

**Table of Contents**

LEA Narrative..... 1

I. Eligibility of Schools and Centers ..... 5

    A. Form A..... 5

        a. Students in grades 3, 5, 8 not meeting standards, based on current CRCT data..... 5

        b. Needs Improvement Status in Reading / Language Arts under Title I, Part A ..... 7

        c. Percentage of Children Counted for Allocations under Title I, Part A ..... 8

        d. Rationale for SRCL Grant Selection..... 8

II. Assurances: Compliance with FERPA, GPRPA, GADOE Project Design ..... 9

III. Project Design..... 10

    A. Need ..... 10

        a. School Literacy Team (5 points)..... 11

        b. Needs Assessment (Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data 5 points) ..... 13

        c. Needs Assessment Process (5 points) ..... 19

        d. Areas of Concern (5 points) ..... 21

        e. Root Cause Analysis (5 points)..... 22

    B. Quality of Project Design..... 24

        a. Project Goals and Objectives (5 points)..... 24

        b. Scientific, Evidence-Based Literacy Plan (10 points)..... 26

        c. Strategies and Materials to Support Literacy Plan (existing and proposed) to Include Technology (5 points)..... 32

        d. Project Procedures and Supports (5 points) ..... 34

        e. Professional Learning Strategies Identified on the Basis of Documented Needs (5 points) ..... 34

        f. Assessment / Data Analysis Plan (5 points)..... 36

IV. Experience of the Applicant (10 points) ..... 38

    A. LEA Initiatives..... 38

    B. Initiatives Implemented Without Outside Funding Support ..... 39

    C. Capacity to Coordinate Resources ..... 39

    D. Sustainability of Initiatives Implemented by the LEA ..... 40

V. Resources (10 points)..... 40

    A. Coordination with Existing Programs and Family Literacy Services..... 40

VI. Management Plan and Key Personnel (10 points)..... 43

    A. Management Plan and Key Personnel Responsible for Grant Implementation..... 43

B.	Commitment to Implementation Plan .....	46
VII.	Sustainability Plan (10 points) .....	47
A.	Expanding the Lessons Learned with other Schools and New Teachers.....	48
B.	Extending the Assessment Protocol Beyond the Grant Period.....	48
C.	Extending the Professional Learning Practices beyond the Grant Period to New Staff	49
D.	Sustaining Technology beyond the Grant Period .....	50
VIII.	Evaluation – Assurance of Compliance with GADOE Requirements.....	50
IX.	Appendices.....	51
A.	Comprehensive Literacy Needs Assessment .....	52
B.	Literacy Plan .....	53
C.	Letter of Support – United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta.....	55
D.	Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms.....	57
E.	Letter of Support – Woodruff Arts Center.....	58
F.	School Level Data for all Schools in FCS, based on 2010-2011 school year .....	60
G.	ITBS 2010 Data .....	65
H.	Reading / English Language Arts AMO Results .....	70
I.	Map of Targeted Early Learning Centers and Schools .....	72
J.	Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant Specific Program Assurances.....	73
K.	Needs Assessment: Additional Findings – Bear Creek .....	78
X.	Budget .....	81
A.	District Budget Narrative .....	81
B.	School Budget Narrative.....	85

## **LEA Narrative**

Fulton County Schools (FCS) is the fourth largest school district in Georgia. During the 2011-12 school year, more than 93,000 students are attending classes in 58 elementary schools, 19 middle schools, 16 high schools and 7 charter schools. The racial composition of FCS students is 42% Black, 34% White, 12% Hispanic, 9% Asian, and 3% Multi-Racial. Forty-four percent of FCS students receive free and/or reduced meals. Many schools in the northern part of the district have less than 5% of their students eligible for free and/or reduced-meals while many schools in the southern part of the district have over 95% of their students eligible. Ten percent of FCS students are classified as students with disabilities (SWD) and 7% are classified as English Learners (EL). Overall, FCS is a high-achieving district; however, there are subgroups of FCS students who do not perform on level with their peers and schools that need additional strategies and supports to move their struggling students.

*Current Literacy Priorities:* Fulton County Schools follows the Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) to guide literacy instruction but will transition to Common Core Georgia Performance Standards in the 2012-2013 school year. The implementation of the GPS allowed the integration of reading and writing instruction—a paradigm shift for the schools. Common Core Standards will infuse even more rigor, complex texts, informational reading, and challenge for our teachers and students. Budget constraints prevented the adoption of new materials when GPS were implemented, but plans are underway to adopt a new set of Reading and English/Language Arts (ELA) materials for the school year 2012-2013. FCS solicited extensive input from teachers, parents, students and administrators via surveys, feedback from a district oversight team, and a pilot of two vendor finalists this school year. This process served not only the materials adoption but also established a basis on which to build our district's literacy plan.

See Appendix A for a comprehensive literacy needs assessment and Appendix B for the district's literacy plan.

*Management Structure:* Dr. Robert Avossa became Superintendent of the Fulton County Schools in June 2011. As Superintendent, he is responsible for the leadership, administration and management of 100 schools, 13,500 employees, and an \$819.5 million general fund budget. His cabinet members lead the following functional areas: instruction, operations, finance, human resources, organizational advancement and communications.

*Past Instructional Initiatives:* Prior to the adoption of GPS, the learning objectives for the State of Georgia were known as Quality Core Curriculum. With those objectives, much of the literacy approach rested on the teaching of reading with some writing. Materials often produced whole-class instruction with little consideration for the individual needs of the students.

*Literacy Curriculum:* Teachers have access to model lesson plans written by district master teachers and the English/Language Arts Department staff. The model units demonstrate a balanced approach to the teaching of standards. Instructional plans outline the standards addressed in each of the four nine weeks' units. Additionally, resources, strategies, and balanced assessments accompany each unit of study. A comprehensive scope and sequence outlines the standards and elements for each semester of the school year.

*Literacy Assessments:* FCS uses benchmark assessments called Checkpoints. The English/Language Arts Department produces four benchmark assessments per year in grades two through eleven which assess reading, language arts, and writing. Checkpoints assessments use a pre and post-test formula and are aligned to the Criterion-Referenced Competency Test (CRCT), Georgia's summative assessment that is administered in the spring. FCS schools administer a writing assessment every nine weeks that focuses on the studied genre. Schools create common

assessments and assess formatively; therefore, a balanced assessment approach continues to be the assessment model for the district.

*Need for a Striving Reader Project:* On average, students in Fulton County Schools perform better than students across the state; for example, while Georgia's graduation rate over the past three years has moved from 79% to 81%, FCS' rate has consistently been higher and increased from 84% to 86%. In 2011, a larger percentage of FCS students met or exceeded CRCT standards than students across the state—in *every* grade and *every* tested subject. Yet, these district averages mask the rather striking achievement gaps within the district. Graduation rates across high schools ranged from 52% to 98% in 2011. The percentage of students meeting standards on the 3rd grade CRCT Reading test ranged from a low of 74% to as high as 100% at many schools. As with so many schools and districts across the country, the high poverty schools in FCS tend to fall at the lower end of the performance spectrum. Schools with large groups of students with disabilities or English learners struggle to meet achievement standards, as well. A recent survey of FCS administrators, teachers and parents highlights concerns with our district's literacy efforts and Reading and ELA curriculum and instruction. More than 53% of administrators responding do not believe the current Reading and ELA materials provided by the district address the needs of all components of literacy and six out of ten believe that technology related to Reading and ELA is not used effectively to maximize student learning. More than 54% of parents do not believe their school offers adequate opportunities for parents to learn strategies to support their child's learning in the home. Clearly, the data point to a need for additional materials, professional development, parent outreach and supports to ensure quality literacy instruction in our schools. The Striving Reader grant will help FCS address these challenges.

*Striving Reader Grant Partners:* FCS needs strong partners to create the necessary building blocks for literacy reform. The district is partnering with United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta and Sheltering Arms to address the needs of children from birth through pre-kindergarten (Pre-K) and is partnering with the Woodruff Arts Center to provide teachers with innovative, engaging approaches to teach literacy to students. **United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta (UWMA)** has created and implemented innovative programs and outreach initiatives to improve the quality of early care and education for all children ages birth to five in Atlanta, Georgia. Smart Start, the early childhood division of UWMA, is nationally recognized for pioneering efforts as an Early Reading First grantee. The district's partnership with UWMA will leverage their success with Early Reading First to build capacity through professional development for teachers and assistants of targeted children from birth through five years old. See Appendix C for a letter of support from UWMA. **Sheltering Arms** is Georgia's oldest nonprofit early childhood education program, and one of its most respected. Its mission is to serve working families with high quality, affordable child care and education and comprehensive support services. Founded by Atlanta volunteers in 1888, Sheltering Arms now annually serves more than 3,600 children, ages six weeks to five years old, and their families in 16 centers. Sheltering Arms is a tax-exempt 501(c)3 organization and has been nationally recognized for the success of its school readiness program. FCS is excited to partner with Sheltering Arms to improve transitions from early learning to kindergarten classrooms in South Fulton County. See Appendix D for a letter of support from Sheltering Arms. FCS has been a long-time partner with the **Woodruff Arts Center**, the largest provider of Pre-K through 12<sup>th</sup> grade arts education in America. The Woodruff Arts Center recognizes the power and potential of engaging young people in the arts. Empowering teachers with arts learning strategies helps provide students with

the skills, knowledge, and creativity required for success in their education. A Striving Reader grant will strengthen the district's partnership with the Woodruff Arts Center and will provide teachers with effective literacy strategies. See Appendix E for Woodruff's letter of support.

**I. Eligibility of Schools and Centers**

**A. Form A**

**a. Students in grades 3, 5, 8 not meeting standards, based on current CRCT data**

The tables below provide a number of metrics relevant to the Striving Reader grant. For FCS Pre-K classrooms, we analyzed data from the Georgia Pre-K Work Sampling System (WSS), which assesses and documents children's skills, knowledge, behavior, and accomplishments as displayed across a wide variety of education domains and as manifested on multiple occasions.

**FCS Pre-K Student Achievement**

Targeted Elem Schools	Language & Literacy	Mathematical Thinking	Scientific Thinking	Social Studies	Personal/Social Dev't	Physical Dev't & Health	The Arts
Bethune	56%	48%	69%	53%	60%	77%	62%
Campbell	90%	89%	88%	91%	87%	92%	89%
Love Nolan	70%	67%	64%	68%	74%	94%	80%
Oakley	44%	66%	67%	41%	32%	69%	68%
Palmetto	54%	59%	60%	53%	50%	89%	83%
E.C. West	68%	77%	77%	74%	78%	86%	79%
<i>District Totals</i>	<i>80%</i>	<i>80%</i>	<i>86%</i>	<i>82%</i>	<i>82%</i>	<i>93%</i>	<i>87%</i>

Source: FCS Administrative Records 2010-2011, % of Students with "Proficient" Ratings by Domain via WSS

For each school level, we analyzed key demographic subgroup data. Targeted schools for the Striving Reader grant have much larger populations of economically disadvantaged students and most have larger populations of students with disabilities and/or English learners than the district. The mobility rate, a district indicator to measure student transiency, at each targeted school is equal to or higher than the district average. In addition, CRCT Reading data clearly

exhibit a need for increased literacy supports. Schools included in this grant have higher percentages of students not meeting standards on the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grade CRCT Reading tests and much lower graduation rates than the district as a whole. Tables representing school level data for all 100 schools within the district are included in Appendix F.

**Data Summary for Targeted Elementary Schools**

Targeted Elementary Schools	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Bethune	86%	9%	0%	42%	Yes	23	21%	15	11%
Campbell	90%	12%	16%	36%	No	8	7%	12	9%
Love T. Nolan	87%	12%	0%	44%	Yes	24	18%	40	29%
Oakley	83%	11%	6%	37%	Yes	16	15%	15	11%
Palmetto	87%	14%	10%	44%	Yes	4	8%	9	15%
E. C. West	74%	9%	6%	40%	Yes	7	7%	22	16%
<i>District ES</i>	<i>49%</i>	<i>11%*</i>	<i>10%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>518</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>492</i>	<i>7%</i>

\*Represents entire district, not just that particular school level. Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

**Data Summary for Targeted Middle School**

Targeted Middle School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 8 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Bear Creek	86%	11%	3%	45%	No – N11	16	5%
<i>District Middle Schools</i>	<i>45%</i>	<i>11%*</i>	<i>4%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>173</i>	<i>3%</i>

\*Represents entire district, not just that particular school level. Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

**Data Summary for Targeted High Schools**

Targeted High Schools	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Graduation Rate
Creekside	72%	9%	2%	51%	No – NI4	69%
McClarín	94%	3%	0%	121%	No – NI2	52%
<i>District High Schools</i>	35%	11%*	3%	34%	NA	86%

\*Represents entire district, not just that particular school level. Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

FCS also reviewed student scores on the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS)—a nationally norm referenced test that our district’s 3rd, 5th and 8th graders take annually. This past year, the district’s average percentile rank for 3rd grade Reading on the ITBS was a 60. Yet, the averages of the targeted schools ranged from a low of 31 to a high of 41—well below the district average. The same can be said of 5th grade Reading scores with targeted schools ranging from the 23rd percentile to the 42nd percentile while the district average was the 59th percentile. Finally, 8th graders in Bear Creek Middle School scored at the 31st percentile compared to the district average of the 57th percentile. With a national mean percentile rank of 50, it is obvious that the schools selected need additional supports to improve their students’ performance to compete with students across the country, as well as within our district. See Appendix G for a report on FCS’ ITBS 2010 results.

***b. Needs Improvement Status in Reading / Language Arts under Title I, Part A***

Appendix H includes a table reflecting the percentage of students in Title I targeted elementary, middle, and high schools that met/exceeded expectations on the Criterion-Referenced Competency Test (CRCT) and the Georgia High School Graduation Test (GHSGT) based on the state’s Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO). Based on the data, it reveals that the general student population continues to make gains in Reading and English/Language Arts and

appears to be on track to meet the annual measurable objectives in 2012 based on the present percentages. A major concern, however, is the student performance at the high school level. Intensive instruction will be necessary to ensure that all high school students are capable of meeting performance objectives.

**c. Percentage of Children Counted for Allocations under Title I, Part A**

Striving Reader Targeted School	Number of Students	%
Bear Creek MS	1073	100
Bethune ES	758	100
Campbell ES	803	100
Creekside HS <i>(no longer an eligible Title I school)</i>	n/a	n/a
Evoline C. West ES	726	100
Love T. Nolan ES	756	100
McClarín HS	107	31
Oakley ES	727	100
Palmetto ES	535	100

Source: Student Achievement Management System and Individual Schools

**d. Rationale for SRCL Grant Selection**

The FCS Striving Reader core grant committee is comprised of administrators representing the following departments: Curriculum, Assessment and Accountability, Title I, Exceptional Children, and Instructional Technology. Based on extensive discussion and review of multiple sources of data, committee members agreed on the following criteria for selecting schools to participate in the Striving Reader project: Reading and English/Language Arts assessment data demonstrating performance gaps, Title I classification, schools on the district's intensive list (a framework designed to identify and support high-need schools), feeder patterns, concentration of students with disabilities, concentration of English learners, capacity to implement grant-funded activities, and a waiting list for Georgia Pre-K slots. Based on the selection criteria, the committee recommended and the cabinet approved targeting 4 early learning centers and 9 schools arranged in a feeder pattern in South Fulton County. Sheltering Arms runs 4 early

learning centers in South Fulton: East Point Center, Oakley Township Center, Stonewall Tell Center, and Welcome All Center. These centers feed 7 elementary schools: Bethune, Campbell, E.C. West, Love T. Nolan, Oakley, and Palmetto. These elementary schools feed Bear Creek Middle School, which feeds Creekside High School. McClarin High School is also included in the grant as an alternative school with an open campus and a day care center to support teen parents. Most of the elementary schools (all but Campbell) have struggled but managed to make AYP last year; however, Creekside, McClarin and Bear Creek have not made AYP in recent years. Creekside's graduation rate of 69% and McClarin's graduation rate of 52% are significantly below the district's graduation rate of 86% and reflect the socio-demographic challenges faced by students in South Fulton County. These statistics underscore the difficulties with transitions and vertical teaming. The data provide an important example of how poor literacy skills may be flagged through reading assessments during the early years but are masked in the content areas in middle and high school. Students may show early indicators of difficulty yet manage to get by in elementary school, but by the time they reach middle and high school, students experience the compounded interest of poor literacy skills and are no longer able to achieve minimum standards, much less reach higher goals of achievement. As can be seen from the tables in section I.A.a. above, all the elementary, middle and high schools targeted have demonstrable need for additional literacy supports to improve academic performance and to ensure students are graduating ready for college and careers. See Appendix I for a map of all 13 early learning centers and schools targeted for the district's Striving Reader grant.

## **II. Assurances: Compliance with FERPA, GPRA, GADOE Project Design**

See Appendix J for Project Assurances.

### **III. Project Design**

#### **A. Need**

Bear Creek Middle School is located in Fairburn, Georgia and is part of the Creekside Cluster. It serves as the middle school for 5 feeder elementary schools that are included in Fulton County Schools' Striving Reader grant application. Bear Creek has not made Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for 2 years. A Striving Reader grant will help our school better address students' literacy needs and make AYP.

Bear Creek has an enrollment of 1,072 students. Approximately 86% of the school's population is eligible for free and/or reduced meals. Our racial breakdown is 83% Black, 10% Hispanic, 3% White, and 2% Multi-racial and 1% Asian. 11% of our students are classified as students with disabilities (SWD) and 3% percent are English language learners (ELL); our mobility rate is 45%. As a whole, our school has performed satisfactorily on the Reading section of the Criterion Referenced Competency Test (CRCT), statewide standardized test; however, upon further disaggregation of the data, weaknesses surface for a larger portion of our students. For CRCT, our students with disabilities are consistently scoring 20 points lower than the school. Additionally, our deficits manifest in the Social Studies and Science portions of the test. Our weaknesses in comprehension and informational text surface when considering those two content areas. As a whole, our students have not demonstrated a high value of reading outside of school. Background knowledge in all classes is not commensurate with instructor expectations nor does it match levels for rigorous instruction without extensive scaffolding. Based on past reading incentive programs, less than 10% of our students participated consistently in a manner that allowed them to be recognized for incentive attainment. Stronger support from home has been a consistent challenge. Despite employing a full-time Parent Liaison, the school has not been able

to garner the support of a significant number of parents. Of the parents surveyed, many feel uncomfortable carrying out the support of their children as outlined by teachers.

The school's leadership team is representative of every instructional area within the school. Other than safety and nutrition, support staff is represented as well. The principal is in the 6<sup>th</sup> year of his tenure at the school. There has been 1 change with assistant principals due to budgetary constraints.

Bear Creek has implemented the following evidence-based initiatives to help improve student achievement:

- **Writing to Win:** Writing to Win supports literacy with a specific focus on writing. Students write rough drafts of papers and learn revision and proofreading strategies; teachers provide writing models. This program has been particularly helpful in supporting our students in the areas of sentence building and sentence combining.
- **Job embedded training on differentiated instruction and student feedback:** A consultant works with our teachers across content areas 2 times per month on 2 specific needs: differentiated instruction and providing constructive and meaningful feedback to students.

A Striving Reader grant would enable our school to build on existing initiatives, expand services to students, and provide additional professional development to our teachers.

***a. School Literacy Team (5 points)***

Literacy is not just a concern for reading teachers; it is imperative to academic achievement across all content areas. With the advent of Common Core standards, the importance of literacy is even more apparent. Thus, our Literacy Team consists of representatives from all content areas and our Services for Exceptional Children (SEC) and English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) departments. Input from the Literacy Team was sought via the principal survey. The

team will be convened at the onset of the grant for implementation planning. The team will communicate information and seek feedback and input from staff through established grade level meetings, faculty meetings, surveys, and digital platforms such as email and Elluminate Live, a web conferencing program. The team is co-led by our graduation coach, Wanda Jones, our ELA Lead Teacher Sherri Anderson, and our Science Lead Teacher Estella Cook. The table below provides the full list of team members.

Member Name	Title	Role
Wanda Jones	Graduation Coach	Facilitator/Lead
Sherri Anderson	ELA Lead teacher	Facilitator/Lead
Estella Cook	Science Lead teacher	Facilitator/Lead
Andre Winston	Curriculum Assistant Principal	Member
Dionne Turner-Young	6 <sup>th</sup> Grade Reading teacher; Exceptional Education	Member
Rhonda Hudson	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade Reading teacher	Member
Genia Johnson- Brownlee	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade Reading teacher	Member
Angela Ollie	6 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math teacher	Member
Rhonda Mays	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math teacher/Contact	Member
Donna Benjamin	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math teacher	Member
Christopher Marshall	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade Social Studies Teacher/Contact	Member
Jennifer Duncan	Science teacher	Member
Lynne Bluford-Mann	ESOL teacher	Member
Camisha Foster	ELA teacher	Member

Our literacy team's function is to create our school's literacy plan. We leveraged a variety of data sources to determine needs for the 2011-2012 school year including: end of unit tests, formative assessments, common assessments, checkpoints, standardized tests (ITBS, CRCT). We will review these data sources periodically throughout the implementation of our literacy plan to monitor the plan's effectiveness. We collected input from faculty members during staff meetings. The role of this team now focuses on disseminating information at weekly staff and grade level meetings and soliciting ideas for new literacy initiatives. This type of interactive analysis as a faculty enables our teachers to be malleable in their approach to teaching reading.

**b. Needs Assessment (Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data 5 points)**

Bear Creek Middle School is included in Fulton County Schools' Intensive Schools Framework, which is designed to identify core instructional needs, deploy resources from the school and the district to meet those needs, and report progress. In October 2011, a School Quality Review (SQR) team came to Bear Creek to observe, review, assess, and recommend improvements. The table below summarizes the findings.

Topics	SQR Recommendations	Focus Team
<p>Assessment for Rigor</p> <p>High Quality Instruction</p> <p>Systematic Monitoring of Instructional Strategies</p> <p>Focused Improvement Efforts</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide greater rigor in all classes by ensuring teachers use data effectively to plan work that meets the differing needs of their students and sets challenging targets and learning goals for all students.</li> <li>• Ensure teachers have a clear understanding of what constitutes high quality instruction through generating and posting clear, measurable learning objectives for each lesson, making use of higher order questioning techniques to evaluate students' understanding and using an effective end of class evaluation that checks on gains in students' knowledge and understanding and informs next steps in teachers' planning.</li> <li>• Ensure instructional strategies outlined above are systematically and rigorously monitored to evaluate their impact on students' learning and academic growth and refocus efforts where necessary</li> <li>• Streamline and prioritize current school improvement goals to ensure initiatives have a greater impact on student achievement.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Darron Franklin, Principal</li> <li>• Andre Winston, Curriculum Assistant principal</li> <li>• Vic Shandor, Area Superintendent</li> <li>• June Long, Professional Learning</li> <li>• Deena Savage, Curriculum &amp; Instruction</li> </ul>

These findings are guiding not only our School Improvement Plan but also our Literacy Plan.

The opportunities for professional development provided through the Striving Reader Grant will support professional development on higher order questioning and establishing clear learning

objectives, as well as specific literacy challenges such as decoding, comprehension, vocabulary development, fluency, and writing. The grant will also support teachers' use of technology to deliver and monitor instruction resulting in the evaluation of student learning more efficiently.

Student Data

Middle School	% ED	% SWD	% ELL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 8 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Bear Creek	86%	11%	3%	45%	No – NII	16	5%

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education

Additional subgroup findings for Grades 6 through 8 can be found in Appendix K:

Student Literacy Needs

Through the needs assessment process, we identified both strengths and areas of weakness in our approach to literacy. Major strengths discovered include:

- Moving students from “meeting” to “exceeding” on the CRCT
- Increasing the proportion of “exceeding” students between 2009-10 and 2010-11 in 6<sup>th</sup> Grade (ELA), 7<sup>th</sup> Grade (all subjects), and 8<sup>th</sup> Grade (Reading and ELA)
- Increasing the percentage of talented and gifted students who exceeded expectations by 9 points in ELA
- All students in the Black subgroup meeting the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) by at least 9 points in 2010-11.

However, there were also major weaknesses discovered. Reading and ELA has proven to be a consistent area of weakness for our SWD, Black, and Economically Disadvantaged students. Many of our students, especially students with disabilities, are not meeting standards on the Science and Social Studies portions of the CRCT, as well as local formative and summative assessments. We see deficits in phonemic awareness, decoding, comprehension, background

knowledge, and vocabulary that could be addressed through literacy. Overall, only 60% of 6<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> grade students met or exceeded standards in Science in '10-11. Only 56% of 6<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> grade students met or exceeded standards in Social Studies in '10-11.

Black Students

Approximately half of 6<sup>th</sup> graders (52%) and 8<sup>th</sup> graders (49%) in this subgroup did not meet standards for the Science portion of the CRCT.

Students with Disabilities

Only 53% of 6<sup>th</sup> graders and 65% of 7<sup>th</sup> graders in the SWD category met or exceeded standards for the Reading/ELA (combined) CRCT, compared to the state AMO of 80%.86% of 6<sup>th</sup> graders and 96% of 8<sup>th</sup> graders in this subgroup did not meet standards on the Science portion of the CRCT.

English Language Learners

Our 6<sup>th</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELL students experienced a dramatic increase in math performance; however, their combined Reading/ELA CRCT scores decreased from 96.8% to 87.1% meeting or exceeding standards.

Economically Disadvantaged students:

Collectively, students (6<sup>th</sup> -8<sup>th</sup> grade) in this subgroup scored 9.7 percentage points lower in combined Reading/ELA than in the previous year. For Science CRCT, 6<sup>th</sup> grade students in this subgroup experienced a 10% decrease in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards.

Teacher Retention Data

School Year	Percentage of Certified Teachers Retained
2010-11	87%
2009-10	93%
2008-09	93%

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records

We have a relatively stable staff with most attrition due to retirements. We attribute this to a strong teacher community in which teachers appreciate the needs of our students and accept the challenges of the population.

### Teacher Professional Learning Needs

A detailed list of teacher participation in professional learning communities and other professional development is included in section III.B.e. Teachers need professional learning experiences that address the following areas: phonemic awareness, morphological awareness, comprehension strategies, and teaching vocabulary acquisition skills. Teachers need to be engaged in activities and training that will give them experience in developing higher order thinking skills that engage learners in authentic tasks, some of which are community based. Technology should be woven through all of those processes to extend and add value to learning.

Our teachers should also be engaged in developing the ability to challenge pervasive cultural norms that render students and community as victims of circumstance rather than empowered contributors. Professional learning as a process of aligning students' individual visions to the school's vision will energize both individuals and groups on the desired outcomes of their best hopes. The use of digital storytelling, which combines the power of words, audio, and video, along with a process that guides students to create a positive future fuels the ability to change what is real and possible for our students.

Many of our student needs can be corrected by providing intensive professional development to Bear Creek's teachers. Professional development empowers teachers by giving the teachers a new skill/technique. The teachers can then apply that skill in the classroom and evaluate their own implementation of that skill. To address the difficulty teachers have implementing strategies with fidelity, we will utilize a professional development laboratory

session where teachers work with small groups of students while learning how to implement strategies and best practices. The facilitator will model the strategies first, teachers will try out the strategy in a classroom with small groups of students while the facilitator observes the teachers in one classroom, and then they will debrief with the facilitator about how well they were able to implement the strategy or the need for additional support. This will require substitutes and will occur within 3 class periods. This will be an innovative way to deliver professional learning as teachers will implement the strategies in a classroom with students after seeing the strategies modeled as well as performing those strategies in a coaching environment with a facilitator during the same day.

Teachers also need the opportunity to participate in professional development on Saturdays, when they are not distracted by the day-to-day tasks and in conjunction with their peers to ensure collaborative learning and feedback.

Through our needs assessment process, teachers identified specific professional learning needs including: implementing reading strategies across content areas; supporting parents to assist their children to become better readers; using Microsoft Excel and data analysis; technology to enhance learning; writing across content areas; and collaborative professional development between 8<sup>th</sup> grade Reading teachers and 9<sup>th</sup> grade Literature teachers to identify standards necessary for high school success.

### Curriculum Needs

As mentioned previously, our students struggle with various reading skills, science and social studies content. They need to build their subject content vocabulary and informational text skills in order to improve performance in these content areas. In addition, all of our students could use additional exposure to key academic vocabulary, informational texts and nonfiction.

We are struggling in meeting the IEP (Individualized Education Plan) needs of our students with disabilities and regular education students. In developing lesson plans, teachers should be able to stratify activities based upon levels of cognition and scaffold instruction. In doing so, teachers create a greater propensity to individualize instruction to meet the needs of each student.

Additionally, this adds a road map to increase the rigor for students regardless of where they lie on the continuum of acquisition of skills to independent transfer of learning.

Teachers need to integrate technology in order to accelerate students' thinking, learning, and communicating skills. Georgia's ELA standards have embedded technology skills for written expression (ELA8W3 The student uses research and technology to support writing). It is imperative for students to use various digital tools, media, and networks effectively to learn how to communicate and independently express ideas in a new and creative way. In order to better accomplish instructional tasks, teachers should integrate technology to improve learning.

#### Technology Needs

We have significant technology needs. Our students do not necessarily have computers at home and our computer labs must be used on a rotational basis. Providing students with e-readers or tablets to access the internet and electronic text will engage students and help support our literacy plan. One way we envision bridging the gap is through the use of digital storytelling. Technology can help support not only our special education students who struggle with reading but would allow all students to work at their own pace in an individualized way.

#### Family Engagement Needs

We identify the needs of our parents via an annual online parent involvement survey. This survey allows parents to share their opinions, offer comments, and make suggestions on how to improve parental involvement at Bear Creek. While this is informative, we do not have a high

response rate or sufficient involvement from parents. According to informal observations made by teachers, we know that we have a population of illiterate parents and homes with limited access to various forms of media (i.e., newspapers, magazines, and books). Parents and families have limited access to our media center. We need to engage all students with access to technology such as e-readers, decoding pens, MP3 players, and tablets to address literacy needs. Our needs include supporting struggling readers with the text to speech features as well as providing access to digital books for ELL students and their parents. Additionally, multiple subscriptions to academic magazines such as Scholastic, National Geographic, Popular Science, and/or books that students could take home would also help engage our families in improving their child's literacy. Furthermore, these materials can also be used to support engagement and instruction in the classroom to create a print rich environment.

***c. Needs Assessment Process (5 points)***

Needs Assessment Process

In order to identify specific literacy needs and potential strategies to address those needs, the principal asked the school's administrators and all Reading teachers to read the Striving Reader request for proposal and provide input. This process solicited ideas from teachers with a strong focus on professional development and technology.

We used the input from the faculty to develop our Title I and School Improvement Plan (SIP). Our goal is to align our Literacy Plan with our Title I and SIP so as to streamline our goals and activities. The Title I and SIP needs analysis was conducted by our Title I team. The team reviewed data to reveal areas where improvement occurred and to validate the areas for growth or development. After a presentation of the data, the team suggested strategies for improvement. Parents were encouraged to provide input based on successful interventions that their children

participated in at other schools. Certified staff members in each content area or connections area identified strategies deemed impactful for student improvement.

