literacy skills and readiness for grade level content. Funston aims to provide professional learning on research-based interventions linked to direct/explicit instructional strategies that build students’ fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing skills.

**Economically Disadvantaged**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percentage of English Learners Who Met/Exceeded Standards
Hispanic groups, according to the data, exhibit positive growth on state assessments including the ACCESS and writing assessment. Similar data from ITBS show this subgroup is achieving at closing the gap equal to or better than the general population by the end of 5th grade.

Georgia Fifth Grade Writing Assessment Percentage Summary of Meets and Exceeds
The Fifth Grade Writing Test data indicate a need for consistent and explicit writing instruction across the curriculum. The results show that our 5th grade students performed at or above the state percent of meets/exceeds, with 2012 being the only exception.
Georgia Grade 3 Writing Assessment Summary
Though third grade scores evidence a progressive pattern towards meeting the standards and well exceed the state over three consecutive year assessments, the aim of our school is to strive to increase the number of students exceeding and continue to decrease the number who do not meet through consistent, direct and explicit writing instruction in all genres and content areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Informational</th>
<th>Persuasive</th>
<th>Narrative</th>
<th>Response to Lit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>DNM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNM = Does Not Meet
M = Meet
E = Exceeds

Georgia Kindergarten Inventory Developing Skills (GKIDS) School Summary
GKIDS data, historically, reveal a deficit in early learners lacking the oral language and vocabulary development needed to progress through the rigorous literacy standards. Additionally, with the increase of English learners and incoming students who have no preschool experience, the need for consistent and global literacy instruction to negate the decline in academic achievement is paramount.
Lexiles
Lexile data indicate 3rd grade performance at 40.9% and 5th grade at 11.2% below their respective grade level expected benchmark indicators. Funston’s aim is to establish a foundation enabling students to reach other common core standards and work collaboratively to research and use the writing process to create multi-media rich presentations.

DIBELS Next
The current data reflects the need for sustained instruction in fluency and vocabulary and research-based interventions to match identified students. This data mirrors the regression in vocabulary and comprehension achievement reflected across grade levels and content areas in formative and summative assessments. Using this framework, targeted students are channeled into systematic and intensive small instructional groups. Implications from these findings run concurrent with other data for needed professional learning and resources to support tiered instruction.
Fall 2013, Oral Reading Fluency percentage below benchmark
- 39% of 2nd graders
- 60% of 3rd graders
- 41% of 4th graders
- 23% of 5th graders

**Teacher Retention**
Funston has a 99% teacher retention rate. The average tenure is 10 years. One new teacher was added to our staff to replace an employee promoted to administration.

**Professional Learning**
The professional development focus is generated through needs assessment data. The goal is to provide high quality literacy learning opportunities to build student capacity to read and write critically. Blended learning practices are modeled through weekly planning meetings and system-wide sessions to incorporate technological literacy in using digital media tools. As a means of professional learning, we are currently using:
- Formative Instructional Practice
- TKES
- Ipad training
- Smartboards
- CCGPS Implementation
- Differentiating Reading Instruction
- Thinking Maps
- System-wide Math and Reading
- Weekly Professional Learning during collaborative planning

**Goals:**
The data presented in this section certainly shows the need for our students to receive literacy instruction at an early age. As many of our students enter school behind their expected level of performance, we must have a consistent literacy plan in place to move students to their appropriate level of performance.
1. Increase percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in reading each year.
2. Increase percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in writing each year.
3. Increase percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th graders scoring at and above expectation in math, science, and social studies each year.
4. Using school-based data, design a comprehensive system of tiered interventions for all students.

**Objectives:**
The objectives to meet these goals are clearly outlined with timeline, funding source, and measure of effectiveness in the project plan section.
Project Plan – Procedures, Goals, Objectives, and Support

