School Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Name:</th>
<th>Gordon County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School or Center Name:</td>
<td>W.L. Swain Elementary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System ID</td>
<td>src2014district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School ID</td>
<td>src2014school</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level of School

Elementary (K-5 or Primary, Elementary)

Principal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Dr. Elizabeth Anderson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position:</td>
<td>principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>706-629-0141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:eanderson@gcbe.org">eanderson@gcbe.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School contact information

(the persons with rights to work on the application)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Christy Bundy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position:</td>
<td>Literacy Coach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>770-547-3128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cbundy@gcbe.org">cbundy@gcbe.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grades represented in the building

example pre-k to 6

Pre-K to 5

Number of Teachers in School

37

FTE Enrollment

498
The sub-grantee assures that it has the necessary legal authority to apply for and receive a SRCL Grant.

- Yes

Sub-grantee certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

- Yes

The SRCL projects will target students who attend Title I schools or schools eligible for Title I schoolwide programs and their families.

- Yes

The SRCL project will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.

- Yes

The Grantee will participate in all technical assistance/information-sharing opportunities and professional development activities provided through the STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT Project Grant Program.

- Yes

All activities must be correlated with the development of STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT goals for children birth through grade 12.

- Yes

The second year of funding is dependent upon successful program implementation and progress aligned with the components of the request for application submitted.

- Yes

Prior to any material change affecting the purpose, administration, organization, budget, or operation of the SRCL project, the Sub-grantee agrees to submit an appropriately amended application to GaDOE for approval.
The Sub-grantee agrees to notify the GaDOE, in writing, of any change in the contact information provided in its application.

The activities and services described in the application shall be administered by or under the supervision and control of the Sub-grantee. The Sub-grantee shall not assign or subcontract, in whole or in part, its rights or obligations without prior written consent of GaDOE. Any attempted assignment without said consent shall be void and of no effect.
The Sub-grantee will use fiscal control and sound accounting procedures that will ensure proper disbursement of and account for Federal and state funds paid to the program to perform its duties.

- Yes

Funds shall be used only for financial obligations incurred during the grant period.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will, if applicable, have the required financial and compliance audits conducted in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1966 and OMB Circular A-133, “Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.”

- Yes

The fiscal agent will adopt and use proper methods of administering each program, including: (A) the enforcement of any obligations imposed on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program; and (B) the timely correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, evaluation and/or technical assistance.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Georgia Department of Education, the U.S. Department of Education, or other state or Federal officials.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will submit reports to GaDOE as may reasonably be required. The Sub-grantee will maintain such fiscal and programmatic records and provide access to those records, as necessary, for those departments to perform their duties.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee will submit an annual summative evaluation report no later than June 30.

- Yes

The Sub-grantee agrees that GaDOE, or any of its duly authorized representatives, at any time during the term of this agreement, shall have access to, and the right to audit or examine any pertinent books, documents, papers, and records of the Sub-grantee related to the Sub-grantee’s charges and performance under the SRCL sub-grant.

- Yes
The property (e.g., computers, equipment, classroom desks, tables, and pilferable items) purchased with the SRCL grant funds must be managed in accordance with EDGAR section 74.34 through 74.37 (for non-profit organizations) and with EDGAR section 80.32 and 80.33 (for school districts).

• Yes

The Sub-grantee certifies that it will abide by GaDOE’s Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Policy. Applicants with a conflict of interest must submit a disclosure notice.

• Yes
The Sub-grantee will comply with the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (34 C.F.R. 99).

- Yes

Sub-grantee will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, which prohibits discrimination on a basis of disability.

- Yes

In accordance with the Federal Drug-Free Workplace and Community Act Amendments of 1989 and the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, the Sub-grantee understands that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance, marijuana, or dangerous drug is prohibited at geographic locations at which individuals are directly engaged in the performance of work pursuant to the 21st CCLC grant.

- Yes

All technology purchases (software and hardware) will be approved by the LEA Technology Director for compatibility with current operating systems and building infrastructure. The Technology Director must ensure that any purchases for the building will be able to be implemented and sustained beyond the grant period.

- Yes
Click on the General Application Information link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL General Information Packet-Cohort 4

Did you download and read the General Information document to assist you with writing the grant?

- Yes

Click on the SRCL Rubric link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL Scoring Rubric-Cohort 4

Did you download and read the SRCL Rubric to assist you with writing the grant?

- Yes

Click on the Assessment Chart link below to assist you in the grant development process.

SRCL Required Assessments Chart

Did you download and read the Assessment Chart to assist you in writing the grant?

- Yes

Assessments

I understand that implementing the assessments mentioned on page 6 in the General Information Packet is a necessary part of receiving SRCL funding.

- I Agree

Unallowable Expenditures

Preparation of the Proposal: Costs to develop, prepare, and/or write the SRCL proposal cannot be charged to the grant directly or indirectly by either the agency or contractor.

Pre-Award Costs: Pre-award costs may not be charged against the grant. Funds can be used only for activities conducted and costs incurred after the start date of the grant.

Entertainment, Refreshments, Snacks: A field trip without the approved academic support will be considered entertainment. End-of-year celebrations or food associated with parties or socials are unallowable expenditures. Game systems and game cartridges are unallowable.
Unapproved out of state or overnight field trips, including retreats, lock-ins, etc.

Incentives (e.g., plaques, trophies, stickers, t-shirts, give-a-ways)

Advertisements, Promotional or Marketing Items

Decorative Items

Purchase of Facilities or vehicles (e.g., Buses, Vans, or Cars)

Land acquisition

Capital Improvements, Permanent Renovations

Direct charges for items/services that the indirect cost rate covers;

Dues to organizations, federations or societies for personal benefits


NOTE: This is NOT an all-inclusive list of unallowable expenses. If you have questions about unallowable expenses please e-mail your questions to jmorrill@doe.k12.ga.us

Upon approval by the State Board of Education, sub-grantees will be required to submit electronic budgets through GaDOE Consolidated Application Portal. All budget requests must be made in accordance with the use of funds for the SRCL project and must meet the requirements in EDGAR and OMB circulars.

• I Agree
Conflict of Interest & Disclosure Policy

III. Incorporation of Clauses

The Applicant must incorporate the clauses in paragraphs A, B, and C of this section into all subcontracts or consultant agreements awarded under this Agreement and must further require that each such subcontractor or consultant incorporate this clause into all subcontracts or consultant agreements at any tier awarded under this Agreement unless GaDOE determines otherwise.

Beth L. Herod
Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (official sub-grant recipient)

Beth L. Herod
Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head and Position Title

12.5.14
Date

Susan Remillard
Signature of Applicant’s Authorized Agency Head (required)

Susan Remillard – Superintendent
Typed Name of Applicant’s Authorized Agency Head and Position Title

12.5.14
Date

Signature of Co-applicant’s Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)

Dr. Elizabeth A. Anderson
Typed Name of Co-applicant’s Authorized Agency Head and Position Title (if applicable)

December 4, 2014
Date (if applicable)
Fiscal Agent Memo of Understanding

The application is the project implementation plan, not simply a proposal. This project is expected to be implemented with fidelity upon SBOE approval. When completing the application, please remember that sub-grantees will not be permitted to change the project’s scope that is originally outlined in the application, scored by reviewers during the application review process, and approved by SBOE. This policy is designed to provide basic fairness to applicants for discretionary sub-grants.

Fiscal Agent/Applicant Required Signatures:

I hereby certify that I am the an authorized signatory of the fiscal agent for which grant application is made and that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, complete and accurate. I further certify, to the best of my knowledge, that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, assurances, and certifications. I also certify that the requested budget amounts are necessary for the implementation of the program described in the attached application.

Please sign in blue ink.

Name of Fiscal Agent’s Contact Person: Dr. Susan Remillard
Position/Title of Fiscal Agent’s Contact Person: Superintendent
Address: 205 Warrior Path
City: Calhoun Zip: 30701
Telephone: (706) 629-7366 Fax: (706) 819-5043
E-mail: sremillard@gcalb.org

Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (District Superintendent or Executive Director)

Susan Remillard

Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head (District Superintendent or Executive Director)

12-5-14
Date (required)
System History

Gordon County School System is located in Northwest Georgia along the natural gateway where the first railroad was located in our region. Gordon County has historically been an area of leadership and southern culture. We are the home of New Echota, the last seat of the Cherokee Nation and the location of key battles fought during the Civil War. The economy is rooted in manufacturing including Mohawk Industries, Shaw Industries, and Mannington Carpets. Farming is also prevalent in Gordon County.

The mission of Gordon County Schools is to provide a relevant, rigorous, results-based education made possible through supportive and nurturing relationships. We have a strong commitment to increase academic achievement for all students and to improve their learning experience by analyzing data and by providing ongoing professional learning. Gordon County's ultimate goal is to increase the percentage of students who complete high school and are college and/or career ready. We have embraced the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards and work diligently to provide the professional learning and resources needed for all students to succeed. Gordon County Schools serves approximately 6,890 students within ten schools of which are comprised of two high schools, one career academy, two middle schools, and six elementary schools. We are submitting applications for the middle and elementary schools to be rewarded the fourth Striving Readers Comprehensive Leadership Grant in hopes of enhancing and improving the literacy for all students K-8.

Gordon County has made a commitment to professional learning and literacy by using an instructional coach model to provide job-embedded professional development. We have six literacy coaches of which support the elementary and middle schools. Through this model, we have been able to implement best practices in reading and writing, create units, and provide training on the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards. We have a structure in place to effectively use funds awarded with the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant to improve literacy instruction.

System Demographics

Gordon County's population is 55,757 of which has a growth of 2.3% since the 2010 Census. The economy has taken a toll on the families of Gordon County with 19.9% living below the poverty level and the current Unemployment Rate is 8.9%. The demographics of Gordon County include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race:</th>
<th>Current Percentage in Gordon County:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current Priorities

The current priorities with literacy include the implementation of rigorous instruction with the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards. The district and school leadership agree that literacy instruction must have a solid foundation K-2 and strategic instruction in place for grades 3-8 to meet the needs of a variety of learners. The literacy coaches provide job-embedded professional learning to implement priorities such as weekly collaboration during common planning time, system-level collaboration days, workshop model, analysis of data to guide instruction, and integrated units to support the implementation of CCGPS.

After an analysis of system and school achievement data, the current priorities are:

- Revise current K-12 Literacy Plan to reflect rigorous literacy instructional practices across all content areas
- Provide professional learning for all leadership and teachers based on data analysis, TKES, LKES, and CCRPI.
- Provide a rigorous education for all students to prepare them to exceed expectation on the Georgia Milestones Assessment System.
- Expand technology usage with students

System Priorities

1. All students will increase academic achievement in their Pre-Kindergarten through 12th grade learning experience.
2. Student attendance will improve to maximize CCRPI School Attendance Indicator.
3. The percentage of Gordon County students who complete high school, college and/or career ready, will increase.
4. Provide a standardized centralized and consistent level of technology, informing services, and infrastructure will enhance and support teaching and learning.
5. Stakeholders' satisfaction with school environment will improve.

Strategic Planning:

The goals and objectives of our plan reflect the following priorities:

Goal 1: Appropriate tiered interventions will be implemented to will remediate or accelerate based on the five areas of the cognitive reading model through formative and summative assessments.
Goal 2: Technology will be relevant to students and ensure that they develop the latest skills necessary to excel globally in the 21st Century.

Goal 3: All elementary and middle schools will establish a school literacy leadership framework to ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum for all students.

Goal 4: Students will receive effective interdisciplinary literacy instruction across the curriculum.

To achieve these goals, Gordon County Schools is committed to providing professional learning that is data-driven and targeted toward school improvement. Job-embedded staff development, clearly aligned with the instructional and student achievement goals for the system, is provided through the utilization of literacy coaches.

Management Structure

Gordon County Schools benefits from a management structure that is best described as laser-focused. We have seven board members that are dedicated to school improvement and success for all students. Our Superintendent, Dr. Susan Remillard, was our Curriculum Director for six years prior to becoming our Superintendent in 2013. Her years of experience and consistent high expectations have provided excellent leadership. The district employs eight directors and specialists who share responsibilities for the administration and management of personnel, finances, instructional, and professional learning resources. These people are the Cabinet of the system and meet once a week to collaborate with the Superintendent and monthly with school-level leadership. Communication and collaboration is a priority for Gordon County Schools.

Past Instructional Initiatives:

Gordon County Schools has implemented the coaching model for elementary schools and most recently two of the three middle schools. Professional learning and literacy instruction has been a priority historically, which is evident in the chart below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade-level Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during Common Planning Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Grade-Level Collaboration Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon County Schools System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Writer's Workshop** |  |
| **Standards-Based Instruction** |  |
| **Explicit Instruction on Reading Comprehension Strategies** |  |
| **Awareness/Focus Walks by Administration and Coaches** |  |
| **Integration of Content Areas with Literacy** |  |
| **Academic Coaching Model** |  |
| **Response to Intervention** |  |
| **Analysis of Data to Guide Instruction** |  |
| **The Fabulous Five Components of Reading Instruction** |  |
| **Staff’s Book of the Month** |  |
| **Increase time for Independent Student Reading across the Curriculum** |  |
| **Vertical Planning** |  |
Literacy Curriculum:

- Gordon County Schools has supported the implementation of Common Core Georgia Performance Standards through in-depth professional development opportunities. Continuous support is provided through academic coaches in the core areas of literacy.
- The literacy coaches have created detailed units that provide support for extended text that meet the Lexile Range requirements of Common Core and reflect the rigor of the standards.
- Literacy coaches have established model classrooms in strategic places to provide a place for all teachers to observe and learn best practices. Examples of best practices and strategies that have been priorities in the model classrooms:
  - Workshop framework for reading and writing
  - Guided Reading
  - Independent Reading
  - Read-Write-Talk
  - Critical thinking through constructed and extended response prompts
  - Effective read aloud instruction through the use of higher Lexile extended text
  - Long-term studies of literature and nonfiction through the implementation of extended text
  - Research on informational and argumentative topics through the use of both paper and digital text
- As evident in our Strategic Plan, literacy instruction is a priority for Gordon County Schools.

Literacy Assessments Used District Wide:

- Read-Write-Talk across the Curriculum
- Constructive Response
- Integration of Literacy with Content Areas
- Reader's Workshop
- Guided Reading
If Gordon County Schools is awarded the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant, we will use the required assessments with fidelity. The following are assessments that are used system-wide now:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level(s)</th>
<th>Assessment:</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-5</td>
<td>AIMS web</td>
<td>Three times a year*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secure Benchmarks</td>
<td>Three Times a Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Informal Phonics Inventories</td>
<td>Three Times a Year*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>Secure Benchmarks</td>
<td>Three Times a Year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(progress monitoring occurs throughout the year for students at-risk)*

**Need for a Striving Reader Project:**

Despite all of the hard work and achievement, Gordon County Schools are faced with budgetary constraints and our population continues to become more and more economically disadvantaged. The hope for all Gordon County students is to graduate high school, college and/or career ready.