**Title 1 Team Members and Roles**

<b>Member Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Role</b>
Fredrick Foster	Parent	PTSA President
Roland Dix	Parent	LSAC Chair
Gabriel Butts	Parent	LSAC Member
Linda Ratliff	IST	Leadership Team
Brittania Smith	Parent	PTSA member
Latarsha Wilson	Parent Liaison	Parent Advocate
Dionne Turner	Teacher	SWD Representative
Sherri Anderson	Teacher	Leadership Team
Estella Cook	Teacher	Leadership Member
Rhonda Mays	Teacher	LSAC Member
Andre Winston	Administrator	Curriculum Assist. Principal
Darron Franklin	Administrator	Principal

We used the following instruments, procedures, and processes to obtain student data: CRCT results, student growth and school performance data, common and summative assessments, as well as benchmark data. Survey data from students, parents and staff was also included. Data was disaggregated by subgroup, grade level, and content areas for analysis. The student's past and present year teachers collaborated to analyze student data and make informed decisions. Completing the needs assessment allowed us to identify students at-risk of not meeting state standards by reviewing their past CRCT data, summer school rosters, retained student lists, Student Support Team lists, and attendance data.

As part of the Striving Reader Grant our Literacy team will review data sources every 9 weeks throughout the implementation of our literacy plan to monitor the plan's effectiveness.

***d. Areas of Concern (5 points)***

The headings listed below summarize the areas of concern based on student needs identified in section III.A.b. Each area of concern is aligned to literacy building blocks, reflects the age / grade / content area where the concern is present, and highlights the steps taken to address it.

**Areas of Concern:** Students that are 3-5 years below reading level (including SWD and ELL subgroups); implementation of literacy skills that will promote effective reading comprehension and learning in all content areas. Application of literacy skills to overcome challenges encountered with Science texts such as technical vocabulary, detailed relationships, multi-step processes and cycles, and graphic features.

**Standards:** Bear Creek teachers demonstrate a need for professional development with Reading, SWD, ELL on the transition to the Common Core Standards; need to address National Education Technology Standards for students and GPS.

**Assessments:** Bear Creek Middle School needs a consistent system to score and disaggregate the data from common assessments formative and summative assessments; teachers need training in the use of science focused passages with reading comprehension questions to assess students' ability to apply the literacy skills in science; teachers need training in the use of performance tasks involving multiple modalities and formats.

**RTI - Tiered Instruction:** Teachers need additional development on effective used of tiered instruction, particularly for students in Tiers 1, 2, and 3.

**Transitions:** Bear Creek MS demonstrates a need to strengthen transitions from 5<sup>th</sup> grade to middle school and from 8<sup>th</sup> grade to high school; need to utilize reading as means of making connections across the curriculum. Teachers need to ensure students acquire basic science inquiry skills and to transfer these skills to literacy tasks.

**Age / Grade / Content Area:** All grades in Reading and ELA. All grades in Science, Math, RDG, ELA, Social Studies, World Language, and connections.

**Strategies Implemented to Date to Address Area of Concern:** Extended learning (2x per week, targets Level 1 CRCT Students in Math/ELA/RDG. Differentiated instruction (Professional Learning [PL] for staff, 2x per month-1 time in class and 1 classroom observation including specific feedback from instructor Language! A Comprehensive Literacy Curriculum that is an intensive mastery-based language arts intervention targeting the needs of non-readers, struggling readers, and English learners. It utilizes a sequential, cumulative, skill-based instructional format that addresses all learning styles. SOLO is a program consisting of three components (draft builder, co-writer, and write out loud) used as an intervention to enrich reading and writing via technology. Additionally, the reading program contains Read Out Loud – a program that provides auditory feedback by reading aloud electronic text and internet websites to the student. A limited quantity of science based articles with reading comprehension questions were provided to Reading and ELA teachers. All students were provided an inquiry guide to assist in breaking down a complex experimental multi-step process. Adaptive curriculum was provided for SWD who are unable to access the general education curriculum.

***e. Root Cause Analysis (5 points)***

Through our Title I and School Improvement planning process we engaged teachers in root cause analysis. Teachers reviewed CRCT, benchmark, and formative assessment data. They observed peers during multiple classroom observations. Feedback was provided identifying instructional strengths and weaknesses. The team suggests the weaknesses in instructional practices impacted student performance data. Class performance on formative and summative assessments and the CRCT was examined to assist in identifying underlying causes.

The team was able to determine that many of the rising 6<sup>th</sup> grade students are already 3 to 5 years below reading level when they enter Bear Creek MS. Without a system of diagnostics, intervention, and instructional support, these students fall further behind; they are often attending school for months before their reading deficits are detected. Once students are identified, the instructional staff is not trained to leverage existing data to identify student performance gaps. There is also a lack of a consistent method of monitoring current performance of at-risk students. This further exacerbates the problem of students who are 3 to 5 years below reading level going undiagnosed and unsupported. Additionally, content teachers lack the pedagogical skills to teach literacy skills within their classrooms. As a result, students who are 3 to 5 years below reading level, including SWD and ELL subgroups, do not receive support in learning and applying literacy skills outside of their reading class.

As we move towards using problem-based learning methodology, there is an extreme need for all teachers to be proficient in teaching literacy skills that enable students to successfully access print and digital media. Students lack differentiated literacy skills instruction in all content areas.

Historically, there has been an emphasis on fictional text in reading and ELA classes. As a result, students have continued to struggle with comprehending informational texts, interpreting graphic features, and understanding content vocabulary, particularly science. Access to a variety of informational texts will help close this gap.

There is a lack of integration of the vocabulary that is encountered in the science curricula in reading and ELA classrooms along with a lack of specific instruction in interpreting graphic features encountered in science texts, both in the reading classroom and the science classroom.

There is also the lack of professional learning for Science teachers on how to teach and incorporate literacy skills in the classroom to increase student comprehension of science text and concepts. In addition, reading and ELA teachers are reluctant to incorporate science based texts in their classrooms due to a lack of basic science content knowledge. As a result, students who could otherwise be successful in science are rendered unsuccessful due to deficits in reading and ELA skills. Established interdisciplinary teacher teams are dysfunctional in terms of meaningful collaboration in aligning instruction that will facilitate the process of students making connections and transferring skills across curricula; an additional environmental factor that impacts our students and their literacy skills is a lack of printed text available at home, access to internet and modeled reading by parents and caretakers.

## **B. Quality of Project Design**

### ***a. Project Goals and Objectives (5 points)***

#### Project Goals

Based on our needs assessment data, Bear Creek MS has developed the following goals for our literacy plan to be achieved by the projected grant period of 5 years:

- Increase student performance in meeting / exceeding categories on the Criterion Referenced Competency Tests (CRCT) in Reading in 6<sup>th</sup> grade by 8 percentage points, in 7<sup>th</sup> grade by 7 percentage points, and in 8<sup>th</sup> grade by 4 percentage points for all students.
- Increase student performance in meeting / exceeding categories on the CRCT in Reading in 6<sup>th</sup> grade by 26 percentage points, in 7<sup>th</sup> grade by 24 percentage points, and in 8<sup>th</sup> grade by 24 percentage points for students with disabilities.

- Increase student performance in meeting / exceeding categories on the CRCT in Reading in 6<sup>th</sup> grade by 10 -percentage points, in 7<sup>th</sup> grade by 6 percentage points, and in 8<sup>th</sup> grade by 3 percentage points for ELL students.
- Increase student performance in meeting / exceeding categories on the CRCT in English Language Arts in 6<sup>th</sup> grade by 22 percentage points, in 7<sup>th</sup> grade by 7 percentage points, and in 8<sup>th</sup> grade by 3 percentage points for all students.
- Increase student performance in meeting / exceeding categories on the CRCT in English Language Arts in 6<sup>th</sup> grade by 30 percentage points, in 7<sup>th</sup> grade by 20 percentage points, and in 8<sup>th</sup> grade by 18 percentage points for students with disabilities.
- Increase student performance in meeting / exceeding categories on the CRCT in English Language Arts in 6<sup>th</sup> grade by 9 percentage points, in 7<sup>th</sup> grade by 6 percentage points, and in 8<sup>th</sup> grade by 3 percentage points for ELL students.

### Project Objectives

Our project objectives reflect the our current strategies and those that we plan to implement over the projected 5 year grant period in order to achieve our literacy goals. As part of our current literacy plan, students who score 825 and above on the Reading CRCT qualify to enroll in a World Language course of instruction. Students who qualify for World Language receive 55 minutes of literacy instruction. Bear Creek MS students who do not score 825 and above on the CRCT are enrolled in a Reading class. These students engage in 110 minutes of literacy instruction daily. We utilize the Understanding by Design curriculum framework to plan units and lessons. Students engage in guided reading, literature circles, shared reading and writing, and independent writing through the Writing to Win writing program in their literacy classes.

With grant funding, we plan to implement the following strategies to better address our areas of concern. An intensive professional learning plan will include the following training: professional learning for RDG/ELA teachers on strategies to teach phonemic awareness, decoding, and comprehension; professional learning for all teachers on instructional strategies to use to teach and support student reading comprehension and vocabulary acquisition skills; professional learning on Problem-based learning for all teachers; professional learning on how to leverage existing data to identify student performance gaps, and methods of monitoring current performance of at-risk students, and professional learning for the entire staff to build their capacity to work interdependently within high-performing collaborative teams. We will acquire and implement technology in the following ways: ensure access to web-based technologies for teachers to identify, diagnose, and measure specific reading skills; ensure access for students and teachers to a supplemental web-based program to provide individualized reading instruction, vocabulary acquisition skills, and progress monitoring; utilize e-readers, decoding pens, MP3 players, tablets, digital texts, and document cameras to enhance and transform learning. We will enhance the school-to-home connection to engage parents through family literacy workshops designed to promote literacy skills in the home. The goal of these workshops is to teach parents how to facilitate successful reading practice at home with their student. Access to a variety of literacy media will support this practice.

***b. Scientific, Evidence-Based Literacy Plan (10 points)***

The Bear Creek MS Literacy Team has developed a comprehensive Literacy Plan with activities, timelines, accountability mechanisms, milestones and benchmarks. The plan is outlined in the table below.

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Time-line</b>	<b>Person(s) Coordinating Activity</b>	<b>Person(s) Involved in Activity</b>	<b>Milestones</b>	<b>Benchmarks</b>
Professional learning with integrated approach to higher-order thinking skills, student engagement and motivation, real-world tasks and problem solving, and use of technology	Spring 2012 – Spring 2013	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators	Teachers	Professional Learning completed	Professional learning implemented with developed lessons or units as evidence
Professional learning on phonemic awareness, decoding, and reading comprehension	Summer 2012 – Fall 2012	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators	Reading, ELA teachers	Professional Learning completed	Professional learning implemented with developed lessons or units as evidence
Professional learning for the entire staff to build capacity to work interdependently within high-performing collaborative teams	Early Fall 2012 with on-going follow-up	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators	All staff including administrative team and support staff	Professional Learning completed	Professional learning implemented; Collaborative team rubric evaluation results
Professional learning on teaching reading comprehension and vocabulary acquisition skills	Fall 2012 – on-going	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators	Teachers	Professional Learning completed	Professional learning implemented; teacher lesson plans; student work samples and assessment results
Professional learning on problem-based learning for all teachers	Spring 2012 – Spring 2013	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators	Teachers	Professional Learning completed	Professional learning implemented; number of teachers participating in initial PBL course offering in Spring, 2012; PBL cases developed and implemented by teachers; feedback from Georgia Institute of Technology course facilitators
Professional learning on use of existing data to identify student performance gaps and methods of monitoring current performance of	Fall 2012 – Ongoing	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators	Teachers	Professional Learning completed	Professional learning implemented; sign-in sheets from training sessions

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Time-line</b>	<b>Person(s) Coordinating Activity</b>	<b>Person(s) Involved in Activity</b>	<b>Milestones</b>	<b>Benchmarks</b>
at-risk students in terms of literacy skills					
Plan a calendar for common academic and interdisciplinary team planning meetings	Fall 2012 – Ongoing	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators; Curriculum Assistant Principal	Grade Level Content teams	Calendar created	Adherence to calendar by teacher teams; meeting minutes from team meetings
Plan a calendar for activities to be completed during afterschool planning time	Fall 2012 – Ongoing	Literacy Team Lead Facilitators; Curriculum Assistant Principal	Grade Level Content teams	Minutes from planning sessions	Time Sheets; Artifacts from afterschool planning sessions (unit lesson plans, assessments, performance tasks)
Analyze student data	Fall 2012 – Ongoing	Teachers	Teachers	Content team meeting agendas	Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) completed by each teacher
Use assessment data to make instructional decisions	Spring 2012 – Ongoing	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers making adjustments to lesson plans based on assessment data	Adjustments to lesson plans; reassessment data
Purchase of technology	Summer 2012 – Ongoing	Principal	Input from Literacy Team and Teachers; Media Center staff	Technology order placed; technology acquired	Implementation of technology in classrooms as documented via observations and lesson plans; use of technology by students in the home as documented by checkout records
Use web-based program to supplement the identification of struggling readers and to identify and provide appropriate interventions	Fall 2012 – Ongoing	Literacy Team	Reading and ELA Teachers	Purchase and implementation of web-based program	Analysis of data provided by reports generated by program at least monthly
Schedule students in targeted tutoring classes to support their progress	Early Fall 2012	Admin-istration; Content team teachers	Content team teachers	Identi-fication of student weaknesses;	Record of student attendance in targeted skills sessions; student

Activity	Time-line	Person(s) Coordinating Activity	Person(s) Involved in Activity	Milestones	Benchmarks
				established schedule for students to attend tutoring sessions	assessment results
Professional learning in RTI	Pre-planning (Fall 2012) – on-going	SST Chairperson	Teachers	Professional learning completed	Professional learning completed
Use RTI to plan interventions with struggling readers	Spring 2012	Administration, SST Chairperson	Inter-disciplinary teams	Plans made	Plans fully implemented and ready for students next year
Engage family through engaging family literacy workshops	2012 – ongoing	Literacy Team	Parents, students and teachers	Program from workshops	Program evaluations
Seek additional ways to increase parent involvement	2012 – ongoing	Leadership Team	Leadership Team	Logs of meetings/contacts with parents	Increase in number of parent contacts and attendance for events
Yearly assessment of professional learning needs	2012 – ongoing	Principal; Literacy Team	Input from teachers	Teacher Survey and Self-reflection	Survey results
Classroom Observations	2012 – ongoing	Administration; Teachers	Administration; Teachers	Notes from observations	Conferences with teachers
Yearly evaluation of grant process	2012 – ongoing	Administration; Literacy Team	Administration; Literacy Team	Reports Completed	Reports Filed

The current instructional schedule includes 55 minute instructional periods for all academic content classes (ELA/RDG/SCI/SS/MATH/World Languages) at all grade levels. Students taking a World Language receive 55 minutes of literacy instruction; students not enrolled in a World Language receive 110 minutes of literacy instruction. The table below

references an example of a 6<sup>th</sup> grade schedule; 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grades have the same academic schedule, the exception is that the connection class time-frame would differ.

Schedule		Not Taking a World Language	Taking a World Language
Pd.	Time		
2 <sup>nd</sup>	8:40-9:35	Reading	World Language (French or Spanish)
3 <sup>rd</sup>	9:40-10:35	Math	Social Studies
4 <sup>th</sup>	10:45-12:05 (30 min. lunch)	ELA	Math
5 <sup>th</sup>	12:10-1:05	Social Studies	Science
6 <sup>th</sup>	1:10-2:10 (5 min. locker)	Science	Social Studies
7 <sup>th</sup>	2:15-3:35	Connections Classes	Connections Classes

Although some content teams have developed unit plans that list key academic vocabulary and identify various graphic organizers to use to teach concepts, there is no plan in place for literacy instruction in content areas outside of reading and ELA for all students. Additionally, all areas need professional development in implementing researched based pedagogical skills for reading in the content areas.

Plan for Tiered Literacy Instruction

At Bear Creek MS, professional learning time is allocated to identify at-risk students. We use pre-planning days and specified collaborative planning time during the school year to analyze multiple types of data: formative, summative, qualitative, and quantitative. The emphasis is on collaborative analyses that lead to instructional improvement and differentiation. Using the data, at-risk students are identified and scheduled into courses that provide tiered levels of intervention. This is as minimally intrusive as peer collaboration or as restrictive as a self-contained classroom. However, data drives instructional settings and strategies for students. We provide professional learning to teachers for differentiating instruction, effective feedback, and Response to Intervention (RTI) with its tiered supports for student learning. We are following the federal government's RTI model. We document the results of weekly and unit assessments for at-risk students, look for patterns to determine a student's area of weakness, and

implement several strategies aimed to provide support for that particular weakness the student is experiencing over a 4 week period. At 4-week intervals over a 12-week period, the team of teachers and support staff meet, collaborate, and analyze data to determine if progress has been made and if the prescribed strategies have been successful. After the final 12 weeks, a team that includes teachers, the IST, school psychologist, and student support team coordinator meets to determine if an evaluation is warranted to determine eligibility for Special Education Services.

#### Existing Resources (Time, Personnel, and Strategies) for Tier I-Tier IV Instruction

Bear Creek MS provides remediation for those students who demonstrate the need for additional support from the CRCT data. The resources for tiered instruction are the following:

- Extended Day for ELA/Reading
- SOLO – intervention for students with disabilities provided during Connections period
- Language! – Intervention for students with disabilities designed to address the needs of students reading 3 to 5 years below grade level.
- Supplemental Education Services – free tutoring services for students provided through state funding.
- Tutoring providing by teachers

All teachers have received training on the RTI process. A Student Support Team (SST) Coordinator assists teachers in the identification of at-risk students and strategies to assist them.

We use interventions such as Renzulli Learning to help differentiate instruction, academic prompts or RAFTS (Role of the Writer, Audience, Format, and Topic) and performance tasks.

Renzulli Learning is a web-based program designed to help students achieve by focusing on their strengths, and interests. All teachers, parents, and students have access to this resource. Teachers have received training on the software to aid in differentiating instruction. Additionally, each

teacher has participated in professional learning during the current school year to improve their ability to differentiate learning for students. Teams of teachers have developed RAFTS and differentiated performance tasks with associated rubrics and checklists for almost every unit of instruction for each content area. Teachers also revisit assessment questions from standards that were not mastered on subsequent assessments. If awarded the Striving Reader Grant, careful consideration would be given to ensure that the initiative could be implemented as designed. Existing incentive-based programs would not interfere with the implementation of the Striving Reader Grant.

***c. Strategies and Materials to Support Literacy Plan (existing and proposed) to Include Technology (5 points)***

The following existing resources support our literacy plan:

- Extended Learning- This program is offered to at-risk students twice a week from October through March totaling 80 instructional hours.
- Supplemental Tutoring – Teachers provide additional tutoring after school and during lunch time. ESOL teachers provide homework assistance in the morning before school each day.
- Saturday School – All students were invited to participate in CRCT review sessions for Mathematics in the weeks leading up to the CRCT administration to remediate weaknesses that surfaced on the district's Checkpoints benchmark assessments. A variety of academic vocabulary strategies were incorporated in each of these sessions.
- SOLO – This computer-assisted program aids students in writing and reading. It has an auditory feedback read component which reads back to students what they have written and/or internet sites and e-books. The program is also used to read assessment items to SWD who require this service as a part of their Individualized Education Plan.

- 25 Book / Million Words Campaign / Tickets to Rewards – Students are required to read a certain number of books, and this is documented on a Reading Log signed by parents. Students earn tickets that can be redeemed for rewards based on the number of books read.
- Writing Boot Camp – 8th grade students receive intensive writing review and practice from a group of ELA teachers in the 2 weeks leading up to the administration of the Georgia Grade 8 Writing Assessment. Students participate in 3-4 hour sessions over multiple days. Reading Logs – Students in some Reading classes are required to keep reading logs of the books, magazine articles, or other text they read over the course of the school year.
- Writing Across the Curriculum – The goal of having students to write in every class is being accomplished via RAFTS that teachers have written as a result of the professional learning on Differentiated Instruction. In some cases, the RAFTS are specific to one content area, while in other cases they are being used to connect multiple content areas.

#### Proposed Resources

- Web-based reading diagnostic and support tool to diagnose where students are and a method to monitor the progress of students throughout the school year
- Computers, e-readers, tablets, MP3 players
- Professional learning related to use of e-readers, tablets, and MP3 players, reading strategies, problem-based learning, integrating instruction on critical and creative thinking skills, engagement/motivational Strategies, authentic learning tasks, and technology enhancement of instruction and learning, and on strategies to empower students through the use of digital literacy tool to create a vision for their future that will result in increased self-efficacy leading to sustained increases in student achievement

**d. Project Procedures and Supports (5 points)**

The structure to implement tiered instruction has been somewhat limited over the past several years due to budgetary constraints. For example, our remedial Reading course was eliminated because of a reduction in allocated remedial positions. Consequently, teachers make efforts to seize extra instructional time for targeted tutorials. 2 examples are having students come back during lunch or during Connections class time. There needs to be a strengthening of the implementation of developed strategies from the SST process, especially during the informal collaboration phase. Currently, a web-based program that targets individual weaknesses would have a better chance of impacting student performance. Teachers are in more control of structuring classroom time for students to engage in such activities. Transitioning to a schedule that most easily accommodates a structure that fully supports tiered activities is feasible through the reinstatement of the Connections Remedial Reading position.

**e. Professional Learning Strategies Identified on the Basis of Documented Needs (5 points)**

Instructional staff members at Bear Creek participate in on-site professional learning to improve their abilities to differentiate instruction and collect student feedback.

Professional Learning Conducted within Past Year

Professional Learning Topic	PL Hours	% of Staff Attending	Comments
Rubric Development	3	100	All instructional areas
Data Utilization - Assessment For Learning – developing and utilizing common assessments to improve instruction	30	84	Core Content Areas 6 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup>
Learning Focused Schools Strategies	1	9	All Instructional Areas
Integrating Technology – student response system (clickers)	3	35	Core Content Areas 6 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup>
Reading and Writing Across the Curriculum	4	100	School-wide all classes
Technology Integration – AdaptiveCurriculum.com	3	34	Math & Science 6 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup>

Professional Learning Topic	PL Hours	% of Staff Attending	Comments
Ticket To Reading Rewards – reading and response to literature	1	9	School-wide –Reading/ELA
Differentiated Instruction	5	100	School-wide all classes

The professional development plan for this school year includes the following activities: Differentiated Instruction, Student Feedback, Learning Focused Schools Strategies, Use of high level questioning, Technology Integration, Data Utilization, Writing Across the Curriculum, Writing to Win, Ticket To Reading Rewards and responses as needed based on teacher needs.

Professional Learning Needs

While conducting our needs assessment process we identified additional professional learning need to support the literacy plan including: implementing reading strategies across content areas; supporting parents to assist their children to become better readers; Excel and data analysis; technology to enhance learning; writing across content areas; problem-based learning methods; and collaborative professional development between 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> grade Literature teachers in order to identify standards necessary for high school success. With this grant we plan to focus professional learning on activities for teachers to differentiate instruction using higher-order thinking skills with relevant authentic tasks. As a result of the training teachers participate in, students will develop videos using digital video techniques that involve the 7-step writing process to improve literacy. We have used many professional learning delivery methods including in-person half-day and full-day, peer teacher, and online. While some teachers benefit from each method we have found that the most effective method is direct delivery that allows teachers to participate with their colleagues. The collaborative learning process is most beneficial for teachers allowing them to share strategies and engage in meaningful dialogue regarding effective implementation of techniques learned during the training.

**f. Assessment / Data Analysis Plan (5 points)**

<b>Assessment</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Skills</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
Checkpoints	Screening Progress Monitor Diagnostic	Reading Comprehension Vocabulary	Twice each Semester Pre/Post
Common Summative Assessments	Progress Monitor Outcome	Reading Comprehension Vocabulary	Monthly
Common Formative Assessments	Progress Monitor Diagnostic	Reading Comprehension Vocabulary	Weekly
ITBS	Progress Monitor Screening	Reading Comprehension Vocabulary	Annually
Grade 8 Writing Assessment	Outcome	Vocabulary	Annually
Student Writing Samples	Screening Progress Monitor Diagnostic	Vocabulary Reading Comprehension	Quarterly

Explanation of Current Data Analysis Protocol

Teachers collect data using Common Weekly Formative Assessments to determine students' mastery of the standards taught during a given week. The data is used to guide and adjust instruction. Teachers collect data using Common Monthly/Unit Summative Assessments to determine students' mastery of standards. The data is used to make adjustments to the implementation of the curriculum. Teachers incorporate performance tasks to enable students to demonstrate their learning and mastery of standards. Teachers analyze data from common weekly formative assessments, monthly/unit assessments, performance tasks, Checkpoints, and past CRCT. Teachers identify students who did not meet standards on the CRCT last year and provide individual support within classrooms. Teachers develop lesson plans aligned to the Georgia Performance Standards that reflect data-driven instructional decisions. Teachers use data to identify the needs of each level of student. Our school commits to using the SRI assessment as part of the Striving Reader project. Currently, the school uses the data from the CRCT, ITBS and classroom assessments to identify students' reading deficiencies.

### Professional Learning Required to Implement New Assessments

Administrators and teachers will participate in the Georgia Department of Education's professional learning sessions to learn how to administer SRI assessments, how to develop tiered reading resource lists to support differentiation, and to how to access online resources for additional support. Professional learning is required so that all teachers understand the fundamentals of the relationship of reader ability and text readability.

### How Assessment Data is Shared with Parents

Parental engagement is critical to our students' success. Student performance data for the school is discussed with parents during Open House, Curriculum Nights and at the State of the School Address. Specific student data is shared during parent conferences or is provided in student packets. Parents of 6th and 7th grade students receive detailed data in the standards-based report cards. Our parent liaison serves as the point of contact for parents. The liaison is responsible for assessing parent needs and concerns. The liaison works cooperatively with teachers and administrators to devise strategies to meet the identified needs of the parents. We communicate with parents through multiple communication channels, such as email, U.S. mail, calling posts, newsletters, PTSA meetings. The liaison also assists parents in obtaining and using Home Access Center which gives parents online access to their students' grades, attendance and demographic information. Each year, incoming 6th graders and their parents are invited to participate in a workshop, *The Secrets to Surviving Middle School*, where students and their parents are exposed to expectations and processes of middle school. Parents are encouraged to participate in conferences and curriculum nights where they interact with their children. For example, on 'Writing Night,' parents receive the state rubric for the Middle Grades Writing Assessment. They have the opportunity to see exemplars, brainstorm, write transitional sentences and review the writing process.

**IV. Experience of the Applicant (10 points)**

**A. LEA Initiatives**

Fulton County Schools has a strong track record of effectively implementing large, competitive grants at the federal, state, and private foundation level. Examples are included in the table below.

<b>Competitive Grant Title</b>	<b>Funded Amount</b>	<b>Audit Findings</b>
Smaller Learning Communities grant	\$5.2M	No audit findings
Readiness & Emergency Management for Schools grant	\$608,355	No audit findings
Teaching American History grant	\$989,801	No audit findings
Carol M. White Physical Education grant	\$1.5M	No audit findings
Mathematics and Science Partnership grant	\$440,356	No audit findings
Strategic Data Project grant	\$500,000	No audit findings

At the federal level, in 2008, Fulton County Schools received a \$5.2 million Smaller Learning Communities grant from the U.S. Department of Education to develop career-focused academies in four high-need high schools, including the targeted Creekside High School. The initiative has been successful; participating schools have seen increased student proficiency on the state tests, increased graduation rates, and increased enrollment in postsecondary education the year following graduation. Other competitive, federal grant awards include a \$608,355 Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools grant in 2009, a \$1 million Teaching American History grant in 2008, and a \$1.5 million Carol M. White Physical Education Program grant in 2004. At the state level, FCS has received multiple Mathematics and Science Partnership Program grants from the Georgia Department of Education – the current grant valued at \$440,356. Further, Fulton County Schools received a Strategic Data Project grant valued at approximately \$500,000 from the Center for Education Policy Research at Harvard University. The Strategic Data Project, funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, is a national

education initiative to help school district and state leaders increase student achievement and attainment through data-informed decision-making.

### **B. Initiatives Implemented Without Outside Funding Support**

FCS has developed and implemented numerous education programs designed to increase student achievement using general operating funds. These programs attend to the delivery of student-focused instruction, ongoing assessment, use of data, and continuous improvement. Our benchmark assessment program, known as Checkpoints, assesses student mastery of the Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) in a pre-test/post-test format each semester. Teachers and principals have easy access to Checkpoints data for formative instructional planning, as well as placement of students within the on-level, advanced or accelerated curriculum through an online Student Achievement Management System (SAMS). Teachers access SAMS to support instructional practices through pacing guides, units, lesson plans and instructional resources for all curricular areas and grade levels. The utilization of these formative assessments and the analysis of student-specific data have enabled teachers to implement differentiated learning strategies to improve student achievement. Since initial implementation of the GPS, tools such as Checkpoints and SAMS have helped the district demonstrate steady gains in the percentage of students meeting and exceeding state standards in all grades and subjects.

### **C. Capacity to Coordinate Resources**

As demonstrated through our history with successful implementation of multiple federal, state and private grants and internal initiatives, FCS staff and faculty have the capacity and expertise to successfully implement large, complex initiatives. FCS will implement the proposed Striving Reader project on time and within budget. The FCS management team has extensive experience working across departments and schools as well as with external partners to achieve

project goals. Staff members from partnering organizations, i.e., United Way, Sheltering Arms, and the Woodruff Arts Center, all have experience implementing complex projects that are time and budget constrained.

#### **D. Sustainability of Initiatives Implemented by the LEA**

FCS is committed to the Striving Reader project and values the need to provide high-quality literacy support to targeted schools. Dr. Robert Avossa, the superintendent, has made this initiative a district priority. Establishing the grant committee and conducting needs assessments have provided a solid foundation for FCS' Striving Reader grant and have allowed district and school leaders to plan with the end in mind. That is, the district and targeted schools have established long-term goals and incorporated sustainability considerations into their literacy plans. FCS will sustain programming beyond the grant period by securing funding from a variety of sources. FCS general operating funds will be used to support literacy investments. Title II, Part A funds will help support professional development in literacy. Grant funding from local and national philanthropic organizations will be pursued to sustain the literacy interventions over time. Prospective funders who have a philanthropic focus on supporting literacy initiatives include: Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian Program, National Endowment for the Humanities, The Libri Foundation, The Braitmayer Foundation, The Malone Family Foundation, etc. The local business community also will be solicited for corporate contributions to support literacy interventions, e.g., Dollar General, Verizon, AT&T, Target, Wal-Mart, Sylvan Dell Publishing, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, Barnes and Noble, ING, Sun Trust, etc.