*Funston’s Project Plan is a by-product of its Needs Assessment, professional learning survey, and the Georgia Literacy Plan. The following personnel will be responsible for implementation and monitoring: Grant Administrator (GA), School Administrators (SA), System and School Literacy Teams (LT), Approved Consultants (AC), Teachers (Reg Ed, Sp Ed, ESOL, Sp Areas) (T)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal: Steadily increase the percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in reading each year. (Building Blocks 4/5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Best Practices:</strong> (What, 9) DIBELS Next – disaggregation/use of data, diagnostic testing (Phonological Awareness, Phonics Inventory, Decoding Inventory, Fry Words), running records (What, 7), collaborative planning (What, 9), deconstructing standards, use of non-Reading First Differentiation Box training/materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Measure of Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conduct an audit of current resources/materials based on carefully articulated scope/sequence of skills and CCGPS alignment (What, 9)</td>
<td>Spring, 2014</td>
<td>Release Time SRCLG Local Funds</td>
<td>Center on Instruction Building the Foundation Scope and Sequence *LT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research, select, purchase needed instructional materials (What, 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct classroom literacy observations to gauge current practice in reading instruction (What, 10)</td>
<td>Baseline Spring, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Classroom Observation Data *SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide research-based professional learning on components of literacy for all staff (Why, 141)</td>
<td>Summer, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td>Release Time SRCLG</td>
<td>Professional Learning Log Classroom Observation Data *SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure daily literacy block of 120-150 minutes includes all grade-appropriate literacy components (whole group explicit instruction and differentiated small groups) (What, 10)</td>
<td>Fall, 2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Classroom Schedules Walkthrough Observations *SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create/implement system plan for vertical/shared responsibility of literacy/reading goals across curriculum (What, 10)</td>
<td>Fall, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lesson Plans *SA, LT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen system-wide formative/summative assessments with protocol for administration of tests/using data</td>
<td>January, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>Release Time SRCLG</td>
<td>Analysis of Student Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning for teachers and paras to develop/sustain intentional strategies for student engagement/motivation (What, 11)</td>
<td>Summer, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>SRCLG/PL Funds Release Time</td>
<td>Classroom Observations Formative/Summative Assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family involvement/Parental education through Academic Nights</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Title I Local Funds</td>
<td>Parent Involvement Team surveys and logs *LT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Technology resources to promote student engagement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Measure of Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conduct classroom literacy observations</td>
<td>Baseline Spring, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Analysis of Writing Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research best approach in developing/implementing a writing curriculum aligned with CCGPS which includes meaningful opportunities for daily writing</td>
<td>Spring, 2014</td>
<td>SRCLG Local Funds</td>
<td>Professional Learning Log Writing Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning on best practices for writing instruction across content areas</td>
<td>Summer, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td>SRCLG</td>
<td>Writing Samples Classroom Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that daily literacy block of 120-150 minutes includes explicit writing instruction, guided practice, independent practice for all students</td>
<td>Fall, 2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design/implement CCGPS-aligned plan for writing that is articulated horizontally/vertically across content areas</td>
<td>Fall, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td>SRCLG Local Funds</td>
<td>Plan for Writing Instruction Lesson Plans Writing Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop/incorporate valid formative/summative writing assessments (Why, 94-98) with protocol for administration/using data</td>
<td>Spring, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>Release Time SRCLG</td>
<td>Rubrics Analysis of Student Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family involvement/Parental education through Authors’ Night</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Title I Local Funds</td>
<td>Parent Involvement Team surveys and logs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology resources for student engagement</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>SRCLG/Title I</td>
<td>*SA/LT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence of Research-Based Practice:**

- “The implementation of strong writing programs is crucial to a literacy initiative.” (Why, 45)
**Goal:** Steadily increase the percentage of third, fourth, and fifth graders scoring at and above expectation in math, science, and social studies yearly. *(Building Block 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Best Practices:</th>
<th>Grade level math units incorporating writing daily, Year at a Glance sequence of content area topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Timeline</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Provide professional learning on literacy instruction within content areas: *(What, 6 and 10)* | Summer, 2015  
Ongoing | SRCLG | Professional Learning Log  
Classroom Observations  
*SA |
| • Explicit comprehension strategies  
• Text complexity  
• Incorporation of non-fiction and literary texts  
• Academic vocabulary  
Writing experiences in all genres incorporating content area topics *(Why, 50-55)* | | | |
| Purchase content-based texts (multiple formats) | January, 2015 | | Record of Purchase  
*GA |
| Develop common formative/summative assessments within content areas with protocol for using data *(What, 8)* | January, 2015  
Ongoing | Release Time  
SRCLG | Student Data  
*GA, SA, T |
| Adopt systematic plan for teaching academic vocabulary across disciplines. *(What, 6)* | Fall, 2015  
Ongoing | SRCLG  
Local Funds | |

**Evidence of Research-Based Practice:**
- “A successful interaction with any text depends on the student’s ability to access, use, and evaluate content material based on background and vocabulary knowledge, word study strategies, fluency, motivation and even familiarity with the media used to deliver the content.” *(Why, 49)*
### Goal: Using school-based data, design a comprehensive system of tiered interventions for all students. (Building Blocks 3/5)