**District Access Scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCESS Scores for EL Students</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proficiency Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entering</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridging</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaching</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reading CRCT Data for District:**
### Language Arts CRCT Data for District:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>2011-2012</th>
<th>2012-2013</th>
<th>2013-2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exceeds</td>
<td>Meets &amp; Exceeds</td>
<td>Exceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 3rd Grade Students</td>
<td>31.30%</td>
<td>91.80%</td>
<td>31.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 4th Grade Students</td>
<td>29.30%</td>
<td>92.80%</td>
<td>35.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 5th Grade Students</td>
<td>37.80%</td>
<td>95.10%</td>
<td>38.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 6th Grade Students</td>
<td>26.00%</td>
<td>94.70%</td>
<td>32.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 7th Grade Students</td>
<td>38.50%</td>
<td>93.70%</td>
<td>37.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 8th Grade Students</td>
<td>34.00%</td>
<td>96.20%</td>
<td>39.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>25.50%</td>
<td>94.60%</td>
<td>20.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>33.10%</td>
<td>93.80%</td>
<td>36.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>31.40%</td>
<td>95.60%</td>
<td>34.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant</td>
<td>22.20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>5.30%</td>
<td>73.40%</td>
<td>6.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
<td>86.60%</td>
<td>11.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>28.80%</td>
<td>93.60%</td>
<td>29.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>38.90%</td>
<td>91.70%</td>
<td>41.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender - Male</td>
<td>28.60%</td>
<td>91.40%</td>
<td>31.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender - Female</td>
<td>37.00%</td>
<td>96.80%</td>
<td>40.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Graduation Rate:
It is our belief that a strong foundation in the early years of the student's education experience will impact their success with graduation. As evident in the scores above, we need to continue our work with focused and strategic planning and resources. Ultimately, it is the hope of Gordon County Schools that ALL students are able to exceed expectations on all state assessments. We believe the funds from the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant will help us to create students that possess the self-awareness to work hard to become life-long learners.
**District Management Plan**

The Gordon County Schools management plan and key personnel in supporting, implementing, and overseeing the grant will include both system and school leaders. Beth Herod, System Curriculum Specialist, will collaborate with all grant recipients during the entire process of implementation and management of the grant. She has overall responsibility for managing the grant. The school-level administrators will have regular meetings and planning sessions with Beth Herod to ensure that the literacy needs are being met and that all stakeholders are working to meet the goals outlined in the Literacy Plan. All stakeholders will have voice in decisions made. The following chart provides information on individuals in the system and their role in the Striving Readers Project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person Responsible:</th>
<th>Individual Duties:</th>
<th>Duties with School Grant Management Teams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Beth Herod, System Curriculum Specialist | Coordinate grant implementation with district financial department including:  
- Oversee requests for resources and fund allocations.  
- Issue purchase orders  
- Advise on federal programs regulations  
- Manage grant audits  
- Maintain financial reports | Meet with school-level key personnel to select appropriate resources aligned with needs  
- Develop grant budget and plan for sustainability  
- Ensure federal guidelines compliance  
- Audit grant |

The following individuals are the literacy coaches in Gordon County.

Andrea Salmon, Ashworth and Red Bud Middle Schools
Mandy Jackson, Belwood Elementary
Kimm Smith, Fairmount Elementary
Lindsay Baxter, Red Bud Elementary
Bobbie Bruton, Sonoraville

- The literacy coaches will work with their school-level administration to follow all guidelines and goals in the Literacy Plan:
  - Scott McClanahan, Principal
  - Chad Moore, Principal
  - Kimm Smith, Principal
  - Katherine Vaughan, Principal
  - Jennifer Hayes, Principal
  - Elizabeth Anderson, Principal
  - Kederia Angland, Principal

(The principals are expected to be heavily involved with the project.)
### Gordon County Schools

#### Elementary
- **Rebecca Richardson, Tolbert Elementary**
- **Christy Bundy, W.L. Swain Elementary**

**Implementing:** DIBELS Next and Scholastic Reading Inventories and will lead meetings to analyze data.

**Eppie Snider, Principal**

#### Technology
- **Bryan Nicholson, Technology Director**

- Coordinate selection, dissemination, and maintenance of grant-funded technology to ensure equitable and appropriate technology for each school as identified in the system technology plan.

- Work with teachers on professional learning and implementation of technology into daily instruction.

**Ann Cross, Director of Exceptional Students**

- Mrs. Cross will support the Striving Readers Project and provide guidance and leadership as to how best serve students with Individual Education Plans.

- Mrs. Cross works closely with all school personnel to ensure that all individual student needs as well as state and federal guidelines are met and followed.

**Mendy Goble, Director of Finance**

- Submit completion reports and SRCL state-required reports

**Diane Richardson, Purchasing Agent**

- Sign off on all purchase orders

**Tammi Werner, Administrative Assistant**

- Creates all purchase orders to be signed off by Purchasing Agent

---

**Plan for Implementation and Management:**

Upon successfully being awarded the SRCL grant, Beth Herod will include information and directions for all school administration during the monthly Leadership Meetings. Leadership Meetings are held the second Tuesday of each month. All directors including technology and finance attend the Leadership Meetings. Additionally, Beth Herod will meet will all literacy coaches once a month. The meetings are held at the individual schools. The implementation of the Literacy Plan and the use of all resources will be observed and all schools will receive feedback and next steps. The District Leadership meets every Monday. This team is called the Cabinet and all issues and questions concerning the grant will be discussed with all district leaders including the Superintendent, Dr. Susan Remillard. All stakeholders will have a voice in the decisions that are made.

**Proposed Steps for Managing and Planning the Implementation of the SRCL Grant:**

**Step One:**

Initially, the system-level and school-level individuals will meet to research and decide on any resources, technology, practice and training that will need to be purchased or organized. Training all grant recipients on the new assessments will be a priority. The schools' Literacy Plans, current data, and needs expressed will be taken into consideration. All participants will have voice in the decisions that are made.
Step Two:

The system-level and school-level individuals will create a plan for training grant recipients. Gordon County has the staff and structure in place to train teachers using both system-level and job-embedded professional learning methods. The teachers will receive the training needed to implement any new resources or instructional practices.

Step Three:

The principals will monitor the implementation of all practice and resources and lead the school Literacy Team in making school-level instructional decisions. Ongoing formative assessment will be conducted throughout all steps of the process. Data and conversation between school-level and district-level individuals will be conducted regularly.

Step Four:

After reviewing progress and data, the grant recipients will reflect and make any needed adjustments, revisions, or additions to the plan. (At this time, the grant recipients may choose to purchase additional training and/or resources and steps will be followed again.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Funded Amount</th>
<th>Is there an Audit?</th>
<th>Audit Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>1,297,970.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title IIA</td>
<td>265,360.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>62,384.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>1,318,124.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title IIA</td>
<td>273,693.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>77,783.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Coding Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>1,824,096.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Parent Involvement Notification Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title IIA</td>
<td>310,222</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Periodic Certification Form Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>71,137.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>1,658,618.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title IIA</td>
<td>282,044.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>72,786.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>1,802,769.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title IIA</td>
<td>231,289.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>58,987.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REAP Grant</td>
<td>156,062.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>1,851,265.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Correction in school allocation funding totals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title IIA</td>
<td>224,515.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Correction in approved budget expenditures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>52,821.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Title IA</td>
<td>1,469,499.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title IIA</td>
<td>203,776.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>50,376.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REAP Grant</td>
<td>129,476.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Description of LEA Capacity

Gordon County Schools has been a good steward of state and federal dollars and has utilized Title program funds to provide instructional, technological, and professional learning resources for teachers and administrators. It is the belief of the system that these resources have a direct impact on the quality of instruction delivered by teachers and the high level of student achievement gains that the schools have experienced. Millions of dollars' worth of formula and competitive grants are coordinated each year under the direction of Dr. Tracy Wilson, School Improvement Director, Dr. Jeffery Clance, Human Resources Director, and Mr. Mike Evelti, Director of Student Services. System personnel routinely coordinate grant budgets and other federal, state and local fiscal resources.

LEA Use of Title I Resources

For a number of years, Gordon County Schools' Title I program has been heavily invested in literacy skills and working with students K-8 who have deficiencies in English Language Arts. There are currently six literacy coaches employed. The coaching model has made a positive impact on literacy in Gordon County. The system has also purchased all of the extended texts needed to implement CCGPS units that have been created by literacy coaches and teacher leaders.

An example of the impact that the coaching model has in Gordon County, is their relationship and commitment to the Special Education students in our system. In 2009, the Special Education and Curriculum Directors began utilizing the academic coaches to support front-load planning, professional development, more training and monitoring was provided for the co-teaching model to build relationships among the departments. From 2009 to present day, the relationships remain strong and professional development is an expectation for all faculty and staff members. This was made possible due to Title I Funds and resources. When Gordon County receives funding, the needs of the students and training for teachers is a priority. This occurs through precise and strategic planning by the School Improvement Director and Curriculum Specialists based on data and financial reports provided by the Finance Director.

Statements Regarding Audit Findings:

The audit findings for Title IA and Title III were issues with how the Gordon County coordinator either signed the forms or with the format of the forms. After the findings, the coordinator submitted a corrective action plan to address the findings of which involved creating and implementing new forms and procedures. After the new forms and procedures were submitted, the findings were cleared.

The audit findings for Title IA in 2013 were due to how the instructional coaches were assigned to schools. The Title I coordinator distributed the funding equally to each of the six elementary schools so that each of the locations could have instructional coaches for both the mathematics and the literacy position. After the 2013 audit, Gordon County had to remove a coaching position because the smallest school, Fairmount Elementary, does not earn two instructional coach positions. The Title I coordinator removed the coach and submitted a plan of action to resolve the finding. After the plan was submitted and the coach was removed, there were no more findings for allocation of funds. The Title IIA finding for
approved budget expenditures was resolved by rewriting a job description. After the job description was rewritten, there were no more findings for budget expenditures. The directors and coordinators that manage federal funding in Gordon County are transparent and have historically followed all guidelines and requests for revisions.

**Gordon County Finance Department Audit Information:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2013</td>
<td>Audit not Completed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2012</td>
<td>No Findings</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>FS-6641-11-01</td>
<td>Restatement of Beginning Private Purpose Trust Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>FA-6641-10-01</td>
<td>Failure to Meet MOE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2009</td>
<td>No Findings</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Initiatives implemented internally with no outside funding:**

- Monthly Leadership Meetings to train and discuss professional development including FIP Modules and TKES.
- G6 Gifted Center for elementary and the Robotics Program for middle school
- Graduation Coaches for both high schools
- Promethean Scholars for advanced studies for high schools

The charts below are evidence of our work in Gordon County. The instructional coaching model for professional learning has worked. The leaders in the system use data to plan for instruction and to make instructional decisions. The success of all students in Gordon County is first priority. The system would greatly benefit from this grant so new initiatives with technology and resources can be purchased to support 21st Century Classrooms and to continue to support the growth of students that are prepared for today’s workforce and college.
School Narrative

W.L. Swain Elementary School was established in 1975. It was a consolidation of Liberty Elementary School and Plainville Elementary School and is a part of the Gordon County School System. The faculty and staff of W.L. Swain includes one principal, one assistant principal, 39 highly qualified teachers, 12 paraprofessionals, two Pre-K, two clerical staff members, eight food service staff members, one custodian, one full-time nurse, and 10 bus drivers for a total of 79 staff members. Included among the forty-one certified staff members are 39 certified classroom teachers, six certified special education teachers, one certified Literacy Coach, one certified Math Coach, one certified Speech Language Pathologist, one media specialist, one part time gifted teacher, one ESOL teacher, and one counselor. Of these certified personnel, two have an ESOL Endorsement, three have a Gifted Endorsement and other endorsements include Reading, TSS and Math, and all are highly qualified in their teaching fields. As evidenced in the chart below, the majority of the certified staff at W.L. Swain holds advanced degrees. In addition, several staff members are currently working towards advanced degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bachelor Degrees</th>
<th>Masters Degrees</th>
<th>Educational Specialist Degrees</th>
<th>Advanced Degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At W.L. Swain Elementary School, there are 497 students. Our student population is very diverse, both economically and culturally making it a great challenge to provide every student
with their individual educational needs. W.L. Swain has a 75% rate of free and reduced lunches. The ethnic distribution of our student population is represented in the chart below. Out of a student body of 497, the following are numbers in each ethnic sub-group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NUMBER OF STUDENTS BY GRADE LEVEL

- Pre-K – 43
- Kindergarten – 83
- 1st Grade – 75
- 2nd Grade – 73
- 3rd Grade – 69
- 4th Grade – 84
- 5th Grade – 70

FACILITY

- 42 Classrooms
- 1 Computer Lab
- 1 Music Room
- 1 Gymnasium

W. L. Swain Elementary is a rather small, rural Title I school located in the southwestern section of Gordon County near the communities of Scottsville and Plainville, Georgia. Swain
school has been accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools since 1980. In 2012-2014 school year, the school received the USDA Healthier US School Bronze Award. In 2012, the highest 4-H CPA Participation award was given to W.L. Swain, as well as, Outstanding Local Unit for 2011-2012 and Model PTA in 2011-2012. In 2012-2013, Swain school was awarded Outstanding Local Unit and Model PTA. W.L. Swain Elementary School received the Title 1 Distinguished School and Title 1 High Progress Reward School, as well as, the Gordon County Relay for Life 1st place elementary school team.

**Administrative and Leadership**

W.L. Swain Elementary School's administrative staff consists of one principal, and one assistant principal. Our leadership team includes the principal, the assistant principal, one literacy coach, one math coach, one ESS representative, one ELL representative, one media specialist, music teacher, one P.E. teacher, one counselor, and one representative from each grade level. The creation of this team allows for shared decision making in curriculum and instruction. The team strategically plans for school improvement and educational enhancement.

**Past Instructional Initiatives**

- Grade-level Planning during Common Planning Time
- System Grade-Level Collaboration Days
- Writer's Workshop
- Standards-Based Instruction
- Explicit Instruction on Reading Comprehension Strategies
- Awareness/Focus Walks by Administration and Coaches
- Integration of Content Areas with Literacy
- Academic Coaching Model
• Response to Intervention  
• Analysis of Data to Guide Instruction  
• The Fabulous Five Components of Reading Instruction  
• Staff's Book of the Month  
• Reading First  
• Schlechty School Reform Initiative (Working on the Work)  
• Learning Focus School's Strategies  

**Current Instructional Initiatives**

• Grade-level Planning during Common Planning Time  
• System/School Grade-Level Collaboration Days  
• Writer's Workshop and Reader's Workshop  
• Guided Reading  
• Standards-Based Instruction  
• Explicit Instruction on Reading Comprehension Strategies  
• Awareness/Focus Walks by Administration and Coaches  
• Integration of Content Areas with Literacy  
• Integration of Literacy with Content Areas  
• Academic Coaching Model  
• Response to Intervention  
• Analysis of Data to Guide Instruction  
• The Fabulous Five Components of Reading Instruction  
• Staff's Book of the Month  
• TKES (Teacher Keys Effectiveness System)  
• Teacher Observation of Peers  
• Increase time for Independent Student Reading across the Curriculum  
• Vertical Planning  
• Read-Write-Talk across the Curriculum
- **Constructive Response**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade-level Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during Common Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Grade-Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writer's Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards-Based Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on Reading Comprehension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness/Focus Walks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by Administration and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of Content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas with Literacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Coaching Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Data to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Fabulous Five Components of Reading Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff's Book of the Month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKES (Teacher Keys Effectiveness System)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Observation of Peers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase time for Independent Student Reading across the Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read-Write-Talk across the Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructive Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Focus School's Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading First</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of Literacy with Content Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlechty School Reform Initiative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader's Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided Reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Professional Learning Needs

- Common Core Georgia Performance Standards
- Use of Technology in Classroom Instruction
- Guided Reading Instruction
- Content Area Literacy
- Differentiated Instruction
- Reading Comprehension Instruction
- Lexile Reading Levels
- Reader's Workshop
- Expository & Argument Writing
- Response to Intervention for Grades K-5
- Constructive Response
- 21st Century Learning Framework
- The Fabulous Five Components of Reading Instruction

Need for a Striving Readers Project

There is a significant need for resources, support, and professional learning to sustain and improve the level of education received at W.L. Swain Elementary School. It is recognized that the funds from the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy alone will not support the entire literacy program, but will strengthen the current instructional practices and provide many more opportunities for advancement in new educational experiences. The SRCL funding will assist in solidifying assessment protocol and building a community of stronger readers with an ultimate goal of graduating high school and pursuing further educational opportunities. The goal of our
Gordon County School System: W.L. Swain Elementary School

school is to educate students and create a community of life long learners and productive citizens to make an impact on the future.
**Needs Assessment, Concerns, and Root Cause Analysis**

### Description of the Needs Assessment Process

Soon after conducting the Georgia Literacy Plan Needs Assessment, a decision was made by administration to create the **Swain Literacy Team (SLT)**. This team is now made up of the both administrators, the literacy coach, media specialist, an ESS and ELL teacher, and teachers from each grade level, including content area teachers. Members of the SLT collected and disaggregated data obtained from a variety of instruments regarding strengths and weaknesses in literacy instruction.