#### **V. Resources (10 points)**

##### **A. Coordination with Existing Programs and Family Literacy Services**

The district has the capacity to implement with fidelity a large, complex grant like the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Project and align resources to complement existing

funding streams. FCS is not included in the state's Race to the Top grant, nor does it receive any School Improvement Grant funding. Thus, FCS is currently not implementing any district-wide, federally-funded reform initiatives that might absorb limited capacity within schools. The targeted schools are willing and excited about implementing a Striving Reader grant. The table below summarizes select state and federal funding received by the district and allocated to the targeted schools and centers.

<b>Resources Available</b>	<b>Title I Funds</b>	<b>Title II Funds</b>	<b>Bright From the Start Funds</b>
<i>Fulton County Schools</i>	\$20,685,036	\$2,330,577	\$5,650,000
Bear Creek MS	\$287,040	n/a	n/a
Bethune ES	\$197,440	n/a	\$98,530
Campbell ES	\$248,320	n/a	\$59,806
Creekside HS	n/a	n/a	n/a
E.C. West ES	\$162,134	n/a	\$158,336
Love T. Nolan ES	\$200,640	n/a	\$109,071
McClarín HS	\$76,480	n/a	n/a
Oakley ES	\$196,160	n/a	\$59,806
Palmetto ES	\$132,160	n/a	\$109,071
Sheltering Arms (4 centers)	n/a	n/a	\$473,308

**Title I** is a federally funded program designed to ensure that all children have a fair, equal, and significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education and reach, at a minimum, proficiency on challenging state academic standards and state academic assessments. The district's Title I Office provides Title I schools with extensive technical assistance on the appropriate use of funds to comply with federal funding rules and regulations to ensure that funds supplement and not supplant existing resources. Title I funding will complement Striving Reader investments in literacy. **Title II** funding is held at the district level and supports all schools; individual schools do not receive a Title II allocation. The purpose of Title II, Part A is

to increase the academic achievement of all students by helping schools and districts improve teacher and principal quality and ensuring that all teachers are highly qualified. Priorities for the district's Title II, Part A funding include: moving all non-highly qualified teachers to highly qualified status within an appropriate timeframe, assisting special education teachers with gaining the content knowledge necessary to add content concentrations to their certificates, revising procedures for selecting and retaining professionally certified and highly qualified teachers, and supporting professional development activities aimed at building teacher and principal effectiveness. The purpose of Title II, Part D is to improve student academic achievement through the use of technology in elementary schools and secondary schools. Title II, Part D formula grants for 2010–2011 created a scaffold upon which the Striving Reader Grant can continue to build. Besides having basic literacy skills, today's students also need technology skills for communicating, investigating, accessing and using information, computing, thinking critically about messages inherent in new media, and understanding and evaluating data. Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning (**Bright from the Start**) is responsible for meeting the child care and early education needs of Georgia's children and their families. Currently, FCS' Pre-K program provides 6.5 hours of instructional time, five days per week, and 160 days per year for eligible children. The school district receives state lottery funding through Bright from the Start to pay for classroom teachers, equipment, materials, and supplies to support its Pre-K program. The portion of the Pre-K grant funds that are allocated to the school level budgets are for teacher and teacher assistant salaries, substitutes, and benefits. The district also allocates funds to school budgets for instructional materials and supplies, field trips and postage. The remaining Pre-K grant funds are kept at the district level for distribution to schools as needed and for support of students, parent involvement, teachers, and classrooms. Bright from the Start

funding for the Sheltering Arms early learning centers covers teacher and assistant salaries and benefits, instructional materials and supplies, and other non-instructional costs.

Federal funding from Title I and II as well as state funding from Bright from the Start will complement investments made with Striving Reader funds to ensure alignment with student needs. FCS will incorporate Striving Reader plans and funds into its annual planning and review process in order to ensure funds are used to supplement, not supplant, Federal, state or local funds and to ensure alignment of resources. As schools submit their School Improvement Plans coupled with their Title I and Title II Plans to their Area Superintendents and to the Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum and Support for review, close attention will be paid to the alignment of identified student needs, instructional strategies to address the needs, timelines for implementation and monitoring, and budgets, to ensure that all resources are focused and converge to support student achievement in the area of literacy and will not be used to supplant public funds. Area Superintendents provide feedback to schools on drafts and must sign-off on the final versions of the school plans.

## **VI. Management Plan and Key Personnel (10 points)**

Administrators, faculty members and program staff from FCS, United Way, Sheltering Arms and Woodruff Arts Center have the capacity and expertise to successfully implement our Striving Reader grant. Qualifications for key personnel are laid out below.

### **A. Management Plan and Key Personnel Responsible for Grant Implementation**

The FCS management team has extensive experience working across departments and with external partners to achieve project goals and thus will implement the proposed project on time and within budget. Faculty from partnering institutions all have experience implementing complex projects that are time and budget constrained. The following individuals are qualified

for their role and committed to improving literacy in targeted schools and early learning centers.

The full time equivalent (FTE) for Fulton County Schools' staff to implement the grant is included in parentheses.

Dr. Robert Avossa – FCS Superintendent (0.025 FTE) – will be ultimately responsible for grant implementation. Dr. Avossa will keep the Fulton County Board of Education briefed on grant progress and results and will allocate the necessary resources to ensure fidelity of implementation. Dr. Avossa received his Ph.D. from Wingate University.

Dr. Linda Anderson – FCS Deputy Superintendent Instruction (0.05 FTE) will chair the Striving Reader Governing Board and provide strategic oversight for grant implementation. Dr. Anderson reports directly to the Superintendent; she received her Ph.D. in Educational Leadership from Mercer University.

Amy Krause – FCS Assistant Superintendent Curriculum and Support (0.10 FTE) will be accountable for the Striving Reader grant and will supervise the Striving Reader Project Director to integrate proposed strategies and supports with other system processes to ensure alignment. Ms. Krause has served as the district's liaison on several literacy initiatives including Reading Recovery with Georgia State University and Reading First with the Georgia Department of Education. As a former reading specialist in the state of Alabama, Ms. Krause understands the components of a balanced literacy approach. Ms. Krause holds a Specialist degree in Educational Leadership and a Master of Arts in Education with a concentration in Early Childhood and also Administration and Instructional Supervision.

Dr. Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent (0.1 FTE) is the Instructional Area Superintendent for the Creekside cluster of schools—the main feeder pattern in this grant. He will serve on the Striving Reader Governing Board and will ensure vertical alignment of

curriculum and professional learning across Striving Reader schools. In his capacity as a member of the FCS Executive Leadership team, Dr. Shandor will communicate best practices to schools across the district to support sustainability of Striving Reader strategies. Dr. Shandor holds a Master's degree in Special Education and an Ed.D. in Educational Leadership.

Larry Wallace – FCS Striving Reader Project Director (1.0 FTE) will coordinate the Striving Reader project and manage the grant budget. Mr. Wallace will serve as a bridge among the schools and the functional areas involved. Externally, he will liaise with project partners on an operational level and will work collectively with partners to ensure their services are integrated into the project design. Mr. Wallace has most recently served as Project Director for the district's \$5.2 million Smaller Learning Communities grant. He has extensive experience managing complex projects, involving multiple partners, with significant reporting requirements. Mr. Wallace holds a Master of Arts in Education with a concentration in Instructional Technology and a Specialist in Education with a concentration in Educational Leadership.

Katrina Mitchell – UWMA Senior Director, Education will serve on the Striving Reader Governing Board. Ms. Mitchell is responsible for coordinating all professional learning for Sheltering Arms faculty and FCS Pre-K teachers in targeted elementary schools.

Amy Hobart – Sheltering Arms Director of Early Care and Education will sit on the Governing Board and act as liaison for the Sheltering Arms Striving Reader component. Ms. Hobart designs and delivers training to enhance the effectiveness of the Sheltering Arms teaching and family support staff based on national competency goals and indicators in early education, and provides program-level consultation and training to build the capacity of the center management teams in developmentally appropriate practice.

Carol Jones – Woodruff Arts Center, Alliance Theatre - Director of Institute for Educators and Teaching Artists will serve on the Striving Reader Governing Board and is responsible for coordinating all professional learning for teachers participating in the Alliance Theatre’s literacy-based programs Pre-K – 2 Georgia Wolf Trap Early Learning Through the Arts, Reader’s Theatre, and Collision Plus.

**B. Commitment to Implementation Plan**

The individuals named in the table below support the district’s Striving Reader grant proposals and are committed to implementing grant strategies and activities with fidelity. The Instructional Area Superintendents are champions of the Striving Reader grant because it provides more focused attention to targeted schools’ literacy needs.

Site/District	Individual Responsible	Supervisor
<i>Site-Level Coordinators - Fulton County Schools</i>		
Bear Creek MS	Darron Franklin – Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Bethune ES	Zina Rhodes – Principal	Iris Moran – Instructional Area Superintendent
Campbell ES	Denine Cadet - Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Creekside HS	Earnest Killum – Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Evoline C. West ES	Catherine Smith – Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Love T. Nolan ES	Chandra Lemons – Principal	Iris Moran – Instructional Area Superintendent
McClarín HS	Anita Lee – Principal	Susan Dorenkamp – Instructional Area Superintendent
Oakley ES	Vonnie Thompson - Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Palmetto ES	Carlene Millen - Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
<i>Site-Level Coordinators - Sheltering Arms</i>		
East Point Center	Kathy Bolling – Acting Director	Bernice Paschal – Program Coordinator
Oakley Township Center	Ron McNair – Center Director	Bernice Paschal – Program Coordinator

Site/District	Individual Responsible	Supervisor
Stonewall Tell Center	Rosalyn Williams – Center Director	Lee Shaw – Program Coordinator
Welcome All Center	Linda Rhea – Center Director	Bernice Paschal – Program Coordinator
Professional Learning Coordinator	Lisa McDermott - Facilitator	Karen Cox – Executive Director, Professional Learning
Instructional Technology Coordinator	Mindy Ramon - IT Training Support Coordinator	Kathy Politis – Director, Instructional Technology
Literacy Assessment Coordinator	Mary Rulo – Support Specialist, ELA	Sherrie Moss – Director, ELA
Purchasing	Professional Assistant	Larry Wallace – Striving Reader Project Director

**VII. Sustainability Plan (10 points)**

Fulton County Schools’ Striving Reader grant can serve as a blueprint that can be scaled and replicated to build principal, early learning site director and teacher capacity to improve literacy achievement for all of our students from birth through 12<sup>th</sup> grade. Our targeted schools and Sheltering Arms’ early learning centers will become demonstration sites for how to systemically align multiple funding sources to improve literacy across all content areas. The district will create the Striving Reader Governing Board comprised of key personnel from the central office, targeted schools, United Way, Sheltering Arms, and the Woodruff Arts Center. The governing board, chaired by Dr. Anderson, will meet biannually to review program data, assess results, monitor progress toward goals and sustain program outcomes over time. Board members will serve as ambassadors to share best practices at local and state literacy forums. Through the district’s experience with other large-scale initiatives, it has developed a healthy appetite for data analysis and program improvement. For example, annual evaluations of the Smaller Learning Communities grant have pushed participating schools to reconsider certain approaches and develop new strategies to not only meet grant objectives, but to ensure grant activities become

embedded in schools' strategic plans beyond the life of the grant. These lessons learned will be carried over to the implementation of the Striving Reader grant.

**A. Expanding the Lessons Learned with other Schools and New Teachers**

A goal of the Striving Reader grant is to identify best practices at participating schools and share those strategies with schools throughout the district to ensure sustainability. At the district level, the communication of best practices will be the responsibility of the Instructional Area Superintendent (Dr. Vic Shandor) and the Project Director. Participating schools will share lessons learned at the district's annual Best Practices Conference for teachers, instructional staff and school leaders. In addition, participating schools will be expected to share their experiences with all schools and divisions within the district through technical assistance workshops, school site visits, and administrative staff meetings. For example, teachers of English learners in Striving Reader schools can demonstrate through lesson plans how they scaffold instruction by using best practices for EL when developing oral language, reading skills, and writing.

**B. Extending the Assessment Protocol Beyond the Grant Period**

Beyond the life of the grant, the Dynamic Indicator of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS Next) and Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI) can be used to provide essential data for all students. Not only does DIBELS Next provide a fluency measure, it also assesses early phonemic awareness and first sound fluency of an individual student. The SRI provides benchmark assessments for the identification of students' reading skills; SRI guides teachers to differentiate and offer intervention strategies for struggling students. Students receive a Lexile score based on the comprehension of a text which has an equivalent reading level. Once a student masters one level, the program gives another reading passage at a higher level. Media centers categorize books by their Lexile levels; therefore, SRI helps match the reader to the

appropriate text for 75% reading accuracy rate. An accuracy rate ensures that appropriate challenge is built in, but the level of frustration for the student will be low. The grant-sponsored professional development for DIBELS Next and SRI will ensure that all staff in targeted schools will be trained in the use of these assessments.

### **C. Extending the Professional Learning Practices beyond the Grant Period to New Staff**

UWMA will provide Sheltering Arms early learning teachers and FCS Pre-K teachers with the skills and knowledge to enhance the early language, literacy, and pre-reading development of young children, particularly those from low income families, through strategies and professional development that are grounded in scientifically-based reading research and family literacy. The professional development plan systematically addresses early literacy skills essential to future reading success by providing seminars, field trips to model classrooms, study groups, in-classroom coaching, summer institute and local and national conferences. The success of UWMA's programs can be measured by the quality of the classroom environment, child outcomes that assess the main skills predictive of reading success, the use of valid assessment instruments, and the professional learning opportunities provided to teachers. Aspects of the Striving Reader professional learning practices delivered by United Way that will be continued beyond the grant period include: enhancing classroom learning environments, providing teachers with new ways to help children improve their language, providing coaching and early literacy training for teachers to help them fully integrate literacy throughout their classrooms, engaging families in their child's early reading experiences to reinforce these skills at home.

As Striving Reader schools bring on new staff in future years, literacy training will be provided as part of new teacher development. Curriculum contacts (High School Department Chairs, Middle School Coordinators, and Elementary Curriculum Support Teachers) will provide

opportunities for new teachers to learn grant-funded strategies, such as those learned through the partnership with the Woodruff Arts Center, and use resources during the first two years of induction as a part of each school's new teacher support program. These programs support the new teacher's transition into the school. An assigned mentor teacher will work with new staff as they begin to plan and implement instructional strategies that support the school's literacy plan.

#### **D. Sustaining Technology beyond the Grant Period**

On November 8, 2011 Fulton County voters extended a one-penny sales tax to upgrade technology in classrooms and build new schools. The SPLOST (Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax) education tax is expected to raise \$912 million for Fulton County school improvements over its five-year lifetime. The SPLOST proposal calls for technology enhancements, particularly in the areas of wireless, mobile learning devices for student use, updated video and audio recording tools, improved and expanded distance learning for students, virtual space for digital student work, interactive classroom websites with curriculum resources and assignments, updated equipment for technology and career-oriented classes, teacher/student communication and collaboration tools, and online professional learning resources for staff. The district's technology plan will help sustain the Striving Reader investments made in educational technology.

#### **VIII. Evaluation – Assurance of Compliance with GADOE Requirements**

Fulton County Schools will adhere to all OMB, EDGAR, GPRA, GADOE Performance Measures, and grant evaluation requirements. The district will prepare, according to GADOE requirements, an annual evaluation report by June 30<sup>th</sup> each year to document progress towards achieving grant goals.

**IX. Appendices**

- A. Comprehensive Literacy Needs Assessment
- B. Literacy Plan
- C. Letter of Support – United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta
- D. Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms
- E. Letter of Support – Woodruff Arts Center
- F. School Level Data for all Schools in FCS
- G. ITBS 2010 Results
- H. Reading / English Language Arts AMO Results
- I. Map of Targeted Early Learning Centers and Schools
- J. Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant Specific Program Assurances
- K. Needs Assessment: Additional Findings – Bear Creek

## **A. Comprehensive Literacy Needs Assessment**

The district does not have a single needs assessment document that reflects all of the data collection and analysis that was performed for this grant. The core grant committee from the central office plus the faculty and administrators in the targeted schools and centers reviewed a variety of data sources as part of the needs assessment process including:

- Criterion-Referenced Competency Tests (CRCT) performance data for elementary and middle school students
- End of Course Tests (EOCT) performance data for high school students
- 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade Writing Assessments
- Graduation data for high school students
- Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) for 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> graders
- Checkpoints formative assessment data
- Title I Plans
- School Improvement Plans
- Intensive School Plans
- Socio-economic data
- AYP data
- Mobility data
- Attendance data
- Discipline data
- Pre-K waiting lists
- Preschool Special Education (PSE) classroom data
- Georgia Pre-K work sampling data

## **B. Literacy Plan**

Fulton County Schools does not have a documented literacy plan that can be attached to this grant. The literacy leadership through the English/Language Arts Department references research to determine best practice in literacy instruction. The foundational documents are the reports from the Carnegie Corporation: *Time to Act: An Agenda for Advancing Adolescent Literacy for College and Career Readiness, 2010*; *Writing Next: Effective Strategies to Improve Writing of Adolescents in Middle and High School Literacy, 2006*; *Reading Next: A Vision for Action and Research in Middle and High School Literacy, 2004*. For the primary grades, the work of Donald Graves, Lucy Calkins, Richard Allington, Ralph Fletcher, Fountas and Pinnell, and others, form the framework for literary instruction.

A balanced literacy approach through Writer's and Reader's Workshops (Shared Reading and Interactive Read Aloud, Mini Lesson, Teacher Modeling, Student Writing and Teacher Conferencing, and Sharing) has been the instructional focus of the English/Language Arts Department. Because the systemic approach to literacy was fragmented with varieties of writing and reading programs, we have adopted the workshop approach for K-12 Language Arts classes.

The CRCT is designed to measure how well students acquire the skills and knowledge described in the Georgia Performance Standards. The reading class is additional to the Language Arts class that every student takes. The middle school reading course is skills driven. The students perform poorly on comprehension, vocabulary, and fluency elements in reading. While certification satisfies minimum requirements to teach the course, the students often continue to receive instruction in traditional pedagogy that did not work the first several times. The younger students come to school with limited schema of reading readiness, such as reading left to right and top to bottom, and their connections may never reach text to self or text to text connections.

These poor readers need connections to reading other than verbal/linguistics; they need music and art and kinesthetic intelligence activation, not to mention the technology connections.

Classes are encouraged to create Reader's Theater presentations so that students are often on their feet and addressing the listening/speaking/viewing standards.

To complement the work in the anthologies, students read novels assigned to each grade level. Unfortunately, very little choice is afforded the non-reader. Teachers are advised to teach one novel per semester: the first semester novel study is teacher directed; the second semester novel study is student driven through literature circles or other cooperative forms. The intent is to gradually release the responsibility of instruction from the teacher to the student.

## C. Letter of Support – United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta

### United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta

100 Edgewood Avenue, N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
404.527.7200



December 6, 2011

Dr. Robert Avossa  
Superintendent  
Fulton County Public Schools  
786 Cleveland Avenue SW.  
Atlanta, GA 30315

Dear Superintendent Dr. Robert Avossa:

I am writing to you as Vice President of Education at United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta to express our support for Fulton County's application for the Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Project. For more than 10 years, United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta has supported the early childhood community through Smart Start and invested in initiatives like Early Reading First, a USDOE grant program that sustain our education goals to see that children enter school ready to learn, avoid risky behaviors and graduate prepared for careers.

United Way has long been a leader in early care and education services to parents and children from birth to age five. Smart Start has successfully led the state's efforts to improve and enhance the well-being of Georgia's children and has collaboratively engaged other state agencies, parents, child care providers, local school systems, early childhood professional organizations, children's advocacy groups, the business and philanthropic community, higher education and other stakeholders to serve Georgia's children effectively and efficiently.

United Way has worked collaboratively with local, state and regional organizations in advancing programs, refining innovative ideas into best practice models and incorporating lessons learned from large scale grant-making. Relevant examples are in our early learning community-based partnership initiative and the coordination of the coalition of early childhood advocates and professionals who have implemented four U.S. Department of Education-funded Early Reading First grants.

United Way also has a reputation for thoughtfully and strategically convening stakeholders at state-wide and regional levels. One example is our convocation the Early Education Commission that researched best practices in early learning and the consequent impact on Georgia's economic development. Having identified best practices that result in solution-driven strategies that can be sustained over time, the Commission recommended the creation of the Georgia Early Education Alliance for Ready Students (GEEARS) to ensure that advocacy for early education work continues.

Over the past five years, United Way has invested more than 36 million dollars in local, state and federal funding in support of young children and their families. Included are:

- Grants to early childhood providers to provide scholarships to families to cover child care costs

Visit us online at [unitedwayatlanta.org](http://unitedwayatlanta.org)  
To find or give help, dial 2.1.1

**United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta**

100 Edgewood Avenue, N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
404.527.7200



- Technical assistance to child care centers and training of child care providers to secure and maintain national accreditation
- Intensive professional development of early childhood professionals focused on evidence-based practices so that children to have the necessary skills to enter kindergarten ready to learn
- Support services and resources for families that promote parent leadership, understanding of developmental milestones and prevention of child abuse and neglect
- High quality home visiting that matches interested parents with professionals who provide information and support during a child's first three years
- Partnerships that provide linkages to the K - 12 system and the early childhood community
- Opportunities for young children and their families to have quality educational and social experiences that support a successful transition to kindergarten
- Engaging the civic, business and social service community in supporting strategies and initiatives that improve outcomes for early education in Atlanta and throughout Georgia

United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta pledge our support by providing high quality professional learning opportunities based on scientifically based reading research for administrators, teachers, center directors, and family childcare providers. We appreciate this opportunity to partner with Fulton County Public Schools and we enthusiastically endorse the application for the Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Navella Jean Walker, Ph.D." The signature is written in a cursive style.

Navella Jean Walker  
Vice President, Education

Visit us online at [unitedwayatlanta.org](http://unitedwayatlanta.org)  
To find or give help, dial 2.1.1

## D. Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms



# Sheltering Arms

EARLY EDUCATION AND FAMILY CENTERS

385 Centennial Olympic Park Drive ♥ Atlanta, GA 30313 ♥ 404-523-2767 ♥ FAX 404-523-9952

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**  
Elizabeth C. Richards  
Chairman  
T. Shawn Lanier  
Vice Chairman  
Timothy J. Pakenham  
Immediate Past Chairman  
John S. McCall  
Treasurer  
Holly H. Hughes  
Secretary

Kent E. Blackmon, PMP  
W. J. Blane  
Bennie Boswell, Jr.  
Rhonda Burnough  
Gerry Carson  
Wendy L. Conover  
Darrell Cooper  
Vincent Crawford  
Blair Curtis  
Mark Dvorak  
Anthony Embrey  
Paige Green  
Cathy Hilton  
Deva Hirsch  
Jeff Kammerer  
Donna Lowry  
Pegui Mariduena  
Arthur J. McClung, Jr.  
Canditra McLemore  
John Mears  
Rod Odum  
A. Summey Orr III  
Lovette Russell  
Caryn Schistra  
W. Ross Singletary II  
Michael Smith  
Jaclen Steele

**BOARD OF ADVISORS**  
Dr. James Bland  
Trey Childress  
Jocelyn Dorsey  
Charles F. Easley Sr.  
Bill Graves  
John L. Pruitt III  
L. Barry Teague

**HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS**  
Anne H. Carson  
Stiles Y. Conrad  
Robert H. Gunn Jr.  
Janet Johnson  
Virginia S. Williams  
President / CEO  
Elaine P. Draeger

[www.shelteringarmsforkids.com](http://www.shelteringarmsforkids.com)



Accredited by the  
National Association for the  
Education of Young Children  
and supported by Head Start,  
United Way, the Georgia Department  
of Early Care and Learning,  
and center communities.

December 8, 2011

Dr. Robert Avossa  
Superintendent – Fulton County Schools  
786 Cleveland Ave., SW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30315

Dear Dr. Avossa:

It is an honor to provide this letter of support for Fulton County Schools' application for a Striving Readers grant. We are very pleased to be included as a partner in the application.

Sheltering Arms was established in 1888, and since 1990 the number of Sheltering Arms centers has almost doubled from 9 to 16, with an increase in daily capacity from 744 to more than 2,300 children, and services have been added to better address the needs of the family and the community. As a result, the budget has grown from \$3.5 million in 1991 to more than \$26 million today. The agency's programs include Early Head Start for infants and toddlers, Head Start for three and four year olds, the Georgia Pre-kindergarten Program for four year olds, and early childhood education with fees based on family size and income. Sheltering Arms programs are accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC), and have been recognized as a Head Start Program of Excellence, Head Start's highest level of recognition for high quality.

In 2004, Sheltering Arms conducted a \$15 million capital campaign to build four state-of-the-art Early Education and Family Center facilities in south Fulton County. Fulton County Schools was an important partner in this undertaking, providing land adjacent to elementary schools for three of the new facilities. This partnership has endured, and by partnering with Fulton County Schools we are able to provide an innovative model that 1) improves teacher retention and recruitment by providing affordable, convenient early childhood education, 2) improves school readiness in an area that has struggled with performance standards, and 3) develops improved school readiness strategies by tracking the performance of Sheltering Arms alumni in Fulton County elementary schools.

We appreciate this opportunity, and pledge to assist in every way possible to produce a nationally acclaimed Striving Readers initiative.

Sincerely,

Elaine P. Draeger  
President/CEO

EPD/cb

The power of education begins here.™

## E. Letter of Support – Woodruff Arts Center



December 13, 2011

Dr. Robert Avossa  
Superintendent, Fulton County Schools  
786 Cleveland Ave. SW  
Atlanta, GA 30315

RE: Grant request on behalf of Fulton County Schools

Dear Dr. Avossa:

I am delighted to submit a letter in support of the application by the Fulton County Schools for continuing grant support from the Georgia Department of Education under the Striving Readers Program. The Woodruff Arts Center through its various artistic divisions is a major supporter of arts in education (arts integration) as well as a leading supporter of education in the arts. As a stated part of our mission we seek to provide excellence in both arts and education. We interact on and off our campus with children in Georgia schools over a half million times a year. In this effort we have an excellent, long standing and highly valued relationship with the Fulton County Schools.

What is truly remarkable is that our collection of visual arts (High Museum), dramatic arts (Alliance Theater), musical arts (Atlanta Symphony Orchestra) and our in school arts programming (Young Audiences) each support major educational programming aimed at literacy and language development. "I See Literacy," "Sound Learning," "GA Wolf Trap," "Arts4Learning" and "Collision Plus," are each examples of excellent arts integrated programming that address these challenges with positive results.

In addition, our education teams have worked with six Fulton County schools in some experimental research under the Wolf Trap Program that has shown measurable improvement in language development and writing skills. The partnership with the Kennedy Center currently supports programs in two Fulton County schools whereby each grade level has an opportunity to experience a featured work from our Center along with four classroom sessions focused on 21<sup>st</sup> Century skills through arts integration.

1280 Peachtree St. NE  
Atlanta GA 30309

T 404 733 4200  
F 404 733 4393

[www.woodruffcenter.org](http://www.woodruffcenter.org)

Dr. Robert Avossa  
December 13, 2011  
Page 2

I am particularly pleased to support the application of our educational colleagues in the Fulton County School System – not only because they are long standing collaborators who share with us a commitment to address these challenges – but also because they are one of the few systems seeking to integrate arts as a key element in their proposal.

We consider ourselves lucky to have such partners in our shared effort to help increase student learning in literacy and outcomes in life through arts integration. Please know that their application has our unqualified support and endorsement. Please feel free to call with any questions you may have.

With kind regards,

Sincerely yours,



Joseph R. Bankoff  
President & CEO  
Woodruff Arts Center

404 733 4257

**F. School Level Data for all Schools in FCS, based on 2010-2011 school year**

**Elementary Schools**

Elementary School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Abbotts Hill	14%	13%	4%	24%	Yes	4	3%	3	2%
Alpharetta	20%	22%	8%	26%	Yes	5	5%	1	1%
Amana Charter	NA	6%	NA	18%	Yes	2	3%	4	7%
Barnwell	12%	12%	4%	16%	Yes	1	1%	0	0%
Bethune	86%	9%	0%	42%	Yes	23	21%	15	11%
Birmingham Falls	2%	12%	0%	16%	Yes	0	0%	1	1%
Brookview	96%	12%	0%	83%	Yes	10	14%	15	22%
Campbell	90%	12%	16%	36%	No	8	7%	12	9%
Cliftondale	63%	8%	0%	37%	Yes	12	10%	11	9%
Cogburn Woods	14%	11%	8%	22%	Yes	2	1%	6	4%
Conley Hills	95%	12%	0%	52%	Yes	11	16%	7	13%
Crabapple Crossing	4%	9%	2%	11%	Yes	1	1%	2	2%
Creek View	14%	9%	7%	25%	Yes	2	1%	4	2%
Dolvin	11%	12%	9%	18%	Yes	3	2%	2	1%
Dunwoody Springs Charter	72%	13%	17%	44%	Yes	1	1%	7	7%
Feldwood	87%	12%	0%	49%	No	19	18%	18	13%
Findley Oaks	8%	13%	5%	15%	Yes	0	0%	0	0%
Fulton Sunshine Academy	8%	7%	5%	32%	Yes	0	0%	0	0%
C. H. Gullatt	96%	11%	2%	62%	Yes	6	18%	6	15%
Hamilton E Holmes	93%	16%	2%	63%	Yes	8	14%	15	22%
Hapeville	95%	9%	29%	53%	Yes	27	22%	12	11%
Heards Ferry	7%	12%	2%	21%	Yes	0	0%	1	1%
Hembree Springs	33%	18%	17%	26%	Yes	1	1%	4	3%
Heritage	96%	11%	1%	77%	No	26	26%	25	21%

Fulton County Schools: Bear Creek Middle School's Approach to Literacy

Elementary School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
High Point	67%	13%	34%	33%	Yes	12	11%	6	8%
Hillside	52%	14%	25%	35%	No	8	7%	3	3%
Ison Springs	75%	14%	22%	61%	Yes	15	15%	8	9%
Esther Jackson	64%	17%	25%	42%	Yes	8	9%	16	25%
Lake Forest	97%	13%	65%	29%	No	12	9%	7	9%
Lake Windward	7%	20%	8%	22%	Yes	5	3%	4	3%
Seaborn Lee	83%	9%	0%	46%	Yes	12	16%	9	10%
S. L. Lewis	89%	13%	1%	51%	Yes	12	13%	15	20%
Liberty Point	86%	14%	0%	39%	Yes	5	5%	14	12%
Main Street Academy	52%	4%	1%	46%	Yes	7	9%	5	11%
Manning Oaks	37%	11%	14%	33%	No	7	6%	10	8%
Medlock Bridge	13%	12%	5%	30%	Yes	1	1%	1	1%
Mimosa	89%	12%	58%	37%	Yes	24	16%	11	9%
Mt. Olive	88%	18%	8%	65%	Yes	9	13%	9	14%
Mountain Park	8%	14%	1%	16%	Yes	1	1%	1	1%
New Prospect	14%	17%	8%	27%	Yes	1	1%	2	2%
Love T. Nolan	87%	12%	0%	44%	Yes	24	18%	40	29%
Northwood	28%	13%	11%	22%	No	8	6%	2	2%
Oak Knoll	94%	12%	28%	52%	Yes	22	25%	11	14%
Oakley	83%	11%	6%	37%	Yes	16	15%	15	11%
Ocee	14%	16%	9%	19%	Yes	5	4%	0	0%
Palmetto	87%	14%	10%	44%	Yes	4	8%	9	15%
Parklane	97%	10%	14%	48%	No	16	23%	13	19%
Randolph	64%	8%	0%	52%	Yes	5	6%	15	13%
Renaissance	74%	13%	0%	46%	Yes	25	19%	9	9%
River Eves	43%	11%	11%	33%	Yes	8	6%	7	5%
Roswell North	30%	11%	11%	26%	Yes	7	5%	8	6%
Shakerag	4%	11%	7%	21%	Yes	1	1%	0	0%

Fulton County Schools: Bear Creek Middle School's Approach to Literacy

Elementary School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Spalding Drive Charter	43%	7%	16%	32%	Yes	5	9%	3	6%
State Bridge Crossing	11%	9%	5%	20%	Yes	0	0%	0	0%
Stonewall Tell	53%	8%	0%	34%	Yes	14	9%	10	7%
Summit Hill	5%	11%	0%	12%	Yes	0	0%	2	1%
Sweet Apple	4%	17%	1%	13%	Yes	2	1%	1	1%
Harriet Tubman	93%	11%	11%	67%	Yes	12	15%	5	6%
Evoline C. West	74%	9%	6%	40%	Yes	7	7%	22	16%
Wilson Creek	7%	7%	10%	20%	Yes	2	2%	1	1%
Woodland Charter	57%	16%	14%	44%	Yes	5	4%	7	7%

Note: Schools targeted for the Striving Reader grant are highlighted.