**Current Best Practices:** (What, 11) System assessment calendar, DIBELS Next testing in grades 1-5, follow-up diagnostic testing (What, 10), reading foundational block in daily schedule (What, 12), intervention groups, school RTI committee, system SST review process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Measure of Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen use of screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring assessments</td>
<td>Summer, 2014</td>
<td>SRGLG</td>
<td>DIBELS Next Data SRI Data *GA, SA, T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train teachers on effective data usage for planning/implementing interventions and monitoring student progress (Why, 122-124)</td>
<td>Fall, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td>RTI Data *GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory, evaluate, purchase, and train individuals on appropriate intervention materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inventory of Materials *GA, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule protected intervention time during the day or in extended day/year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schedules *SA, T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review data to determine effectiveness of instruction</td>
<td>January, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>RTI Data Analysis of Assessments *GA, SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence of Research-Based Practice:**
- “The Georgia Literacy Plan includes a deliberate and comprehensive plan for assessment...to plan for instruction.” (Why, 94)
## Response to Intervention Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leveled Instructional Tier</th>
<th>Instructional Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier I</strong>&lt;br&gt;Quality standards-based instruction provided to all students in all classrooms (Why, 126)</td>
<td>• Classroom instruction based on CCGPS&lt;br&gt;• Best practices identified by the National Reading Panel&lt;br&gt;• Universal screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier II</strong>&lt;br&gt;Standard protocol interventions provided for targeted students (Why, 126)</td>
<td>• Diagnostic testing to identify causes of student weaknesses&lt;br&gt;• Consistent segments of instruction based on need (phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension) – small group setting (5-7 students)&lt;br&gt;• Progress monitoring&lt;br&gt;• Adjustment of interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier III</strong>&lt;br&gt;Based on evidence-based protocols&lt;br&gt;SST/Data teams monitor progress jointly (What, 12 and Why, 127)</td>
<td>• Intensive interventions in small groups (1-3)&lt;br&gt;• Increased frequency and duration&lt;br&gt;• Intensive monitoring/adjustment of interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier IV</strong>&lt;br&gt;Specially-designed learning to meet individual needs (Why, 127)</td>
<td>• Due process&lt;br&gt;• Based on individual learning plan&lt;br&gt;• Specialized programs, methodologies, and instructional deliveries&lt;br&gt;• Intensive monitoring/adjustment of interventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2014-2015 Tentative School Instructional Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>7:30-8:00</th>
<th>8:00-9:00</th>
<th>9:00-10:30</th>
<th>10:30-11:00</th>
<th>11:00-11:30</th>
<th>11:30-12:15</th>
<th>12:15-12:45</th>
<th>12:45-2:30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Phonics/Writing</td>
<td>Science/SS</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>7:30-8:00</td>
<td>8:00-8:50</td>
<td>8:50-10:00</td>
<td>10:00-10:45</td>
<td>10:45-12:00</td>
<td>12:00-12:30</td>
<td>12:30-12:45</td>
<td>12:45-1:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Recess</td>
<td>Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>7:30-8:00</td>
<td>8:00-9:10</td>
<td>9:10-10:00</td>
<td>10:00-10:45</td>
<td>10:45-11:15</td>
<td>11:15-11:45</td>
<td>11:45-12:00</td>
<td>12:00-1:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Recess</td>
<td>Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>7:30-8:00</td>
<td>8:00-8:50</td>
<td>8:50-9:10</td>
<td>9:10-10:00</td>
<td>10:00-10:45</td>
<td>10:30-11:20</td>
<td>11:20-11:35</td>
<td>11:35-12:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Recess</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>7:30-8:00</td>
<td>8:00-8:50</td>
<td>8:50-9:20</td>
<td>9:20-9:35</td>
<td>9:35-10:00</td>
<td>10:00-11:00</td>
<td>11:00-11:30</td>
<td>11:30-12:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Recess</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>7:30-8:00</td>
<td>8:00-8:50</td>
<td>8:50-9:10</td>
<td>9:10-10:00</td>
<td>10:00-10:30</td>
<td>10:30-11:10</td>
<td>11:10-11:25</td>
<td>11:25-11:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Recess</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Assessment/Data Analysis Plan

#### Current Assessment Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Grade Level Assessed</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Skills Assessed</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GKIDS</td>
<td>Kindergarten</td>
<td>Measure/monitor mastery of skills</td>
<td>CCGPS</td>
<td>Baseline and Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing Portfolio</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>Measure/monitor growth</td>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Quarterly (indicated in Units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Writing Assessment</td>
<td>3 and 5</td>
<td>Measure mastery of Writing Standards</td>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>1 time per year: Winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry Word Inventory</td>
<td>K-3</td>
<td>Assess fluency/accuracy of high frequency words</td>
<td>High Frequency Words</td>
<td>3 times per year: October, January, and April (ongoing as needed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-5 as needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonological Awareness Inventory</td>
<td>K-1</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Phonological Awareness Skills</td>
<td>Minimum of 1 time per year (ongoing as needed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter Name Correspondence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Letter names</td>
<td>1 time per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter Sound Correspondence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Letter Sounds</td>
<td>1 time per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Levels</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>Assess reading level</td>
<td>Independent reading level (Fountas &amp; Pinell)</td>
<td>Ongoing throughout year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBELS Next</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>Universal Screener</td>
<td>Oral Reading Fluency and Comprehension</td>
<td>ORF: 3 times per year (2-5) 2 times per year for 1 DAZE: 3 times per year (3-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal Phonics Inventory</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Alphabetic Knowledge and Decoding</td>
<td>As necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal Decoding Inventory</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Decoding</td>
<td>As necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS for ELs</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>Screener, Diagnostic</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>1 time per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Online Assessment</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Formative</td>
<td>CCGPS/GPS</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRCT</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Criterion- Reference Achievement</td>
<td>CCGPS/GPS</td>
<td>1 time per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITBS</td>
<td>3 and 5</td>
<td>Norm-Reference Gifted Screening</td>
<td>All Content Areas</td>
<td>1 time per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Alternate Assessment</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>Achievement</td>
<td>CCGPS/GPS</td>
<td>Ongoing/Reporting 1 time per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Assessment Tests</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>Mastery Guide Instruction</td>
<td>CCGPS</td>
<td>Weekly/Bi-weekly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of Current Assessment Protocol with SRCL Assessment Plan