### Description of Instruments/Materials/Resources Used in the Needs Assessment

- Information gathered from the GADOE Needs Assessment, Georgia K-12 Literacy Plan’s “What,” “How,” and “Why” documents, and parts of the LoTi Digital Age Survey to design an **online survey** provided to 100% of Swain’s teachers.

- An additional observation instrument regularly used by the leadership team (principal, assistant principal, literacy coach, and math coach) in all classrooms is the **Informal Walk-Thru Observation Form**. Evidence such as student work samples and instructional artifacts are considered during these walk-throughs as well.

- **TKES (Teacher Keys Effectiveness System)** evaluations on all teachers performed by the principal and assistant principal.

- **Formative student data** compiled and maintained by Teachers’ Data Notebooks

- **Literacy Instruction Questionnaires**: Completed by all Reading/ELA teachers, all 3rd-5th content area teachers (math, science, social studies), all ESS, and ELL teachers, and paraprofessionals

- **Student Poll Question** regarding the availability of technology at home.

### Main Findings from the “What” Document Concerning Engaged Leadership and Focus of Literacy Instruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern #1:</th>
<th>Root Cause</th>
<th>Steps to Address Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Literacy Instruction is Not Optimized in All Content Areas</strong></td>
<td>Swain Literacy Team (SLT) has only recently been formed with the goal to optimize literacy instruction across all content areas.</td>
<td><strong>Current Steps:</strong> The SLT has been actively involved in the Needs Analysis process. <strong>Future Steps:</strong> Members of the SLT will...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
assume leadership roles in planning and providing additional professional development, fine-tuning scheduling and time to best support literacy (particularly within content blocks), and providing time for greater collaboration.

**Disaggregated Data:**

Only 6.7% of the faculty agreed that Swain is “fully operational” to the survey statement, “Teachers of all disciplines/content areas maintain a literacy focus across the curriculum.”

| Main Findings from the “What” Document Concerning Ongoing Formative and Summative Assessments |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Area of Concern #2:** Teachers are developing an out-of-balance focus on preparing students for high-stakes summative tests | **Root Cause** | **Steps to Address Concerns** |
| | Lack of understanding and preparation pertaining to the writing component of Georgia Milestones and rising cut scores Gordon County Schools’ periodic assessments are not available for all content areas and do not include constructed response in all content areas | Current Steps: Providing up-to-date information and resources pertaining to Georgia Milestones Professional development and strategies for teaching students how to write academically OAS formative items have been introduced Faculty has completed Module 1 of GaDOE’s FIP offerings | Future Steps: Additional PL provided on Student Growth Model Content area teachers (science, social studies) collaborate to design common formative assessments that align with literacy goals Continuation of protected time |
### Disaggregated Data:

100% of the faculty responded “Strongly Agree” or “Agree” to the questionnaire statement, “The importance of student test scores in the current educational culture causes me to feel pressure to get students ready for the test.”

### Main Findings from the “What” Document Concerning Continuity of Instruction and Best Practices in Literacy Instruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern #3</th>
<th>Root Cause</th>
<th>Steps to Address Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lack of Access to Instructional Materials that Target the Essential Components of the Cognitive Reading Model and Writing Instruction | Gordon County Schools’ CCGPS reading units comprise only part of a balanced core that is based on the Cognitive Reading Model (Five Components of Reading Instruction). Gaps include:  
  - Explicit and sequential phonological awareness and phonics/word study instruction, K-5  
  - Reading materials at all levels that can be used for independent and choice leveled reading and for guided reading  
  Reduction in fiscal resources have not supported the purchase of materials for every teacher.  
  Technology is outdated and insufficient for all needs of the student population in regard to | Current Steps:  
  Title 1 Reward School funds were used to purchase a phonological/phonics program for one teacher at each grade K-2 (additional kits needed)  
  System funds were used to purchase one or two Lucy Calkins Units of Study kits per grade level (additional kits needed)  
  Future Steps:  
  Continue to investigate the most effective research-based resources and strategies to fill “core” gaps and meet the needs of diverse populations of students  
  Create cross-disciplinary collaborative teams to further investigate and address instructional gaps in literacy |
Disaggregated Data:

- 37% of Swain’s kindergarten students did not attend Pre-K this year. At mid-year, 21% of our kindergarten students still do not know the majority of the letter names and sounds and have deficits in print awareness.
- Informal phonics surveys reveal high numbers of students with phonological, phonics, and fluency deficits in 3rd and 4th grades. The root cause, according to the majority of teachers polled, is that these students began school during the first two years after the expiration of the Reading First grant (when different materials for early literacy began to be implemented that did not constitute a balanced core).
- Students are not making adequate yearly growth in all five main areas of the cognitive reading model, particularly in fluency. 73% of 4th graders are currently reading below the grade level target of 105 words per minute with AIMS. (Falling further behind: last year as third graders, 61% of these students were below grade level target).
- 17% of mid-year 3rd graders have not mastered letter sound knowledge and are not able to phonetically spell words.

Main Findings from the “What” Document Concerning the System of Tiered Intervention (RTI) for All Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern #4:</th>
<th>Root Cause</th>
<th>Steps to Address Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Deficit-Specific Interventions for All Students to Reach Potential</td>
<td>CCGPS rollout has created a Tier 1 focus&lt;br&gt;Loss of fidelity to research-based strategies during Tier 2&lt;br&gt;Reduction in fiscal resources for the purchase of classroom materials and intervention resources for Tier 2, 3, and 4 literacy in all grades and content areas</td>
<td>Current Steps: Teachers of all grades and content areas maintain Data Notebooks, and time for data disaggregation is scheduled for all grade levels&lt;br&gt;Swain’s Literacy Coach has recently created a Tier 2 Strategies List based on the Cognitive Model&lt;br&gt;Future Steps: Additional materials, strategies, resources, professional development are required for tiered instruction in order for 100% of Swain’s struggling readers to become successful.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Only 56% of 1st-5th grade SWD students and 67% of 1st-5th grade ELL students scored “meets or exceeds” on the recent 12-week Reading Periodic Assessment.

Main Findings from the “What” Document Concerning Improved Instruction through Professional Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern #5</th>
<th>Root Cause</th>
<th>Steps to Address Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Specialized Professional Learning in</td>
<td>The majority of students (81%) receive free-reduced lunch. The school has a high percentage of homeless, transient, and students in crisis, as well as growing numbers of ELL and SWD students. Current teacher reaction to this situation is an over-use of scaffolding and support, in effort to help all students be successful with classroom tasks. Inadvertently, this translates to an over-balance of teacher-focused, shared instruction (“I do” and “we do”), particularly with literacy tasks involving the Lexile stretch bands of CCGPS. Limited knowledge of the pedagogical shifts in literacy instruction across grade levels and content as required by CCGPS. Lack of fiscal resources for technologies and professional development for instructional uses of technology to support literacy</td>
<td>Current Steps: Professional development has begun on reading and writing instruction within the content areas Future Steps: Professional development from outside sources designed to assist Swain teachers in meeting the needs of low economic and struggling students in a way that will not compromise opportunities for them to build independence as successful readers, writers, and users of technology in a global society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disaggregated Data:
- Pertaining to preparing students to write academically, only 22.6% of Swain’s faculty stated that Swain is “fully operational” in implementing a coordinated plan for writing instruction across all subject areas that includes explicit instruction, guided practice, and independent practice.
• 68% of all science, math, and social studies teachers surveyed stated “Disagree” to the statement, “I have abundant and adequate resource/strategies to use with my students concerning reading and writing in the content areas.”

• 69% of all EIP, ELL, and ESS teachers surveyed stated “Disagree” to the statement, “I use a wide repertoire of strategies that are specially designed to overcome the effects of poverty on literacy achievement.”

• Over half of Swain’s student body report that they do not have technology available at home to use for learning or school work.
Scientific, Evidence-Based Literacy Plan

The Georgia Literacy Task Force's definition of literacy is the ability to speak, listen, read, and write, as well as to view print and non-print text in order to achieve the following:

- to communicate effectively with others
- to think and respond critically in a variety of settings to a myriad of print and non-print text, and
- to access, use, and produce multiple forms of media, information, and knowledge in all content areas.

The goal for the students is to become sustaining, lifelong learners and contributors to their communities and to the global society. In order to achieve this goal, there must be leadership engagement, proper instruction, best practices in teaching, interventions, and professional development. The demands of education is set at a high standard, and in order for students to be successful, their education must meet those high standards.

W.L. Swain Elementary School determined that the administrator is supportive of evidence-based literacy instruction in her school and plans to seek out and participate in professional learning about literacy instruction. On page 39 of the "Why" document, recommendation 4 states that the GaDOE will develop state policies for training teachers, principals, school leaders, and district leaders to evaluate the quality of (existing) literacy programs (not core curriculum) currently being used and skills taught at all grade levels. It is essential for all school leaders to be involved in evaluating the quality of a literacy program that will affect the future of the students. On page 148 of the "Why" document, the administrator is further needed as a support for the literacy coach to provide assistance in building trust with the faculty, provide access to
instructional materials, and provide feedback to the coach. The administrator also needs to support instruction by scheduling time for teachers and literacy coaches to meet. The key to a successful literacy program is for the administrator to be more familiar with the literacy needs of the students by participating in professional learning about the literacy instruction.

On page 148 of the "why" document, another important role of leadership involvement is for the administrator to develop a literacy leadership team and vision which includes the literacy coach. W.L. Swain administrator and literacy coach will organize and develop a school literacy team which will include the media specialist, the ELL teacher, the speech teacher, and other teachers that are directly involved with teaching literacy and other content areas. As stated on page 156 of the "why" document, "Teacher leaders establish a literacy leadership group with the responsibility to read and discuss both research and research-into-practice articles on this topic in order to acquire local expertise."

At W.L. Swain Elementary School, the faculty and staff have received professional development in disciplinary literacy in some content areas but not all areas. The school Literacy Team will include teachers from other content areas in order to integrate literacy into all content areas. Page 26 of the "Georgia Literacy Plan Why" document reiterates that educators are responsible for ensuring that students are capable of manifesting the definition of literacy. Specifically, content-area teachers at all grade levels must include reading comprehension and processing subject-specific texts in all areas: mathematics, science, social studies, Career Technical and Agricultural Education (CTAE), world languages, English Language Arts (ELA), fine arts, physical education, and health. In the "why" document on page 31, "The Georgia definition for literacy encompasses the ability to access, use, and produce multiple forms of
media, information, and knowledge in all content areas at all grade levels. The belief statements on the same page of the "why" document also reinforces the belief that literacy is a key component for all content areas. "The Georgia Department of Education has launched a literacy initiative that focuses on building strong readers and reinforces that reading is an important component of all content areas," states the "why" document on page 105. Professional development on literacy will include all content areas in order to improve literacy across the curriculum at W.L. Swain Elementary School. In the "why" document, it is acknowledged on page 27 that improving content literacy in all grade levels will lead to improved graduation rates and improved readiness for college and careers.

Out-of-school organizations and agencies are making plans to develop learning supports to complement literacy instruction at W.L. Swain Elementary School. At this time, local churches, businesses, and volunteers are assisting with some financial needs of the school. This sometimes, but not always, involves literacy. W.L. Swain plans to identify and contact appropriate stakeholders who could assist with literacy needs, not only financially, but possibly supplying extra support in literacy. On pages 159-161 of the "why" document, the role of the Georgia Public Library Services (GPLS) is defined and how this can assist in promoting literacy in the school and community.

W.L. Swain Elementary School has a core program in use, but it does not provide a strong basis for instruction in all aspects of literacy. Page 64 of the "why" document describes the five essential components of effective early reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. It is stated on the same page of the document, "Though the focus for the last eight years has been on grades K-3 for these skills, the CCGPS has wisely
expanded the range through fifth grade acknowledging that students in those higher elementary grades continue to need support in decoding and fluency for increasingly more complex vocabulary and text.” W.L. Swain plans to analyze data to determine the needs of explicit instruction in word identification, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension in order to increase literacy across the content areas. With the increased intensity of the curriculum, it is imperative that these needs be identified and addressed in order to assist in the students' academic success.

On page 68 of the "why" document, under the heading of The Seven Principles to Ensure Success for Adolescent Literacy, it is stated that students need time to read daily, participate in making choices about reading selections, and to have opportunities to discuss what they are reading with other students and their teachers. It also states that adolescents are more likely to acquire literacy skills if there is an environment that encourages daily reading in a variety of texts/genres, use of research-based literacy strategies across the curriculum, and quality instruction and support from all teachers and staff. W.L. Swain Elementary School will strive to design lessons to give students more choice in demonstrating concepts learned in all content areas in order to promote literacy skills. Swain will investigate the possibility of extending time for literacy to ensure that students have the opportunity to select a variety of texts/genres and to have more time to explore expression through writing.

In the "why" document on page 27, the National Commission on Writing (2004) is referenced as stating that the demands for clear and concise communication, especially writing in the workplace are increasing. If students are not prepared for these demands, the chances for employment and advancement decrease. Page 43 of the "why" document acknowledged that
according to the National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE), writing becomes a critical need for workers:

Technological advances, changing workplace demands, and cultural shifts make writing more important than ever, especially because the way we write often predicts academic and/or job success, creates opportunities, maintains relationships, and enhances critical thinking. (NCTE, 2008, p.1)

W.L. Swain Elementary School will develop a plan to integrate writing into each content area with fidelity. This will include explicit instruction, guided practice, and independent practice. The content areas will assist in teaching the students to write an argumentative, informational, and narrative piece, as well as these being taught explicitly in the writing segment of the day. The school plans to give the students meaningful opportunities to write and publish good pieces in a variety of formats. As declared on page 45 of the "why" document, "Writing demands for the 21st century are increasing not only in schools but also in workplaces that demand effective communication skills. Georgia advocates strong writing skills beginning in elementary and continuing through high school. All content areas have writing components in their expectations for Georgia students. The implementation of strong writing programs is crucial to a literacy initiative."