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

**Middle Schools**

Middle School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 8 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Amana Charter	9%	9%	8%	25%	Yes	0	0%
Autrey Mill	9%	8%	4%	12%	Yes	4	1%
Bear Creek	86%	11%	3%	45%	No - NII	16	5%
Camp Creek	89%	15%	1%	68%	No	22	9%
Crabapple	24%	10%	3%	15%	Yes	2	1%
Elkins Pointe	42%	12%	10%	35%	Yes	6	2%
Fulton Science	6%	7%	2%	32%	Yes	0	0%

Fulton County Schools: Bear Creek Middle School's Approach to Literacy

Middle School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 8 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Hapeville Charter	77%	4%	1%	36%	Yes	0	0%
Haynes Bridge	26%	16%	0%	22%	No	0	0%
Holcomb Bridge	56%	13%	11%	34%	No	4	2%
Hopewell	16%	11%	274 %	17%	Yes	2	1%
Ronald McNair	91%	14%	1%	62%	No - NI2	19	7%
Northwestern	10%	10%	1%	14%	Yes	1	0%
Renaissance	78%	11%	200 %	41%	No - NI3	19	5%
Ridgeview Charter	59%	11%	14%	26%	No - NI1	11	5%
River Trail	6%	7%	4%	12%	Yes	7	1%
Sandtown	60%	10%	0%	38%	Yes	7	2%
Sandy Springs Charter	67%	11%	11%	46%	No	13	5%
Taylor Rd	16%	10%	5%	17%	Yes*	0	0%
Webb Bridge	10%	8%	3%	23%	Yes	1	0%
Paul D. West	89%	13%	5%	67%	No - NI1	22	9%
Woodland	89%	12%	2%	60%	Yes	15	5%

Note: Schools targeted for the Striving Reader grant are highlighted.

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

**High Schools**

High School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Graduation Rate
Alpharetta	13%	10%	3%	18%	No	94%
Banneker	79%	21%	0%	71%	No - NI3	67%
Centennial	29%	11%	6%	52%	No	87%
Chattahoochee	10%	7%	3%	14%	Yes	96%
Creekside	72%	9%	2%	51%	No - NI4	69%
Independence Alternative	39%	13%	4%	101%	No - NI1	68%
Johns Creek	8%	7%	3%	17%	Yes	NA*
Langston Hughes	69%	9%	0%	51%	Yes	NA*
McClarín Alternative	94%	3%	0%	121%	No - NI2	52%
Milton	6%	7%	1%	12%	Yes	97%
North Springs Charter	46%	6%	6%	37%	Yes - NI1	85%
Northview	5%	6%	4%	10%	Yes	98%
Riverwood Charter	30%	6%	6%	27%	Yes	92%
Roswell	21%	11%	5%	23%	No	88%
Tri-Cities	73%	10%	5%	46%	No - NI2	83%
Westlake	57%	6%	0%	38%	Yes	90%

Note: Schools targeted for the Striving Reader grant are highlighted.

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

G. ITBS 2010 Data

FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS  
 Fall 2010 - Iowa Tests of Basic Skills  
 Grade 3 - Mean National Percentile

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
County (FULTON)	60	61	-1	66	69	-3	63	65	-2	65	68	-3	64	65	-1
National Average	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0
Abbotts Hill	71	75	-4	80	83	-3	76	83	-7	71	76	-5	77	78	-1
Alpharetta	81	83	-2	80	85	-5	82	84	-2	85	89	-4	82	83	-1
Amana	69	61	8	67	63	4	63	61	2	70	69	1	68	59	9
Barnwell	77	84	-7	80	85	-5	81	88	-7	79	85	-6	81	83	-2
Bethune	40	41	-1	42	51	-9	47	52	-5	44	50	-6	41	42	-1
Birmingham Falls	81	81	0	81	86	-5	83	83	0	80	83	-3	83	83	0
Brookview	30	32	-2	36	36	0	36	36	0	34	38	-4	33	30	3
Campbell	31	35	-4	44	47	-3	40	48	-8	44	55	-11	41	44	-3
Clifondale	51	42	9	53	48	5	52	43	9	60	62	-2	48	43	5
Cogburn Woods	71	77	-6	80	84	-4	79	81	-2	78	81	-3	76	81	-5
College Park	23	22	1	29	26	3	22	22	0	21	32	-11	24	29	-5
Conley Hills	41	30	11	45	46	-1	63	50	13	50	38	12	47	35	12
Crabapple Crossing	84	83	1	86	90	-4	87	83	4	87	91	-4	84	85	-1
Creek View	78	76	2	79	85	-6	78	82	-4	82	81	1	79	81	-2
Dolvin	75	78	-3	81	86	-5	78	82	-4	75	79	-4	81	83	-2
Dunwoody Springs	58	55	3	65	61	4	56	51	5	66	69	-3	61	57	4
Feldwood	33	na	na	38	na	na	36	na	na	40	na	na	36	na	na
Findley Oaks	79	80	-1	82	88	-6	84	86	-2	79	85	-6	82	85	-3
Fulton Sunshine	82	na	na	88	na	na	88	na	na	79	na	na	86	na	na
Gullatt	37	44	-7	39	53	-14	44	47	-3	42	55	-13	38	42	-4
Hapeville	32	40	-8	48	56	-8	31	41	-10	36	45	-9	37	36	1
Heards Ferry	82	83	-1	82	86	-4	82	89	-7	83	83	0	85	83	2
Hembree Springs	77	81	-4	79	84	-5	80	81	-1	79	80	-1	81	84	-3
Heritage	25	28	-3	27	30	-3	29	25	4	31	33	-2	29	28	1
High Point	63	66	-3	66	73	-7	61	68	-7	66	72	-6	64	69	-5
Hillside	58	75	-17	64	81	-17	57	81	-24	61	81	-20	62	76	-14
Holmes	30	30	0	36	39	-3	35	41	-6	44	35	9	31	34	-3
Ison Springs	45	40	5	52	50	2	45	46	-1	56	51	5	48	46	2
Jackson	49	61	-12	53	66	-13	55	58	-3	64	66	-2	58	63	-5
Lake Forest	21	23	-2	37	40	-3	26	30	-4	34	44	-10	33	38	-5
Lake Windward	74	76	-2	80	84	-4	79	81	-2	77	79	-2	80	80	0
Seaborn Lee	40	39	1	47	45	2	46	48	-2	46	52	-6	40	47	-7
Lewis	32	40	-8	41	43	-2	34	44	-10	37	45	-8	31	41	-10
Liberty Point	44	36	8	44	37	7	41	38	3	47	47	0	42	39	3
Main Street	53	na	na	59	na	na	56	na	na	61	na	na	48	na	na
Manning Oaks	63	56	7	71	64	7	63	60	3	64	65	-1	62	62	0
Medlock Bridge	81	83	-2	81	89	-8	86	88	-2	77	85	-8	76	85	-9
Mimosa	23	32	-9	39	51	-12	30	48	-18	36	53	-17	34	46	-12
Mount Olive	33	40	-7	36	41	-5	39	47	-8	42	58	-16	36	42	-6
Mountain Park	79	81	-2	80	86	-6	80	83	-3	80	88	-8	81	85	-4
New Prospect	79	77	2	85	85	0	85	82	3	76	79	-3	83	82	1
Nolan	31	41	-10	40	47	-7	34	41	-7	36	52	-16	30	43	-13
Northwood	74	78	-4	77	84	-7	72	81	-9	76	78	-2	78	83	-5
Oak Knoll	37	35	2	45	48	-3	44	34	10	43	40	3	39	37	2
Oakley	34	38	-4	44	38	6	36	35	1	41	49	-8	34	39	-5
Ocee	79	77	2	80	82	-2	77	80	-3	80	81	-1	82	79	3

Fulton County Schools: Bear Creek Middle School's Approach to Literacy

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
Palmetto	32	34	-2	36	46	-10	34	38	-4	42	40	2	37	36	1
Parklane	28	26	2	30	31	-1	27	27	0	29	34	-5	32	31	1
Randolph	47	42	5	53	51	2	56	55	1	63	59	4	51	44	7
Renaissance	43	41	2	44	46	-2	48	44	4	52	56	-4	43	42	1
River Eves	68	67	1	76	74	2	75	77	-2	72	70	2	73	70	3
Roswell North	65	74	-9	69	82	-13	67	76	-9	70	77	-7	69	78	-9
Shakerag	81	82	-1	93	93	0	91	89	2	88	90	-2	87	87	0
Spalding Drive	57	81	-24	58	83	-25	57	81	-24	62	84	-22	67	83	-16
State Bridge Xing	78	74	4	85	84	1	83	79	4	82	80	2	82	78	4
Stonewall Tell	57	57	0	62	61	1	64	61	3	61	62	-1	57	55	2
Summitt Hill	80	79	1	82	86	-4	79	81	-2	80	82	-2	82	81	1
Sweet Apple	77	79	-2	81	83	-2	76	80	-4	81	82	-1	79	79	0
Tubman	40	38	2	41	43	-2	43	48	-5	40	48	-8	37	44	-7
Evoline West	41	40	1	43	48	-5	38	39	-1	50	54	-4	40	39	1
Wilson Creek	73	77	-4	80	85	-5	78	83	-5	78	83	-5	80	82	-2
Woodland	66	58	8	70	65	5	61	53	8	70	67	3	67	62	5

na = first year in operation

**FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS**  
**Fall 2010 - Iowa Tests of Basic Skills**  
**Grade 5 - Mean National Percentile**

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
County (FULTON)	59	61	-2	63	66	-3	64	65	-1	67	67	0	63	64	-1
National Average	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0
Abbotts Hill	76	84	-8	80	88	-8	75	84	-9	83	85	-2	77	84	-7
Alpharetta	79	79	0	80	76	4	78	78	0	82	80	2	79	78	1
Amana	66	69	-3	65	78	-13	72	70	2	72	76	-4	70	69	1
Barnwell	78	86	-8	74	89	-15	80	88	-8	79	88	-9	79	84	-5
Bethune	42	45	-3	42	51	-9	55	53	2	46	43	3	40	45	-5
Birmingham Falls	81	81	0	77	80	-3	80	82	-2	87	85	2	81	82	-1
Brookview	28	36	-8	34	41	-7	32	39	-7	32	35	-3	33	31	2
Campbell	32	32	0	37	31	6	42	35	7	42	33	9	38	32	6
Cliftondale	49	45	4	49	49	0	53	47	6	55	46	9	50	43	7
Cogburn Woods	79	78	1	79	83	-4	76	77	-1	85	83	2	79	79	0
College Park	30	31	-1	29	24	5	32	34	-2	31	33	-2	30	30	0
Conley Hills	40	41	-1	44	51	-7	59	62	-3	41	47	-6	40	43	-3
Crabapple Crossing	84	82	2	89	88	1	86	83	3	89	87	2	87	84	3
Creek View	79	72	7	83	73	10	79	75	4	86	78	8	80	74	6
Dolvin	84	81	3	84	83	1	86	82	4	87	83	4	84	77	7
Dunwoody Springs	52	58	-6	53	66	-13	54	61	-7	60	56	4	55	57	-2
Feldwood	30	na	na	30	na	na	38	na	na	34	na	na	33	na	na
Findley Oaks	81	85	-4	87	87	0	85	85	0	87	90	-3	82	83	-1
Fulton Sunshine	82	na	na	82	na	na	83	na	na	89	na	na	82	na	na
Gullatt	29	42	-13	37	43	-6	47	50	-3	34	46	-12	36	41	-5
Hapeville	30	34	-4	28	43	-15	30	36	-6	29	39	-10	24	35	-11
Heards Ferry	81	88	-7	78	87	-9	81	85	-4	84	86	-2	78	84	-6
Hembree Springs	75	82	-7	79	86	-7	79	86	-7	81	85	-4	80	84	-4
Heritage	30	28	2	28	30	-2	32	33	-1	28	26	2	29	28	1
High Point	61	52	9	66	64	2	63	57	6	67	57	10	62	56	6
Hillside	64	65	-1	68	72	-4	69	70	-1	71	75	-4	71	73	-2
Holmes	35	35	0	40	37	3	49	38	11	38	36	2	36	34	2
Ison Springs	47	36	11	44	39	5	47	43	4	59	41	18	50	40	10
Jackson	45	66	-21	45	64	-19	55	67	-12	53	68	-15	50	60	-10
KIPP	47	42	5	43	40	3	54	56	-2	55	46	9	42	41	1
Lake Forest	32	30	2	42	40	2	45	37	8	50	50	0	44	42	2
Lake Windward	75	77	-2	82	84	-2	80	82	-2	81	82	-1	80	78	2
Seaborn Lee	35	39	-4	40	42	-2	55	52	3	40	42	-2	38	40	-2
Lewis	36	35	1	31	36	-5	38	45	-7	42	38	4	39	36	3
Liberty Point	37	35	2	38	39	-1	43	39	4	40	40	0	38	39	-1
Main Street	41	na	na	32	na	na	44	na	na	46	na	na	34	na	na
Manning Oaks	62	69	-7	65	71	-6	62	69	-7	68	71	-3	63	66	-3
Medlock Bridge	80	84	-4	82	88	-6	79	84	-5	83	84	-1	79	82	-3
Mimosa	28	41	-13	30	42	-12	33	51	-18	43	53	-10	38	46	-8
Mount Olive	35	41	-6	36	35	1	41	45	-4	38	50	-12	37	40	-3
Mountain Park	83	82	1	82	81	1	83	82	1	87	86	1	83	81	2
New Prospect	82	82	0	85	82	3	84	86	-2	87	85	2	83	77	6
Nolan	23	36	-13	23	37	-14	29	44	-15	26	35	-9	25	37	-12
Northwood	71	74	-3	69	77	-8	74	75	-1	78	78	0	73	74	-1
Oak Knoll	32	33	-1	37	39	-2	43	35	8	37	36	1	35	39	-4
Oakley	35	36	-1	39	34	5	39	40	-1	41	35	6	36	36	0

Fulton County Schools: Bear Creek Middle School's Approach to Literacy

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
Ocee	81	80	1	85	81	4	85	79	6	84	82	2	83	78	5
Palmetto	31	33	-2	34	33	1	38	35	3	39	31	8	37	30	7
Parklane	29	27	2	27	27	0	36	29	7	32	32	0	33	32	1
Randolph	44	46	-2	43	46	-3	58	55	3	49	53	-4	49	51	-2
Renaissance	37	43	-6	33	41	-8	38	47	-9	40	42	-2	36	44	-8
River Eves	67	72	-5	64	75	-11	69	71	-2	74	79	-5	70	75	-5
Roswell North	64	68	-4	65	70	-5	68	68	0	70	74	-4	65	70	-5
Shakerag	84	87	-3	89	93	-4	87	88	-1	90	92	-2	84	88	-4
Spalding Drive	58	77	-19	60	74	-14	61	71	-10	64	82	-18	63	77	-14
State Bridge Xing	75	80	-5	80	83	-3	77	80	-3	81	85	-4	78	83	-5
Stonewall Tell	55	53	2	58	56	2	61	60	1	61	57	4	57	51	6
Summitt Hill	83	83	0	82	83	-1	82	80	2	87	86	1	82	82	0
Sweet Apple	81	82	-1	81	81	0	80	80	0	84	86	-2	83	81	2
Tubman	36	26	10	35	34	1	40	50	-10	41	39	2	37	36	1
Evoline West	36	41	-5	32	44	-12	43	42	1	45	41	4	39	43	-4
Wilson Creek	80	82	-2	85	84	1	83	80	3	87	86	1	81	81	0
Woodland	57	67	-10	54	61	-7	57	58	-1	68	68	0	64	69	-5

na = first year in operation

**FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS**  
**Fall 2010 - Iowa Tests of Basic Skills**  
**Grade 8 - Mean National Percentile**

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
County (FULTON)	57	56	1	60	58	2	61	60	1	59	58	1	65	63	2
National Average	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0
Amana	58	55	3	50	55	-5	62	52	10	63	57	6	67	61	6
Autrey Mill	75	71	4	78	74	4	76	74	2	73	70	3	79	74	5
Bear Creek	31	32	-1	33	37	-4	37	41	-4	32	37	-5	40	42	-2
Camp Creek	33	27	6	36	35	1	47	41	6	36	36	0	49	45	4
Crabapple	72	73	-1	69	68	1	72	74	-2	70	73	-3	76	78	-2
Elkins Pointe	59	61	-2	60	58	2	65	63	2	63	61	2	66	63	3
Fulton Science	84	80	4	88	83	5	84	79	5	85	80	5	84	81	3
Hapeville Charter	39	38	1	40	36	4	45	44	1	42	40	2	45	45	0
Haynes Bridge	71	71	0	70	71	-1	70	72	-2	70	69	1	73	73	0
Holcomb Bridge	58	58	0	57	53	4	58	59	-1	60	56	4	69	67	2
Hopewell	70	70	0	69	67	2	69	68	1	70	69	1	74	73	1
KIPP	51	43	8	56	47	9	61	56	5	52	40	12	52	43	9
McNair	29	26	3	31	29	2	33	33	0	32	28	4	36	33	3
Northwestern	80	76	4	80	75	5	79	75	4	80	74	6	82	77	5
Renaissance MS	34	34	0	32	32	0	40	40	0	37	38	-1	44	43	1
Ridgeview	52	49	3	53	54	-1	55	54	1	57	52	5	61	58	3
River Trail	79	77	2	86	81	5	78	77	1	77	76	1	82	80	2
Sandtown	44	44	0	42	43	-1	50	50	0	46	47	-1	53	55	-2
Sandy Springs	49	45	4	47	45	2	53	50	3	52	48	4	57	54	3
Taylor Road	73	72	1	78	74	4	79	77	2	74	69	5	75	74	1
Webb Bridge	73	76	-3	81	82	-1	75	77	-2	77	76	1	77	79	-2
Paul D. West	24	28	-4	32	35	-3	31	36	-5	29	33	-4	35	39	-4
Woodland	32	30	2	35	32	3	39	38	1	37	34	3	43	39	4

**H. Reading / English Language Arts AMO Results**

Annual Measurable Objectives (2010-2011) ES/MS- 80% HS-90.8%

	SCHOOL	DISTRICT	STATE
<b>Bear Creek MS</b> <b>(DID NOT MAKE AYP)</b>	ALL-91.8% ASIAN(not minimum size)-100 BLACK- 91.5 HISPANIC- 93.5 WHITE(not minimum size)-89.1 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)-90.9 EL(not minimum size)-87.1 ED- 91.1 <b>SWD-58.5</b>	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Bethune ES</b> <b>(DID MAKE AYP)</b>	ALL-88.9 BLACK- 88.6 ED- 88.2 <b>SWD(not minimum size)-59.7</b>	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Campbell ES</b> <b>(DID NOT MAKE AYP)</b>	ALL- 87.6% BLACK- 86.3 HISPANIC- 91.7 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)- 86.7 ED- 87.3 EL- 88 <b>SWD- 65.5</b>	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Creekside HS</b> <b>(DID NOT MAKE AYP)</b>	<b>ALL- 90.3%</b> BLACK- 91.1 <b>HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 75</b> <b>ED-89.4</b> <b>SWD(not minimum size)-55</b>	ALL- 95.3% ASIAN- 97.7 BLACK-92.6 HISPANIC- 87.7 WHITE- 98.3 MULTI-RACIAL- 97.6 SWD- 72.9 EL- 72.5 ED- 89.4	ALL- 91.7% ASIAN- 94 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 89 WHITE- 95 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.6 SWD- 63.4 EL- 68.5 ED- 87.2
<b>Evoline C. West ES</b> <b>(DID MAKE AYP)</b>	ALL- 87.9% BLACK- 88.1 <b>WHITE(not minimum size)-73.3</b> HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 89.3 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)- 95.5 EL(not minimum size)- 80 <b>SWD(not minimum size)-66.2</b>	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6

Fulton County Schools: Bear Creek Middle School's Approach to Literacy

	SCHOOL	DISTRICT	STATE
	ED-86.8		
<b>Love T. Nolan ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL- 83.6% BLACK- 83.1 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)- 95.5 SWD(not minimum size)- 51.4 ED- 81.5	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>McClarín HS</b> (DID NOT MAKE AYP)	ALL- 79.2% BLACK(not minimum size)- 79.2 ED(not minimum size)- 81	ALL- 95.3% ASIAN- 97.7 BLACK-92.6 HISPANIC- 87.7 WHITE- 98.3 MULTI-RACIAL- 97.6 SWD- 72.9 EL- 72.5 ED- 89.4	ALL- 91.7% ASIAN- 94 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 89 WHITE- 95 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.6 SWD- 63.4 EL- 68.5 ED- 87.2
<b>Oakley ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL- 88.8% BLACK- 88.4 HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 91.1 EL(not minimum size)-94.4 SWD- 81.1 ED- 87.1	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Palmetto ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL-85.8% BLACK- 86.9 HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 88.9 WHITE(not minimum size)- 79.4 EL(not minimum size)- 82.4 SWD(not minimum size)- 64 ED- 85.7	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6


# I. Map of Targeted Early Learning Centers and Schools



## 2011-2012 Map of Schools

Fulton County Schools  
 786 Cleveland Avenue SW  
 Atlanta, GA 30315-7299  
 Phone: 404-768-3600  
 www.fultonschools.org

Robert Avossa, Ed. D., Superintendent



Schools and centers highlighted in red are included in FCS' Striving Reader grant application.

\*\*Future school sites indicated in blue text

**J. Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant Specific Program Assurances**

**\*\* Please note: The Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) considers the applicant to be the fiscal agent for the grant. Therefore, any grants awarded will be in the name of the fiscal agent.**

**Fulton County Board of Education**

---

Official Entity Name for Fiscal Agent/Sub-Grant Award Recipient

**Instructions:** The authorized signatory must initial the box adjacent to each assurance and must sign and date the document in blue ink to certify agreement to comply with each assurance. The fiscal agent must sign and date the document as well. These assurances will be in effect for FY12.

The Sub-grantee hereby assures that it will comply with the following:

Number	Initial Each Box Below in <u>Blue Ink</u>	Assurances
1.		The Sub-grantee assures that it has the necessary legal authority to apply for and receive a SRCL Grant.
2.		Sub-grantee certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
7.		The SRCL projects will target students who attend Title I schools or schools eligible for Title I schoolwide programs and their families.
8.		The SRCL project will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
9.		The Grantee will participate in all technical assistance/information-sharing opportunities and professional development activities provided through the STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT Project Grant Program.
10.		All activities must be correlated with the development of STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT goals for children birth through grade 12.
11.		The second year of funding is dependent upon successful program implementation and progress aligned with the components of the request for application submitted.

12.		Prior to any material change affecting the purpose, administration, organization, budget, or operation of the SRCL project, the Sub-grantee agrees to submit an appropriately amended application to GaDOE for approval.
13.		The Sub-grantee agrees to notify the GaDOE, in writing, of any change in the contact information provided in its application.
14.		The activities and services described in the application shall be administered by or under the supervision and control of the Sub-grantee. The Sub-grantee shall not assign or subcontract, in whole or in part, its rights or obligations without prior written consent of GaDOE. Any attempted assignment without said consent shall be void and of no effect.
15.		The Sub-grantee will use fiscal control and sound accounting procedures that will ensure proper disbursement of and account for Federal and state funds paid to the program to perform its duties.
16.		Funds shall be used only for financial obligations incurred during the grant period.
17.	N/A	The SRCL project funds will supplement, not supplant Federal, state, and other local funds that the applicant would otherwise receive.
18.		The Sub-grantee will, if applicable, have the required financial and compliance audits conducted in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1966 and OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.
19.		The fiscal agent will adopt and use proper methods of administering each program, including: (A) the enforcement of any obligations imposed on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program; and (B) the timely correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, evaluation and/or technical assistance.
20.		The Sub-grantee will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Georgia Department of Education, the U.S. Department of Education, or other state or Federal officials.
21.		The Sub-grantee will submit reports to GaDOE as may reasonably be required. The Sub-grantee will maintain such fiscal and programmatic records and provide access to those records, as necessary, for those departments to perform their duties.
22.		The Sub-grantee will submit an annual summative evaluation report no later than June 30.
23.		The Sub-grantee agrees that GaDOE, or any of its duly authorized representatives, at any time during the term of this agreement, shall have access to, and the right to audit or examine any pertinent books, documents, papers, and records of the Sub-grantee related to the Sub-grantee's charges and performance under the SRCL sub-grant.

24.		The property (e.g., computers, equipment, classroom desks, tables, and pilferable items) purchased with the SRCL grant funds must be managed in accordance with EDGAR section 74.34 through 74.37 (for non-profit organizations) and with EDGAR section 80.32 and 80.33 (for school districts).
25.		The Sub-grantee certifies that it will abide by GaDOE's Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Policy. Applicants with a conflict of interest must submit a disclosure notice.
26.		The Sub-grantee will comply with the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (34 C.F.R. 99).
27.		Sub-grantee will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, which prohibits discrimination on a basis of disability.
28.		In accordance with the Federal Drug-Free Workplace and Community Act Amendments of 1989 and the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, the Sub-grantee understands that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance, marijuana, or dangerous drug is prohibited at geographic locations at which individuals are directly engaged in the performance of work pursuant to the 21 <sup>st</sup> CCLC grant.
29.		All technology purchases (software and hardware) will be approved by the LEA Technology Director for compatibility with current operating systems and building infrastructure. The Technology Director must ensure that any purchases for the building will be able to be implemented and sustained beyond the grant period.

My signature below certifies that I am the authorized signatory for the Fiscal Agent and official Sub-grantee, and that I have read, understand, and agree to abide by all assurances. I also understand that failure to abide by all assurances may result in loss or reduction of grant funding.

**Please sign in blue ink.**

---

**Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (required)**

**Dr. Robert Avossa**

---

**Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head (required)**

**Superintendent**

---

**Typed Position Title of Fiscal Agency Head (required)**

---

**Date (required)**

**Name of entity/agency acting as Co-Applicant, if applicable:**

---

**Co-Applicant Assurance Signatures, if applicable:**

My signature below certifies that I am the authorized signatory of the Co-Applicant for the grant program, and that I have read, understand, and agree to abide by all Assurances enumerated in pages 1-5. I also understand that failure to abide by all Assurances may result in loss or reduction of grant funding.

**Please sign in blue ink.**

---

**Signature of Co-Applicant's Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)**

---

**Typed Name of Co-Applicant's Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)**

---

**Typed Position Title of Co-Applicant Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)**

---

**Date (if applicable)**

**K. Needs Assessment: Additional Findings – Bear Creek**

Additional findings for Grade 6:

<b>Reading - Grade 6</b>					
<b>CRCT Reading - Black Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	9.5%	63.0%	27.5%	90.5%	73.3%
2010-2011	9.2%	73.6%	17.2%	90.8%	80.0%
<b>CRCT Reading - SWD</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	35.5%	58.1%	6.5%	64.5%	73.3%
2010-2011	51.4%	43.2%	5.4%	48.6%	80.0%
<b>CRCT Reading - Econ. Disadvantaged Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	8.1%	66.1%	25.8%	91.9%	73.3%
2010-2011	9.1%	73.2%	17.8%	90.9%	80.0%
<b>English Language Arts - Grade 6</b>					
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - Black Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	8.5%	68.2%	23.2%	91.5%	73.3%
2010-2011	15.4%	68.1%	16.5%	84.6%	80.0%
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - SWD</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	41.9%	54.8%	3.2%	58.1%	73.3%
2010-2011	54.1%	45.9%	0.0%	45.9%	80.0%
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - Econ. Disadvantaged Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	6.8%	73.3%	19.9%	93.2%	73.3%
2010-2011	16.0%	67.9%	16.0%	84.0%	80.0%

Additional findings for Grade 7:

<b>Reading - Grade 7</b>					
<b>CRCT Reading - Black Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	12.4%	73.7%	13.8%	87.6%	73.3%
2010-2011	9.0%	77.6%	13.5%	91.0%	80.0%
<b>CRCT Reading - SWD</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	46.4%	53.6%	0.0%	53.6%	73.3%
2010-2011	39.4%	57.6%	3.0%	60.6%	80.0%
<b>CRCT Reading - Econ. Disadvantaged Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2008-2009	11.4%	81.7%	6.8%	88.6%	73.3%
2009-2010	12.1%	77.6%	10.3%	87.9%	73.3%
2010-2011	10.1%	77.5%	12.4%	89.9%	80.0%
<b>English Language Arts - Grade 7</b>					
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - Black Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	9.2%	65.4%	25.3%	90.8%	73.3%
2010-2011	6.6%	63.1%	30.3%	93.4%	80.0%
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - SWD</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	73.3%
2010-2011	34.4%	65.6%	0.0%	65.6%	80.0%
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - Econ. Disadvantaged Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	9.8%	66.8%	23.4%	90.2%	73.3%
2010-2011	7.0%	64.2%	28.8%	93.0%	80.0%

Additional findings for Grade 8:

<b>Reading - Grade 8</b>					
<b>CRCT Reading - Black Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	2.3%	73.7%	24.0%	97.7%	73.3%
2010-2011	4.7%	69.0%	26.3%	95.3%	80.0%
<b>CRCT Reading - SWD</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	81.8%	73.3%
2010-2011	37.5%	62.5%	0.0%	62.5%	80.0%
<b>CRCT Reading - Econ. Disadvantaged Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	2.3%	79.9%	17.8%	97.7%	73.3%
2010-2011	4.2%	72.9%	22.9%	95.8%	80.0%
<b>English Language Arts - Grade 8</b>					
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - All Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	6.1%	65.9%	28.0%	93.9%	73.3%
2010-2011	2.5%	59.9%	37.6%	97.5%	80.0%
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - Black Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	6.8%	63.6%	29.5%	93.2%	73.3%
2010-2011	3.0%	60.0%	37.0%	97.0%	80.0%
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - SWD</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	45.5%	50.0%	4.5%	54.5%	73.3%
2010-2011	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	80.0%
<b>CRCT English Language Arts - Econ. Disadvantaged Students</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>DNM</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Exceeds</b>	<b>M + E</b>	<b>State AMO</b>
2009-2010	7.6%	70.0%	22.4%	92.4%	73.3%
2010-2011	2.5%	62.9%	34.6%	97.5%	80.0%

## **X. Budget**

### **A. District Budget Narrative**

#### **Assessments and Supplies**

Fulton County Schools (FCS) requested funding includes costs for the purchase of required DIBELS Next assessment for all elementary schools, as well as supplies for four teachers per grade level at eight elementary schools. Additionally, funding is requested for required Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI), as well as licenses, teacher kits, and product support plans.