Currently the district requires administration of DIBELS Next ORF three times per year in grades 2-5 and 2 times per year in grade one. However, only one reading passage is used at this time with no retelling. In addition, students in grades 3-5 are assessed with DIBELS Next DAZE three times per year. Follow-up diagnostic testing including Phonological Awareness, Informal Phonics Inventory, and Informal Decoding Inventory protocol are well established. Consistent progress monitoring is in the emergent stage. The DIBELS Next components for grades K and 1 are not being used presently. State-mandated testing will definitely continue for outcome measures. Scholastic Reading Inventory is not being used at this time.

Implementation of New Assessments/Discontinuation of Current Assessments

With implementation of the grant, our school will follow the schedule for literacy assessments as listed below. The Blitz team approach for school-wide benchmark testing has been used with success the past two years, so this process will continue. State tests will continue as mandated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Grade Level Assessed</th>
<th>Persons Responsible</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIBELS Next ISF, LNF, PSF, NWF</td>
<td>K-1</td>
<td>School Assessment Blitz Team</td>
<td>3 times per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonological Awareness Inv.</td>
<td>K-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter Name Correspondence</td>
<td>K-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter Sound Correspondence</td>
<td>K-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBELS Next ORF</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>School Assessment Blitz Team</td>
<td>3 times per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBELS Next DAZE</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Replace with SRI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholastic Reading Inventory</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>School Assessment Blitz Team</td>
<td>3 times per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up Diagnostic Testing</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry Word Inventory</td>
<td>K-3 and 4-5 as needed</td>
<td>Classroom Teachers</td>
<td>3 Times/As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Assessment Tests</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>Classroom Teachers</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running Records</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>Classroom Teachers</td>
<td>Weekly/Bi-weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Assessment Tests</td>
<td>K-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Progress monitoring components of DIBELS Next, SRI, and diagnostic assessments will be implemented with fidelity to guide instruction as expected within the RTI model.*

Professional Learning Needs for New Assessments

Teachers and administrators will receive formal training on administration of Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI) and DIBELS Next. A system team will be trained on SRI by Scholastic consultant, and all schools will then have a full day of on-site support. The system team will use online training options for DIBELS Next. The system team will use the “train the trainer” model to redeliver information at the schools. In addition, training will be provided on progress monitoring tools, available reporting, and effective use of all data to guide instruction.

Refresher training will be provided for all teachers on the administration of diagnostic tests in order to insure fidelity. Teachers will be trained to use the data for differentiation within the classrooms, with a focus on the entire cycle of using data and progress monitoring to improve student achievement. Work will lead to the development of formative assessments using CCGPS and knowledge gained from analysis of data.
Communication of Data to Parents and Stakeholders

The results of school-wide data reports will be communicated to parents and stakeholders in the following manner:

- Hardcopy reports sent home to parents
- Title Parent Meetings and/or PTO meetings
- School Report Card
- School Council, Literacy Team, Leadership Team, and Board Meetings
- School website or other media

Individual student data will be shared with parents at parent teacher conferences or hardcopy reports sent to parents. We will provide parents with an easily interpreted graph of their child’s DIBELS Next data, which allows us to “use technology to share relevant student progress data with parents and caregivers in an easily interpreted user-friendly format” (How, 3B).

Use of Data to Develop Instructional Strategies/Determine Materials and Needs

The use of assessment data is crucial to the implementation of an effective Response to Intervention model. Dr. Richard Stiggins, an expert in classroom-based formative assessments, suggests, “The principle assessment challenge that we face in schools today is to ensure that sound assessment practices permeate every classroom - that assessments are used to benefit pupils....This challenge has remained unmet for decades, and the time has come to conquer this final assessment frontier: the effective use of formative assessment to support learning.” (Why, 95) Colquitt County Schools are determined to overcome the danger of allowing the process of testing to overwhelm the product. We are committed to effectively using the data to drive decision making at all levels.

The results of student assessment data will be used for the following purposes (Why, 96):

- Identify students’ strengths and weakness, thus grouping as indicated for targeted instruction
- Establish learning goals for students
- Inform students and parents of progress toward goals and work to adjust goals as warranted
- Inform process of intervention
- Evaluate effectiveness of the instruction in meeting the goals for each student, thus being able to adjust instruction as needed
- Match instruction to learning through effective instructional design
- Evaluate effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction
- Determine if fundamental content-based literacy skills are lacking, thus identifying programmatic needs
- Identify areas of need for professional learning opportunities
Resources, Strategies and Materials to Support Literacy Plan

Resources Needed to Implement Literacy Plan (including student engagement)