Although certified teachers implement the Tier 3 instruction with fidelity, the Tier 2 students are served during a 30 minute period of time at the end of the day. The teachers provide the Tier 2 interventions in a small group setting using the resources that are available. W.L. Swain plans to provide professional learning concerning the materials available for Tier 2 in order to increase
the rigor and effectiveness of the interventions. W.L. Swain plans to analyze data more extensively in order to diagnose exactly where the student may have developed a weakness. The goal is to target the area in which the student is struggling and use the best method available in Tier 2 to assist the student to achieve his or her potential. With a strong Tier 2 intervention, many students will be successful and be moved back into Tier 1. On page 134 of the "why" document it refers to Tier 4 when stating, "With three effective tiers in place prior to specialized services, more struggling students will be successful and will not require this degree of intervention."

W.L. Swain administrators have begun to include questions about whether potential hires have received coursework in disciplinary literacy in the content area in their pre-service training. Under recommendation 4 on page 39 of the "why" document, it is affirmed that the GaDOE will coordinate with the BOR and the TCSG in the development of teacher preparation courses that strengthen and enhance literacy strategies and interventions among instructional approaches for all grade levels. W.L. Swain Elementary School plans to ensure that mentoring teachers are fully trained in providing instruction in disciplinary literacy. On of the belief statements of the Georgia Literacy Task Force on page 32 of the "why" document includes, "Open, direct articulation and recursive literacy professional learning opportunities among PreK-12 teachers are central to developing the language capacity of each student." W.L. Swain Elementary School plans to encourage every teacher to develop a professional growth plan based on a self-assessment of professional learning needs. The school will research and provide professional learning opportunities for all teachers that will include integrating literacy across the curriculum. The school will provide support to the teachers by allowing teachers to practice techniques in a
non-threatening environment and supply feedback. On page 124 of the "why" document, the following is stated about professional learning:

Assisting content teachers to embed cognitive and motivational strategies into their instruction also enables them "to support deeper student literacy and understanding in the content-area reading" (Lewis et al., 2007). Professional learning in intervention techniques permits teachers to incorporate strategies that allow students to access texts, to practice communication skills, and to use information. Professional learning centered on cognitive strategies may include paraphrasing, summarizing, synthesizing, predicting, and drawing conclusions. These skills are consistent with focus of the Georgia Performance Standards and the Common core Georgia Performance Standards.

W.L. Swain Elementary School will strive to provide the best opportunities for every teacher, as well as student, to learn and reach his or her full potential. It is the goal of W.L. Swain teachers to grow as professionals and be prepared to educate all students to be lifelong learners and contributors to the community and global society. Teachers’ long-term relationships with students and parents, as well as familiarity with system initiatives is strengthened with high rates of teacher retention. W.L. Swain has a stable teaching staff. The average number of “years teaching at W.L. Swain” for our 46 faculty members (certified and noncertified staff) is 8.7 years. Notable is certified teachers’ level of experience: 61% of the faculty has been in education, teaching at W.L. Swain as well as other schools, for 12 years (see chart on the following page).
W.L. Swain Teacher Retention

At the District Level, Gordon County Schools has a high teacher retention rate as well, which helps to sustain initiatives and provide a continuity of instruction between feeder schools throughout elementary, middle, and high schools. As seen in the chart below, school year 2012-2013 reveals an almost 90% teacher retention rate at Gordon County Schools.
The next chart reveals a steady incline in the student graduation rate in Gordon County Schools over time. W.L. Swain’s feeder high school is Gordon Central, shown in blue below. While Gordon Central’s graduation rate has generally been lower over time than the higher socio-economic Sonoraville High School, the 2014 graduation rate gap between the two schools was almost closed. Both High School graduation rates are much higher than the state rate. County wide initiatives, collaboration between schools, and the implementation of Graduation Coaches at the middle/high schools are some of the reasons for this achievement.
The goal of W.L. Swain Elementary School is to ensure that students receive "gold standard" literacy instruction and are college and career ready when they graduate from Gordon County School District. However, Swain’s administration and faculty know that a lot of work still needs to be accomplished in order for all students to reach their potentials in literacy. A closer look at data and other school factors reveal Swain’s concerns and areas in which to improve (see the Needs Assessment/Root Cause/Concerns and the Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data sections). The Striving Reader grant process has provided an excellent opportunity for Swain to identify and address these issues. Based on the results from the Georgia Literacy Plan Needs Assessment for Literacy Grades Kindergarten to Grade 12, W.L. Swain Elementary School will address the following Building Blocks that
pertain to student growth in literacy explained in the "How" and "What" documents. The W.L. Swain Leadership Team chose the following building blocks and action steps from the "How" document that would best enable our school to become “fully operational” in the needs assessment areas in which we scored "Emergent" or "Not Addressed":

### Building Block 1. Engaged Leadership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Action: Demonstrate commitment to learn about and support evidence-based literacy instruction in his/her school</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Swain determined that the administrator is supportive of evidence-based literacy instruction in her school and plans to seek out and participate in professional leaning about literacy instruction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expanding:**

Administrators will

- Continue to participate in school and system literacy professional learning in order to support classroom instruction and strive to serve as a model by studying literacy research and best practices, sharing professional resources among faculty, facilitating professional discussions, and training team leaders as facilitators
- Ensure excellence in professional learning by continuing to analyze data and adjusting professional learning accordingly

**Sustaining:**

Administrators will

- Develop a pipeline of leaders by identifying and training leaders for succession
- Make hiring decisions collaboratively based upon literacy goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Action: Organize a Literacy Leadership Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Swain Elementary’s Literacy Coach is a member of the Gordon County Schools System Literacy Team. This team is led by the System Literacy Coach and members meet to design and deliver system professional development and assessments, write CCGPS Reading/ELA instructional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
units, and facilitate system wide grade level collaboration.

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Coach works with W.L. Swain administrators to support the system literacy plan and to select customized professional development that is delivered to W.L. Swain’s faculty. The decision has now been made to create a school Literacy Leadership Team that will refine literacy goals and professional development in order to more closely and specifically meet the needs of W.L. Swain students. The Literacy Coach will continue to participate in the System Literacy Leadership Team.

Planning
W.L. Swain’s administrators and Literacy Coach will identify stakeholders to be part of W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, to include K-5 faculty members from literacy, content areas, media, SWD, and ELL.

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will:

- Create a shared literacy vision for the school aligned with the system and the state literacy plan
- Evaluate current practices in all classrooms by using Georgia’s Literacy Instruction Checklist to determine strengths in literacy instruction and to identify needs for improvement
- Analyze multiple forms of student, school, and teacher data to develop a list of prioritized recommendations and goals for improvement
- Conduct a needs survey with the faculty concerning professional development and resources in regard to literacy and literacy within the content areas
- Determine what additional data is needed in order to make informed decisions
- Ensure that effective data analysis procedures and practices are understood and practiced

Implementing
W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will meet regularly in order to:

- Identify any students not already targeted for intervention or support
- Ensure that stakeholders understand literacy goals and their roles in meeting these goals
- Provide professional learning and support for the faculty
- Ensure research-based practices align with CCGPS
- Establish a system of communication for the sharing of information (meeting minutes, calendar, emails, website), utilizing technology to maintain communication among team members
- Plan for ongoing data collection and analysis to inform program development and improvement

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will meet regularly in order to:

- Refocus School Improvement Plan goals, objectives, and actions according to student achievement results
- Use student achievement data to meet individual teacher needs through follow-up assistance and professional learning
- Re-assign staff as needed to maximize literacy goals
- Identify and allocate additional funding sources to support literacy

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will:

- Continue to analyze formative and summative student assessment results and refine literacy goals based on the CCGPS
- Build additional literacy leaders within the faculty and provide them with opportunities to lead other teachers in the school
- Define priorities and allocate needed resources to sustain them over time
- Visit other schools that have successfully improved student achievement to gain valuable
C. Action: Maximize the use of time and personnel through scheduling and collaborative planning

W.L. Swain Elementary’s daily schedules include a minimum 90-120 minute literacy block, a set time for intervention, and time for collaborative planning. While time is available in the non-literacy content blocks (math, science/social studies) for reading and writing and professional development is currently being provided, current daily and weekly schedules do not identify and protect this time.

**Expanding**

Administrators will

- Maximize use of scheduled instructional time to teach key areas of reading and writing instruction, and reflect this time in daily schedules and lesson plans
- Lead teachers to collaborate with other team members to maximize instructional time through the use of peer observations to analyze lessons
- Video content area classrooms (math, science/social studies) for self-evaluations and peer observations, within and among schools, that capture evidence of maximizing time to effectively integrate reading and writing, reflective of CCGPS
- Study formative student assessment results and use the results to continue to determine the impact of efforts to maximize and prioritize time

**Sustaining**

Administrators will

- Use technology to provide professional learning to new and continuing teachers
- Share professional learning at grade level and faculty meetings
- Maintain anecdotal notes and the data room to showcase student and content area achievement successes
D. Action: Create a school culture in which teachers across the curriculum are responsible for literacy instruction as articulated in the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The faculty and staff at W.L. Swain Elementary have received professional development in literacy across the content areas, but implementation is not consistent.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will:

- Identify instructional time across all grade levels for content literacy and continue to provide professional development strategies to support content literacy
- Provide continued professional learning in literacy across the content areas and overcoming the effects of poverty on literacy through book studies and workshops geared specifically for low socio-economic students (such as The Reading Turn-Around, the CLASSroom project --see Professional Development section)
- Develop and maintain an infrastructure to support literacy across the content areas (accountability, data collection, and evaluation)
- Lead teachers to include an increasing amount of constructed response tasks and assessments in content area subjects that require writing (math, science/social studies), and analyze student work
- Share student projects and project artifacts in the content areas that include evidence of reading and writing (gallery walks, school museums, PTA and family nights, newspaper, school website)

**Sustaining**

Administrators will

- Keep the focus (fiscal and instructional) on literacy development even when faced with competing initiatives

E. Action: Optimize literacy instruction across all content areas

W.L. Swain Elementary teachers have begun to incorporate the teaching of academic vocabulary throughout the content areas. Content area teachers consistently keep Data Notebooks, analyze data, provide differentiated instruction, and implement performance-based strategies and student projects. W.L. Swain’s administrators and Literacy Leadership Team will build on this base to integrate literacy
into the content areas.

**Implementing**

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will:

- Support teachers in the integration of literacy instruction and skill development necessary for achievement in all subjects as articulated within CCGPS (for instance, first through fifth grade students are now beginning to implement Interactive Notebooks in the content areas)
- Ensure the use of research-based strategies and appropriate resources to support student learning of the CCGPS
- Require writing as an integral part of every class every day
- Provide teachers with resources to provide a variety and choice in reading materials and writing topics
- Ensure that teachers provide meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will:

- Monitor literacy instruction across the curriculum through formal and informal observations, lesson plans, walkthroughs, student work samples
- Encourage teachers to integrate appropriate text comprehension strategies into instruction in all subject areas (i.e., self-questioning, summarizing, predicting, inferencing)
- Create a forum to share creative ideas among the faculty to infuse literacy throughout the day

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will:

- Host family nights that engage parents in activities that demonstrate the importance of proficiency in literacy
- Expand the types of writing across the subject areas (e.g., songs, manuals, wikis, blogs, captions, word problems, e-mails, ads, instructions, etc.)
- Differentiate literacy assignments by offering student choice
- Expand meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen (e.g., contests,
debates, speeches, wikis, blogs, creating YouTube videos, and drama)
  - Discuss alternative instructional strategies or modifications that may be better suited to promoting student learning of the CCGPS (and for ELs, English language proficiency standards)
  - Use online resources to stay abreast of effective strategies for the development of disciplinary literacy within the content areas

F. Action: Enlist the community at large to support schools and teachers in the development of college-and-career-ready students as articulated in the Common Core Georgia Performance Standards.

W.L. Swain works with community members, parents, civic members, and local businesses that actively support teacher and student effort in schools. The Calhoun/Gordon County Council for a Literate Community, local businesses such as IHOP and Waffle House, and professional organizations and teacher organizations such as Alpha Delta Kappa work with schools on community-based initiatives to support literacy in local schools. W.L. Swain collaborates with the community to celebrate academic successes through traditional and online media, as well as in the local businesses.

Sustaining:
W.L. Swain’s Literacy Team, led by the administrator, will:
  - Continue to work with key members of the community to heighten awareness of literacy
  - Continue to foster relationships among schools, workforce, families, and communities

Building Block 2: Continuity of Instruction

A. Action: Ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum through the use of collaborative teams

W.L. Swain Elementary implements collaboration through grade level collaborative teams, system-level collaboration, and through school-based vertical planning and professional development. The decision has now been made to formally establish cross-disciplinary collaborative teams across grades.

Planning
Administration will
- Establish an expectation of shared responsibility for literacy across the curriculum
- Establish cross-disciplinary teams for literacy instruction
- Schedule time for teams to meet for regular collaboration and examination of student data/work

**Implementing**

Collaborative teams will

- Meet in disciplinary teams, either physically or virtually, according to regularly established times for collaborative planning and examining student data/work
- Meet in vertical teams for collaborative planning and examining student work
- Prepare agendas and action summaries for all meetings
- Observe model lessons, organize materials, and practice effective instructional strategies using videos where possible

**Expanding**

Collaborative teams will

- Research effective strategies for differentiating instruction, promoting active engagement, and teaching key areas of literacy and writing instruction
- Collaborate with other team members to conduct peer observations and analyze lessons to improve disciplinary literacy instruction using videotaping where possible
- Assess effectiveness of team actions on student learning

**Sustaining**

Collaborative teams will

- Showcase evidence of student learning success on the school or class websites and through
blogs, e.g., writing assignments, improved test scores, awards or recognitions

- Encourage teachers to share stories of success in the community and through school and teacher websites and blogs

B. Action: Support teachers in providing literacy instruction across the curriculum

W.L. Swain Elementary used a school wide comprehensive core reading program prior to the implementation of CCGPS. W.L. Swain now uses Gordon County Schools’ framework of CCGPS units for ELA standards, grades K-5, that integrates comprehension skills/strategies, vocabulary, and content reading and writing into the literacy block. However, this core has gaps, and content areas teachers (math, science/social studies) do not have a comprehensive core program that integrates literacy into content instruction.