#### **Curriculum and Instructional Supplies**

We request funding for instructional materials and supplies for each targeted Pre-K site with extended programming for 17 weeks, as well as for ESOL curriculum and other appropriate curricula. We also request funding for curriculum and instructional supplies to be used by one cohort of the Parent Leadership Institute for teenage parents at McClarin High School, in order to build the skills and capacity of parents to take greater leadership roles in the systems serving their children, including early learning programs and schools, and to make suggestions for revisions to the curriculum and training. Also, Bright from the Start has approved the use of several curricula in order to provide students with materials that promote oral language development, phonological awareness, and print awareness across all subject areas. Each Pre-K classroom and special needs Pre-K classroom would receive these materials.

#### **Professional Development**

We request funding for targeted teachers to have a full day of SRI Implementation training. Additionally, we request funding for targeted teachers to attend the Leadership Institute for Language and Literacy, a four-day series of workshops focused on leading language and literacy improvement through a variety of best practices. United Way Pre-K teachers will attend

two-day, five-hour professional learning opportunities based on their needs. We also request funding for professional learning opportunities for group daycare, family daycare homes, and selected childcare centers in the targeted feeder patterns that support the coordination and alignment of services from birth-5, and support the feeder patterns in these communities. The three-day, five-hour trainings for Fulton County Pre-K teachers will focus on implementing researched based instruction to foster language and literacy, support and extend Pre-K curriculum concepts, and integrate math and science concepts. Pre-K teachers will also attend professional learning for a total of five days at the Literacy Institute with the United Way to learn additional literacy strategies, as well as professional learning to develop skills in implementing the Bright from the Start curriculum. All requested professional development funding also includes benefits calculated at 27.7% for full-time employees and at 10% for teacher stipends for targeted teachers to attend content-specific professional learning.

Additionally, professional development funds will be utilized in a partnership with the Georgia Wolf Trap Literacy Program K-2, which has a professional learning focus. In GA Wolf Trap/Alliance Theatre, classroom teachers work with professional teaching artists to learn drama strategies that promote learning in literacy skills including speaking, listening, reading and writing. The program also builds drama skills in oral and non-verbal expression, creative and critical thinking, ensemble work and self-regulation. Targeted teachers will attend an on-site full-day orientation and instructional session presented by Alliance Theatre at the Woodruff Arts Center staff and Teaching artists, as well as a Summer Seminar. These costs include teacher registration fees and teacher stipends. Also, services provided include 12 classroom sessions with Teaching Artists, to include coaching, demonstration lessons and observations.

### **Subcontractors/Consultants**

We request funding for one full-time equivalent Project Director to manage grant funds, and one Professional Assistant III. Both of these costs include benefits calculated at 27.7%.

Requested contractor funding also includes the cost of Pre-K lead teachers for an additional 21 days and Pre-K teacher assistants for an additional 16 days. The amount includes the daily rate for these teachers and 27.7% for benefits. Additionally, we request funding for Pre-K teachers to be supported by experienced literacy coaches from Bright from the Start throughout the school year.

The GA Wolf Trap Literacy Program K-2 costs include contract fees for Teaching Artists to hold five planning sessions with targeted teachers, documentation, assessment, and curriculum development for each classroom, supplies, including simple props, puppets, and story-telling objects for each classroom, and program administration fees, including training and supervision of Teaching Artists, site coordination, curriculum development, scheduling and data management, budget administration, workshop and seminar design, and other administrative tasks carried out by the Director and Assistant Director of the Institute for Educators and Teaching Artists. Teaching Artists are paid Social Security (Alliance Theatre) at .09%, based on residency, planning, and workshop fees.

### **Print Materials**

We request funding for print materials through GA Wolf Trap, including books, scripts, and supplies for targeted Pre-K classrooms.

## **Events**

We request funding for a parent/caregiver event offered each year through GA Wolf Trap, including a Teaching Artist fee per targeted classroom. We also request funding for targeted Pre-K classrooms to have Read Aloud Workshops.

## **Pupil Travel/Field Trip**

We request funding for the annual field trip through GA Wolf Trap to an Alliance Theatre production for young people. These costs include ticket prices for students, a complimentary ticket for the teacher, and bus transportation per classroom. Targeted Pre-K classrooms will have the option to attend either a play at the Alliance Theatre, or a performance at the Teaching Museum.

## **Stipends/Additional Salary Money**

We request funding for teacher stipends for required Georgia Department of Education Summer Training to implement the grant.

## **Travel**

We request funding for teacher travel expenses for required Georgia Department of Education Summer Training to implement the grant.

## **B. School Budget Narrative**

### **Technology and Software**

Bear Creek Middle School requests funding for technology supplies, including laptop computers with digital storytelling and visioning software, USB headsets, digital cameras, digital storage space and batteries for cameras, MP3 players, e-readers, tablets, digital books, and decoding pens. These costs incorporate initial purchase and software licenses in Year 1, as well as maintenance and replacement costs, and software upgrades and licenses in subsequent years. These resources will support teachers in engaging learners and differentiating instruction, and necessitate professional development (see “Professional Development” below), to ensure that teachers have the skills to utilize these tools effectively.

All hardware and software purchased will comply with Fulton County Schools policies, procedures and guidelines. Hardware and software purchased that is considered non-standard to FCS must either be purchased with manufacturer warranty agreements that cover repair and maintenance, or the items budgeted must include funding for a consultant who will provide maintenance and support.

### **Print Materials**

We also request funding for print materials, including non-fiction informational text and print text, and subscriptions to a developmentally appropriate literary magazine, to ensure literacy-rich environments for our children.

### **Professional Development**

We request funding for professional learning for targeted teachers to be initially delivered by a consultant, with follow-up by Fulton Instructional Technology staff. The amount incorporates a consultant fee for targeted teachers. It also includes benefits calculated at 27.7% for full-time

employees and at 10% for teacher stipends for targeted teachers to attend content-specific professional learning. Additionally, funding is requested for substitutes to effectively lead instruction while allowing targeted teachers to attend professional development.

This professional learning is critical for teachers to learn how to incorporate technology and software into everyday use. This professional development will ensure that technology and software support instruction, and does not become burdensome on teachers.

**Subcontractors/Consultants**

We request funding to have the initial professional learning to be delivered by consultants, with supplemental professional learning to be provided by Fulton Instructional Technology staff. This approach will ensure high-quality, professional training that is supported by ongoing, job-embedded professional development for targeted teachers.

**Table of Contents**

LEA Narrative..... 1

I. Eligibility of Schools and Centers ..... 5

    A. Form A..... 5

        a. Students in grades 3, 5, 8 not meeting standards, based on current CRCT data..... 5

        b. Needs Improvement Status in Reading / Language Arts under Title I, Part A..... 7

        c. Percentage of Children Counted for Allocations under Title I, Part A..... 8

        d. Rationale for SRCL Grant Selection..... 8

II. Assurances: Compliance with FERPA, GPRA, GADOE Project Design ..... 9

III. Project Design..... 10

    A. Need ..... 10

        a. School Literacy Team (5 points)..... 14

        b. Needs Assessment (Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data 5 points)  
            ..... 15

        c. Needs Assessment Process (5 points)..... 18

        d. Areas of Concern (5 points)..... 19

        e. Root Cause Analysis (5 points)..... 20

    B. Quality of Project Design..... 21

        a. Project Goals and Objectives (5 points)..... 21

        b. Scientific, Evidence-Based Literacy Plan (10 points) ..... 23

        c. Strategies and Materials to Support Literacy Plan (existing and proposed) to Include  
            Technology (5 points)..... 26

        d. Project Procedures and Supports (5 points)..... 27

        e. Professional Learning Strategies Identified on the Basis of Documented Needs (5  
            points) ..... 27

        f. Assessment / Data Analysis Plan (5 points) ..... 36

IV. Experience of the Applicant (10 points) ..... 36

    A. LEA Initiatives..... 36

    B. Initiatives Implemented Without Outside Funding Support..... 37

    C. Capacity to Coordinate Resources ..... 38

    D. Sustainability of Initiatives Implemented by the LEA ..... 38

V. Resources (10 points)..... 39

    A. Coordination with Existing Programs and Family Literacy Services..... 39

VI. Management Plan and Key Personnel (10 points)..... 42

    A. Management Plan and Key Personnel Responsible for Grant Implementation..... 42

B.	Commitment to Implementation Plan .....	45
VII.	Sustainability Plan (10 points) .....	46
A.	Expanding the Lessons Learned with other Schools and New Teachers.....	46
B.	Extending the Assessment Protocol Beyond the Grant Period .....	47
C.	Extending the Professional Learning Practices beyond the Grant Period to New Staff	47
D.	Sustaining Technology beyond the Grant Period .....	48
VIII.	Evaluation – Assurance of Compliance with GADOE Requirements .....	49
IX.	Appendices.....	50
A.	Comprehensive Literacy Needs Assessment .....	51
B.	Literacy Plan .....	52
C.	Letter of Support – United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta.....	54
D.	Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms.....	56
E.	Letter of Support – Woodruff Arts Center.....	57
F.	School Level Data for all Schools in FCS, based on 2010-2011 school year .....	59
G.	ITBS 2010 Data .....	64
H.	Reading / English Language Arts AMO Results .....	69
I.	Map of Targeted Early Learning Centers and Schools .....	71
J.	Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant Specific Program Assurances.....	72
K.	Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms Pre-K Faculty .....	77
X.	Budget .....	81
A.	District Budget Narrative .....	81

## **LEA Narrative**

Fulton County Schools (FCS) is the fourth largest school district in Georgia. During the 2011-12 school year, more than 93,000 students are attending classes in 58 elementary schools, 19 middle schools, 16 high schools and 7 charter schools. The racial composition of FCS students is 42% Black, 34% White, 12% Hispanic, 9% Asian, and 3% Multi-Racial. Forty-four percent of FCS students receive free and/or reduced meals. Many schools in the northern part of the district have less than 5% of their students eligible for free and/or reduced-meals while many schools in the southern part of the district have over 95% of their students eligible. Ten percent of FCS students are classified as students with disabilities (SWD) and 7% are classified as English Learners (EL). Overall, FCS is a high-achieving district; however, there are subgroups of FCS students who do not perform on level with their peers and schools that need additional strategies and supports to move their struggling students.

*Current Literacy Priorities:* Fulton County Schools follows the Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) to guide literacy instruction but will transition to Common Core Georgia Performance Standards in the 2012-2013 school year. The implementation of the GPS allowed the integration of reading and writing instruction—a paradigm shift for the schools. Common Core Standards will infuse even more rigor, complex texts, informational reading, and challenge for our teachers and students. Budget constraints prevented the adoption of new materials when GPS were implemented, but plans are underway to adopt a new set of Reading and English/Language Arts (ELA) materials for the school year 2012-2013. FCS solicited extensive input from teachers, parents, students and administrators via surveys, feedback from a district oversight team, and a pilot of two vendor finalists this school year. This process served not only the materials adoption but also established a basis on which to build our district's literacy plan.

See Appendix A for a comprehensive literacy needs assessment and Appendix B for the district's literacy plan.

*Management Structure:* Dr. Robert Avossa became Superintendent of the Fulton County Schools in June 2011. As Superintendent, he is responsible for the leadership, administration and management of 100 schools, 13,500 employees, and an \$819.5 million general fund budget. His cabinet members lead the following functional areas: instruction, operations, finance, human resources, organizational advancement and communications.

*Past Instructional Initiatives:* Prior to the adoption of GPS, the learning objectives for the State of Georgia were known as Quality Core Curriculum. With those objectives, much of the literacy approach rested on the teaching of reading with some writing. Materials often produced whole-class instruction with little consideration for the individual needs of the students.

*Literacy Curriculum:* Teachers have access to model lesson plans written by district master teachers and the English/Language Arts Department staff. The model units demonstrate a balanced approach to the teaching of standards. Instructional plans outline the standards addressed in each of the four nine weeks' units. Additionally, resources, strategies, and balanced assessments accompany each unit of study. A comprehensive scope and sequence outlines the standards and elements for each semester of the school year.

*Literacy Assessments:* FCS uses benchmark assessments called Checkpoints. The English/Language Arts Department produces four benchmark assessments per year in grades two through eleven which assess reading, language arts, and writing. Checkpoints assessments use a pre and post-test formula and are aligned to the Criterion-Referenced Competency Test (CRCT), Georgia's summative assessment that is administered in the spring. FCS schools administer a writing assessment every nine weeks that focuses on the studied genre. Schools create common

assessments and assess formatively; therefore, a balanced assessment approach continues to be the assessment model for the district.

*Need for a Striving Reader Project:* On average, students in Fulton County Schools perform better than students across the state; for example, while Georgia's graduation rate over the past three years has moved from 79% to 81%, FCS' rate has consistently been higher and increased from 84% to 86%. In 2011, a larger percentage of FCS students met or exceeded CRCT standards than students across the state—in *every* grade and *every* tested subject. Yet, these district averages mask the rather striking achievement gaps within the district. Graduation rates across high schools ranged from 52% to 98% in 2011. The percentage of students meeting standards on the 3rd grade CRCT Reading test ranged from a low of 74% to as high as 100% at many schools. As with so many schools and districts across the country, the high poverty schools in FCS tend to fall at the lower end of the performance spectrum. Schools with large groups of students with disabilities or English learners struggle to meet achievement standards, as well. A recent survey of FCS administrators, teachers and parents highlights concerns with our district's literacy efforts and Reading and ELA curriculum and instruction. More than 53% of administrators responding do not believe the current Reading and ELA materials provided by the district address the needs of all components of literacy and six out of ten believe that technology related to Reading and ELA is not used effectively to maximize student learning. More than 54% of parents do not believe their school offers adequate opportunities for parents to learn strategies to support their child's learning in the home. Clearly, the data point to a need for additional materials, professional development, parent outreach and supports to ensure quality literacy instruction in our schools. The Striving Reader grant will help FCS address these challenges.

*Striving Reader Grant Partners:* FCS needs strong partners to create the necessary building blocks for literacy reform. The district is partnering with United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta and Sheltering Arms to address the needs of children from birth through pre-kindergarten (Pre-K) and is partnering with the Woodruff Arts Center to provide teachers with innovative, engaging approaches to teach literacy to students. **United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta (UWMA)** has created and implemented innovative programs and outreach initiatives to improve the quality of early care and education for all children ages birth to five in Atlanta, Georgia. Smart Start, the early childhood division of UWMA, is nationally recognized for pioneering efforts as an Early Reading First grantee. The district's partnership with UWMA will leverage their success with Early Reading First to build capacity through professional development for teachers and assistants of targeted children from birth through five years old. See Appendix C for a letter of support from UWMA. **Sheltering Arms** is Georgia's oldest nonprofit early childhood education program, and one of its most respected. Its mission is to serve working families with high quality, affordable child care and education and comprehensive support services. Founded by Atlanta volunteers in 1888, Sheltering Arms now annually serves more than 3,600 children, ages six weeks to five years old, and their families in 16 centers. Sheltering Arms is a tax-exempt 501(c)3 organization and has been nationally recognized for the success of its school readiness program. FCS is excited to partner with Sheltering Arms to improve transitions from early learning to kindergarten classrooms in South Fulton County. See Appendix D for a letter of support from Sheltering Arms. FCS has been a long-time partner with the **Woodruff Arts Center**, the largest provider of Pre-K through 12<sup>th</sup> grade arts education in America. The Woodruff Arts Center recognizes the power and potential of engaging young people in the arts. Empowering teachers with arts learning strategies helps provide students with

the skills, knowledge, and creativity required for success in their education. A Striving Reader grant will strengthen the district's partnership with the Woodruff Arts Center and will provide teachers with effective literacy strategies. See Appendix E for Woodruff's letter of support.

**I. Eligibility of Schools and Centers**

**A. Form A**

*a. Students in grades 3, 5, 8 not meeting standards, based on current CRCT data*

The tables below provide a number of metrics relevant to the Striving Reader grant. For FCS Pre-K classrooms, we analyzed data from the Georgia Pre-K Work Sampling System (WSS), which assesses and documents children's skills, knowledge, behavior, and accomplishments as displayed across a wide variety of education domains and as manifested on multiple occasions.

**FCS Pre-K Student Achievement**

Targeted Elem Schools	Language & Literacy	Mathematical Thinking	Scientific Thinking	Social Studies	Personal/Social Dev't	Physical Dev't & Health	The Arts
Bethune	56%	48%	69%	53%	60%	77%	62%
Campbell	90%	89%	88%	91%	87%	92%	89%
Love Nolan	70%	67%	64%	68%	74%	94%	80%
Oakley	44%	66%	67%	41%	32%	69%	68%
Palmetto	54%	59%	60%	53%	50%	89%	83%
E.C. West	68%	77%	77%	74%	78%	86%	79%
<i>District Totals</i>	<i>80%</i>	<i>80%</i>	<i>86%</i>	<i>82%</i>	<i>82%</i>	<i>93%</i>	<i>87%</i>

Source: FCS Administrative Records 2010-2011, % of Students with "Proficient" Ratings by Domain via WSS

For each school level, we analyzed key demographic subgroup data. Targeted schools for the Striving Reader grant have much larger populations of economically disadvantaged students and most have larger populations of students with disabilities and/or English learners than the district. The mobility rate, a district indicator to measure student transiency, at each targeted

school is equal to or higher than the district average. In addition, CRCT Reading data clearly exhibit a need for increased literacy supports. Schools included in this grant have higher percentages of students not meeting standards on the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grade CRCT Reading tests and much lower graduation rates than the district as a whole. Tables representing school level data for all 100 schools within the district are included in Appendix F.

**Data Summary for Targeted Elementary Schools**

Targeted Elementary Schools	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Bethune	86%	9%	0%	42%	Yes	23	21%	15	11%
Campbell	90%	12%	16%	36%	No	8	7%	12	9%
Love T. Nolan	87%	12%	0%	44%	Yes	24	18%	40	29%
Oakley	83%	11%	6%	37%	Yes	16	15%	15	11%
Palmetto	87%	14%	10%	44%	Yes	4	8%	9	15%
E. C. West	74%	9%	6%	40%	Yes	7	7%	22	16%
<i>District ES</i>	<i>49%</i>	<i>11%*</i>	<i>10%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>518</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>492</i>	<i>7%</i>

\*Represents entire district, not just that particular school level. Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

**Data Summary for Targeted Middle School**

Targeted Middle School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 8 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Bear Creek	86%	11%	3%	45%	No – NII	16	5%
<i>District Middle Schools</i>	<i>45%</i>	<i>11%*</i>	<i>4%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>173</i>	<i>3%</i>

\*Represents entire district, not just that particular school level. Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

**Data Summary for Targeted High Schools**

Targeted High Schools	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Graduation Rate
Creekside	72%	9%	2%	51%	No – NI4	69%
McClarín	94%	3%	0%	121%	No – NI2	52%
<i>District High Schools</i>	35%	11%*	3%	34%	NA	86%

\*Represents entire district, not just that particular school level. Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

FCS also reviewed student scores on the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS)—a nationally norm referenced test that our district’s 3rd, 5th and 8th graders take annually. This past year, the district’s average percentile rank for 3rd grade Reading on the ITBS was a 60. Yet, the averages of the targeted schools ranged from a low of 31 to a high of 41—well below the district average. The same can be said of 5th grade Reading scores with targeted schools ranging from the 23rd percentile to the 42nd percentile while the district average was the 59th percentile. Finally, 8th graders in Bear Creek Middle School scored at the 31st percentile compared to the district average of the 57th percentile. With a national mean percentile rank of 50, it is obvious that the schools selected need additional supports to improve their students’ performance to compete with students across the country, as well as within our district. See Appendix G for a report on FCS’ ITBS 2010 results.

***b. Needs Improvement Status in Reading / Language Arts under Title I, Part A***

Appendix H includes a table reflecting the percentage of students in Title I targeted elementary, middle, and high schools that met/exceeded expectations on the Criterion-Referenced Competency Test (CRCT) and the Georgia High School Graduation Test (GHSGT) based on the state’s Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO). Based on the data, it reveals that the general student population continues to make gains in Reading and English/Language Arts and

appears to be on track to meet the annual measurable objectives in 2012 based on the present percentages. A major concern, however, is the student performance at the high school level. Intensive instruction will be necessary to ensure that all high school students are capable of meeting performance objectives.

***c. Percentage of Children Counted for Allocations under Title I, Part A***

<b>Striving Reader Targeted School</b>	<b>Number of Students</b>	<b>%</b>
Bear Creek MS	1073	100
Bethune ES	758	100
Campbell ES	803	100
Creekside HS <i>(no longer an eligible Title I school)</i>	n/a	n/a
Evoline C. West ES	726	100
Love T. Nolan ES	756	100
McClarín HS	107	31
Oakley ES	727	100
Palmetto ES	535	100

Source: Student Achievement Management System and Individual Schools

***d. Rationale for SRCL Grant Selection***

The FCS Striving Reader core grant committee is comprised of administrators representing the following departments: Curriculum, Assessment and Accountability, Title I, Exceptional Children, and Instructional Technology. Based on extensive discussion and review of multiple sources of data, committee members agreed on the following criteria for selecting schools to participate in the Striving Reader project: Reading and English/Language Arts assessment data demonstrating performance gaps, Title I classification, schools on the district’s intensive list (a framework designed to identify and support high-need schools), feeder patterns, concentration of students with disabilities, concentration of English learners, capacity to implement grant-funded activities, and a waiting list for Georgia Pre-K slots. Based on the selection criteria, the committee recommended and the cabinet approved targeting 4 early learning centers and 9 schools arranged in a feeder pattern in South Fulton County. Sheltering Arms runs 4 early

learning centers in South Fulton: East Point Center, Oakley Township Center, Stonewall Tell Center, and Welcome All Center. These centers feed 7 elementary schools: Bethune, Campbell, E.C. West, Love T. Nolan, Oakley, and Palmetto. These elementary schools feed Bear Creek Middle School, which feeds Creekside High School. McClarin High School is also included in the grant as an alternative school with an open campus and a day care center to support teen parents. Most of the elementary schools (all but Campbell) have struggled but managed to make AYP last year; however, Creekside, McClarin and Bear Creek have not made AYP in recent years. Creekside's graduation rate of 69% and McClarin's graduation rate of 52% are significantly below the district's graduation rate of 86% and reflect the socio-demographic challenges faced by students in South Fulton County. These statistics underscore the difficulties with transitions and vertical teaming. The data provide an important example of how poor literacy skills may be flagged through reading assessments during the early years but are masked in the content areas in middle and high school. Students may show early indicators of difficulty yet manage to get by in elementary school, but by the time they reach middle and high school, students experience the compounded interest of poor literacy skills and are no longer able to achieve minimum standards, much less reach higher goals of achievement. As can be seen from the tables in section I.A.a. above, all the elementary, middle and high schools targeted have demonstrable need for additional literacy supports to improve academic performance and to ensure students are graduating ready for college and careers. See Appendix I for a map of all 13 early learning centers and schools targeted for the district's Striving Reader grant.

## **II. Assurances: Compliance with FERPA, GPRA, GADOE Project Design**

See Appendix J for Project Assurances.

### **III. Project Design**

Sheltering Arms is a nonprofit corporation that provides early care, education, and comprehensive family support services through 16 Early Education and Family Centers with a total program capacity of 2,346 children and their families. In order to be user friendly for working parents, our centers are open 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, year round. Sheltering Arms is proud to partner with Fulton County Schools (FCS) to support 20 Pre-kindergarten (Pre-K) classrooms in four of our Early Education and Family Centers in South Fulton County. Many of our students who attend these centers will matriculate to kindergarten classes in targeted FCS Striving Reader elementary schools.

#### Mission, Services, Community

Founded in 1888, Sheltering Arms' mission is to nurture and educate children, strengthen families, and build communities by providing:

- High quality early care and education that prepares young children to succeed in school and that is accessible to all, regardless of family income;
- Comprehensive support services for families that promote healthy self-reliance;
- Professional development based on core competencies for early education and family services practitioners that improves the effectiveness of service delivery; and
- Community partnerships and collaborations that create a more synergistic and seamless system of supports for children and families.

#### **A. Need**

##### School History

Striving Reader will be implemented in four Sheltering Arms Early Education and Family Centers in south Fulton County. These centers were established in 2004 in collaboration with

Fulton County Schools, local communities, the philanthropic sector, and Head Start, when a \$15 million capital campaign enabled Sheltering Arms to build the four state-of-the-art South Fulton Early Education and Family Centers: East Point Center, Stonewall Tell Center, Oakley Township Center, Welcome All Center. The capacity at each center is 243 children, ages six weeks to five years, and their families. Our Pre-K classes have 22 students per classroom. Each of the centers has five Pre-K classrooms and a total of 110 students.

Teacher:Child Ratios		Configuration
Infants	1:4	2 infant classrooms
One-year-olds	1:4	2 one-year-old classrooms
Two-year-olds	1:6	2 two-year-old classrooms
Three-year-olds	1:9	5 three-year-old classrooms
Pre-K	1:11	5 Pre-K classrooms
Total		16 classrooms

South Fulton County has historically been home to predominantly poor, African American families. Although this area is not technically part of the inner city, it looks and functions like the traditional inner city community. It is what the Annie E. Casey Foundation calls an isolated, disinvested neighborhood in a major metropolitan area. The Foundation concludes that the extent of disparity between the “life starts” of some inner city children and the rest of our children amounts to an assault on our national confidence in the principle of equal opportunity. According to The Brookings Institution’s *Moving Beyond Sprawl: The Challenge for Metropolitan Atlanta*, high concentrations of single-mother households with children under 18 and families with very low median incomes are found in the southern neighborhoods of the City of Atlanta in south Fulton County. According to Census data, Fulton is one of two metropolitan Atlanta counties that are home to 37 percent of the region’s population, but 66 percent of the region’s poor people.

All Sheltering Arms centers are licensed by Bright from the Start: Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning and are accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). In addition, Sheltering Arms is one of only 25 programs in the nation to have earned the Program of Excellence Award, Head Start's highest honor for quality.

Administrative and Teacher Leadership Team

The Center Management Teams at the each of the four Sheltering Arms centers consist of:

- Program Director – mid-management position, liaison between Center Management Team and Executive Leadership Team at headquarters; requires a Master's degree;
- Center Director - responsible for the direction and supervision of all center staff in accordance with established policies, guidelines, and curriculum and the operation of a quality program; requires a minimum of a Bachelor's degree; Master's preferred;
- Child and Family Specialist - assures that requirements are met in the areas of prevention and early intervention, disabilities, individualization, parent involvement, family support, eligibility, recruitment, selection, enrollment, attendance, and community partnerships; acts as a liaison between the teaching staff, and the center management team; requires a minimum of a Bachelor's degree;
- Family Support Coordinators (3) - informs, involves and inspires mothers and fathers in a way that supports their child in entering school ready to learn; helps them to nurture and financially provide for their children; links them to community resources and supports their personal goals;
- Curriculum Specialist – ensures quality education standards; acts as a role model in regard to teaching techniques, discipline and professional growth and development; acts as a liaison

between teaching staff and center management; requires a minimum of a Bachelor's degree; Master's preferred.

### Instructional Initiatives

This year Sheltering Arms has begun using The Creative Curriculum, which balances teacher-directed and child-initiated learning, with an emphasis on responding to children's learning styles and building on their strengths and interests. This curriculum applies the latest theory and research on best practices in teaching and learning and the content standards developed by states and professional organizations. It clearly defines the teacher's vital role in connecting content, teaching, and learning for preschool children. It features goals and objectives linked directly to its valid and reliable assessment instrument, Teaching Strategies GOLD. The Creative Curriculum is a comprehensive curriculum with a clear organizational structure and a particular focus on interest areas. Child development theory and scientific research are the foundation of The Creative Curriculum: Abraham Maslow – Basic needs and learning; Erik Erikson – The emotions and learning; Jean Piaget – Logical thinking and reasoning; Lev Vygotsky – Social interaction and learning; Howard Gardner – Multiple intelligences; and Sara Smilansky – Play and learning. The Creative Curriculum draws on other research that demonstrates that language is the foundation for ongoing literacy support (Strickland & Shanahan, 2004) and involves learning about the structure and sequence of speech sounds, vocabulary, grammar, and the rules for engaging in appropriate and effective conversation (Berk, 2003). It also helps educators support the literacy and language development of children from low-income families. Taken as a whole, The Creative Curriculum's literacy-related resources empower teachers and parents with the latest research-based strategies so that language and literacy learning is integrated into everyday interactions with children while intentionally teaching critical literacy skills. These

resources tap into the importance of how to properly implement materials, from the teaching guides to special cards that discuss books and teach vital literacy and language concepts.

#### Need for a Striving Reader Project

A Striving Reader grant award will enable Sheltering Arms to extend the hours of Pre-K classrooms during the school year and offer Pre-K classes during the summer to better support our students as they transition to kindergarten. Also, the grant will provide our teachers critical professional development and will enable us to update technology in our classrooms.

#### ***a. School Literacy Team (5 points)***

##### Literacy Team Structure

Our literacy team will be formed to guide the Striving Reader project and will include members of the Sheltering Arms Center Management Teams as well as community stakeholders, including representatives from Fulton County Schools, United Way, and the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning. Montreal Bell, Coordinator of Early Childhood Services, will represent Fulton County Schools on our literacy team. We have a longstanding working relationship with United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta's Early Learning Department. Kartrina Mitchell, Senior Director of Education, and Sakinah Harris, Director of Early Reading First, will both represent United Way. The Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning (Bright from the Start) has been supportive of this application, providing guidance and data, and since Sheltering Arms operates a large, high quality Pre-K Program, we have an excellent relationship with the Department's staff. Deanna Echols Hibbard, Pre-K Consultant and Assessment Coordinator, will represent Bright from the Start. We anticipate that the literacy teams will meet at least quarterly. The Sheltering Arms Georgia Training Institute has an Advisory Council whose members have considerable academic and research expertise and who stand ready to

provide guidance to the Striving Reader project as well. The literacy team will include teaching staff through the Child and Family Specialists, the Curriculum Specialists, and the Instructional Lead Teachers, who work closely with teaching staff. In addition, the Curriculum Specialists and the Instructional Lead Teachers have just become certified Mentor Coaches, and this training will be invaluable in ensuring inclusiveness. See Appendix K for letters of support from our Pre-K faculty at each of the four centers included in the grant.