- Research-based literacy instructional materials
- Professional learning – consultant fees, stipends, or release time (subs), and materials
- Literary and informational texts on various levels (specific focus on student interests) for classrooms and media center
- Content-based texts on various levels and aligned to units of study
- Take-home libraries
- Digital content-based texts on various levels and aligned to units of study
- K-5 literacy manipulative classroom sets
- Travel expenses for conferences
- Scholastic Reading Inventory
- DIBELS Next Data Management
- Research-based intervention materials and/or software with necessary professional learning (to include all content areas)
- Trained intervention specialists
- Grant administrator
- Site-based instructional specialist
- Literacy Instruction Observation Checklist
- Family involvement activities
- Extended day/year program for students
- Transportation for extended day/year activities
- Personnel to staff extended day/year program
- Consumable materials – notebooks, dividers, paper, toner, markers, poster boards, tabs, etc.
- Classroom computers
- Networkable printers
- Interactive boards for unequipped classrooms
- Portable lab of interactive tablets with appropriate applications
- Wireless connectivity infrastructure

Activities that Support Literacy Intervention Programs

- Dedicated scheduled time for intervention
- Flexible, needs-based grouping
- DIBELS Next Screening for oral reading fluency and comprehension
- Use of diagnostic follow-up tools (Phonological Awareness Inventory, Informal Phonics Inventory, Decoding Inventory, Fry Words, Comprehension Check with passages and rubric)
- Use of data to drive instruction
- Emerging protocol for Response to Intervention
- Research-based intervention materials - Fast ForWord, Sonday, Differentiation Boxes (Walpole and McKenna), Jack and Jilly
- ESOL training on strategies for teaching academic content vocabulary
- Mentor program
- Title I parent coordinators
- Parent education through family academic nights
Shared Resources Available
- Pacing guides
- Instructional units with resources on the local share drive
- Progression of Reading Skills document (explanation of reading foundational skills with examples of instructional activities)
- Florida Center for Reading Research resources
- Classroom Extended Text Sets (grades 3-5 for integrated units)
- Treasures Materials – for grade level instruction and intervention
- Interactive boards
- Teacher/student computers
- Computer lab
- Mobile classroom set of student tablets
- Student Response Systems
- Bookrooms including professional resources as well as student leveled readers
- Media Center resources

List of Library Resources/Description of Library
- 7,684 total books
- 532 videos
- School media center hosts the following:
  - Fiction, Non-Fiction, Reference materials
  - Teacher Resource
  - Parent Resource
  - Multicultural Resource section with books in Spanish
  - Audiovisual to include DVDs, VCR tapes, cassette tapes and CDs

Our Media Center offers the following equipment:
- Two student computers
- One parent computer
- Two circulation computers
- A mounted projector screen and ceiling mounted projector
- HP4700 (color) printer
- Scanner

Activities that Support Classroom Practices
- Use of integrated units with resources available on local share drive
- Alignment of county pacing guides to CCGPS
- Research-based instructional strategies
- Differentiated instruction
• Progress monitoring
• Formative and summative assessments
• Vocabulary instruction in all content areas
• Technology-enhanced lessons
• Instruction in phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
• Collaborative grade-level and cross grade-level planning including resource staff (school-wide and county-wide)
• Make and Take sessions for teachers with professional learning on how and why activity is important
• Formative Instructional Practice training

Additional Strategies Needed to Support Student Success
• Strategies for increasing student engagement
• DIBELS Next data management system
• Consistent use of DIBELS Next Progress monitoring
• Scholastic Reading Inventory – full use of data
• Explicit phonics instruction
• Grammar assessments
• Professional Learning in the following areas:
  o Best teaching practices for all components of literacy
  o Best teaching practices for direct instruction on process of writing
  o Best practices for writing instruction across content areas
  o Understanding Lexiles
  o Webb’s Depth of Knowledge
  o Strategies for student engagement and motivation
  o Integration of technology in instruction
  o Literacy across all content areas
  o Continuation of deconstructing standards
  o Development and utilization of common formative/summative assessments
  o Effective data usage for planning instruction, implementing interventions, and monitoring student progress
  o Interventions for all tiers of RTI
  o Refresher training on existing intervention materials
  o Differentiation and small group instruction
  o Specific training for paraprofessionals

Current Classroom Resources
• Treasures comprehensive reading program materials for grade level instruction and intervention
• Leveled libraries
• Manipulatives for direct literacy instruction
• Florida Center for Reading Research activities
• Limited resources for station activities
• Interactive boards (not every classroom) and projectors
• Printers
• Digital Cameras
• Internet access
• iPad per classroom
• Limited teacher/student computer workstations
• Assistive Technology (Snap and Read, CoWriter)

Alignment Plan for SRCLG and Other Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources, Strategies, and Materials</th>
<th>SRCLG will provide...</th>
<th>Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Learning</td>
<td>Literacy specific - consultant fees, training materials, reimbursement for substitutes, travel and registration fees for conferences, stipends</td>
<td>The following funding sources will be utilized as deemed appropriate and available: QBE, Title I, Title II, Title III, Title VI, SPLOST, IDEA, SRCLG, eSPLOST, Local Funds, McKinney Vento Homeless Education Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Technology</td>
<td>Computers, tablets, printers, costs of technology programs, wireless infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Literacy Materials</td>
<td>Explicit literacy materials (and staff professional learning) for remediation and acceleration, leveled readers, manipulatives and supplies</td>
<td>Many students also benefit from the YMCA Goizueta afterschool program activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literacy Assessments</td>
<td>DIBELS Next data management, Scholastic Reading Inventory, teacher resources for implementation of assessments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Engagement</td>
<td>Materials for parent education, supplies for make it/take it sessions with families</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Day/Year Activities</td>
<td>Personnel, supplies, transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Trips</td>
<td>Admission fees, transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumable Materials</td>
<td>Notebooks, dividers, paper, toner, markers, poster boards, tabs, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demonstration of How Any Proposed Technology Purchases Support RTI, Student Engagement, Instructional Practice, Writing, Etc.