Planning

Teachers will

- Study and select research-based strategies and resources, particularly those found in “The Why” document of the Georgia Literacy Plan, to design instruction that will supplement gaps in the current ELA core and integrate literacy into the other content areas.
- Determine and discuss specific ways to infuse literacy throughout the day including the use of technology, journaling, and content projects and presentations
- Provide professional learning on research-based instructional strategies and use of rubrics to improve literacy instruction in the content areas
- Participate in professional development and stay abreast of current information regarding best practices on using digital resources as learning tools such as: online, blogs, wikis, and social media to engage and motivate
- Participate in professional development for on writing to explain, writing to inform, developing arguments, and citing evidence and study a variety of strategies for incorporating writing in all content area
• Study the text structures most frequently used in texts of each content area

**Implementing**

Teachers will

• Implement Lucy Calkins Common Core Units (K-5) in writer’s workshop, including the Learning Progressions that will ensure continuity throughout the grades. Lead writing teachers will provide professional development and modeling for content teachers.
• Begin to implement Interactive Journals/Notebooks in all classrooms, 1st-5th grade
• Research additional strategies for teaching academic vocabulary in all subjects that use a commonly adopted, systematic procedure
• Integrate literacy strategies and skill development necessary for achievement in all subjects as articulated within CCGPS
• Infuse all types of literacy throughout the day e.g., print, online, blogs, wikis, social media
• Provide variety and choice in the types, media and genre of both reading and writing assignments
• Make writing a required part of every class every day, using technology when possible
• Be supported through the coach, model, co-teach, observe, feedback model

**Expanding**

Teachers will

• Identify skills or knowledge needed to be strengthened in future lessons for students to reach standards proficiency
• Discuss exemplary samples with students to model features of quality writing across the content areas
• Guide students to focus on their own improvement
• Integrate appropriate comprehension strategies into instruction in all subject areas (i.e., self-
questioning, summarizing, predicting, inferencing, graphic organizers)

Share creative ideas to infuse literacy throughout the day

Sustaining
Teachers will

• Discuss alternative instructional strategies or modifications that may be better suited to promoting student learning of the CCGPS (and for ELLs, English language proficiency standards)
• Stay abreast of effective strategies for literacy instruction
• Expand opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen using both face-to-face and online options for listening, viewing and communicating through social media
• Plan a literacy celebration for the entire school

C. Action: Collaborate with supporting out-of-school agencies and organizations within the community

W.L. Swain works with a few out-of-school organizations to develop learning supports to complement literacy instruction.

Expanding
W.L. Swain Elementary will

• Seek additional partnerships with community and faith-based groups to accommodate more students
• Work along with feeder middle and high schools to partner with community groups such as the GEM Theater and The Harris Arts Center to promote literacy and purposeful reading/writing through performing arts opportunities in the community
• Develop strategies for maintaining momentum and progress of a learning support system
• Using technology, translate school documents into other languages to assist parents
**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Keep the focus (fiscal and instructional) on literacy development even when faced with competing initiatives
- Advocate for new capacity in the community to help students and families
- Continue to focus proactively on broad issues that may prevent students from learning (e.g., health, nutrition, homelessness, drop-out, attendance)
- Pursue additional funding sources for specialized literacy staff and materials

**Building Block 3. Ongoing formative and summative assessments**

A. Action: Establish an infrastructure for ongoing formative and summative assessments to determine the need for and the intensity of interventions and to evaluate the effectiveness of instruction

W.L. Swain Elementary has been using an effective screening and progress monitoring tool (AIMS) but is highly supportive of moving to DIBELS Next and the Scholastic Reading Inventory. Diagnostic literacy tools have been selected to be used, and a system of 12 and 24 week Periodic Assessments are common across all literacy classrooms. However, these Periodic Assessments are not available for science and social studies and do not include constructed response. Changes in upcoming state assessments, rising cut scores, and plans for teacher evaluations (which will be based in large part on student test scores) are creating an out of balance focus on summative versus formative assessment.

**Planning**

W.L. Swain Elementary Literacy Leadership will

- Reinforce how the chain of screening/diagnostic/formative assessment, differentiated instruction matched to deficit areas, goal-setting, and progress monitoring is the key to student achievement through the Student Growth Model
- Continued use of GADOE’s FIP modules
### Expanding
W.L. Swain Elementary will
- Collaborate to create and implement systematic Periodic Assessments for all content areas and use the data for formative purposes
- Continue to improve formative and summative assessments by adding questions to reach higher depths of knowledge and include constructed response

### Sustaining
W.L. Swain Elementary will
- Continue to research and select effective screening, progress monitoring, and diagnostic tools to identify readiness levels of all students, including DIBELS Next and the Scholastic Reading Inventory
- Continue to provide consistent expectations across classrooms and teachers by identifying or developing common curriculum-based assessments (formal, informal, performance based)
- Continue to provide assessment measures that can help identify high achieving/advanced learners who would benefit from enrichment activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Action: Use universal screening and progress monitoring for formative assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Swain Elementary uses a full range of formative and summative assessments that are administered regularly and are used to guide classroom and intervention instruction. W.L. Swain teachers welcome the addition of a comprehension screener through the Scholastic Reading Inventory.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sustaining
W.L. Swain Elementary will
- Provide continued professional learning to staff who administer assessments to maintain use of standardized procedures and accurate data recording
- Acknowledge staff’s efforts to improve their use of assessment data to inform instruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Action: Use diagnostic assessment to analyze problems found in literacy screening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Swain Elementary teachers identify problems found in literacy screenings, and, in most cases, teachers follow up with diagnostic assessments that are used to guide placement and inform...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
instruction in intervention programs.

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Use student assessment data to assist students and teachers in setting learning goals and in monitoring progress toward those goals
- Use technology to share relevant student progress data with families in an easily interpreted format

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Recognize and celebrate individual student’s incremental improvements toward reaching literacy goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. Action: Use summative data to make programming decisions as well as to monitor individual student progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Swain teachers meet to analyze summative assessment results of individual students in order to make adjustments to instruction and to have a voice in programmatic decisions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Based on analysis of summative assessment data: evaluate the effectiveness of programs and policies, redefine school improvement goals, adjust curriculum alignment to eliminate gaps, ensure that students are appropriately placed in specific programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action 3.E.: Develop a clearly articulated strategy for using data to improve teaching and learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Swain Elementary follows a clearly articulated strategy for using data to improve teaching and learning, however additional resources are needed for differentiated instruction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will
- Use Striving Reader funds to purchase materials for differentiated instruction so that teachers do not have to share key resources

**Sustaining**

**W.L. Swain Elementary** will

- Continue to build collaborative data meetings into the school calendar
- Ensure that the data storage and retrieval system is effective and efficient

**Building Block 4. Best Practices in Literacy Instruction**

**A. Action: Provide direct, explicit literacy instruction for all students**

**W.L. Swain Elementary** does have a core program in use (Gordon County’s CCGPS Reading/ELA Units), but it does not provide a strong basis for direct, explicit instruction in all components of literacy instruction, particularly in phonological awareness, phonics and word study, and fluency. Adequate time is scheduled for daily literacy instruction (120 minutes or more in each grade level), plus time for intervention and teacher collaboration, but time needs to be identified and protected for disciplinary literacy in other content areas, and time needs to be consistently provided across the grades for students to independently apply and practice literacy skills and strategies that have been explicitly taught.

**Implementing**

**W.L. Swain Elementary** will

- Ensure a daily literacy block in K-2nd that includes whole-group explicit instruction in phonological awareness, word identification, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing as well as small group differentiation for all students and time for individualized conferencing/feedback.
- Ensure a daily literacy block in 3rd-5th that includes whole-group explicit instruction in word identification, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, writing, and content literacy as well as small groups for differentiation for all students and time for individualized
conferencing/feedback.

- Select supplemental materials to target gaps in the instructional core for all literacy teachers

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Review teacher and student data to improve instruction
- Share effective differentiated lessons and differentiation strategies in teacher team meetings

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Continue analyzing data to determine the impact of teaching strategies on student achievement
  - Stay abreast of current research and new findings related to the Fab Five components of reading instruction and differentiated instruction

**B. Action: Ensure that students receive effective writing instruction across the curriculum**

Currently at W.L. Swain Elementary, writing is explicitly and consistently taught by literacy teachers (reading and writing/ELA teachers) but not by all content teachers.

**Planning**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Develop a coordinated plan for writing instruction across the curriculum
- Provide professional learning on best practices in writing across the curriculum

**Implementing**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Partner with an outside source such as a local chapter of The National Writing Project for all teachers to receive professional development, workshops, and in-school demonstration (such as the Red Clay Writing Project or the Kennesaw Writing Project)
- Require writing as an integral part of every class every day
- Provide teachers with resources to provide a variety and choice in reading materials and
writing topics

- Ensure that teachers provide meaningful opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Monitor writing instruction across the curriculum through formal and informal observations, lesson plans, walkthroughs, student work samples
- Discuss exemplary samples with students to model features of quality writing
- Guide students to focus on their own improvement

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Discuss alternative instructional strategies or modifications that may be better suited to promoting student learning of the CCGPS (and for ELs, English language proficiency standards)
- Stay abreast of effective strategies for writing instruction across the content areas
- Expand opportunities for students to write, speak, and listen using both face-to-face and online options for listening, viewing and communicating through social media

C. Action: Teachers work to develop and maintain interest and engagement as students progress through school.

W.L. Swain Elementary teachers consistently strive to target student interest and engagement in all content areas and grade levels, K-5.

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Continue to administer Student Interest Surveys each year in grades K-5
- Continue to implement yearly Read All Day, Principal’s Book of the Month, and informational reading opportunities from a variety of media types
- Continue to vary instructional presentation methods and forms of media and provide students with choice within tasks and products
• Continue to implement engagement strategies to ensure that “every child” participates in reading, writing, speaking, and listening activities during whole group instruction (versus individual turn taking)
• Continue to implement and expand the use of Inquiry Circles and project-based learning strategies.
• Research and implement new strategies and methods to target student interest and engagement

### Building Block 5. System of Tiered Intervention (RTI) for All Students

**A. Action:** Use information developed from the school-based data teams to inform RTI process (see Section 3. E.)

W.L. Swain Elementary teachers at all grade levels regularly gather, analyze, and use data from formative assessments to ensure that all students are receiving instruction in appropriate tiers. Each grade level team has an SST chairperson, and all teachers in the grade level are involved in the SST process, as well as the Assist Principal, Literacy Coach, and Math Coach.

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

• Develop standardized protocols for the collection of critical information to determine students’ literacy competence in various content areas and response to interventions
• Provide ongoing professional development to make sure all teachers are trained on screening instruments and how to use formative assessment in order to maintain a current Data Notebook for use during grade level and school wide data meetings and collaborative planning sessions
• Research and provide ongoing professional development and target instructional strategies to add to W.L. Swain’s Tier 2 and 3 Strategy List in order to provide teachers with an ever-expanding repertoire of options for explicit instruction to ensure instruction is consistently effective

**Sustaining**
W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Continue to provide protected time for teachers to gather, analyze, and use formative assessment to ensure all students are receiving instruction in appropriate tiers that is effective
- Consider the options available through technology to provide ongoing, job-embedded support for data collection and analysis as well as for intervention, e.g., videotaping, videoconferencing, online collaboration

B. Action: Provide Tier I Instruction based upon the CCGPS in all grades to all students in all classrooms

W.L. Swain Elementary’s administrators and Leadership Team analyzes classroom data to determine the instructional areas and classrooms in greatest area of support.

Expanding
W.L. Swain Elementary will
Establish protocols to teach and monitor teachers’ effective questioning and feedback skills
- Monitor the planning, delivery and assessment for students with special learning needs (EL, SWD, gifted)

Sustaining
W.L. Swain Elementary will

- W.L. Swain Elementary will continue to carefully consider classroom formations across the grades and allocate appropriate personnel and support to classrooms with greatest need
- Ensure that communication between teachers and administrators is ongoing and effective

C. Action: Implement Tier 2 needs-based interventions for targeted students
W.L. Swain has a Data Team, consisting of the assist principal, literacy coach, math coach, grade level teachers, and ESS/ELL teachers that meets regularly to discuss student data and recommend Tier 2 and Tier 3 strategies. While Tier 2 and Tier 3 instruction is delivered by certified teachers during scheduled Needs-Based Groups, Focus times, and Intervention times. However, progress is not being made by all students.

**Planning**

W.L. Swain Elementary Literacy Leadership will

- Monitor the fidelity of intervention delivery and redeliver professional development as required
- Use Striving Reader funds to purchase additional resources so that teachers have the necessary materials to deliver tiered instruction

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Research scheduling options in order to maximize allocated time and teachers for Needs-Based Group instruction and Focus groups and decrease the number of students in groups with greatest needs
- Monitor student movement between T1 and T2
- Research and provide ongoing professional development and target instructional strategies to add to W.L. Swain’s Tiered Strategy List in order to provide teachers with an ever-expanding repertoire of options for explicit Tier 2 instruction to ensure instruction is consistently effective
- Study schools successful in closing the achievement gap have effected change

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will
• Document data points to monitor student response to intervention  
• Use technology to track and endure the movement of students between T1 and T2 based on response to interventions

D. Action: In Tier 3, ensure that Student Support Team (SST) and Data Team monitor progress jointly

Interventions in Tier 3 at W.L. Swain Elementary are provided by certified teachers with fidelity, but groups are not yet on a 1:1 – 1:3 basis, and progress is not being made by all students.

**Planning**

W.L. Swain Elementary Literacy Leadership will

• Monitor the fidelity of intervention delivery and redeliver professional development as required  
• Use Striving Reader funds to purchase additional resources so that teachers have the necessary materials to deliver tiered instruction

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

• Research innovative scheduling and personnel assignment options in order to reduce the student-teacher ratio in Tier 3 groups  
• Data points are documented to monitor student response to intervention and aligned with strategies taught

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will
- Continue to ensure that students move into and out of T2 and T3 flexibly and that data is used to support response to intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E. Action: Implement Tier 4</th>
<th>specially-designed learning through specialized programs, methodologies or instructional based upon students’ inability to access the CCGPS any other way</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Swain Elementary</td>
<td>ensures that the most highly qualified teachers provide Tier 4 instruction, SpEd, ESOL, and gifted, and these teachers participate in professional learning in order to maintain strict alignment with CCGPS. However, all students are not making adequate progress. Additional resources are needed for instruction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Planning**

W.L. Swain Elementary Literacy Leadership will

- Monitor the fidelity of instructional delivery and redeliver professional development as required
- Use Striving Reader funds to purchase additional resources so that teachers have the necessary materials to deliver tiered instruction

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Ensure that teachers providing Tier 4 instruction attend professional learning in literacy and have collaboration time with grade level teachers
- Provide Tier 4 teachers with leadership opportunities in which to provide professional development on specialized programs and strategies to the faculty

**Sustaining**

W.L. Swain Elementary will
A system of checks and balances ensures fidelity of implementation and progress of student subgroups at a rate commensurate with typical peers indicative of closing the present gap in performance.

Building Block 6. Improved Instruction through Professional Learning

A. Action: Ensure that pre-service education prepares new teachers for all the challenges of the classroom

School administrators at W.L. Swain Elementary have begun to include questions about whether potential hires have received coursework in disciplinary literacy in the content area in their preservice training.

Expanding

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Develop protocols for evaluating implementation of the new coursework
- Ensure that mentoring teachers are fully trained in providing instruction in disciplinary literacy

Sustaining

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Continue to use the modules from Comprehensive Reading Solutions during whole group, small group, and individual professional learning sessions
- Continue to monitor and support the integration of disciplinary literacy
- Provide building and system-level administrators with professional learning on the need to integrate disciplinary literacy instruction into the content areas in order to help them make informed hiring decisions
B. Action: Provide professional learning for in-service personnel

W.L. Swain Elementary instructors (certified and noncertified) participate in professional learning on aspects of literacy instruction.