***b. Needs Assessment (Analysis and Identification of Student and Teacher Data 5 points)***

Student Data

Center	% Free & Reduced Lunch	% AA	% Hispanic	% Asian	% White	% Multi-Racial	% Spec. Needs
East Point	86%	94%	2%	1%	1%	1%	8%
Oakley Township	72%	96%	1%	1%	1%	1%	9%
Welcome All	71%	96%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%
Stonewall Tell	85%	87%	12%	0	1%	0	9%

Based on our needs assessment process, multiple factors indicate a pressing need for a Striving Reader project at Sheltering Arms:

- The high number of children from low- and moderate- income homes and from single parent homes (57%), important indicators of children needing focused instruction on language and literacy. The Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning’s annual Report Cards on early learning centers note that the following percentages of Sheltering Arms children fall into their “at risk” category: Oakley Township Center – 82%, Stonewall Tell Center – 65%, Welcome All Center – 67%, and East Point Center – 71%. Research shows that poverty

issues affect development, and that children from low-income families face the most difficulty learning to read in the primary grades.

- Based on 2009-2010 data from the Department of Early Care and Learning Work Sampling System, the latest year for which data are available, 46.5% of Sheltering Arms children were 'in process' and 52.2% were 'proficient' in language and literacy outcomes. The average proficient score for all Fulton County Schools' Pre-K students in language and literacy was 80%.

#### Teacher Professional Learning Needs

The Georgia Training Institute gathers ongoing data through surveys concerning Sheltering Arms staff professional development needs. Based on findings, our teachers need professional development to help them better understand how to work with teachable moments, ensure that children are involved with language as part of their everyday experience, be less directive, and ask the kinds of questions that stimulate critical thinking and encourage more conversation and observation on the part of children.

Sheltering Arms continues to remain ahead of the staff development requirements as set forth in the Sections 644(a) and 653 of the Head Start Act as well as those required by the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning and NAEYC: 31 % of teachers have a Child Development Associate Credential CDA, 46% have an Associate degree or technical school diploma, and 23% have a Bachelor of Arts, Bachelor of Science or higher degree. Approximately 40% of teachers are enrolled in postsecondary school in order to advance their education credentials. A detailed list of teacher participation in professional learning communities and other professional development is included in section III.B.e.

#### Teacher Retention Data

School Year	Percentage of Teachers Retained
2009-10	85.8%
2008-09	91.6%

It is noteworthy that the average national rate of early learning teacher retention is 68%.

Sheltering Arms has obtained a \$2 million grant from The Goizueta Foundation to address teacher retention issues, and the Board is currently raising \$1 million for the same purpose.

### Curriculum Needs

Sheltering Arms' use of The Creative Curriculum will be the foundation of our Striving Reader project. However, in order to increase the effectiveness of language and literacy instruction in our Pre-K program, we propose extending the program from a nine-month, 6 ½ hour day program to a 12-month, 12 hour day program. A foundation grant has enabled this extension at another Sheltering Arms center, and instead of losing over 55% of Pre-K students during the summer between Pre-K and transition into kindergarten, the center was able to keep 98% of students throughout the summer. The South Fulton centers currently lose 45% of their Pre-K children during the summer, and the Family Support Coordinators report that all of these children leave due to the families' inability to pay summer fees. Approximately 35% of Pre-K children leave at the end of the 6 ½ hour day for the same reason. Ensuring that the Pre-K children stay for a longer day and throughout the summer will ensure sufficient time and opportunities to improve language and literacy instruction.

### Technology Needs

The Creative Curriculum has numerous language and literacy objectives that involve the educational use of computers and software by children. The computer systems currently in place are clone-based PC's that are more than four years old and often out of service. We are therefore

requesting that the Striving Reader project contribute a prorated share of replacement costs for the Pre-K classroom computers.

### Family Engagement Needs

Our students begin school with less prior knowledge, verbal abilities, phonological sensitivity, familiarity with the basic purposes and mechanisms of reading, and letter knowledge than students from more affluent families (National Early Literacy Panel, 2008; Snow, Burns, & Griffin, 1998). Our less affluent students have not been exposed to as many reading hours as children from middle-class families and have smaller vocabularies by first grade. The findings show that a child from a low-income family has been exposed to about 25 hours of one-to-one reading while the average child from a middle-class family has logged more than 1,000 hours (Berk, 2006; Neuman 2003). The size of their vocabulary also is one-fourth the size of their middle-class peers (Berk, 2006). For these children in particular, the importance of incorporating purposeful and intentional language and literacy experiences into each preschool day cannot be underestimated and is an essential task for any high-quality early childhood program.

### ***c. Needs Assessment Process (5 points)***

The Center Management Team members are constantly reviewing data to address student needs, primarily Work Sampling System data. Teachers rate student progress every 4-6 weeks to determine their level of proficiency in each domain. Review of Work Sampling data revealed few student artifacts (work samples, notes, photos and matrices) in several areas of language and literacy. Additionally, the absence of quality artifacts in mathematics, science and social studies have shown the struggle that teachers have in developing quality learning activities in language and literacy.

Additional evidence of need is found in the teachers' lesson plans. Each week, teachers are expected to use assessment data to plan quality whole group language and literacy activities, small group learning activities based on the content standards and students' needs, and rotate center materials to address different developmental levels of the children and meet their needs as they progress throughout the year. Review of teachers' lesson plans revealed the need to provide additional professional learning in the area of language and literacy instruction. Implementing the literacy plan proposed in this grant will provide a multitude of quality language and literacy experiences for our Pre-K children.

***d. Areas of Concern (5 points)***

Based on the needs assessment, we have identified three areas of concern. All three are impacted by the Language and Literacy Development learning standards for Georgia Pre-K students as described in the 'What' document.

- Lack of high-quality, extended-day and summer Pre-K programming: there are no affordable after-school and summer options for our students. The extended-day program is complementary to the core program and provides a seamless and consistent experience for the children. It is offered before the core day program begins, continues at the end of the day, and is delivered by teams of qualified early childhood educators. The same teaching teams work together. The extended-day program offers an approach to pedagogy and planning that is consistent with the approach taken in the core day program and makes use of shared resources and shared common spaces to create a seamless system of care and education for children and families. During the summer, low income students generally lose about 2 months of reading achievement. In a 2006 study conducted by Kenneth B. Robin, Ellen C. Frede, & W. Steven Barnett, data suggest that children who attended an extended-

day, extended-year preschool program experienced greater improvement in test scores compared to peers who attended half-day programs. Because of limited budgets, we have not been able to address extending Pre-K classroom time; we need to stem the learning loss.

- Lack of standards-based literacy professional development for our teachers: Teaching practices at Sheltering Arms need substantial improvement. The agency struggles to ensure that the training teachers receive is transformed into practice, as evidenced by Work Sampling System scores. The South Fulton centers have not benefited from enhanced language and literacy initiatives, and we see Striving Reader as an opportunity to continue the arc of improvement.
- Lack of technology to engage our students: Our students are live in a digitally-connected world. When they enter kindergarten, they will be exposed to computer labs and educational technology strategies. We want to provide a more engaging, digitally rich environment for our Pre-K students.

***e. Root Cause Analysis (5 points)***

All three of our areas of concern are related to prevailing social issues which directly affect our constituency such as: low income levels, single parent households, increased school drop-out rate, high crime rates, limited in affordable housing, and high infant mortality. When compared to their more affluent peers, children from low-income families often begin kindergarten with fewer phonological awareness skills, smaller receptive and expressive vocabularies, less knowledge of the purposes and conventions of print, and less knowledge of letters and their sounds (Snow, Burns, & Griffin, 1998). These root causes highlight why it is imperative that Sheltering Arms provides a high-quality learning environment.

**B. Quality of Project Design**

*a. Project Goals and Objectives (5 points)*

Based on our needs assessment data, Sheltering Arms has developed the following four goals to support our literacy plan. Successful implementation of our literacy objectives will enable us to achieve all four goals for each cohort of Pre-K students during the five year grant period.

Goal	Objective
1. 90% of children will meet or exceed The Creative Curriculum’s language and literacy objectives for development and learning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Pre-K teachers will be trained to effectively implement curriculum materials by United Way Literacy Coaches.</li> <li>● Teachers will work with Georgia Wolf Trap teaching artists to develop appropriate language and literacy activities.</li> <li>● The Pre-K day will be extended to promote student learning.</li> <li>● Pre-K classes will be offered over the summer to stem literacy losses.</li> <li>● Our computer lab will be upgraded to provide a digital rich environment for our students.</li> </ul>
2. Striving Reader classrooms will meet or exceed the national average for CLASS	
3. Work Sampling System language and literacy outcomes will improve from 46.5% of Sheltering Arms children ‘in process’ and 52.2% ‘proficient’ in language and literacy outcomes to 35% of children ‘in process’ and 65% ‘proficient’	
4. 90% of children will participate in before/after care and the summer program	

Sheltering Arms has multiple practices in place that we are leveraging to achieve grant goals including CLASS and our standards-based Creative Curriculum. Both of these practices are funded with other resources. Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS) is a research-based observation tool used to help teachers and schools improve the effectiveness of classroom interactions. Data from CLASS observations are used to support teachers’ unique professional

development needs, set school-wide goals, and shape system-wide reform at the local, state, and national levels. Based on research from the University of Virginia's Curry School of Education and studied in thousands of classrooms nationwide, the CLASS tool focuses on effective teaching, helps teachers recognize and understand the power of their interactions with students, aligns with professional development tools, and works across age levels and subjects.

The Creative Curriculum is composed of resources that support early childhood educators through every step of the process of teaching literacy to young children. The curriculum, which includes five volumes, teaching guides, and related resources, translates and applies the latest research into hands-on daily routines. The new teaching guides and *Intentional Teaching Cards* provide specific, focused, small- and large- group instructional opportunities.

#### The Creative Curriculum Literacy Objectives for Development and Learning

1. Demonstrates phonological awareness: a. Notices and discriminates rhyme, b. Notices and discriminates alliteration, c. Notices and discriminates smaller and smaller units of sound;
2. Demonstrates knowledge of the alphabet: a. Identifies and names letters, b. Uses letter–sound knowledge;
3. Demonstrates knowledge of print and its uses: a. Uses and appreciates books b. Uses print concepts;
4. Comprehends and responds to books and other texts: a. Interacts during read-alouds and book conversations, b. Uses emergent reading skills, c. Retells stories;
5. Demonstrates emergent writing skills: a. Writes name, b. Writes to convey meaning.

#### The Creative Curriculum Language Objectives for Development and Learning

1. Listens to and understands increasingly complex language: a. Comprehends language, b. Follows directions;

2. Uses language to express thoughts and needs: a. Uses an expanding expressive vocabulary, b. Speaks clearly, c. Uses conventional grammar, d. Tells about another time or place;
3. Uses appropriate conversational and other communication skills: a. Engages in conversations  
b. Uses social rules of language.

**b. Scientific, Evidence-Based Literacy Plan (10 points)**

The table below summarizes our plan to implement the literacy strategies to achieve project goals.

Implementation Team	Literacy Strategies
Amy Hobart, Director of Early Care and Education, Sally Campbell, Director of Children's Program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop Pre-K calendar to extend the school day and add a summer program</li> <li>• Communicate plans to schools</li> <li>• Order curriculum materials (not funded by grant)</li> <li>• Set up curriculum and trainings with grant partners United Way and Woodruff Arts Center</li> <li>• Collaborate with partners and school administrators to plan additional training strategies and determine training needs</li> </ul>
Curriculum Specialist, Center Director, Lead Teacher	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review weekly lesson plans</li> <li>• Review Work Sampling Data</li> <li>• Provide teacher support to implement literacy strategies</li> <li>• Provide feedback to teachers about ratings</li> <li>• Develop and determine training needs</li> </ul>
Program Coordinator, Center Director, Curriculum Specialist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor and observe teachers to determine success of program implementation</li> <li>• Communicate training needs and program successes with program coordinators</li> </ul>
Pre-K teachers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess student progress</li> <li>• Plan appropriate language and literacy instruction</li> <li>• Implement strategies learned at trainings</li> </ul>
Sheltering Arms Georgia Training Institute staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide teacher support to implement literacy strategies</li> <li>• Develop and determine training needs</li> </ul>
Child and Family Specialist and Family Support Coordinators	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with families and community agencies to communicate and promote language and literacy at home.</li> </ul>

Pre-K Daily Schedule

6:30 – 8:00	Arrival – Hellos – Choice Activities/Journal Writing
8:00 – 8:15	Breakfast, Brush Teeth, Nutrition & Health
8:15 – 8:35	Group Meeting – Morning Welcome – Opening Activities Book #1
8:35 – 8:45	Music with Movement and Creativity
8:45 – 9:00	Small Group (may involve literacy)
9:00 – 9:15	Story time/Read Aloud Book #2
9:15 – 10:00	Outside Play 15 minutes of planned physical activities and/or games for child choice
10:00 – 10:15	Transition Activity
10:15 – 10:30	Large Group Literacy
10:30 – 11:45	Center Time/Child Choice in Interest Areas 11:30 – 11:45 Clean-up
11:45 – 12:00	Story time/Read Aloud Book #3
12:00 – 12:30	Lunch Self-serve, family style, conversations, health & nutrition
12:30 – 12:45	Phonological Awareness
12:45 – 1:45	Nap/Rest and Quiet Activities Quiet activities available for non-sleepers
1:45 – 2:15	Other Instructional Activities (may involve literacy)
2:15 – 2:30	Group Meeting - Closing
2:30 – 2:45	Snack
2:45 – 4:00	Center Time/Child Choice in Interest Areas 3:45 – 4:00 Clean-up
4:00 – 4:15	Story Time/Read Aloud
4:15 – 5:00	Outside Play 15 minutes of planned physical activities and/or games for child choice
5:00 – 6:30	Child Choice Time

### Plan for Tiered Literacy Instruction

We base our tiered literacy instructional plan on the Response to Intervention (RTI) framework and the Pre-K Content Standards, along with the Center for the Study of Social Emotional Learning, Teaching Pyramid.

Step 1: Teachers observe the child for a 2-week period, documenting the developmental or behavioral concerns. Teachers consult with the Curriculum Specialist. The Curriculum Specialist will notify the Family Support Coordinator of the concern to also share relevant information about the child and family.

Step 2: Within 3 working days, the Curriculum Specialist observes the child and documents the observation. The teacher reviews results to date on the Work Sampling Online assessment. The teacher completes the Ages & Stages Questionnaire: Social Emotional (ASQ: SE) if needed.

Step 3: Within 3 working days, the Curriculum Specialist reviews all documentation with the Management Team (Director, Child & Family Specialist, and Family Support Coordinator). When all team members are in agreement that additional support is needed, the In-House Referral Form will be completed and submitted along to the Early Intervention Specialist.

Step 4: Within 3 working days, the Early Interventionist conducts an observation of the child. Following the observation, the Early Interventionist will meet with the Management Team and classroom teacher(s) to develop a plan to support the child.

Step 5: The teachers will implement the plan for 2-weeks in the classroom. The Curriculum Specialist will conduct regular observations of the classroom to document progress and provide support and feedback to the teachers during the 2-week period.

Step 6: Following the 2-week period, the Early Interventionist will meet again with the Management Team and classroom teachers to discuss any changes in the child's behavior. If

there has been no improvement, the Early Interventionist will look into additional supports for the child. The Family Support Coordinator will schedule a meeting with the parents to discuss the information that was collected and get the parents' input.

Our Striving Reader grant does not conflict with any other initiatives currently being implemented at the four centers.

***c. Strategies and Materials to Support Literacy Plan (existing and proposed) to Include***

***Technology (5 points)***

Current Resources / Activities

One of our most important literacy strategies that we have already implemented is Operation StoryBook. The goal of Operation StoryBook is to encourage all children to learn and love to read. For children, Operation StoryBook emphasizes that reading is fun. For parents, the program emphasizes that reading is critical to their child's future success. Operation StoryBook includes:

- Curriculum that includes lesson plans based on children's books and stories, helping children to explore themes and cultures in some of the books they will take home.
- The Children's StoryBook Club: The goal is that all Sheltering Arms children receive a new book every month for their home libraries.
- A daylong Read-A-Thon event in every center during September. VIPs, volunteer readers and professional storytellers participate.
- Literacy enrichment activities, including field trips to art and cultural venues and libraries, special performances by storytellers, puppeteers, and other performers, and one-on-one reading help from volunteers through Jumpstart and other programs.

Other resources available in our Pre-K classrooms include books on tape, classroom libraries, literacy props, flannel board stories, CDs for Music with Movement. Shared Resources include language and literacy websites for teaching ideas, language and literacy websites for children, computer lab. Library resources are books, CDs, and books on tape.

***d. Project Procedures and Supports (5 points)***

As highlighted in our Pre-K daily schedule included in section III.B.b., we offer students a variety of literacy instruction as we follow our standards-based curriculum. Examples of specific, literacy-focused activities include picture cards that are used to help students learn routines and procedures. Circle Time/Morning Message Board/Story Time/Music with Movement/Second Step – all provide students with oral language development strategies to communicate ideas and feelings with each other. Music with Movement provides students with another way of expressing language. Phonological awareness activities are planned to support student proficiency in content standards. During story time, students participate in quality literature activities that promote a love of reading and expression. Large group literacy activities are planned to teach reading and writing skills. With journals, teachers support students as they work to develop their writing skills.

***e. Professional Learning Strategies Identified on the Basis of Documented Needs (5 points)***

At Sheltering Arms, ongoing professional learning is critical. The table below highlights the professional learning activities that all (100%) of our Pre-K staff received.

<b>East Point Center</b>	<b>Oakley Township Center</b>	<b>Stonewall Tell Center</b>	<b>Welcome All Center</b>
Ages & Stages Questionnaire	Ages & Stages Questionnaire	CLASS Overview: Understanding the CLASS Framework	Ages and Stages Screening: Using the ASQ Questionnaire

<b>East Point Center</b>	<b>Oakley Township Center</b>	<b>Stonewall Tell Center</b>	<b>Welcome All Center</b>
Advance Physical & Intellectual Development: Early Morning, Late Afternoon & Rainy-Day Activities	Challenging Behaviors: Preschool - Pre-K, Session 3, Individualized Intensive Interventions - Determining the Meaning of Challenging Behavior	CLASS Overview: Understanding the CLASS Framework	Challenging Behaviors: Preschool - Pre-K, Session 2, Laying the Groundwork - Social Emotional Strategies for Teachers
Challenging Behaviors: Preschool - Pre-K, Session 2, Laying the Groundwork - Social Emotional Strategies for Teachers	Challenging Behaviors: Preschool - Pre-K, Session 4, Individualized Intensive Interventions – Developing a Behavior Support Plan	Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS)	Challenging Behaviors: Preschool-Pre-K, Session 1, Promoting Childrens Success, Building Relationships & Creating Supportive Environments
Challenging Behaviors: Preschool-Pre-K, Session 1, Promoting Childrens Success, Building Relationships & Creating Supportive Environments	CLASS Assessment Scoring System	Curriculum Support Training	CLASS Assessment Scoring System
CLASS Overview: Understanding the CLASS Overview	Curriculum Support Training	What's New in Pre-K 2010-2011	CLASS Overview: Understanding the CLASS Framework
Curriculum Support Training	PITC: Nurturing & Responding to Infants/Toddlers: More Than Just Routines, Guidance & Discipline- Meeting Individual Needs	Setting Up Your Pre-K Classroom for the Beginning of the Year	Curriculum Support Training
Injury & Disease Control	Using the Matrix to Document Children's	Professional Development:	Injury & Disease Control

<b>East Point Center</b>	<b>Oakley Township Center</b>	<b>Stonewall Tell Center</b>	<b>Welcome All Center</b>
	Development	ASQ	
Language & Literacy: Creating a Literacy-Rich Environment	What's New in Pre-K 2010-2011	Super Saturday For Teachers of Preschool and PreK	Positive Guidance: Part 1, Discipline vs. Punishment, Basics of Positive Guidance
Setting Up Your Pre-K Classroom for the Beginning of the Year	Professional Development: ASQ	Using the Matrix to Document Children's Development	What's New in Pre-K 2010-2011
Using the Matrix to Document Children's Development	Setting Up Your Pre-K Classroom for the Beginning of the Year		Using the Matrix to Document Children's Development
Warm & Welcoming Inclusion Training			Prevent Child Abuse & Neglect: Prevent Child Abuse Georgia
Second Step: Using A Violence Prevention Curriculum in Classrooms			Professional Development: ASQ
Stronger Together: Session 6, Affirming Diversity			Second Step: Using A Violence Prevention Curriculum in Classrooms
What's New in Pre-K 2010-2011			Stronger Together: Affirming Diversity

The Sheltering Arms Board of Directors established the Georgia Training Institute (GTI) in 2006 as a way of leveraging the organization’s expertise and resources to improve outcomes for Georgia’s preschool children, their families, and their communities through high quality professional development. In GTI’s approach, early childhood education caregivers and family development professionals expand their knowledge of child and family development, enhance

their teaching abilities, and refine their skills to build strength-based family support services, preparing children for success in school.

As a result of the documented need for additional professional development in language in literacy, Sheltering Arms has worked diligently to obtain professional development resources for our teachers and staff. These resources have been universally well received, and anecdotal feedback tells us that our teacher and staff feel that language and literacy training improves teaching strategies and child outcomes. Three examples include Early Reading First, Early Learning Mentor Coach program, and Atlanta Speech School Partnership.

Early Reading First: Between 2006 and 2010 Sheltering Arms partnered with United Way's Early Learning Department to provide the federally funded Early Reading First (ERF) program in seven of our centers. ERF incorporated the use of a literacy-focused, research-based curriculum, Opening the World of Learning (OWL). To provide the foundation for future reading and school success, ERF program goals were: (1) Improve children's *oral language skills* (expressive and receptive language and vocabulary development); (2) Build *children's alphabet knowledge* (letter recognition); (3) Develop children's *phonological awareness* (rhyming, blending segmenting); (4) Increase children's *print awareness*; (5) Implement and maintain an SBRR, language and print-rich preschool environment to provide abundant opportunities for children to use print and practice literacy skills; and (6) Increase preschool teacher knowledge and use of SBRR to systematically address early literacy skills essential to future reading success including print awareness, alphabet knowledge, phonological awareness, and oral language. Professional development methods included intensive in-class coaching, study groups, training institutes and seminars, and model classroom observation. Under the leadership of United Way's Early Literacy Coaches, teachers became more skilled in gathering high-quality

data from progress monitoring tools and in using that data to inform instruction. Key assessment tools included the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, Third Edition (PPVT-III); Phonological Awareness and Literacy Screening (PALS) Pre-K; the Work Sampling System (WSS); OWL curriculum-embedded assessment; and the Early Language and Literacy Classroom Observation (ELLCO).

Early Learning Mentor Coach grant: Over the past 17 months, this federally funded grant in partnership with Quality Assist provided highly skilled mentor coaching that created a bridge between training and classroom practice to ensure teaching practices improved. Quality Assist is a highly respected training and technical assistance firm providing innovative solutions and proven approaches that raise the quality of early childhood care and education. With their support, Sheltering Arms implemented an Early Learning Mentor Coach Program to enhance its current professional development system. According to a comprehensive review on effective professional development, the National Staff Development Council (2009) identified four primary features associated with positive outcomes. Professional development produces meaningful results when it is: intensive, ongoing, and connected to practice; focused on specific curriculum content; supported by strong relationships; and aligned with larger system improvement priorities and goals. The Sheltering Arms Early Mentor Coach Program was a multi-dimensional approach that incorporated all of these features as well as: classroom, teacher and child assessments, classroom-based mentor coaching, monthly study groups for teachers, and a specialized training series for teachers. The program supported key staff in developing the knowledge and skills needed to effectively mentor coach and provided intensive mentor coaching support in 22 selected classrooms; created an agency-wide system for mentor coaching that now addresses ongoing professional development needs; and trained and certified 22

Curriculum Specialists and Instructional Lead Teachers to provide mentor coaching in all Sheltering Arms classrooms. The program also increased the quality of classrooms as measured by the Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS: Pianta, LaParo, Harmre, 2008) domain – Instructional Support; increased scores in the *Infant and Toddler Components* of the *Assessment Profile for Early Childhood Programs*; and aligned the new mentor coach system with larger program improvements.

Atlanta Speech School Partnership: Sheltering Arms formalized our partnership with the Rollins Center for Language and Learning in 2011 by establishing a language and literacy professional development model project at our East Lake Early Education and Family Center. The Atlanta Speech School's Rollins Center for Language & Learning provides research-based, professional development in teaching language and literacy to teachers and school leaders working with children from birth through eighth grade. This project will put in place a personal coaching/intensive training/ eLearning model that will become systemic and sustainable by finding a balance between these learning modalities that is fully effective and that can be replicated. The following professional learning sessions/topics align evidence-based practices with the current curricular program at the East Lake Center. Training is being delivered through a blended delivery model, including “live” training sessions as well as eLearning sessions developed on Rollins' *Read Right from the Start-Pre-K* Project. This includes training on oral language development, early literacy development, assessment/use of data, and an integrated approach to language/literacy instruction. Following the training sessions, a Rollins facilitator will provide on-site support through coaching and mentoring. A typical coaching cycle involves demonstration teaching, co-teaching, observation and feedback. The Rollins facilitator also

provides embedded professional learning based on needs identified by teachers and staff and will also provide consult on an “as needed” basis

Even though we offer some high-quality professional development, our needs are significant. To offer teachers new and creative literacy approaches, with grant funding we will implement the Woodruff Art Center’s Georgia Wolf Trap program. In this program, classroom teachers work with professional teaching artists on a monthly basis to learn drama strategies that promote learning in literacy skills including speaking, listening, reading and writing. This research based program builds drama skills in oral and non-verbal expression, creative and critical thinking, ensemble work and self-regulation. Professional learning activities with teachers include instruction in drama strategies, classroom demonstration, team teaching, coaching, video observation, reflection, documentation and assessment and how to adapt lessons to Inclusive learning environments. A Parent Caregiver workshop will focus on Interactive Read Aloud as a strategy in support of the Home and Family Connection. Unit content is based on high quality children’s literature selected by the teacher and the teaching artist, with relevance to curriculum standards and children’s’ lives. Lessons are based on the Core Curriculum in Language Arts and the Georgia Performance Standards in Theatre for each grade level, using the “backwards design” template. The Alliance Theater Program incorporates best practices from early childhood education including thinking routines, brain-based learning and differentiation. Lessons can be adapted for specific populations such as special needs students. Language and literacy development skills are addressed through drama strategies including Listening and Comprehension, Phonological Awareness, Vocabulary Development, Expressive Language, Pre-reading and Pre- writing. Parent and caregiver workshop will focus on teaching Interactive Read Aloud.

In addition to the high-quality, standards-based professional development offered by the Woodruff Arts Center, we will also work with United Way to provide professional development for Center Management Teams, Lead Teachers, and Teachers at the four Sheltering Arms South Fulton Centers. For more than 10 years, United Way has supported the early childhood community and invested in innovative programs and outreach initiatives to improve the quality of early care and education for children ages birth to five. Over the past five years, United Way has worked collaboratively with partners to improve the quality of early care programs and providers and develop, strengthen, and grow literacy programs by providing:

- technical assistance to child care centers and training of child care providers to secure and maintain national accreditation,
- intensive professional development of early childhood professionals focused on evidence-based practices so that children to have the necessary skills to enter kindergarten ready to learn, and
- partnerships that provide linkages to the K - 12 system and the early childhood community.

Their experience and expertise as a four-time Early Reading First grantee uniquely positions them to provide professional development that meets the needs of the early childhood professionals, builds on best practices and lessons learned and are grounded in scientifically-based reading research (SBRR).

United Way's Leadership Institute for Language and Literacy is a four-day series of workshops focused on leading language and literacy improvement through a variety of best practices. The goal of the Institute is to increase knowledge and use of scientifically based reading research among leadership to systematically foster the development of early literacy skills essential to future reading success. Administrators will focus on developing and

implementing a Literacy Action Plan. They will develop transition teams to address the early education and school connection. The four day, five hour sessions will be offered to Fulton County district level staff, Sheltering Arms Center Directors and Curriculum Specialist, local Family Childcare provider leadership, and local childcare centers.

Further, United Way will provide two-day, five hour professional learning opportunities for Pre-K teachers. Based on the needs of the teachers, the professional learning topics may include but is not limited to:

- exploring the complexities of language development including comprehension, vocabulary and phonological awareness;
- assessing current practices and environments that support language and literacy development and learn explicit and implicit strategies for positive child outcomes;
- exploring the concept of classroom climate and ways teachers can strengthen the language environment;
- utilizing data to inform instruction;
- examining strategies for building partnerships with families in support of children's language and literacy skills;
- furthering teachers' skills in teaching new vocabulary;
- introducing phonological awareness skills and providing teachers with strategies and activities for use in the classroom;
- examining the stages of children's writing development, the literacy skills related to concepts about print and strategies for supporting children's early writing.

***f. Assessment / Data Analysis Plan (5 points)***

The Work Sampling System provides observational assessment to systematically document children's skills, knowledge, behavior, and academic accomplishments in seven domains. This reliable program enables continual progress monitoring from multiple sources, documented through developmental guidelines, checklists, and portfolios. Ongoing assessment is summarized three times per year (fall, winter, spring) for each child in an easy-to-read report that families can understand and administrators can readily use.

Bright from the Start Pre-K Operating Guidelines require teachers to use Georgia's Pre-K Child Assessment Tool (Work Sampling). Baseline GKIDS scores will be analyzed at the beginning of the 2013-14 school year. The percentage of proficient ratings scored by children who participated in the Striving Reader Grant will be compared to the children who did not participate to determine the impact of the resources provided by the grant.

New Teachers will receive online and face-to-face Work Sampling Online training as required by Bright from the Start. Fulton County Schools Pre-K Program Specialists will form Professional Learning Communities to give Pre-K Teachers a forum to discuss student documentation. This will help increase inner-rater reliability on the Developmental Checklist.

Assessment data is shared with parents during the three parent conferences per year for each child: in the fall, winter and spring. All Pre-K teachers have received training from the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning in how to share assessment data with parents.

**IV. Experience of the Applicant (10 points)**

**A. LEA Initiatives**

Fulton County Schools has a strong track record of effectively implementing large, competitive grants at the federal, state, and private foundation level. Examples are included in the table below.