Research shows that the use of technology substantially facilitates collecting, managing, and analyzing data used with RTI and all instructional programs. A technology-based literacy assessment program/process (DIBELS Next data management and SRI) will allow for effective, efficient, and immediate data to drive instructional decision-making. In addition, the progress monitoring tools will be personalized and beneficial for student growth. With decreased financial resources, funding supplemented by the SRCLG grant will allow the updating of technological devices as well as the replacement of printers and supplies necessary for data reports and instruction.

Students become more motivated when instructional technology is utilized in classrooms. Providing consistent classroom opportunities to integrate technology will engage students in the process of learning. In addition, access to software, programs, activities, and strategies which promote engagement and individualized instruction will increase student engagement/motivation.
Technology is an essential tool for enhancing the learning experience, and professional learning for school staff is imperative for effective integration. Effective use of technology must support four key components of learning – active engagement, group participation, frequent interaction and feedback, and connection to real-world experiences. Students’ motivation to learn is increased when using technology.
Professional Learning Strategies Identified on the Basis of Documented Needs

“For every $500 directed toward various school improvement initiatives, those funds directed toward professional development resulted in the greatest student gains on standardized achievement tests.” (Why, 141)

Professional Learning in Past Year – The chart below shows the percentage of current staff that participated in professional learning opportunities during the previous school year. The areas with low percentages of attendance are a reflection of the lack of funding available to offer training to all teachers and the elected summer courses where there was no compensation for travel to the training sites. This table gives evidence that Funston teachers are expected to implement programs and initiatives in which they have been trained. Therefore, professional learning is the primary focus of funding provided by the Striving Readers Grant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attended</th>
<th>TKES (Teacher Keys Effectiveness System)</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELA Countywide Grade Level Meetings</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Math Countywide Grade Level Meetings</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCGPS Deconstructing Standards</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iPad Training (K-5) academic teachers</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast ForWord</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELA Summer Training Institute (held at UGA in Tifton)</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELA Unit Writers K-5th</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gifted Endorsement</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SACS Review</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCGPS Reading/ELA Webinars (K-5th)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formative Instructional Practices</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIBELS Next Training (K-5 ELA teachers)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer Science training at RESA</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thinking Maps (ESOL teachers)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ESOL Strategies with Virginia Rojas (ESOL and academic teachers)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ongoing Professional Learning

- TKES (Teacher Keys Effectiveness System)
- ELA School-wide/Countywide Grade Level Meetings
- Math School-wide/Countywide Grade Level Meetings
- CCGPS Deconstructing Standards
- iPad Training
• Gifted Endorsement
• SACS Review
• CCGPS Reading/ELA Webinar
• Educational Impact (online professional learning database)
• Formative Instructional Practices
• Use of Statewide Longitudinal Data System resources
• Rosetta Stone – ESOL

Programmatic Professional Learning Needs Identified in Needs Assessment
• Differentiated Instruction: activities, strategies, and management
• Implementation of CCGPS
• Disaggregating DIBELS Next data
• Direct and explicit reading strategies to help struggling readers
• Explicit phonics instructional strategies
• Direct and explicit strategies for language/grammar instruction
• How to assist students in reading complex texts in all content areas
• Explicit vocabulary instruction
• Effective writing strategies
• Using technology to enhance instruction and promote engagement
• Literacy instruction across the curriculum
• How to use Lexiles
• Response to Intervention
• Mentoring for new teachers
• Participation in statewide professional literacy-based learning webinars, online courses, and conferences
• Strategies to support EL and SWD learners
• GA DOE OAS (Online Assessment System)

Process Used to Determine if Professional Development was Adequate and Effective
The following processes are used to determine the adequacy and effectiveness of professional development:
• Analysis of student achievement data-benchmark data for DIBELS Next and summative data for GKIDS & CRCT
• Analysis of achievement scores on 3rd and 5th grade Georgia Writing Assessment
• Formative assessments to measure student achievement gains
• Professional Learning Community meetings and documentation
• Walk-throughs and observations to collect data on professional learning implementation
• Written feedback and summaries of conducted walk-throughs and observations
• Evaluation of professional learning activities through a Needs Assessment Survey
• Presentation by teachers of successful strategies at grade-level and collaborative team meetings
• Course evaluation data from PD Express
• Review of lesson plans by administration
• Analyzing student work collaboratively
**Professional Learning Plan**