**Expanding**

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Revisit and revise professional learning yearly based on student mastery of CCGPS and classroom observations
- Expand professional learning opportunities beyond those provided by in house personnel by contracting with specialists who present strategies that are designed to overcome the effects of poverty on literacy (such as the University of Georgia’s CLASSroom Project, The Red Clay Project)
- Encourage all teachers to share information learned at professional learning sessions; develop teacher-leaders through continued use of Teacher-Led Professional Development breakout sessions on two yearly scheduled PL calendar days during the school year
- Expand professional learning opportunities through greater opportunities for teachers to attend literacy conferences (such as GA TESOL, IRA, GADOE Summer Institutes, etc) and participate in professional book studies (such as *The Reading Turn Around*)
- Subscribe to professional journals (*The Reading Teacher, Language Arts, etc*)
- Use a model of blended professional learning – combining online learning with face-to-face support – to provide content and resources to teachers and staff
- Use formal and informal observations to monitor and improve literacy instruction
- Use classroom observations to identify and support individual teachers with follow-up coaching, conferencing, and mentoring
- Continue program-specific professional learning each year for new and experienced teachers
- Protect time for administrators so they are able to attend professional learning in all aspects of literacy instruction
- Provide professional development on strategies to support literacy through the use of
Sustaining

W.L. Swain Elementary will

- Analyze student data to evaluate effectiveness of current professional learning on student mastery of CCGPS in all subgroups
- Revisit professional learning options to utilize experts within the school to develop and support colleagues
- Ensure that new personnel receive vital professional learning from earlier years
- Continue to encourage “professional talk” among staff and provide time for discussions
### Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data

#### READING - GRADES 3-5 - CRCT Summary Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011-2012</th>
<th>2012-2013</th>
<th>2013-2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Exceeds</td>
<td>Meets &amp; Exceeds</td>
<td>Exceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 3rd Grade Students</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 4th Grade Students</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 5th Grade Students</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>91.1%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender - Male</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>91.3%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender - Female</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>2013-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meets &amp; Exceeds</td>
<td>Meets &amp; Exceeds</td>
<td>Meets &amp; Exceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 3rd Grade Students</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 4th Grade Students</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>87.9%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 5th Grade Students</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>94.6%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender - Male</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender - Female</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRCT Assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd CRCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th CRCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th CRCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2012 Social Studies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>DNM</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>DNM</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>DNM</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd CRCT</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th CRCT</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th CRCT</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2012 Science
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>DNM</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>DNM</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>DNM</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd CRCT</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th CRCT</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th CRCT</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5th Grade Writing Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does Not Meet</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets/Exceeds</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Year</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>Did Not Meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ELL</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ELL</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ELL</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ELL</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>ELL</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ELL</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CRCT Results by ED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>ED</th>
<th>Did Not Meet</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Exceeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
<td>60.3 %</td>
<td>24.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ED</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
<td>59.6 %</td>
<td>25.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
<td>60.0 %</td>
<td>24.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>27.0 %</td>
<td>37.6 %</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ED</td>
<td>23.6 %</td>
<td>39.3 %</td>
<td>37.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>25.7 %</td>
<td>38.3 %</td>
<td>36.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
<td>61.7 %</td>
<td>29.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ED</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
<td>56.2 %</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
<td>59.6 %</td>
<td>30.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>29.9 %</td>
<td>42.4 %</td>
<td>27.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ED</td>
<td>26.7 %</td>
<td>37.8 %</td>
<td>35.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>28.6 %</td>
<td>40.6 %</td>
<td>30.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>27.1 %</td>
<td>54.2 %</td>
<td>18.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ED</td>
<td>29.5 %</td>
<td>47.7 %</td>
<td>22.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>28.0 %</td>
<td>51.7 %</td>
<td>20.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**CRCT Results by SWD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>SWD</th>
<th>Did Not Meet</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Exceeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Non-SWD</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Non-SWD</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Non-SWD</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Non-SWD</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>Non-SWD</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**SWD Formative and Summative Data 2012-2013 ELA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>12 Week Formative Periodic Assessment</th>
<th>24 Week Formative Periodic Assessment</th>
<th>Periodic /CRCT Summative Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DNM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SWD Formative and Summative Data 2012-2013 Reading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>12 Week Formative Periodic Assessment</th>
<th>24 Week Formative Periodic Assessment</th>
<th>Periodic /CRCT Summative Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DN M</td>
<td>DN M</td>
<td>DN M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>0% 100%</td>
<td>0% 100%</td>
<td>40% 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>67% 33%</td>
<td>67% 33%</td>
<td>86% 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>78% 22%</td>
<td>89% 11%</td>
<td>55% 45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>57% 43%</td>
<td>46% 54%</td>
<td>33% 67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>38% 62%</td>
<td>62% 38%</td>
<td>50% 50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SWD Formative and Summative Data 2012-2013 Math

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>12 Week Formative Periodic Assessment</th>
<th>24 Week Formative Periodic Assessment</th>
<th>Periodic /CRCT Summative Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DN M</td>
<td>DN M</td>
<td>DN M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>0% 100%</td>
<td>0% 100%</td>
<td>20% 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>100% 0%</td>
<td>67% 33%</td>
<td>71% 29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>78% 22%</td>
<td>67% 33%</td>
<td>57% 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>79% 21%</td>
<td>86% 14%</td>
<td>25% 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>50% 50%</td>
<td>82% 18%</td>
<td>50% 50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## W.L. Swain Elementary: Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Area</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Language** |  - The majority of the students in 4th grade have met or exceeded on the CRCT over the past three years.  
  - The 5th grade students exceeding on the CRCT has decreased over the past three years. |  - The 3rd grade students who did not meet on the CRCT have increased over the past three years. |
| **Reading**  |  - The majority of the students in the 4th and 5th grade have met or exceeded on the CRCT over the past three years.  
  - The 3rd grade students who did not meet on the CRCT have increased over the past three years. |  - The 3rd & 5th grade students who did not meet on the CRCT have increased over the past three years. |
| **Math**     |  - The 5th grade students who did not meet on the CRCT have decreased over the past three years.  
  - 3rd, 4th & 5th grade students who did not meet on the CRCT has increased in the past two years. |  - The 3rd grade students who did not meet or exceeded on the CRCT decreased over the past three years.  
  - The 3rd grade students who did not meet on the CRCT increased over the past three years. |
| **Social Studies** |  - The 5th grade students meeting and exceeding on the CRCT have increased over the past three years.  
  - The 5th grade students who did not meet on the CRCT have decreased over the past three years. |  - 3rd, 4th & 5th grade students who did not meet on the CRCT has increased in the past two years. |
| **Science**  |  - The 5th grade students exceeding on the CRCT have increased over the past three years.  
  - The 3rd grade students who met or exceeded on the CRCT decreased over the past three years.  
  - The 3rd grade students who did not meet on the CRCT increased over the past three years. |  - The 5th grade students who did not meet on the CRCT increased over the past three years. |
| **Writing**  |  - Teachers are receiving professional development on writing and a writing program is being implemented. |  - The 5th grade students who did not meet on the CRCT increased over the past three years. |
W.L. Swain has 39 highly-certified teachers on staff. Almost 60% have earned graduate degrees, as well as several with endorsements and add-ons. Faculty demographics are below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration and Instructional Coaches</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-K Teachers and Paras</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten Teachers and Paras</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Grade</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Grade</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Grade</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Grade</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth Grade</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESS, ELL, Gifted</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Areas: Counselor, Media, PE, Music, Technologist</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>41% Bachelor Degrees</th>
<th>20% Master’s Degrees</th>
<th>37% Specialist Degrees</th>
<th>2% Doctorial Degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

W.L. Swain has a stable teaching staff. The average number of “years teaching at Swain” for the 41 faculty members charted above is 9.2 years. Notable is certified teachers’ level of experience: the average number of years in education, teaching at Swain as well as other schools, is 12 years. Swain's teaching staff retention rate has been anywhere from 71.9% - 89.8% for the past ten years. (see chart below).
Gordon County Schools has developed 12 and 24 week Periodic Assessments for grades 1-5 in Reading, ELA, and Math. These are used for formative purposes and teachers have access to disaggregated data online through Performance Matters. This school year, the Reading assessment included constructed response items for grades 2-5. A “meets/exceeds” score on a two point constructed response item is 2, and a score of 3 or 4 is “meets” or “exceeds” on a four-
point constructed response. Swain’s performance reveals that very low percentages of students meeting/exceeding with constructed response.

At Swain, the current universal screener is AIMSweb. It is utilized in the fall, winter, and spring of each school year to screen for reading difficulties in K-5. If deficit areas are determined based on the results, appropriate diagnostic assessments are administered that go down the levels of the cognitive model to determine potential gaps, such as in phonics and phonological awareness. Interventions and progress monitoring are then provided. The teachers are documenting instructional steps taken in needs-based lesson plans to reflect fluency and/or phonics instruction, and then documenting the results of progress monitoring every two weeks.
Goals and Objectives Based on Formative and Summative Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1: Provide students with appropriate tiered interventions that will remediate or accelerate based on the main areas of the cognitive reading model through formative and summative assessments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Maintain and expand protocol for consistent and balanced use of formative and summative data to clearly plan ways to effectively implement the four tiers of Response to Invention (RTI) for all students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Address gaps in W.L. Swain’s instructional Tier 1 “core” literacy program, as well as materials and strategies to supplement Tier 2, 3, and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Use data to assist students and teachers in setting learning goals with students, designed to build independent readers and writers, and in monitoring progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Design and implement professional development that impacts student learning through differentiation, RTI, the cognitive model, and literacy across the curriculum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professional Learning

The teachers at W.L. Swain Elementary School participate in a variety of professional learning opportunities both on campus and off campus when possible. The principal, assistant principal, the literacy coach, the math coach, counselor, ELL teacher, and media specialist have all provided professional learning opportunities for the staff. These opportunities include ethics, mandated reporting, differentiation in the classroom, visual cues for ELL students, media resources, RTI process, data collection and analysis, writing conferencing, and many other topics. The teachers at Swain have traveled as far as Texas and Kentucky to attend professional learning. The staff at Swain is very eager to learn from each other and learn how to better serve the students in the school and community.
**Project Plan-Procedures, Goals, Objectives and Support**

The same types of methods and instruments used to collect data throughout the Needs Assessment process will be re-administered yearly during the project’s timeline. Data will be disaggregated to measure if improvement and growth have been made in the identified areas of concern that served as the basis for forming project goals. Guskey’s (2002) methods of evaluating the effectiveness of professional learning will also be used, as well as student achievement data particularly pertaining to the Student Growth Model and closing the Achievement Gap, to measure if goals have been met.

**Need:** W.L. Swain Elementary lacks access to instructional materials and protocols designed to meet the needs of all students.

**Goal 1: Provide students with appropriate tiered interventions that will remediate or accelerate based on the main areas of the cognitive reading model through formative and summative assessments.**

**Objectives:**

- Maintain and expand protocol for consistent and balanced use of formative and summative data to clearly plan ways to effectively implement the four tiers of Response to Invention (RTI) for all students
- Address gaps in W.L. Swain’s instructional Tier 1 “core” literacy program, as well as materials and strategies to supplement Tier 2, 3, and 4
- Use data to assist students and teachers in setting learning goals with students, designed to build independent readers and writers, and in monitoring progress
- Design and implement professional development that impacts student learning through differentiation, RTI, the cognitive model, and literacy across the curriculum
**Alignment to Researched-Based Practices:**

“Explicit and systematic instruction in the five essential components must be provided” (“Why” document, p. 65).

W.L. Swain has the “responsibility of implementing scientifically validated intervention methods that efficiently and effectively offer students opportunities to be successful” (“Why,” p. 123).

**Need:** W.L. Swain Elementary has a shortage of current technological resources that prevents students from gaining skills necessary to truly excel globally in the 21st century.

**Goal 2:** Technology will be relevant to students and ensure that they develop the literacy skills necessary to excel globally in the 21st century.

**Objectives:**

- Guarantee that teachers and students have access to current and sufficient technological resources which support literacy standards across the grade levels and content areas
- Ensure that teachers and students understand that skills for 21st century success go beyond competence with “electronic” technologies and also include competence in areas such as information acquisition, problem-solving skills, collaboration skills, and communication skills
- Strengthen technology integration within the classrooms, computer labs, and media center with:
  - Interactive media tools (electronic tablets, e-readers, electronic response systems, digital video and communication/collaboration equipment)
  - Interactive software and online resources

**Alignment to Researched-Based Practices:**

“Reliable research supports the integration of technology in reading, English language arts, mathematics, social studies, science, fine arts, CTAE, health, and physical education” (“Why” document, p. 56).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need:</th>
<th>W.L. Swain Elementary lacks the broad literacy leadership framework required to meet the needs of all students within the school’s changing demographics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3: Establish a school literacy leadership framework to ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum for all students.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o The W.L. Swain Literacy Team will share responsibility in presenting professional development and ensuring it is carried through to classroom practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Professional development and collaboration will target the specialized literacy needs of low-socioeconomic students and students in crisis, including the gradual release of responsibility for learning from teacher to student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Literacy leaders throughout the faculty will work to advocate family literacy, with special attention to the needs of struggling students, transient students, homeless students, and English Language Learners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alignment to Researched-Based Practices:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The “Why” document states that instruction in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language be a shared responsibility within the school.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Need:</strong></td>
<td>W.L. Swain Elementary lacks effective disciplinary literacy across the curriculum to meet the needs of all students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 4: Students will receive effective interdisciplinary literacy instruction across the curriculum.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Attain an understanding of the shared responsibility of literacy goals to be mastered in kindergarten through fifth grades</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Incorporate materials in classroom libraries and the media center that will allow students to master CCGPS pertaining to literacy, including literacy in the content areas
- Offer professional learning to teachers that will impact student articulation of thinking and learning (writing, speaking) as reflected throughout CCGPS in all grades and content areas, which will include adopting a plan for writing instruction across the curriculum.

**Alignment to Researched-Based Practices:**

“The implementation of strong writing programs is crucial to a literacy initiative” (“Why” document, p. 45).

**Practices in Place When Determining Goals/Objectives:**

- **Goal 1:** A supplemental phonemic awareness and phonics program is being piloted in grades K-2 to supplement core deficits. Data teams analyze student data and current interventions.
- **Goal 2:** Computer labs are being used to implement cross disciplinary research and projects, as well as the use of Study Island in grades 3-5.
- **Goal 3:** Professional learning is being planned by the SLT to support all grades and content areas in implementing best literacy practices.
- **Goal 4:** All students have writing portfolios where samples from each genre are collected from the literacy block, and 1st-5th grade students are beginning to use Interactive Notebooks in the content areas.

**Goals to be Funded with Other Sources:**

W.L. Swain Elementary and Gordon County Schools will

- continue to fund educational websites and magazine subscriptions through other sources
- continue to provide a framework for professional development through a school literacy coach, funded by Title 1 funds and/or other sources
- continue to provide assistance and support with technology through the system technology team
- continue to provide system-wide opportunities for professional development and
collaboration through the system’s Literacy Leadership Team, using Title funds and/or other sources

- Continue to systematically administer 12 and 24 week formative benchmarks utilizing Title funds and/or other sources

**Formative and Summative Measuring of Project Goals/Objectives:**

Along with the yearly plan to monitor progress toward meeting project goals and objectives mentioned above, the SLT will also meet monthly to determine progress toward goals and objectives in a more informal, yet ongoing, basis. Screening, diagnostic, and formative data from teacher’s Data Notebooks, surveys and questionnaires to faculty and students, classroom observations and instructional artifacts, student work samples, and feedback during parent conferences will be used. Teachers use a variety of formative and summative measures and will continue to do so to measure student achievement due to the goals and objectives set forth in the project plan.