Competitive Grant Title	Funded Amount	Audit Findings
Smaller Learning Communities grant	\$5.2M	No audit findings
Readiness & Emergency Management for Schools grant	\$608,355	No audit findings
Teaching American History grant	\$989,801	No audit findings
Carol M. White Physical Education grant	\$1.5M	No audit findings
Mathematics and Science Partnership grant	\$440,356	No audit findings
Strategic Data Project grant	\$500,000	No audit findings

At the federal level, in 2008, Fulton County Schools received a \$5.2 million Smaller Learning Communities grant from the U.S. Department of Education to develop career-focused academies in four high-need high schools, including the targeted Creekside High School. The initiative has been successful; participating schools have seen increased student proficiency on the state tests, increased graduation rates, and increased enrollment in postsecondary education the year following graduation. Other competitive, federal grant awards include a \$608,355 Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools grant in 2009, a \$1 million Teaching American History grant in 2008, and a \$1.5 million Carol M. White Physical Education Program grant in 2004. At the state level, FCS has received multiple Mathematics and Science Partnership Program grants from the Georgia Department of Education – the current grant valued at \$440,356. Further, Fulton County Schools received a Strategic Data Project grant valued at approximately \$500,000 from the Center for Education Policy Research at Harvard University. The Strategic Data Project, funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, is a national education initiative to help school district and state leaders increase student achievement and attainment through data-informed decision-making.

**B. Initiatives Implemented Without Outside Funding Support**

FCS has developed and implemented numerous education programs designed to increase student achievement using general operating funds. These programs attend to the delivery of student-focused instruction, ongoing assessment, use of data, and continuous improvement. Our

benchmark assessment program, known as Checkpoints, assesses student mastery of the Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) in a pre-test/post-test format each semester. Teachers and principals have easy access to Checkpoints data for formative instructional planning, as well as placement of students within the on-level, advanced or accelerated curriculum through an online Student Achievement Management System (SAMS). Teachers access SAMS to support instructional practices through pacing guides, units, lesson plans and instructional resources for all curricular areas and grade levels. The utilization of these formative assessments and the analysis of student-specific data have enabled teachers to implement differentiated learning strategies to improve student achievement. Since initial implementation of the GPS, tools such as Checkpoints and SAMS have helped the district demonstrate steady gains in the percentage of students meeting and exceeding state standards in all grades and subjects.

**C. Capacity to Coordinate Resources**

As demonstrated through our history with successful implementation of multiple federal, state and private grants and internal initiatives, FCS staff and faculty have the capacity and expertise to successfully implement large, complex initiatives. FCS will implement the proposed Striving Reader project on time and within budget. The FCS management team has extensive experience working across departments and schools as well as with external partners to achieve project goals. Staff members from partnering organizations, i.e., United Way, Sheltering Arms, and the Woodruff Arts Center, all have experience implementing complex projects that are time and budget constrained.

**D. Sustainability of Initiatives Implemented by the LEA**

FCS is committed to the Striving Reader project and values the need to provide high-quality literacy support to targeted schools. Dr. Robert Avossa, the superintendent, has made this

initiative a district priority. Establishing the grant committee and conducting needs assessments have provided a solid foundation for FCS' Striving Reader grant and have allowed district and school leaders to plan with the end in mind. That is, the district and targeted schools have established long-term goals and incorporated sustainability considerations into their literacy plans. FCS will sustain programming beyond the grant period by securing funding from a variety of sources. FCS general operating funds will be used to support literacy investments. Title II, Part A funds will help support professional development in literacy. Grant funding from local and national philanthropic organizations will be pursued to sustain the literacy interventions over time. Prospective funders who have a philanthropic focus on supporting literacy initiatives include: Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian Program, National Endowment for the Humanities, The Libri Foundation, The Braitmayer Foundation, The Malone Family Foundation, etc. The local business community also will be solicited for corporate contributions to support literacy interventions, e.g., Dollar General, Verizon, AT&T, Target, Wal-Mart, Sylvan Dell Publishing, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, Barnes and Noble, ING, Sun Trust, etc.

## **V. Resources (10 points)**

### **A. Coordination with Existing Programs and Family Literacy Services**

The district has the capacity to implement with fidelity a large, complex grant like the Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Project and align resources to complement existing funding streams. FCS is not included in the state's Race to the Top grant, nor does it receive any School Improvement Grant funding. Thus, FCS is currently not implementing any district-wide, federally-funded reform initiatives that might absorb limited capacity within schools. The targeted schools are willing and excited about implementing a Striving Reader grant. The table

below summarizes select state and federal funding received by the district and allocated to the targeted schools and centers.

Resources Available	Title I Funds	Title II Funds	Bright From the Start Funds
<i>Fulton County Schools</i>	\$20,685,036	\$2,330,577	\$5,650,000
Bear Creek MS	\$287,040	n/a	n/a
Bethune ES	\$197,440	n/a	\$98,530
Campbell ES	\$248,320	n/a	\$59,806
Creekside HS	n/a	n/a	n/a
E.C. West ES	\$162,134	n/a	\$158,336
Love T. Nolan ES	\$200,640	n/a	\$109,071
McClarín HS	\$76,480	n/a	n/a
Oakley ES	\$196,160	n/a	\$59,806
Palmetto ES	\$132,160	n/a	\$109,071
Sheltering Arms (4 centers)	n/a	n/a	\$473,308

**Title I** is a federally funded program designed to ensure that all children have a fair, equal, and significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education and reach, at a minimum, proficiency on challenging state academic standards and state academic assessments. The district's Title I Office provides Title I schools with extensive technical assistance on the appropriate use of funds to comply with federal funding rules and regulations to ensure that funds supplement and not supplant existing resources. Title I funding will complement Striving Reader investments in literacy. **Title II** funding is held at the district level and supports all schools; individual schools do not receive a Title II allocation. The purpose of Title II, Part A is to increase the academic achievement of all students by helping schools and districts improve teacher and principal quality and ensuring that all teachers are highly qualified. Priorities for the district's Title II, Part A funding include: moving all non-highly qualified teachers to highly qualified status within an appropriate timeframe, assisting special education teachers with gaining the content knowledge necessary to add content concentrations to their certificates,

revising procedures for selecting and retaining professionally certified and highly qualified teachers, and supporting professional development activities aimed at building teacher and principal effectiveness. The purpose of Title II, Part D is to improve student academic achievement through the use of technology in elementary schools and secondary schools. Title II, Part D formula grants for 2010–2011 created a scaffold upon which the Striving Reader Grant can continue to build. Besides having basic literacy skills, today's students also need technology skills for communicating, investigating, accessing and using information, computing, thinking critically about messages inherent in new media, and understanding and evaluating data. Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning (**Bright from the Start**) is responsible for meeting the child care and early education needs of Georgia's children and their families. Currently, FCS' Pre-K program provides 6.5 hours of instructional time, five days per week, and 160 days per year for eligible children. The school district receives state lottery funding through Bright from the Start to pay for classroom teachers, equipment, materials, and supplies to support its Pre-K program. The portion of the Pre-K grant funds that are allocated to the school level budgets are for teacher and teacher assistant salaries, substitutes, and benefits. The district also allocates funds to school budgets for instructional materials and supplies, field trips and postage. The remaining Pre-K grant funds are kept at the district level for distribution to schools as needed and for support of students, parent involvement, teachers, and classrooms. Bright from the Start funding for the Sheltering Arms early learning centers covers teacher and assistant salaries and benefits, instructional materials and supplies, and other non-instructional costs.

Federal funding from Title I and II as well as state funding from Bright from the Start will complement investments made with Striving Reader funds to ensure alignment with student needs. FCS will incorporate Striving Reader plans and funds into its annual planning and review

process in order to ensure funds are used to supplement, not supplant, Federal, state or local funds and to ensure alignment of resources. As schools submit their School Improvement Plans coupled with their Title I and Title II Plans to their Area Superintendents and to the Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum and Support for review, close attention will be paid to the alignment of identified student needs, instructional strategies to address the needs, timelines for implementation and monitoring, and budgets, to ensure that all resources are focused and converge to support student achievement in the area of literacy and will not be used to supplant public funds. Area Superintendents provide feedback to schools on drafts and must sign-off on the final versions of the school plans.

## **VI. Management Plan and Key Personnel (10 points)**

Administrators, faculty members and program staff from FCS, United Way, Sheltering Arms and Woodruff Arts Center have the capacity and expertise to successfully implement our Striving Reader grant. Qualifications for key personnel are laid out below.

### **A. Management Plan and Key Personnel Responsible for Grant Implementation**

The FCS management team has extensive experience working across departments and with external partners to achieve project goals and thus will implement the proposed project on time and within budget. Faculty from partnering institutions all have experience implementing complex projects that are time and budget constrained. The following individuals are qualified for their role and committed to improving literacy in targeted schools and early learning centers. The full time equivalent (FTE) for Fulton County Schools' staff to implement the grant is included in parentheses.

Dr. Robert Avossa – FCS Superintendent (0.025 FTE) – will be ultimately responsible for grant implementation. Dr. Avossa will keep the Fulton County Board of Education briefed on grant

progress and results and will allocate the necessary resources to ensure fidelity of implementation. Dr. Avossa received his Ph.D. from Wingate University.

Dr. Linda Anderson – FCS Deputy Superintendent Instruction (0.05 FTE) will chair the Striving Reader Governing Board and provide strategic oversight for grant implementation. Dr. Anderson reports directly to the Superintendent; she received her Ph.D. in Educational Leadership from Mercer University.

Amy Krause – FCS Assistant Superintendent Curriculum and Support (0.10 FTE) will be accountable for the Striving Reader grant and will supervise the Striving Reader Project Director to integrate proposed strategies and supports with other system processes to ensure alignment. Ms. Krause has served as the district's liaison on several literacy initiatives including Reading Recovery with Georgia State University and Reading First with the Georgia Department of Education. As a former reading specialist in the state of Alabama, Ms. Krause understands the components of a balanced literacy approach. Ms. Krause holds a Specialist degree in Educational Leadership and a Master of Arts in Education with a concentration in Early Childhood and also Administration and Instructional Supervision.

Dr. Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent (0.1 FTE) is the Instructional Area Superintendent for the Creekside cluster of schools—the main feeder pattern in this grant. He will serve on the Striving Reader Governing Board and will ensure vertical alignment of curriculum and professional learning across Striving Reader schools. In his capacity as a member of the FCS Executive Leadership team, Dr. Shandor will communicate best practices to schools across the district to support sustainability of Striving Reader strategies. Dr. Shandor holds a Master's degree in Special Education and an Ed.D. in Educational Leadership.

Larry Wallace – FCS Striving Reader Project Director (1.0 FTE) will coordinate the Striving Reader project and manage the grant budget. Mr. Wallace will serve as a bridge among the schools and the functional areas involved. Externally, he will liaise with project partners on an operational level and will work collectively with partners to ensure their services are integrated into the project design. Mr. Wallace has most recently served as Project Director for the district's \$5.2 million Smaller Learning Communities grant. He has extensive experience managing complex projects, involving multiple partners, with significant reporting requirements. Mr. Wallace holds a Master of Arts in Education with a concentration in Instructional Technology and a Specialist in Education with a concentration in Educational Leadership.

Katrina Mitchell – UWMA Senior Director, Education will serve on the Striving Reader Governing Board. Ms. Mitchell is responsible for coordinating all professional learning for Sheltering Arms faculty and FCS Pre-K teachers in targeted elementary schools.

Amy Hobart – Sheltering Arms Director of Early Care and Education will sit on the Governing Board and act as liaison for the Sheltering Arms Striving Reader component. Ms. Hobart designs and delivers training to enhance the effectiveness of the Sheltering Arms teaching and family support staff based on national competency goals and indicators in early education, and provides program-level consultation and training to build the capacity of the center management teams in developmentally appropriate practice.

Carol Jones – Woodruff Arts Center, Alliance Theatre - Director of Institute for Educators and Teaching Artists will serve on the Striving Reader Governing Board and is responsible for coordinating all professional learning for teachers participating in the Alliance Theatre's literacy-based programs Pre-K – 2 Georgia Wolf Trap Early Learning Through the Arts, Reader's Theatre, and Collision Plus.

**B. Commitment to Implementation Plan**

The individuals named in the table below support the district's Striving Reader grant proposals and are committed to implementing grant strategies and activities with fidelity. The Instructional Area Superintendents are champions of the Striving Reader grant because it provides more focused attention to targeted schools' literacy needs.

Site/District	Individual Responsible	Supervisor
<i>Site-Level Coordinators - Fulton County Schools</i>		
Bear Creek MS	Darron Franklin – Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Bethune ES	Zina Rhodes – Principal	Iris Moran – Instructional Area Superintendent
Campbell ES	Denine Cadet - Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Creekside HS	Earnest Killum – Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Evoline C. West ES	Catherine Smith – Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Love T. Nolan ES	Chandra Lemons – Principal	Iris Moran – Instructional Area Superintendent
McClarín HS	Anita Lee – Principal	Susan Dorenkamp – Instructional Area Superintendent
Oakley ES	Vonnie Thompson - Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
Palmetto ES	Carlene Millen - Principal	Vic Shandor – Instructional Area Superintendent
<i>Site-Level Coordinators - Sheltering Arms</i>		
East Point Center	Kathy Bolling – Acting Director	Bernice Paschal – Program Coordinator
Oakley Township Center	Ron McNair – Center Director	Bernice Paschal – Program Coordinator
Stonewall Tell Center	Rosalyn Williams – Center Director	Lee Shaw – Program Coordinator
Welcome All Center	Linda Rhea – Center Director	Bernice Paschal – Program Coordinator
Professional Learning Coordinator	Lisa McDermott - Facilitator	Karen Cox – Executive Director, Professional Learning
Instructional Technology Coordinator	Mindy Ramon - IT Training Support Coordinator	Kathy Politis – Director, Instructional Technology
Literacy Assessment Coordinator	Mary Rulo – Support Specialist, ELA	Sherrie Moss – Director, ELA
Purchasing	Professional Assistant	Larry Wallace – Striving Reader Project Director

## **VII. Sustainability Plan (10 points)**

Fulton County Schools' Striving Reader grant can serve as a blueprint that can be scaled and replicated to build principal, early learning site director and teacher capacity to improve literacy achievement for all of our students from birth through 12<sup>th</sup> grade. Our targeted schools and Sheltering Arms' early learning centers will become demonstration sites for how to systemically align multiple funding sources to improve literacy across all content areas. The district will create the Striving Reader Governing Board comprised of key personnel from the central office, targeted schools, United Way, Sheltering Arms, and the Woodruff Arts Center. The governing board, chaired by Dr. Anderson, will meet biannually to review program data, assess results, monitor progress toward goals and sustain program outcomes over time. Board members will serve as ambassadors to share best practices at local and state literacy forums. Through the district's experience with other large-scale initiatives, it has developed a healthy appetite for data analysis and program improvement. For example, annual evaluations of the Smaller Learning Communities grant have pushed participating schools to reconsider certain approaches and develop new strategies to not only meet grant objectives, but to ensure grant activities become embedded in schools' strategic plans beyond the life of the grant. These lessons learned will be carried over to the implementation of the Striving Reader grant.

### **A. Expanding the Lessons Learned with other Schools and New Teachers**

A goal of the Striving Reader grant is to identify best practices at participating schools and share those strategies with schools throughout the district to ensure sustainability. At the district level, the communication of best practices will be the responsibility of the Instructional Area Superintendent (Dr. Vic Shandor) and the Project Director. Participating schools will share lessons learned at the district's annual Best Practices Conference for teachers, instructional staff

and school leaders. In addition, participating schools will be expected to share their experiences with all schools and divisions within the district through technical assistance workshops, school site visits, and administrative staff meetings. For example, teachers of English learners in Striving Reader schools can demonstrate through lesson plans how they scaffold instruction by using best practices for EL when developing oral language, reading skills, and writing.

### **B. Extending the Assessment Protocol Beyond the Grant Period**

Beyond the life of the grant, the Dynamic Indicator of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS Next) and Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI) can be used to provide essential data for all students. Not only does DIBELS Next provide a fluency measure, it also assesses early phonemic awareness and first sound fluency of an individual student. The SRI provides benchmark assessments for the identification of students' reading skills; SRI guides teachers to differentiate and offer intervention strategies for struggling students. Students receive a Lexile score based on the comprehension of a text which has an equivalent reading level. Once a student masters one level, the program gives another reading passage at a higher level. Media centers categorize books by their Lexile levels; therefore, SRI helps match the reader to the appropriate text for 75% reading accuracy rate. An accuracy rate ensures that appropriate challenge is built in, but the level of frustration for the student will be low. The grant-sponsored professional development for DIBELS Next and SRI will ensure that all staff in targeted schools will be trained in the use of these assessments.

### **C. Extending the Professional Learning Practices beyond the Grant Period to New Staff**

UWMA will provide Sheltering Arms early learning teachers and FCS Pre-K teachers with the skills and knowledge to enhance the early language, literacy, and pre-reading development of young children, particularly those from low income families, through strategies and professional

development that are grounded in scientifically-based reading research and family literacy. The professional development plan systematically addresses early literacy skills essential to future reading success by providing seminars, field trips to model classrooms, study groups, in-classroom coaching, summer institute and local and national conferences. The success of UWMA's programs can be measured by the quality of the classroom environment, child outcomes that assess the main skills predictive of reading success, the use of valid assessment instruments, and the professional learning opportunities provided to teachers. Aspects of the Striving Reader professional learning practices delivered by United Way that will be continued beyond the grant period include: enhancing classroom learning environments, providing teachers with new ways to help children improve their language, providing coaching and early literacy training for teachers to help them fully integrate literacy throughout their classrooms, engaging families in their child's early reading experiences to reinforce these skills at home.

As Striving Reader schools bring on new staff in future years, literacy training will be provided as part of new teacher development. Curriculum contacts (High School Department Chairs, Middle School Coordinators, and Elementary Curriculum Support Teachers) will provide opportunities for new teachers to learn grant-funded strategies, such as those learned through the partnership with the Woodruff Arts Center, and use resources during the first two years of induction as a part of each school's new teacher support program. These programs support the new teacher's transition into the school. An assigned mentor teacher will work with new staff as they begin to plan and implement instructional strategies that support the school's literacy plan.

#### **D. Sustaining Technology beyond the Grant Period**

On November 8, 2011 Fulton County voters extended a one-penny sales tax to upgrade technology in classrooms and build new schools. The SPLOST (Special Purpose Local Option

Sales Tax) education tax is expected to raise \$912 million for Fulton County school improvements over its five-year lifetime. The SPLOST proposal calls for technology enhancements, particularly in the areas of wireless, mobile learning devices for student use, updated video and audio recording tools, improved and expanded distance learning for students, virtual space for digital student work, interactive classroom websites with curriculum resources and assignments, updated equipment for technology and career-oriented classes, teacher/student communication and collaboration tools, and online professional learning resources for staff. The district's technology plan will help sustain the Striving Reader investments made in educational technology.

### **VIII. Evaluation – Assurance of Compliance with GADOE Requirements**

Fulton County Schools will adhere to all OMB, EDGAR, GPRA, GADOE Performance Measures, and grant evaluation requirements. The district will prepare, according to GADOE requirements, an annual evaluation report by June 30<sup>th</sup> each year to document progress towards achieving grant goals.

**IX. Appendices**

- A. Comprehensive Literacy Needs Assessment
- B. Literacy Plan
- C. Letter of Support – United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta
- D. Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms
- E. Letter of Support – Woodruff Arts Center
- F. School Level Data for all Schools in FCS
- G. ITBS 2010 Results
- H. Reading / English Language Arts AMO Results
- I. Map of Targeted Early Learning Centers and Schools
- J. Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant Specific Program Assurances
- K. Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms Pre-K Faculty

**A. Comprehensive Literacy Needs Assessment**

The district does not have a single needs assessment document that reflects all of the data collection and analysis that was performed for this grant. The core grant committee from the central office plus the faculty and administrators in the targeted schools and centers reviewed a variety of data sources as part of the needs assessment process including:

- Criterion-Referenced Competency Tests (CRCT) performance data for elementary and middle school students
- End of Course Tests (EOCT) performance data for high school students
- 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade Writing Assessments
- Graduation data for high school students
- Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) for 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> graders
- Checkpoints formative assessment data
- Title I Plans
- School Improvement Plans
- Intensive School Plans
- Socio-economic data
- AYP data
- Mobility data
- Attendance data
- Discipline data
- Pre-K waiting lists
- Preschool Special Education (PSE) classroom data
- Georgia Pre-K work sampling data

## **B. Literacy Plan**

Fulton County Schools does not have a documented literacy plan that can be attached to this grant. The literacy leadership through the English/Language Arts Department references research to determine best practice in literacy instruction. The foundational documents are the reports from the Carnegie Corporation: *Time to Act: An Agenda for Advancing Adolescent Literacy for College and Career Readiness, 2010*; *Writing Next: Effective Strategies to Improve Writing of Adolescents in Middle and High School Literacy, 2006*; *Reading Next: A Vision for Action and Research in Middle and High School Literacy, 2004*. For the primary grades, the work of Donald Graves, Lucy Calkins, Richard Allington, Ralph Fletcher, Fountas and Pinnell, and others, form the framework for literary instruction.

A balanced literacy approach through Writer's and Reader's Workshops (Shared Reading and Interactive Read Aloud, Mini Lesson, Teacher Modeling, Student Writing and Teacher Conferencing, and Sharing) has been the instructional focus of the English/Language Arts Department. Because the systemic approach to literacy was fragmented with varieties of writing and reading programs, we have adopted the workshop approach for K-12 Language Arts classes.

The CRCT is designed to measure how well students acquire the skills and knowledge described in the Georgia Performance Standards. The reading class is additional to the Language Arts class that every student takes. The middle school reading course is skills driven. The students perform poorly on comprehension, vocabulary, and fluency elements in reading. While certification satisfies minimum requirements to teach the course, the students often continue to receive instruction in traditional pedagogy that did not work the first several times. The younger students come to school with limited schema of reading readiness, such as reading left to right and top to bottom, and their connections may never reach text to self or text to text connections.

These poor readers need connections to reading other than verbal/linguistics; they need music and art and kinesthetic intelligence activation, not to mention the technology connections.

Classes are encouraged to create Reader's Theater presentations so that students are often on their feet and addressing the listening/speaking/viewing standards.

To complement the work in the anthologies, students read novels assigned to each grade level. Unfortunately, very little choice is afforded the non-reader. Teachers are advised to teach one novel per semester: the first semester novel study is teacher directed; the second semester novel study is student driven through literature circles or other cooperative forms. The intent is to gradually release the responsibility of instruction from the teacher to the student.

## C. Letter of Support – United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta

### United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta

100 Edgewood Avenue, N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
404.527.7200



December 6, 2011

Dr. Robert Avossa  
Superintendent  
Fulton County Public Schools  
786 Cleveland Avenue SW.  
Atlanta, GA 30315

Dear Superintendent Dr. Robert Avossa:

I am writing to you as Vice President of Education at United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta to express our support for Fulton County's application for the Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Project. For more than 10 years, United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta has supported the early childhood community through Smart Start and invested in initiatives like Early Reading First, a USDOE grant program that sustain our education goals to see that children enter school ready to learn, avoid risky behaviors and graduate prepared for careers.

United Way has long been a leader in early care and education services to parents and children from birth to age five. Smart Start has successfully led the state's efforts to improve and enhance the well-being of Georgia's children and has collaboratively engaged other state agencies, parents, child care providers, local school systems, early childhood professional organizations, children's advocacy groups, the business and philanthropic community, higher education and other stakeholders to serve Georgia's children effectively and efficiently.

United Way has worked collaboratively with local, state and regional organizations in advancing programs, refining innovative ideas into best practice models and incorporating lessons learned from large scale grant-making. Relevant examples are in our early learning community-based partnership initiative and the coordination of the coalition of early childhood advocates and professionals who have implemented four U.S. Department of Education-funded Early Reading First grants.

United Way also has a reputation for thoughtfully and strategically convening stakeholders at state-wide and regional levels. One example is our convocation the Early Education Commission that researched best practices in early learning and the consequent impact on Georgia's economic development. Having identified best practices that result in solution-driven strategies that can be sustained over time, the Commission recommended the creation of the Georgia Early Education Alliance for Ready Students (GEEARS) to ensure that advocacy for early education work continues.

Over the past five years, United Way has invested more than 36 million dollars in local, state and federal funding in support of young children and their families. Included are:

- Grants to early childhood providers to provide scholarships to families to cover child care costs

Visit us online at [unitedwayatlanta.org](http://unitedwayatlanta.org)  
To find or give help, dial 2.1.1

**United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta**

100 Edgewood Avenue, N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
404.527.7200



- Technical assistance to child care centers and training of child care providers to secure and maintain national accreditation
- Intensive professional development of early childhood professionals focused on evidence-based practices so that children to have the necessary skills to enter kindergarten ready to learn
- Support services and resources for families that promote parent leadership, understanding of developmental milestones and prevention of child abuse and neglect
- High quality home visiting that matches interested parents with professionals who provide information and support during a child's first three years
- Partnerships that provide linkages to the K - 12 system and the early childhood community
- Opportunities for young children and their families to have quality educational and social experiences that support a successful transition to kindergarten
- Engaging the civic, business and social service community in supporting strategies and initiatives that improve outcomes for early education in Atlanta and throughout Georgia

United Way of Metropolitan Atlanta pledge our support by providing high quality professional learning opportunities based on scientifically based reading research for administrators, teachers, center directors, and family childcare providers. We appreciate this opportunity to partner with Fulton County Public Schools and we enthusiastically endorse the application for the Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Navella Jean Walker, Ph.D." in a cursive script.

Navella Jean Walker  
Vice President, Education

Visit us online at [unitedwayatlanta.org](http://unitedwayatlanta.org)  
To find or give help, dial 2.1.1

D. Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms



# Sheltering Arms

EARLY EDUCATION AND FAMILY CENTERS

385 Centennial Olympic Park Drive • Atlanta, GA 30313 • 404-523-2767 • FAX 404-523-9952

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**  
Elizabeth C. Richards  
Chairman  
T. Shawn Lanier  
Vice Chairman  
Timothy J. Pakenham  
Immediate Past Chairman  
John S. McCall  
Treasurer  
Holly H. Hughes  
Secretary

Kent E. Blackmon, PMP  
W. J. Blane  
Bennie Boswell, Jr.  
Rhonda Burnough  
Gerry Carson  
Wendy L. Conover  
Darrell Cooper  
Vincent Crawford  
Blair Curtis  
Mark Dvorak  
Anthony Embrey  
Paige Green  
Cathy Hilton  
Deva Hirsch  
Jeff Kammerer  
Donna Lowry  
Pegul Mariduena  
Arthur J. McClung, Jr.  
Canditra McLemore  
John Mears  
Rod Odum  
A. Summey Orr III  
Lovette Russell  
Caryn Schistra  
W. Ross Singletary II  
Michael Smith  
Jaclen Steele

**BOARD OF ADVISORS**  
Dr. James Bland  
Trey Childress  
Jocelyn Dorsey  
Charles F. Easley Sr.  
Bill Graves  
John L. Pruitt III  
L. Barry Teague

**HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS**  
Anne H. Carson  
Stiles Y. Conrad  
Robert H. Gunn Jr.  
Janet Johnson  
Virginia S. Williams

President / CEO  
Elaine P. Draeger

[www.shelteringarmsforkids.com](http://www.shelteringarmsforkids.com)



Accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children and supported by Head Start, United Way, the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning, and center communities.

December 8, 2011

Dr. Robert Avossa  
Superintendent – Fulton County Schools  
786 Cleveland Ave., SW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30315

Dear Dr. Avossa:

It is an honor to provide this letter of support for Fulton County Schools' application for a Striving Readers grant. We are very pleased to be included as a partner in the application.

Sheltering Arms was established in 1888, and since 1990 the number of Sheltering Arms centers has almost doubled from 9 to 16, with an increase in daily capacity from 744 to more than 2,300 children, and services have been added to better address the needs of the family and the community. As a result, the budget has grown from \$3.5 million in 1991 to more than \$26 million today. The agency's programs include Early Head Start for infants and toddlers, Head Start for three and four year olds, the Georgia Pre-kindergarten Program for four year olds, and early childhood education with fees based on family size and income. Sheltering Arms programs are accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC), and have been recognized as a Head Start Program of Excellence, Head Start's highest level of recognition for high quality.

In 2004, Sheltering Arms conducted a \$15 million capital campaign to build four state-of-the-art Early Education and Family Center facilities in south Fulton County. Fulton County Schools was an important partner in this undertaking, providing land adjacent to elementary schools for three of the new facilities. This partnership has endured, and by partnering with Fulton County Schools we are able to provide an innovative model that 1) improves teacher retention and recruitment by providing affordable, convenient early childhood education, 2) improves school readiness in an area that has struggled with performance standards, and 3) develops improved school readiness strategies by tracking the performance of Sheltering Arms alumni in Fulton County elementary schools.

We appreciate this opportunity, and pledge to assist in every way possible to produce a nationally acclaimed Striving Readers initiative.

Sincerely,

Elaine P. Draeger  
President/CEO

EPD/cb

The power of education begins here.™

**E. Letter of Support – Woodruff Arts Center**



December 13, 2011

Dr. Robert Avossa  
Superintendent, Fulton County Schools  
786 Cleveland Ave. SW  
Atlanta, GA 30315

RE: Grant request on behalf of Fulton County Schools

Dear Dr. Avossa:

I am delighted to submit a letter in support of the application by the Fulton County Schools for continuing grant support from the Georgia Department of Education under the Striving Readers Program. The Woodruff Arts Center through its various artistic divisions is a major supporter of arts in education (arts integration) as well as a leading supporter of education in the arts. As a stated part of our mission we seek to provide excellence in both arts and education. We interact on and off our campus with children in Georgia schools over a half million times a year. In this effort we have an excellent, long standing and highly valued relationship with the Fulton County Schools.

What is truly remarkable is that our collection of visual arts (High Museum), dramatic arts (Alliance Theater), musical arts (Atlanta Symphony Orchestra) and our in school arts programming (Young Audiences) each support major educational programming aimed at literacy and language development. "I See Literacy," "Sound Learning," "GA Wolf Trap," "Arts4Learning" and "Collision Plus," are each examples of excellent arts integrated programming that address these challenges with positive results.

In addition, our education teams have worked with six Fulton County schools in some experimental research under the Wolf Trap Program that has shown measurable improvement in language development and writing skills. The partnership with the Kennedy Center currently supports programs in two Fulton County schools whereby each grade level has an opportunity to experience a featured work from our Center along with four classroom sessions focused on 21<sup>st</sup> Century skills through arts integration.

1280 Peachtree St. NE  
Atlanta GA 30309

T 404 733 4200  
F 404 733 4393

[www.woodruffcenter.org](http://www.woodruffcenter.org)

Dr. Robert Avossa  
December 13, 2011  
Page 2

I am particularly pleased to support the application of our educational colleagues in the Fulton County School System – not only because they are long standing collaborators who share with us a commitment to address these challenges – but also because they are one of the few systems seeking to integrate arts as a key element in their proposal.