Due to funding shortages and the enormous pressures on teachers’ time, Colquitt County is proposing that the professional learning funding be directed toward providing teachers with sufficient increments of release time, spaced throughout the year, allowing teacher’s time to digest and experiment with what they are learning. The table below outlines the professional learning plan with related goals and objectives from the literacy and project plan. The professional learning plan compiles a list of professional learning that administrators, teachers, and parents will participate in as we implement the SRCL grant. The needs assessment was analyzed to determine which type of professional learning is most needed. The goal is to ensure successful implementation and to promote strong literacy instruction in our school. This plan includes references with building blocks that correlate to the literacy plan presented in a previous section of this grant. The indicated methods of effectiveness will be consistently used to determine if professional learning is meeting its intended purpose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives in Professional Learning</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Literacy Plan Reference</th>
<th>Measure of Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning for teachers and paraprofessionals to develop/sustain intentional strategies for student engagement/motivation (What, 11)</td>
<td>Spring, 2015</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - A</td>
<td>PLC documentation (Professional Learning Community) and minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide research-based professional learning on components of literacy for all staff (Why, 141)</td>
<td>Summer, 2014</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - A</td>
<td>CCGPS Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review “Building Basic Skills” modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website</td>
<td>Fall, 2014</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - A</td>
<td>Walk-through observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide direct and explicit reading strategies to help struggling readers on: phonics, phonological awareness, fluency, and comprehension</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - A</td>
<td>Summative Assessment Data, DIBELS Next</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Goal: Increase the percentage of students scoring at and above expectation in writing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives in Professional Learning</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Literacy Plan Reference</th>
<th>Measure of Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning on best practices for writing instruction across all content areas (What, 10)</td>
<td>Summer, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - B</td>
<td>PLC documentation and minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review “Writing” modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website</td>
<td>Fall, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - C</td>
<td>CCGPS units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning on Best Practices in writing instruction in all content areas</td>
<td>Spring, 2016 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - C</td>
<td>Walk-through observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide training on use of technology to support literacy instruction and assessments</td>
<td>Summer, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 4 - C</td>
<td>Summative Assessment Data, DIBELS Next</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Goal: Increase the percentage of third, fourth, and fifth graders scoring at and above expectation in math, science, and social studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives in Professional Learning</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Literacy Plan Reference</th>
<th>Measure of Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning on literacy instruction within content areas: (What, 6 and 10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explicit comprehension strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Text complexity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Incorporation of non-fiction and literary texts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Academic vocabulary</td>
<td>Spring, 2016 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 2 – all sections</td>
<td>PLC documentation and minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning on data analysis within content areas (What, 8)</td>
<td>Summer, 2016 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 5 – A Building Block 3 – all sections</td>
<td>CCGPS unit plan with documentation of the use of technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review “Teaching Vocabulary” modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website</td>
<td>Spring, 2014 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 5 – A Building Block 3 – all sections</td>
<td>Walk-through observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide professional learning on research-based instructional strategies to teach vocabulary across content areas</td>
<td>Fall, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 2 – A, B</td>
<td>Summative Assessment Data, DIBELS Next</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Goal: Using school-based data, design a comprehensive system of tiered interventions for all students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives in Professional Learning</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Literature Plan Reference</th>
<th>Measure of Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of CCGPS as well as for differentiated instruction through tiered tasks (RTI)</td>
<td>Summer, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 5 – B, C, D, E Building Block 1 – D</td>
<td>PLC documentation and minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review data to determine effectiveness of all instruction</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 5 – A Building Block 3 – all sections</td>
<td>CCGPS units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review “Understanding Assessment” and “Designing Schoolwide Instruction” modules on Comprehensive Reading Solutions website</td>
<td>Fall, 2015 Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 5 – all sections Building Block 3 – all sections</td>
<td>Walk-through observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide PL for new staff on any new literacy initiatives:</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Building Block 6</td>
<td>Summative Assessment Data, DIBELS Next</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CCGPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Effective vocabulary instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PLC protocols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Online Assessment System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DIBELS Next administration &amp; disaggregation of data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Differentiated Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funston Elementary School is committed to ensuring the success of the grant beyond the funding cycle. Sustaining all programs and best practices initiated through the grant process is our intent. Funding will be secured from all available sources including local, state, and federal funds, as well as the local business community.

### Sustainability Plan

- **Review expectations of the SRCL Grant annually with all staff**
- **Train experienced teachers to provide training/mentoring assistance to new staff across all content areas**
- **Train all administrators/instructional support specialists with teachers to ensure implementation of initiatives with fidelity**
- **Provide members of the Board of Education with ongoing information about the need for and progress of the literacy initiatives**