**Tiered Instruction:**

W.L. Swain’s schedule allows for a minimum 120 minute literacy block which incorporates tiered instruction. Students that are identified as exhibiting deficits will receive needs-based instruction and placed in the appropriate tier in the RTI model. Tiered instruction will be provided by certified teachers, ELL, Gifted, and ESS teachers, and paraprofessionals.

**RTI Model:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identified students receive small group instruction, as needed, during the reading block.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tier III**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Identified students receive intensive small group instruction for three 45-minute segments.</td>
<td>• Certified teachers</td>
<td>• Provide intensive interventions in smaller group sizes in substantial blocks of time with targeted instructional materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tier IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Students receive inclusion or pull-out services based on needs: Gifted, EL, and ESS.</td>
<td>o ELL, ESS, and Gifted Teachers</td>
<td>▪ Specialized programs, methodologies and instructional deliveries will be provided</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Sample Schedules Indicating Tiered Instruction:

### K-2:

- 7:45-8:45 Math Workshop
- 8:45-9:30 Whole Group Reading (CCGPS units, S/SS read alouds)
- 9:30-10:15 Writer’s Workshop
- 10:15-11:15 Needs-Based Groups
  - ▪ Differentiated Interventions for Tiers I, II, III, IV
  - ▪ Intervention strategies from Walpole/McKenna, Lane, O’Connor, etc; materials include Walpole/McKenna Combo Kits, FCRR, Reading A-Z, guided reading sets
- 12:15-1:00 Specials, with *Tier III inventions 3 days per week
- 1:00-2:20 Skills Block/Focus (additional reading/math Tier 1 and/or Tier 2, additional science/social studies)
*Tier III interventions provided by certified teacher (Road to the Code, Read Naturally GATE): 10:45-11:30 kindergarten, 12:15-1:00 first, 8:15-9:00 second

- **3rd-5th**
  - 7:45-8:15 Focus (additional reading/math Tier 1 and/or Tier 2)
  - 8:15-9:20 Block I (Reading)
  - 9:20-10:25 Block II (Writer’s Workshop)
  - 10:25-12:15 Block III (Math), with Lunch
  - 12:15-2:20 Block IV (Science/Social Studies), with Specials, with *Tier III interventions 3 days per week

  - Reading Block: Three days per week, reading teacher teaches from the CCGPS unit and provides differentiation. Two days per week, reading teacher facilitates independent practice, literature circles, and Tier II interventions. Intervention strategies: Walpole/McKenna, O’Connor, Rasinski, Archer, etc; Intervention materials: Walpole’s multisyllabic lessons with guided reading, Read Naturally, Quick Reads, ReadWorks, Reading A-Z, FCRR, Shell Leveled Readers, etc.

*Tier III interventions provided by certified teacher (SRA Decoding): 9:00-9:45 third, 10:00-10:45 fourth, 1:00-1:45 fifth
W.L. Swain teachers, including all content areas and grade levels, compile and maintain detailed Data Notebooks. Formative data from system periodic assessments, AIMS screeners and progress monitoring, informal inventories, and classroom assessments are routinely used to drive Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3, and Tier 4 instruction. Teachers use data during weekly grade level collaborative planning and SST meetings, during quarterly ½ day grade level data collaboration sessions, and during regular meetings with the literacy coach, principal, and assist-principal.

The principal, assist-principal, and literacy coach regularly check Data Notebooks to monitor if individual students are trackable in the Data Notebook, wherein a clearly aligned progression can be traced from a student’s data point of concern, to documented research-based instruction that is paired to the deficit, and to progress monitoring. For instance, a student who displays gaps in the phonological continuum on the Phonological Screener in the Data Notebook must be provided with phonological instruction that targets those gaps. Targeted instruction must be evident on the lesson plans used with the student’s needs-based reading group (needs-based/guided reading plans are archived in the Data Notebook). The same process applies for student data points indicative of phonics, fluency, and comprehension deficits. Content area teachers follow the same process using class work, quizzes and tests, writing responses, and anecdotal notes as the basis for providing needs-based instruction.

Teachers keep anecdotal notes in the Data Notebook for individual reading and writing conferences, and Fountas and Pinnell’s reading levels are tracked individually, as are student writing levels using the Lucy Calkins Writing Progressions.
The following list displays a sample Table of Contents from a 2nd grade Data Notebook:

Reading Section

Tab 1  SLO Quick Phonics Survey Scores  
Tab 2  AIMS BM/PM Data  
Tab 3  Sight Word Inventory  
Tab 4  Phonological Awareness Data  
Tab 5  Leveled Library and Conferencing Records  
Tab 6  Cold Reading Comprehension Data  
Tab 7  Reading Periodic Assessment Data  
Tab 8  Reading Interests Surveys  
Tab 9  Take-Home Fluency Students/Levels  
Tab 10  Archive: Reading Small Group and/or Flex Plans

Writer’s Workshop Section

Tab 11  Baseline Writing  
Tab 12  ELA Periodic Assessment Data (Performance Matters)  
Tab 13  Weekly Class Profile/Conferencing Notes

All Subjects

Tab 18  EOY Data from 1st Grade (Student Information Sheets or PM Class Data)  
Tab 19  Archive: Focus Groups/Plans  
Tab 20  Additional Information: ELL/ESS/REACH/Tier 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Grade Level; Personnel Performing</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Skills</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIMSweb</td>
<td>K-5; Classroom teachers and instructional coaches</td>
<td>Screening and Progress Monitoring</td>
<td>Phonemic Awareness, Phonics, Fluency, Math</td>
<td>Benchmark three times a year/ Bi-Weekly Progress Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal Phonics Inventory Part I and II</td>
<td>Part I: K-3 Part II: 3-5; Classroom teachers, paras, and literacy coach</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Progress Monitoring</td>
<td>Phonics</td>
<td>3 times per year in K-2 and with students under target in oral reading fluency in 3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided Reading Leveling</td>
<td>K-5; Classroom teachers and literacy coach</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Progress Monitoring</td>
<td>Independent Reading Level</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Reading Comprehension Assessments</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;-5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;; Classroom teachers</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Progress Monitoring</td>
<td>Comprehension</td>
<td>2 times per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sight Word Inventory</td>
<td>K-3; Classroom teachers and paras</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Progress Monitoring</td>
<td>Sight Word Fluency</td>
<td>3 Times per year and Progress Monitoring as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Teacher(s)</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Assessment Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GKIDS</td>
<td>K;</td>
<td>Classroom teachers</td>
<td>ELA, Reading, Math, Social and Emotional Behavior</td>
<td>Four times per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonological Awareness Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Students under target in PSF and NWF in grades K-2; Classroom teachers, paras, and literacy coach</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Progress Monitoring</td>
<td>Phonological Awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic Assessments (system benchmarks)</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;-5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Classroom teachers and instructional coaches</td>
<td>Formative</td>
<td>Reading, ELA, Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA Milestones</td>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;-5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Certified teachers and instructional coaches</td>
<td>Achievement/Summative</td>
<td>ELA, Reading, Math, Science and SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIDA/ACCESS</td>
<td>K-5 ELL;</td>
<td>ELL teacher and instructional coaches</td>
<td>ELL Placement/Screening</td>
<td>Language</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CoGAT, ITBS, Torrance, and Hawthorne Assessments | K-5 Gifted; Gifted teacher | Placement | Gifted | Once annually
---|---|---|---|---
Student Learning Objective (SLO) Assessments | K-5 Certified Teachers | Achievement Growth | Reading/ELA, Math, Specials Areas | 2 x per year

**Comparison of the Current Assessment Protocol with SRCL Assessment Plan**

W.L. Swain Elementary School’s assessment protocol mostly aligns with the Striving Reader grant’s protocols. To reach total alignment, W.L. Swain will administer DIBELS Next in place of AIMSweb, and the Scholastic Reading Inventory will be added to assess reading comprehension. Only AIMSweb literacy assessments will be discontinued.

**How the New Assessments will be Implemented into the Current Assessment Schedule**

Professional development will be provided for teachers on protocols for using the new assessments and how to disaggregate data to improve instruction. Data from these assessments will be integrated into teachers’ Data Notebooks. Teacher feedback has been that they are confident the new assessments will seamlessly stream into their current assessment schedule and protocols with ease. Many teachers have commented that they prefer DIBELS over AIMSweb, and they welcome an additional source of data for comprehension through the Scholastic Reading Inventory. Professional development on the new assessments will help teachers address individual student needs and to drive their instruction through the data. Teachers administering DIBELS Next and Scholastic Reading Inventory will receive specific training on
those new assessments, including the purpose, frequency, documentation, and analysis of data to inform instruction.

**Who Will Perform Assessments and How It Will Be Accomplished**

The SLT will develop a testing plan with a schedule that details when, who, and how the assessments will be administered. This includes dates, directions, time allotments, environment, and accommodations. Assessments will be administered by teams of certified personnel including classroom, ESS, and ELL teachers. DIBELS Next and the SRI will be administered through a school wide assessment team.

**Description of How Data Will be Used to Develop Instructional Strategies, as well as Determine Materials and Need**

Teachers use data to design weekly small group needs-based reading plans, and data is analyzed during monthly grade level meetings, faculty meetings, and ½ day Data Meetings. Online data resources are used such as SLDS and Performance Matters, in addition to the data kept in teachers’ Data Notebooks. Assessment data is utilized for targeted instruction for whole group, small group, and individualized instruction. Formative data is gathered on an on-going basis to make adjustments to whole group and small group instruction in regards to strategies, resources, and materials used to support individualized literacy growth and teach the content area standards. Informal assessments and diagnostic testing will continue to pinpoint the specific need(s) of struggling readers. The reading interventions provided will be based on need, not by grade level. Reading interventions will be monitored for effectiveness on an on-going basis.
Students and teachers will be active participants in goal setting and monitoring progression of those goals. Summative data will be analyzed to re-evaluate school improvement goals and professional development needs.

**How Data is Presented to Parents and Stakeholders**

Data will continue to be presented to parents and stakeholders at school council meetings throughout the year. Data is shared during PTA meetings as well as regularly scheduled parent-teacher conferences. Assessment data is incorporated in the report cards sent to K-5 parents in 4½ week increments. Parents have up-to-date access to many forms of individual student data through parent logins to Infinite Campus. W.L. Swain Elementary School also provides a link on the school website for parents and stakeholders to view data. W.L. Swain’s Leader of Leader team conducts a summer “Data Retreat” that is held when summative data is received, and data is shared with parents and stakeholders by the principal at a yearly “State of the School” presentation on campus.
**Resources, Strategies, and Materials Including Technology to Support the Literacy Plan**

W.L. Swain Elementary currently adheres to strategies and programs that reflect best practices. Swain is fortunate to still have many Reading First resources (although many print resources are deteriorating), as well as books for CCGPS unit implementation purchased with county funds, and a literacy and a math coach funded by Title 1. Materials and programs purchased and implemented with Striving Reader Grant funds will also support best practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources Needed to Implement the Literacy Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Classroom Resources Needed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials to support Tier 2 intervention, updated classroom libraries (literary and informational in various genres, reading levels, and formats, in topics to support CCGPS and student interest, including high interest/low readability), class sets of chapter books and informational books/materials to support CCGPS, interactive media tools (electronic tablets, e-readers, electronic response systems, digital video equipment to support online collaboration and distance learning, computers, interactive software, interactive slates, audio equipment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shared Resources Needed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional development materials, resources for writing in the content areas, small group sets of electronic tablets for checkout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library Resources Needed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated titles to support CCGPS (fiction/non-fiction, various genres, books covering relevant topics and topics of student interest)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activities that Support Literacy Intervention Programs:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• informal and diagnostic assessments, differentiated instruction, explicit and systematic research-based strategies, flexible grouping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 45 minutes of small group intervention 3 x per week, additional screenings and progress monitoring, individualized instructional reading programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Shared Resources Available</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• One Pre-K computer lab, one K-2 computer lab, 1 3rd-5th computer lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Media Center and Resource Room Shared Materials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Approximately 13,000 library books for student check-out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Digital and audio/video materials (1 digital camera, 1 digital video camera, listening...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stations, some student response devices for checkout)

- Approximately 7000 shared resources such as reference books and leveled book sets for small group and guided reading
- Quick Reads, Read Naturally, and Reader’s Theater materials
- Comprehension Toolkits, K-2 and 3-5 and Lucy Calkins Units of Study (teachers share—not enough for every teacher)
- Practice Materials for literacy stations, including printed materials from FCRR, and games and manipulatives from Lakeshore and Schoolbox
- Road to the Code, Read Naturally GATE, SRA Decoding for Tier 3 Intervention (not enough sets—teachers share and rotate the materials)

Activities That Support Classroom Practice

- CCGPS-driven instruction, instructional technology programs, graphic organizers and anchor charts, exemplars of student work, instructional strategies, essential questions, student-teacher conferencing, workshop learning environment, collaborative feedback, student goal setting and feedback, progress monitoring, formative assessment, student collaboration and inquiry

Current Classroom Resources

- 3-4 student computers per classroom, one teacher computer, one projector
- Most classrooms with working document camera
- Gordon County Schools CCGPS Units and Extended Texts
- K-2 Imagine-It Phonics Kits (one classroom per grade level K-2)
- Leveled Libraries in all K-2nd classrooms and in 3-5th grade reading classrooms; about 300 texts, half literary and half informational (purchased with Reading First funds)
- Reading First Combo boxes
- CRCT and Common Core Coach Books
- 1-2 Lucy Calkins Units per grade level
- Activeboards

Clear Alignment Plan for SRCL and All Other Funding

- March- April 2015 Performance plans and budget timelines due; wait for approval
- April-August 2015 Plan and begin monthly professional learning to support new screeners,
resources, strategies, and materials

**May-June 2015** Place orders for start-up literacy, technology, and professional development resources/materials

**August-September 2015** Process and distribute new resources, materials, and technologies

**January 2016** Conduct Mid Year review

**January-May 2016** Continue Literacy Plan implementation

**March-May 2016** Budget, plan, and place orders for Year 2 SRCL implementation

### Strategies, Materials, and Instructional Resources that Will be Used or Purchased as a Result of SRCL Funding

The same methods and instruments used to collect data throughout the Needs Assessment process will be re-administered and disaggregated to measure if goals have been met. Guskey’s (2002) methods of evaluating the effectiveness of professional learning will also be used, as well as student achievement data particularly pertaining to the Student Growth Model and closing the Achievement Gap.

**Goal 1:** W.L. Swain Elementary will provide students with appropriate tiered interventions that will remediate or accelerate based on the five areas of the cognitive reading model through formative and summative assessments.

#### Strategies, Materials, and Instructional Resources to Meet Goal 1:

- Funding for research-based materials to fill gaps in “core” Tier 1 literacy instruction and to broaden and enhance instruction within Tiers 2, 3, and 4
- explicit and sequential Tier 1 phonics instruction in grade K-2
- explicit and sequential Tier 1 word recognition/multisyllabic word study in grade 3-5
- explicit and sequential Tier 1 vocabulary resources, materials, and strategies for grades K-5
- explicit and sequential materials for all areas of the Fab Five to be used in Tiers 2, 3, and 4 instruction, K-5, to expand the current list of strategies and resources being used in effort to meet the needs of 100% of our students
- specialized resources and strategies for English Language Learners
- professional development, taking into consideration the costs of outside consultants, supplies, workshops and conferences, SRCL trainings, travels, professional books for
book studies, and substitutes

- supplies and collaboration time needed to support instructional planning and the design of formative and summative assessments to reach higher DOK levels
- professional development to ensure seamless integration of new screeners, to include DIBELS Next and the Scholastic Reading Inventory

**Goal 2:** Technology at W.L. Swain Elementary will be relevant to students and ensure that they develop the latest skills necessary to excel globally in the 21st century.