### Expanding and Extending Lessons Learned

- **Creatively schedule extended planning times for all staff at least once each quarter, allowing for collaborative planning and review of data**
- **Continue Professional Learning Communities that allow sharing of successful literacy practices, resulting in more effective teachers and academic gains for students**
- **Create an online professional learning library by recording exemplar lessons, with videos being used as resources to extend best practices.**
- **Schedule county-wide grade level meetings throughout school year for curriculum, assessment, and grant implementation discussions**
- **Hold district meetings for administrators to discuss curriculum, best practices occurring in classrooms, and analysis of assessment data**
- **Use data obtained throughout the grant to update/strengthen literacy plan**
- **Encourage teacher participation in Gifted, ELL, Reading, Science, and Math endorsement programs to stay abreast of latest research/strategies**
- **Provide families access to resources that differentiate support for students (The How, p. 39) in order to extend learning into homes**

### Extending the Assessment Protocol

- **Continue use of assessment instruments to monitor literacy achievement: GKIDS, DIBELS Next, SRI, CRCT, ACCESS, and formative assessments**
- **Monitor continuation of assessment protocols as required by RTI guidelines**
- **Purchase one-time site license for assessments – budget local, state, and federal funds for assessment costs after life of the grant**
- **Establish Literacy Assessment Training Team who will provide subsequent professional learning on assessment protocols to all new staff**
- **Collaborate with CPRESA to provide support/training**

### Professional Learning

- **Assign mentors to new staff members**
- **Designate professional learning days in school calendar**
- **Utilize Comprehensive Reading Solutions website for ongoing training in Professional Learning Communities**
- **Create a professional learning video library by recording professional learning sessions**
- **Develop library of professional books, journals, and online sources**
- **Develop resource pack of professional learning materials for new teachers**
| **Developing Community Partnerships/Other Funding Sources** | • Collaborate with/participate in CPRESA trainings  
• Participate in “Technology Integration for 21st Century Classrooms” professional learning opportunities |
| **Replacing Print Materials** | • Communicate frequently with all stakeholders concerning the importance of literacy across all content areas  
• Strengthen communication between schools and afterschool providers  
• Continue involvement of stakeholders in informational meetings  
• Establish Partners in Education (PIE), a partnership between businesses or civic organizations and school  
• Utilize parent volunteers within schools to provide assistance in classroom and materials/funding if appropriate  
• Enlist PTO to designate fundraisers for literacy initiatives |
| **Sustaining Technology** | • Annually inventory/determine condition of print materials and necessity of replacement  
• Utilize local, state, and federal money to replace resources when needed |
| | • Coordinate purchases of hardware/software obtained with grant funds through the system Technology Specialist to prevent duplication  
• Arrange for regular maintenance of equipment to extend life of hardware  
• Renew software and site technology licenses using local/federal funding if product is deemed effective  
• Budget annual renewal fees from local funds after the life of the grant |
Budget Summary

As a result of a comprehensive review of literacy efforts at Funston Elementary School, needs have been identified, data and available resources have been analyzed, and plans have been made to wisely utilize funding from the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant. Based upon Fall, 2013 FTE count of 339 and an estimated award of $460 per student, the total funds received over a five year time frame are anticipated at $155,940.00.

Basic literacy needs to be funded through the grant are as outlined below:

Curriculum Needs: In effort to meet students’ literacy needs across the curriculum, grant funding will be used for the following items.

- Research-based materials/resources for direct instruction in reading and writing (across all content areas)
- Leveled texts for classroom/media center across all content areas (digital and print)
- K-5 literacy manipulatives
- Take home libraries
- Instructional literacy-based field trips
- Family Education/Parental Involvement Opportunities
- Consumable Materials
- Release time/funding for substitutes to develop common formative and summative assessments

Professional Learning: Professional learning is the linchpin for success in the educational arena. Staff members including teachers, paraprofessionals, and administrators absolutely must have adequate training before initiatives are implemented. Just as important as the initial training is the follow-up support and sustainability of training for new staff members through the years. Funding for professional learning is directly linked to increased student achievement.

- Consultant fees
- Instructional materials for training
- Conference registration fees and travel expenses
- Stipends for off-contract training
- Funding for substitutes
- Consumable materials for training

Response to Intervention: Colquitt County School System recognizes systematic weaknesses in the Response to Intervention (RTI) process. Efforts are necessary to insure the consistency of assessment administration and the effective use of data to inform instruction. In order for the RTI process to truly benefit students, teachers and interventionists must be provided ongoing professional learning and support. The process must be closely monitored at the system and school levels.

- Screening/Assessment Tools – Scholastic Reading Inventory and DIBELS Next (including professional learning for implementation)
- Intervention resources/materials/programs (print and digital)
- Progress monitoring tools
**Personnel:** Considering deep financial cuts in recent years, using grant funding to hire an intervention specialist to lower the group size for intensive instruction would be most beneficial. In addition, a grant administrator will be necessary during the first two years of grant implementation in order to maintain requirements. The need for additional help will decrease as student achievement gaps are closed.

- Grant administrator for the first two years of the grant (at least)
- Intervention specialist (for a couple of years to assist with closing achievement gaps)
- Personnel for any extended day/year programming

**Technology:** The innovative use of technology will promote student engagement and motivation while also enhancing instruction.

- Computers
- Wireless tablets
- Interactive boards
- Printers
- Infrastructure to extend wireless capability if needed
- Consumable materials

**Miscellaneous**

- Transportation costs associated with extended day/year programming