**Strategies, Materials, and Instructional Resources to Meet Goal 2:**

- Computer, electronic, and digital equipment to support student literacy (reading, writing, speaking, listening) and 21st century performance skills such as information acquisition, collaboration, problem-solving, and communication
- Student access to online literacy resources
- Classroom libraries and other print media and resources that will complement CCGPS literacy across the grades and content areas, as well as student interest and choice
- Professional development pertaining to 21st century technologies and best instructional practices for both student and teacher usage, taking into consideration supplies, professional books for book studies, and substitutes

**Goal 3:** W.L. Swain Elementary will establish a school literacy leadership framework to ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum for all students.

**Strategies, Materials, and Instructional Resources to Meet Goal 3:**

- Specialized professional development and professional books that address the need of low-socioeconomic students and students in crisis, particularly those involving the gradual release of responsibility from teacher to reduce scaffolding in effort to foster independent and successful readers and writers
- Professional development, taking into consideration the costs of SRCL trainings and other professional workshops and conferences, travel, guest speakers, and supplies
- Collaboration, through face-to-face and online communications, between W.L. Swain teachers and effective teachers in the field with similar student populations
- Print materials and resources to support family involvement literacy, including those for transient, homeless, and English Language Learners

**Goal 4:** W.L. Swain Elementary students will receive effective interdisciplinary literacy
Instruction across the curriculum.

**Strategies, Materials, and Instructional Resources to Meet Goal 4:**

- Print resources, within a variety of informational content area text and Lexile levels, to support interdisciplinary reading across the grades
- Strategies and resources to support a K-5 framework for interdisciplinary writing and publishing/sharing of student writing in order to make writing relevant and meaningful to students
- Professional development, taking into consideration the costs of SRCL trainings and other professional workshops and conferences, travel, guest speakers, supplies, professional books for book studies, collaboration, and substitutes
W.L. Swain Elementary School participated in the Reading First Initiative, which heavily relied on professional learning communities and ongoing professional development based on data, documented student and teacher need, and research-based strategies. Swain continues to follow the framework of professional development and instructional support provided through the coaching model. The Swain Literacy Team has recently been formed to expand the professional development framework to incorporate additional literacy leaders. The table below lists examples of professional development that has been provided at Swain in recent years. Teachers who miss a professional development session must watch a videoed session or receive redelivery from the literacy coach or a colleague.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three Days System Grade Level Collaboration Each School Year</td>
<td>100% Swain reading, language, math, ESS, and ELL teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½ Day “Working on the Work” Data Collaboration Days at W.L. Swain with Literacy and Math Coach, 3 times per year</td>
<td>100% Swain academic teachers K-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-based Faculty Meetings, provided by Literacy Coach and attended by all K-2 teachers, all Reading/ELA and Science/Social Students teachers 3-5, all ESS, ELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comprehension Strategies/Comprehension Toolkit, Tracking Thinking with Text Coding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schema and Visualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lucy Calkins writing resources, Jeff Anderson’s Integrating Grammar with Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Independent Reading: Leveling and Conferencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interactive Think Alouds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Differentiation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Young Georgia Authors
- Notice and Note Signposts
- Igniting a Passion for Reading
- Integrating Writing Across the Content Areas
- Using Cognates with ELLS
- Lucy Calkins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explicit Strategies for Fab Five provided by Literacy Coach</th>
<th>100% Swain Paraprofessionals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher-Led Breakout Sessions on Professional Learning Calendar Days (sessions have addressed Differentiation Strategies, Guided Reading, Conferencing with Lucy Calkins Writing Progressions)</td>
<td>100% Swain’s Academic Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Planning Sessions Provided by Literacy Coach:</td>
<td>100% Vertical Content Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vocabulary Instruction Across Grades/Content Areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Designing CCGPS assessment (going higher with DOK levels, designing constructed response)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Striving Reader Grant, Needs Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Interactive Notebooks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Using OAS Formative Assessment Items and Constructed Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Positive Learning Environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertical Planning Sessions Provided by Principal and Literacy/Math Coaches:</th>
<th>100% Swain Academic Faculty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- FIP (GaDOE’s Formative Instructional Practices)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed Professional Learning to Support Striving Reader Goals

If awarded Striving Reader funding, W.L. Swain Elementary School plans to incorporate professional development to address the project goals that were designed following a thorough needs assessment based on data and the analysis of root causes of concerns. While Swain’s teachers have received professional learning to support literacy throughout recent years, they have not received professional learning specially designed to meet the needs of economically disadvantaged students. Sources of outside professional development are now being explored. One example is The CLASSroom Project, based at UGA, which provides intensive workshops such as The Other Side of Poverty in Schools. In this workshop, teachers learn about the five principles for change to better meet the needs of working-class and poor students, formative assessment practices, and research-based teaching practices that are sensitive to working-class and poor families. Another workshop from The CLASSroom Project is The Reading Turn-Around. This workshop examines how social class and poverty play a role in reading and language in the classroom and guides teachers to become designers of literacy instruction that is responsive. Both of these workshops from The CLASSroom Project can be supported through professional book studies with titles such as Mike Rose’s Lives on the Boundary: A Moving Account of the Struggles and Achievements of America’s Educationally Underprepared, Peter Johnson’s Choice Words, Deborah Hick’s Reading Lives, and Stephanie Jones’ The Reading Turn-Around.
Another source of professional development Swain will consider with Striving Reader funds is through the National Writing Project. Swain is fortunate to have two projects located in the North Georgia area: the Kennesaw Mountain Writing Project and the Red Clay Writing Project. The Red Clay project provides ongoing professional development for schools through onsite whole faculty or small group workshops, in-classroom demonstrations, book studies, and writing workshops for teachers.

Striving Reader funds will also be used to support professional development opportunities through teacher participation at state and national literacy conferences, such as the Georgia Children’s Literature Conference, the Georgia Council of Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages, GADOE’s Summer ELA Academies, and the International Reading Association conference. Professional practitioner journals such as *The Reading Teacher* and *Reading Research Quarterly* (IRA), *Language Arts* (NCTE), and the *TESOL Journal* and the *TESOL Quarterly* will also be provided to Swain’s teachers through Striving Reader funds.

Over the past years, Swain has implemented a process to plan and assess the implementation and effectiveness of professional development. Teachers are surveyed regularly for professional learning needs, and the literacy coach and administrative team perform on-going research for best practices and resources through conferences, webinars, and professional literature at the state and national level. Professional development is selected based on Swain’s student and teacher data. Implementation has been assessed through an “evidence” approach, wherein the principal, assist-principal, literacy coach, and the Leader of Leaders team use classroom observations, anchor charts and teaching artifacts, lesson plans, student work samples,
observance at teacher collaborative planning and data meetings, teacher and student surveys and interviews, teachers’ Data Notebooks, student journals and interactive notebooks, teacher websites, student assessment data, and communications with parents. However, Swain now plans to implement a more systematic approach to planning and evaluating professional development using the Five Levels of Professional Development Evaluation from Thomas Guskey’s 2002 article titled “Does It Make a Difference? Evaluating Professional Development” *Educational Leadership*, 59 (6) 45-51. Guskey provides methods for evaluating each of the five levels (participants’ reaction, participants’ learning, organization support and change, participants’ use of new knowledge and skills, and student learning outcomes). The following is a list of professional development that will be implemented and evaluated through the Striving Reader project.

**Goal 1:** W.L. Swain Elementary will provide students with appropriate tiered interventions that will remediate or accelerate based on the five areas of the cognitive reading model through formative and summative assessments.

- DIBELS Next, Scholastic Reading Inventory
- Specific training: new strategies, materials, and resources
- Comprehensive Reading Solutions
- RTI: New Strategies and Resources for Tiers
- Specialized ELL Strategies
- Georgia’s FIP Modules (Formative Instructional Practices)
- Using Webb’s Depth of Knowledge to Create Higher-Level Formative and Summative Assessments

**Goal 2:** Technology at W.L. Swain Elementary will be relevant to students and ensure that they develop the literacy skills necessary to excel globally in the 21st century.
Specific training on technologies and internet resources to support literacy across the content areas

Engaging students with collaborative projects supporting Information Acquisition, Problem Solving, and Communication Skills

**Goal 3:** W.L. Swain Elementary will establish a school literacy leadership framework to ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum for all students.

- Comprehensive Reading Solutions (from website)
- Fostering Student Independence in Literacy Skills
- Specialized Professional Book Studies/Professional Journal Readings led by Literacy Team, such as:
  - *The Reading Turn-Around*, Stephanie Jones
  - *Teaching with Poverty in Mind*, Eric Jensen
  - *Rethinking Education in the Age of Technology*, Allan Collins and Richard Halverson

**Goal 4:** W.L. Swain Elementary students will receive effective interdisciplinary literacy instruction across the curriculum, such as:

- The CLASSroom Project
- Red Clay Writing Project
- Best Practices: Literacy Across the Content Areas
- Specific training: new strategies, materials, and resources
- Teachers attend literacy conferences
## Sustainability Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Swain Elementary School will...</th>
<th>Swain Elementary School will ...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ extend the assessment protocol beyond the grant period.</td>
<td>• continue to adhere to established district-wide assessment protocols derived from best practices and the CCGPS (prescribed screeners, informal diagnostics, benchmarks, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ develop community partnerships to assist with funding.</td>
<td>• conduct multiple needs assessments to collect stakeholder feedback pertaining to literacy needs and form partnerships with local organizations such as churches and businesses to assist in supplementing funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ expand lessons learned.</td>
<td>• maintain the onsite Literacy Coach network with professional development providers from out-of-school sources • continue to support professional learning communities within the school through attendance at conferences, books studies, and professional journals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ extend the assessment protocols.</td>
<td>• continue collecting data through screening, progress monitoring, and formative and summative assessments. The academic coaches currently monitor and will continue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **train new system employees.** | • support new teachers through heavy in-class modeling from Swain’s literacy coach throughout the first two months; gradual release of responsibility to teacher  
• provide intensive professional development on instructional technologies and online resources (provided by literacy coach, assistant principal, Leadership and Literacy Teams, district technology staff)  
• offer ongoing assistance from mentors to ensure that new teachers are prepared to appropriately incorporate all aspects of literacy instruction across the curriculum. Swain will continue to provide ongoing professional learning in all aspects of literacy instruction including disciplinary literacy in the content areas.  
• incorporate the Gordon County Technology Department to maintain |
| ➢ maintain technology after funding has ended. |  

a strong infrastructure.

- continue to have a part-time Technology Technician, part of the district-wide technology team, who will support our school with hardware and instructional technology needs.

- purchase extended warranties and upgrades in the front end of SRG technology purchases (such as ipads)

- continue to maintain the insurance and site licenses by way of Gordon County Schools.

- follow the Gordon County Schools' Technology Plan to address infrastructure upgrades and replacement needs as new materials are integrated into the school.

- seek out additional technology funding and grant opportunities to build on school and system infrastructure

- ensure that teachers maintain cutting-edge technological skills by having the administrators and teacher leaders to attend technology integration professional learning.

- maintain on-going professional learning after funding has ended.

- continued use of the Georgia DOE's Striving Literacy Resources such as
the Why, the How, and the What documents, Comprehensive Reading Solutions
- incorporate research-based, data-driven professional learning.
- provide leadership opportunities for teachers to put into practice tiered instruction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensure new teachers receive professional learning after funding has ended.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- video-archive professional development sessions and model classroom lessons provided by the literacy coach and teacher leaders for future use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- offer a mentoring program for new teachers to offer support, resources, and advice. Each new teacher will be assigned an individual mentor who is a veteran teacher within the particular grade level/content area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensure print materials are replaced when necessary.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- continue to efficiently utilize Title I funds to replace print materials when necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- use fiscal resources generated from various fundraisers and donations to supplement and support printed materials needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expand the lessons learned through the SRCL project with other schools and new teacher to the</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- continue to collaborate effectively with the local RESA to facilitate new learning. Swain will continue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensure print materials are replaced when necessary.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- continue to efficiently utilize Title I funds to replace print materials when necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- use fiscal resources generated from various fundraisers and donations to supplement and support printed materials needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expand the lessons learned through the SRCL project with other schools and new teacher to the</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- continue to collaborate effectively with the local RESA to facilitate new learning. Swain will continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEA.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Budget Summary

Providing effective literacy instruction is a priority for Gordon County Schools. If W.L. Swain Elementary School is awarded the Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Grant, the funds will be used to enhance instruction and professional learning in the areas of reading, technology, literacy leadership, and effective interdisciplinary literacy instruction. Professional learning, technology, and resources will be used to continue our implementation of Common Core Georgia Performance Standards. Achievement gains and progress will be monitored by the administration along with the Leadership Team at W.L. Swain Elementary School.

If W.L. Swain Elementary School is awarded the SRCL grant, these funds will be used to address literacy needs in the following four identified problem areas for kindergarten through fifth grades:

1. W.L. Swain Elementary School will provide students with appropriate tiered interventions that will remediate or accelerate based on the five areas of the cognitive reading model through formative and summative assessments. The funds will be used to purchase:

   - Professional learning for all literacy teachers and support staff on the five areas of the cognitive reading model and formative assessment
   - DIBELS Next and training support
   - Scholastic Reading Inventory and training support
   - Research-based phonemic awareness and phonics program for K-2 and word recognition/multisyllabic word study in grade 3-5
• Explicit and sequential Tier 1 vocabulary resources, materials, and strategies for grades K-5, as well as specialized resources and strategies for English Language Learners

• Supplies and collaboration time needed to improve and design new formative and summative assessments to reach higher DOK levels across all grades and content areas

• Leveled readers and other support materials

• Professional Text for teachers on the five areas of the cognitive reading model and best practices

• Literacy manipulatives

2. W.L. Swain Elementary School will be relevant to students and ensure that they develop the latest skills necessary to excel globally in the 21st Century. The funds will be used to purchase:

• Classroom computers, tablets, and/or e-readers

• Software

• Assistive Technology

• Online resources

• Digital recorders

• Document cameras

• Professional learning opportunities to train teachers on new technology
3. W.L. Swain Elementary will establish a school literacy framework to ensure a consistent literacy focus across the curriculum for all students. The funds will be used to purchase:

- Professional learning for administration as well as a school literacy team (on campus, online, and conferences off campus, outside consultants) to include how to overcome the effects of poverty on literacy and literacy across all areas of the curriculum
- Professional texts for book studies
- Print materials and resources to support family involvement literacy, including those for transient, homeless, and English Language Learners
- Regular collaborative planning to analyze data and to plan effective literacy instruction

4. W.L. Swain Elementary School students will receive effective interdisciplinary literacy instruction across the curriculum. The funds will be used to purchase:

- Professional learning for content area teachers on effective literacy instruction based on the cognitive reading model
- Professional text to support the professional learning and best practices to improve instruction in all content areas
- Leveled text within the content areas
- Higher order thinking skills
- Academic vocabulary instruction across the curriculum
• Strategies and resources to support a K-5 framework for interdisciplinary writing across all content areas