We consider ourselves lucky to have such partners in our shared effort to help increase student learning in literacy and outcomes in life through arts integration. Please know that their application has our unqualified support and endorsement. Please feel free to call with any questions you may have.

With kind regards,

Sincerely yours,



Joseph R. Bankoff  
President & CEO  
Woodruff Arts Center

404 733 4257

**F. School Level Data for all Schools in FCS, based on 2010-2011 school year**

**Elementary Schools**

Elementary School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Abbotts Hill	14%	13%	4%	24%	Yes	4	3%	3	2%
Alpharetta	20%	22%	8%	26%	Yes	5	5%	1	1%
Amana Charter	NA	6%	NA	18%	Yes	2	3%	4	7%
Barnwell	12%	12%	4%	16%	Yes	1	1%	0	0%
Bethune	86%	9%	0%	42%	Yes	23	21%	15	11%
Birmingham Falls	2%	12%	0%	16%	Yes	0	0%	1	1%
Brookview	96%	12%	0%	83%	Yes	10	14%	15	22%
Campbell	90%	12%	16%	36%	No	8	7%	12	9%
Cliftondale	63%	8%	0%	37%	Yes	12	10%	11	9%
Cogburn Woods	14%	11%	8%	22%	Yes	2	1%	6	4%
Conley Hills	95%	12%	0%	52%	Yes	11	16%	7	13%
Crabapple Crossing	4%	9%	2%	11%	Yes	1	1%	2	2%
Creek View	14%	9%	7%	25%	Yes	2	1%	4	2%
Dolvin	11%	12%	9%	18%	Yes	3	2%	2	1%
Dunwoody Springs Charter	72%	13%	17%	44%	Yes	1	1%	7	7%
Feldwood	87%	12%	0%	49%	No	19	18%	18	13%
Findley Oaks	8%	13%	5%	15%	Yes	0	0%	0	0%
Fulton Sunshine Academy	8%	7%	5%	32%	Yes	0	0%	0	0%
C. H. Gullatt	96%	11%	2%	62%	Yes	6	18%	6	15%
Hamilton E Holmes	93%	16%	2%	63%	Yes	8	14%	15	22%
Hapeville	95%	9%	29%	53%	Yes	27	22%	12	11%
Heards Ferry	7%	12%	2%	21%	Yes	0	0%	1	1%
Hembree Springs	33%	18%	17%	26%	Yes	1	1%	4	3%
Heritage	96%	11%	1%	77%	No	26	26%	25	21%

Fulton County Schools: Sheltering Arms' Approach to Literacy

Elementary School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
High Point	67%	13%	34%	33%	Yes	12	11%	6	8%
Hillside	52%	14%	25%	35%	No	8	7%	3	3%
Ison Springs	75%	14%	22%	61%	Yes	15	15%	8	9%
Esther Jackson	64%	17%	25%	42%	Yes	8	9%	16	25%
Lake Forest	97%	13%	65%	29%	No	12	9%	7	9%
Lake Windward	7%	20%	8%	22%	Yes	5	3%	4	3%
Seaborn Lee	83%	9%	0%	46%	Yes	12	16%	9	10%
S. L. Lewis	89%	13%	1%	51%	Yes	12	13%	15	20%
Liberty Point	86%	14%	0%	39%	Yes	5	5%	14	12%
Main Street Academy	52%	4%	1%	46%	Yes	7	9%	5	11%
Manning Oaks	37%	11%	14%	33%	No	7	6%	10	8%
Medlock Bridge	13%	12%	5%	30%	Yes	1	1%	1	1%
Mimosa	89%	12%	58%	37%	Yes	24	16%	11	9%
Mt. Olive	88%	18%	8%	65%	Yes	9	13%	9	14%
Mountain Park	8%	14%	1%	16%	Yes	1	1%	1	1%
New Prospect	14%	17%	8%	27%	Yes	1	1%	2	2%
Love T. Nolan	87%	12%	0%	44%	Yes	24	18%	40	29%
Northwood	28%	13%	11%	22%	No	8	6%	2	2%
Oak Knoll	94%	12%	28%	52%	Yes	22	25%	11	14%
Oakley	83%	11%	6%	37%	Yes	16	15%	15	11%
Ocee	14%	16%	9%	19%	Yes	5	4%	0	0%
Palmetto	87%	14%	10%	44%	Yes	4	8%	9	15%
Parklane	97%	10%	14%	48%	No	16	23%	13	19%
Randolph	64%	8%	0%	52%	Yes	5	6%	15	13%
Renaissance	74%	13%	0%	46%	Yes	25	19%	9	9%
River Eves	43%	11%	11%	33%	Yes	8	6%	7	5%
Roswell North	30%	11%	11%	26%	Yes	7	5%	8	6%
Shakerag	4%	11%	7%	21%	Yes	1	1%	0	0%

Elementary School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 3 CRCT Reading		Grade 5 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet	# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Spalding Drive Charter	43%	7%	16%	32%	Yes	5	9%	3	6%
State Bridge Crossing	11%	9%	5%	20%	Yes	0	0%	0	0%
Stonewall Tell	53%	8%	0%	34%	Yes	14	9%	10	7%
Summit Hill	5%	11%	0%	12%	Yes	0	0%	2	1%
Sweet Apple	4%	17%	1%	13%	Yes	2	1%	1	1%
Harriet Tubman	93%	11%	11%	67%	Yes	12	15%	5	6%
Evoline C. West	74%	9%	6%	40%	Yes	7	7%	22	16%
Wilson Creek	7%	7%	10%	20%	Yes	2	2%	1	1%
Woodland Charter	57%	16%	14%	44%	Yes	5	4%	7	7%

Note: Schools targeted for the Striving Reader grant are highlighted.

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

### Middle Schools

Middle School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 8 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Amana Charter	9%	9%	8%	25%	Yes	0	0%
Autrey Mill	9%	8%	4%	12%	Yes	4	1%
Bear Creek	86%	11%	3%	45%	No - NII	16	5%
Camp Creek	89%	15%	1%	68%	No	22	9%
Crabapple	24%	10%	3%	15%	Yes	2	1%
Elkins Pointe	42%	12%	10%	35%	Yes	6	2%
Fulton Science	6%	7%	2%	32%	Yes	0	0%

Middle School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mo-bility Rate	Met AYP?	Grade 8 CRCT Reading	
						# Students Did not Meet	% Students Did not Meet
Hapeville Charter	77%	4%	1%	36%	Yes	0	0%
Haynes Bridge	26%	16%	0%	22%	No	0	0%
Holcomb Bridge	56%	13%	11%	34%	No	4	2%
Hopewell	16%	11%	274 %	17%	Yes	2	1%
Ronald McNair	91%	14%	1%	62%	No - NI2	19	7%
Northwestern	10%	10%	1%	14%	Yes	1	0%
Renaissance	78%	11%	200 %	41%	No - NI3	19	5%
Ridgeview Charter	59%	11%	14%	26%	No - NI1	11	5%
River Trail	6%	7%	4%	12%	Yes	7	1%
Sandtown	60%	10%	0%	38%	Yes	7	2%
Sandy Springs Charter	67%	11%	11%	46%	No	13	5%
Taylor Rd	16%	10%	5%	17%	Yes*	0	0%
Webb Bridge	10%	8%	3%	23%	Yes	1	0%
Paul D. West	89%	13%	5%	67%	No - NI1	22	9%
Woodland	89%	12%	2%	60%	Yes	15	5%

Note: Schools targeted for the Striving Reader grant are highlighted.

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

**High Schools**

High School	% FRL	% SWD	% EL	Mobility Rate	Met AYP?	Graduation Rate
Alpharetta	13%	10%	3%	18%	No	94%
Banneker	79%	21%	0%	71%	No - NI3	67%
Centennial	29%	11%	6%	52%	No	87%
Chattahoochee	10%	7%	3%	14%	Yes	96%
Creekside	72%	9%	2%	51%	No - NI4	69%
Independence Alternative	39%	13%	4%	101%	No - NI1	68%
Johns Creek	8%	7%	3%	17%	Yes	NA*
Langston Hughes	69%	9%	0%	51%	Yes	NA*
McClarín Alternative	94%	3%	0%	121%	No - NI2	52%
Milton	6%	7%	1%	12%	Yes	97%
North Springs Charter	46%	6%	6%	37%	Yes - NI1	85%
Northview	5%	6%	4%	10%	Yes	98%
Riverwood Charter	30%	6%	6%	27%	Yes	92%
Roswell	21%	11%	5%	23%	No	88%
Tri-Cities	73%	10%	5%	46%	No - NI2	83%
Westlake	57%	6%	0%	38%	Yes	90%

Note: Schools targeted for the Striving Reader grant are highlighted.

Source: Fulton County Schools Administrative Records and Georgia Department of Education; Data for 2010-11 school year.

G. ITBS 2010 Data

**FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS**  
**Fall 2010 - Iowa Tests of Basic Skills**  
**Grade 3 - Mean National Percentile**

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
County (FULTON)	60	61	-1	66	69	-3	63	65	-2	65	68	-3	64	65	-1
National Average	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0
Abbotts Hill	71	75	-4	80	83	-3	76	83	-7	71	76	-5	77	78	-1
Alpharetta	81	83	-2	80	85	-5	82	84	-2	85	89	-4	82	83	-1
Amana	69	61	8	67	63	4	63	61	2	70	69	1	68	59	9
Barnwell	77	84	-7	80	85	-5	81	88	-7	79	85	-6	81	83	-2
Bethune	40	41	-1	42	51	-9	47	52	-5	44	50	-6	41	42	-1
Birmingham Falls	81	81	0	81	86	-5	83	83	0	80	83	-3	83	83	0
Brookview	30	32	-2	36	36	0	36	36	0	34	38	-4	33	30	3
Campbell	31	35	-4	44	47	-3	40	48	-8	44	55	-11	41	44	-3
Clifondale	51	42	9	53	48	5	52	43	9	60	62	-2	48	43	5
Cogburn Woods	71	77	-6	80	84	-4	79	81	-2	78	81	-3	76	81	-5
College Park	23	22	1	29	26	3	22	22	0	21	32	-11	24	29	-5
Conley Hills	41	30	11	45	46	-1	63	50	13	50	38	12	47	35	12
Crabapple Crossing	84	83	1	86	90	-4	87	83	4	87	91	-4	84	85	-1
Creek View	78	76	2	79	85	-6	78	82	-4	82	81	1	79	81	-2
Dolvin	75	78	-3	81	86	-5	78	82	-4	75	79	-4	81	83	-2
Dunwoody Springs	58	55	3	65	61	4	56	51	5	66	69	-3	61	57	4
Feldwood	33	na	na	38	na	na	36	na	na	40	na	na	36	na	na
Findley Oaks	79	80	-1	82	88	-6	84	86	-2	79	85	-6	82	85	-3
Fulton Sunshine	82	na	na	88	na	na	88	na	na	79	na	na	86	na	na
Gullatt	37	44	-7	39	53	-14	44	47	-3	42	55	-13	38	42	-4
Hapeville	32	40	-8	48	56	-8	31	41	-10	36	45	-9	37	36	1
Heards Ferry	82	83	-1	82	86	-4	82	89	-7	83	83	0	85	83	2
Hembree Springs	77	81	-4	79	84	-5	80	81	-1	79	80	-1	81	84	-3
Heritage	25	28	-3	27	30	-3	29	25	4	31	33	-2	29	28	1
High Point	63	66	-3	66	73	-7	61	68	-7	66	72	-6	64	69	-5
Hillside	58	75	-17	64	81	-17	57	81	-24	61	81	-20	62	76	-14
Holmes	30	30	0	36	39	-3	35	41	-6	44	35	9	31	34	-3
Ison Springs	45	40	5	52	50	2	45	46	-1	56	51	5	48	46	2
Jackson	49	61	-12	53	66	-13	55	58	-3	64	66	-2	58	63	-5
Lake Forest	21	23	-2	37	40	-3	26	30	-4	34	44	-10	33	38	-5
Lake Windward	74	76	-2	80	84	-4	79	81	-2	77	79	-2	80	80	0
Seaborn Lee	40	39	1	47	45	2	46	48	-2	46	52	-6	40	47	-7
Lewis	32	40	-8	41	43	-2	34	44	-10	37	45	-8	31	41	-10
Liberty Point	44	36	8	44	37	7	41	38	3	47	47	0	42	39	3
Main Street	53	na	na	59	na	na	56	na	na	61	na	na	48	na	na
Manning Oaks	63	56	7	71	64	7	63	60	3	64	65	-1	62	62	0
Medlock Bridge	81	83	-2	81	89	-8	86	88	-2	77	85	-8	76	85	-9
Mimosa	23	32	-9	39	51	-12	30	48	-18	36	53	-17	34	46	-12
Mount Olive	33	40	-7	36	41	-5	39	47	-8	42	58	-16	36	42	-6
Mountain Park	79	81	-2	80	86	-6	80	83	-3	80	88	-8	81	85	-4
New Prospect	79	77	2	85	85	0	85	82	3	76	79	-3	83	82	1
Nolan	31	41	-10	40	47	-7	34	41	-7	36	52	-16	30	43	-13
Northwood	74	78	-4	77	84	-7	72	81	-9	76	78	-2	78	83	-5
Oak Knoll	37	35	2	45	48	-3	44	34	10	43	40	3	39	37	2
Oakley	34	38	-4	44	38	6	36	35	1	41	49	-8	34	39	-5
Ocee	79	77	2	80	82	-2	77	80	-3	80	81	-1	82	79	3

Fulton County Schools: Sheltering Arms' Approach to Literacy

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
Palmetto	32	34	-2	36	46	-10	34	38	-4	42	40	2	37	36	1
Parklane	28	26	2	30	31	-1	27	27	0	29	34	-5	32	31	1
Randolph	47	42	5	53	51	2	56	55	1	63	59	4	51	44	7
Renaissance	43	41	2	44	46	-2	48	44	4	52	56	-4	43	42	1
River Eves	68	67	1	76	74	2	75	77	-2	72	70	2	73	70	3
Roswell North	65	74	-9	69	82	-13	67	76	-9	70	77	-7	69	78	-9
Shakerag	81	82	-1	93	93	0	91	89	2	88	90	-2	87	87	0
Spalding Drive	57	81	-24	58	83	-25	57	81	-24	62	84	-22	67	83	-16
State Bridge Xing	78	74	4	85	84	1	83	79	4	82	80	2	82	78	4
Stonewall Tell	57	57	0	62	61	1	64	61	3	61	62	-1	57	55	2
Summitt Hill	80	79	1	82	86	-4	79	81	-2	80	82	-2	82	81	1
Sweet Apple	77	79	-2	81	83	-2	76	80	-4	81	82	-1	79	79	0
Tubman	40	38	2	41	43	-2	43	48	-5	40	48	-8	37	44	-7
Evoline West	41	40	1	43	48	-5	38	39	-1	50	54	-4	40	39	1
Wilson Creek	73	77	-4	80	85	-5	78	83	-5	78	83	-5	80	82	-2
Woodland	66	58	8	70	65	5	61	53	8	70	67	3	67	62	5

na = first year in operation

**FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS**  
**Fall 2010 - Iowa Tests of Basic Skills**  
**Grade 5 - Mean National Percentile**

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
County (FULTON)	59	61	-2	63	66	-3	64	65	-1	67	67	0	63	64	-1
National Average	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0
Abbotts Hill	76	84	-8	80	88	-8	75	84	-9	83	85	-2	77	84	-7
Alpharetta	79	79	0	80	76	4	78	78	0	82	80	2	79	78	1
Amana	66	69	-3	65	78	-13	72	70	2	72	76	-4	70	69	1
Barnwell	78	86	-8	74	89	-15	80	88	-8	79	88	-9	79	84	-5
Bethune	42	45	-3	42	51	-9	55	53	2	46	43	3	40	45	-5
Birmingham Falls	81	81	0	77	80	-3	80	82	-2	87	85	2	81	82	-1
Brookview	28	36	-8	34	41	-7	32	39	-7	32	35	-3	33	31	2
Campbell	32	32	0	37	31	6	42	35	7	42	33	9	38	32	6
Cliftondale	49	45	4	49	49	0	53	47	6	55	46	9	50	43	7
Cogburn Woods	79	78	1	79	83	-4	76	77	-1	85	83	2	79	79	0
College Park	30	31	-1	29	24	5	32	34	-2	31	33	-2	30	30	0
Conley Hills	40	41	-1	44	51	-7	59	62	-3	41	47	-6	40	43	-3
Crabapple Crossing	84	82	2	89	88	1	86	83	3	89	87	2	87	84	3
Creek View	79	72	7	83	73	10	79	75	4	86	78	8	80	74	6
Dolvin	84	81	3	84	83	1	86	82	4	87	83	4	84	77	7
Dunwoody Springs	52	58	-6	53	66	-13	54	61	-7	60	56	4	55	57	-2
Feldwood	30	na	na	30	na	na	38	na	na	34	na	na	33	na	na
Findley Oaks	81	85	-4	87	87	0	85	85	0	87	90	-3	82	83	-1
Fulton Sunshine	82	na	na	82	na	na	83	na	na	89	na	na	82	na	na
Gullatt	29	42	-13	37	43	-6	47	50	-3	34	46	-12	36	41	-5
Hapeville	30	34	-4	28	43	-15	30	36	-6	29	39	-10	24	35	-11
Heards Ferry	81	88	-7	78	87	-9	81	85	-4	84	86	-2	78	84	-6
Hembree Springs	75	82	-7	79	86	-7	79	86	-7	81	85	-4	80	84	-4
Heritage	30	28	2	28	30	-2	32	33	-1	28	26	2	29	28	1
High Point	61	52	9	66	64	2	63	57	6	67	57	10	62	56	6
Hillside	64	65	-1	68	72	-4	69	70	-1	71	75	-4	71	73	-2
Holmes	35	35	0	40	37	3	49	38	11	38	36	2	36	34	2
Ison Springs	47	36	11	44	39	5	47	43	4	59	41	18	50	40	10
Jackson	45	66	-21	45	64	-19	55	67	-12	53	68	-15	50	60	-10
KIPP	47	42	5	43	40	3	54	56	-2	55	46	9	42	41	1
Lake Forest	32	30	2	42	40	2	45	37	8	50	50	0	44	42	2
Lake Windward	75	77	-2	82	84	-2	80	82	-2	81	82	-1	80	78	2
Seaborn Lee	35	39	-4	40	42	-2	55	52	3	40	42	-2	38	40	-2
Lewis	36	35	1	31	36	-5	38	45	-7	42	38	4	39	36	3
Liberty Point	37	35	2	38	39	-1	43	39	4	40	40	0	38	39	-1
Main Street	41	na	na	32	na	na	44	na	na	46	na	na	34	na	na
Manning Oaks	62	69	-7	65	71	-6	62	69	-7	68	71	-3	63	66	-3
Medlock Bridge	80	84	-4	82	88	-6	79	84	-5	83	84	-1	79	82	-3
Mimosa	28	41	-13	30	42	-12	33	51	-18	43	53	-10	38	46	-8
Mount Olive	35	41	-6	36	35	1	41	45	-4	38	50	-12	37	40	-3
Mountain Park	83	82	1	82	81	1	83	82	1	87	86	1	83	81	2
New Prospect	82	82	0	85	82	3	84	86	-2	87	85	2	83	77	6
Nolan	23	36	-13	23	37	-14	29	44	-15	26	35	-9	25	37	-12
Northwood	71	74	-3	69	77	-8	74	75	-1	78	78	0	73	74	-1
Oak Knoll	32	33	-1	37	39	-2	43	35	8	37	36	1	35	39	-4
Oakley	35	36	-1	39	34	5	39	40	-1	41	35	6	36	36	0

Fulton County Schools: Sheltering Arms' Approach to Literacy

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
Ocee	81	80	1	85	81	4	85	79	6	84	82	2	83	78	5
Palmetto	31	33	-2	34	33	1	38	35	3	39	31	8	37	30	7
Parklane	29	27	2	27	27	0	36	29	7	32	32	0	33	32	1
Randolph	44	46	-2	43	46	-3	58	55	3	49	53	-4	49	51	-2
Renaissance	37	43	-6	33	41	-8	38	47	-9	40	42	-2	36	44	-8
River Eves	67	72	-5	64	75	-11	69	71	-2	74	79	-5	70	75	-5
Roswell North	64	68	-4	65	70	-5	68	68	0	70	74	-4	65	70	-5
Shakerag	84	87	-3	89	93	-4	87	88	-1	90	92	-2	84	88	-4
Spalding Drive	58	77	-19	60	74	-14	61	71	-10	64	82	-18	63	77	-14
State Bridge Xing	75	80	-5	80	83	-3	77	80	-3	81	85	-4	78	83	-5
Stonewall Tell	55	53	2	58	56	2	61	60	1	61	57	4	57	51	6
Summitt Hill	83	83	0	82	83	-1	82	80	2	87	86	1	82	82	0
Sweet Apple	81	82	-1	81	81	0	80	80	0	84	86	-2	83	81	2
Tubman	36	26	10	35	34	1	40	50	-10	41	39	2	37	36	1
Evoline West	36	41	-5	32	44	-12	43	42	1	45	41	4	39	43	-4
Wilson Creek	80	82	-2	85	84	1	83	80	3	87	86	1	81	81	0
Woodland	57	67	-10	54	61	-7	57	58	-1	68	68	0	64	69	-5

na = first year in operation

**FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS**  
**Fall 2010 - Iowa Tests of Basic Skills**  
**Grade 8 - Mean National Percentile**

All Students	Total Reading			Total Math			Total Language			Social Studies			Science		
	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010	2010	2009	Change 2009 to 2010
County (FULTON)	57	56	1	60	58	2	61	60	1	59	58	1	65	63	2
National Average	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0	50	50	0
Amana	58	55	3	50	55	-5	62	52	10	63	57	6	67	61	6
Autrey Mill	75	71	4	78	74	4	76	74	2	73	70	3	79	74	5
Bear Creek	31	32	-1	33	37	-4	37	41	-4	32	37	-5	40	42	-2
Camp Creek	33	27	6	36	35	1	47	41	6	36	36	0	49	45	4
Crabapple	72	73	-1	69	68	1	72	74	-2	70	73	-3	76	78	-2
Elkins Pointe	59	61	-2	60	58	2	65	63	2	63	61	2	66	63	3
Fulton Science	84	80	4	88	83	5	84	79	5	85	80	5	84	81	3
Hapeville Charter	39	38	1	40	36	4	45	44	1	42	40	2	45	45	0
Haynes Bridge	71	71	0	70	71	-1	70	72	-2	70	69	1	73	73	0
Holcomb Bridge	58	58	0	57	53	4	58	59	-1	60	56	4	69	67	2
Hopewell	70	70	0	69	67	2	69	68	1	70	69	1	74	73	1
KIPP	51	43	8	56	47	9	61	56	5	52	40	12	52	43	9
McNair	29	26	3	31	29	2	33	33	0	32	28	4	36	33	3
Northwestern	80	76	4	80	75	5	79	75	4	80	74	6	82	77	5
Renaissance MS	34	34	0	32	32	0	40	40	0	37	38	-1	44	43	1
Ridgeview	52	49	3	53	54	-1	55	54	1	57	52	5	61	58	3
River Trail	79	77	2	86	81	5	78	77	1	77	76	1	82	80	2
Sandtown	44	44	0	42	43	-1	50	50	0	46	47	-1	53	55	-2
Sandy Springs	49	45	4	47	45	2	53	50	3	52	48	4	57	54	3
Taylor Road	73	72	1	78	74	4	79	77	2	74	69	5	75	74	1
Webb Bridge	73	76	-3	81	82	-1	75	77	-2	77	76	1	77	79	-2
Paul D. West	24	28	-4	32	35	-3	31	36	-5	29	33	-4	35	39	-4
Woodland	32	30	2	35	32	3	39	38	1	37	34	3	43	39	4

**H. Reading / English Language Arts AMO Results**

Annual Measurable Objectives (2010-2011) ES/MS- 80% HS-90.8%

	SCHOOL	DISTRICT	STATE
<b>Bear Creek MS</b> (DID NOT MAKE AYP)	ALL-91.8% ASIAN(not minimum size)-100 BLACK- 91.5 HISPANIC- 93.5 WHITE(not minimum size)-89.1 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)-90.9 EL(not minimum size)-87.1 ED- 91.1 SWD-58.5	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Bethune ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL-88.9 BLACK- 88.6 ED- 88.2 SWD(not minimum size)-59.7	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Campbell ES</b> (DID NOT MAKE AYP)	ALL- 87.6% BLACK- 86.3 HISPANIC- 91.7 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)- 86.7 ED- 87.3 EL- 88 SWD- 65.5	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Creekside HS</b> (DID NOT MAKE AYP)	ALL- 90.3% BLACK- 91.1 HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 75 ED-89.4 SWD(not minimum size)-55	ALL- 95.3% ASIAN- 97.7 BLACK-92.6 HISPANIC- 87.7 WHITE- 98.3 MULTI-RACIAL- 97.6 SWD- 72.9 EL- 72.5 ED- 89.4	ALL- 91.7% ASIAN- 94 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 89 WHITE- 95 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.6 SWD- 63.4 EL- 68.5 ED- 87.2
<b>Evoline C. West ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL- 87.9% BLACK- 88.1 WHITE(not minimum size)-73.3 HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 89.3 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)- 95.5 EL(not minimum size)- 80 SWD(not minimum size)-66.2	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6

	SCHOOL	DISTRICT	STATE
	ED-86.8		
<b>Love T. Nolan ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL- 83.6% BLACK- 83.1 MULTI-RACIAL(not minimum size)- 95.5 SWD(not minimum size)- 51.4 ED- 81.5	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>McClarín HS</b> (DID NOT MAKE AYP)	ALL- 79.2% BLACK(not minimum size)- 79.2 ED(not minimum size)- 81	ALL- 95.3% ASIAN- 97.7 BLACK-92.6 HISPANIC- 87.7 WHITE- 98.3 MULTI-RACIAL- 97.6 SWD- 72.9 EL- 72.5 ED- 89.4	ALL- 91.7% ASIAN- 94 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 89 WHITE- 95 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.6 SWD- 63.4 EL- 68.5 ED- 87.2
<b>Oakley ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL- 88.8% BLACK- 88.4 HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 91.1 EL(not minimum size)-94.4 SWD- 81.1 ED- 87.1	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6
<b>Palmetto ES</b> (DID MAKE AYP)	ALL-85.8% BLACK- 86.9 HISPANIC(not minimum size)- 88.9 WHITE(not minimum size)- 79.4 EL(not minimum size)- 82.4 SWD(not minimum size)- 64 ED- 85.7	ALL- 94.5% ASIAN- 99.3 BLACK-90.8 HISPANIC- 90.6 WHITE- 98.6 MULTI-RACIAL- 96.7 SWD- 79.3 EL- 85.6 ED- 89.5	ALL- 92.1% ASIAN- 96.1 BLACK-87.9 HISPANIC- 90.8 WHITE- 95.4 MULTI-RACIAL- 94.2 SWD- 73.9 EL- 84.2 ED- 88.6


I. Map of Targeted Early Learning Centers and Schools



# 2011-2012 Map of Schools

Fulton County Schools  
 786 Cleveland Avenue SW  
 Atlanta, GA 30315-7299  
 Phone: 404-768-3600  
 www.fultonschools.org

Robert Avossa, Ed. D., Superintendent



Schools and centers highlighted in red are included in FCS' Striving Reader grant application.

\*\*Future school sites indicated in blue text

**J. Striving Reader Comprehensive Literacy Grant Specific Program Assurances**

**\*\* Please note: The Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) considers the applicant to be the fiscal agent for the grant. Therefore, any grants awarded will be in the name of the fiscal agent.**

**Fulton County Board of Education**

---

Official Entity Name for Fiscal Agent/Sub-Grant Award Recipient

**Instructions:** The authorized signatory must initial the box adjacent to each assurance and must sign and date the document in blue ink to certify agreement to comply with each assurance. The fiscal agent must sign and date the document as well. These assurances will be in effect for FY12.

The Sub-grantee hereby assures that it will comply with the following:

Number	Initial Each Box Below in <u>Blue Ink</u>	Assurances
1.		The Sub-grantee assures that it has the necessary legal authority to apply for and receive a SRCL Grant.
2.		Sub-grantee certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
7.		The SRCL projects will target students who attend Title I schools or schools eligible for Title I schoolwide programs and their families.
8.		The SRCL project will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
9.		The Grantee will participate in all technical assistance/information-sharing opportunities and professional development activities provided through the STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT Project Grant Program.
10.		All activities must be correlated with the development of STRIVING READER COMPREHENSIVE LITERACY GRANT goals for children birth through grade 12.
11.		The second year of funding is dependent upon successful program implementation and progress aligned with the components of the request for application submitted.

12.		Prior to any material change affecting the purpose, administration, organization, budget, or operation of the SRCL project, the Sub-grantee agrees to submit an appropriately amended application to GaDOE for approval.
13.		The Sub-grantee agrees to notify the GaDOE, in writing, of any change in the contact information provided in its application.
14.		The activities and services described in the application shall be administered by or under the supervision and control of the Sub-grantee. The Sub-grantee shall not assign or subcontract, in whole or in part, its rights or obligations without prior written consent of GaDOE. Any attempted assignment without said consent shall be void and of no effect.
15.		The Sub-grantee will use fiscal control and sound accounting procedures that will ensure proper disbursement of and account for Federal and state funds paid to the program to perform its duties.
16.		Funds shall be used only for financial obligations incurred during the grant period.
17.	N/A	The SRCL project funds will supplement, not supplant Federal, state, and other local funds that the applicant would otherwise receive.
18.		The Sub-grantee will, if applicable, have the required financial and compliance audits conducted in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1966 and OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.
19.		The fiscal agent will adopt and use proper methods of administering each program, including: (A) the enforcement of any obligations imposed on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program; and (B) the timely correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, evaluation and/or technical assistance.
20.		The Sub-grantee will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Georgia Department of Education, the U.S. Department of Education, or other state or Federal officials.
21.		The Sub-grantee will submit reports to GaDOE as may reasonably be required. The Sub-grantee will maintain such fiscal and programmatic records and provide access to those records, as necessary, for those departments to perform their duties.
22.		The Sub-grantee will submit an annual summative evaluation report no later than June 30.
23.		The Sub-grantee agrees that GaDOE, or any of its duly authorized representatives, at any time during the term of this agreement, shall have access to, and the right to audit or examine any pertinent books, documents, papers, and records of the Sub-grantee related to the Sub-grantee's charges and performance under the SRCL sub-grant.

24.		The property (e.g., computers, equipment, classroom desks, tables, and pilferable items) purchased with the SRCL grant funds must be managed in accordance with EDGAR section 74.34 through 74.37 (for non-profit organizations) and with EDGAR section 80.32 and 80.33 (for school districts).
25.		The Sub-grantee certifies that it will abide by GaDOE's Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Policy. Applicants with a conflict of interest must submit a disclosure notice.
26.		The Sub-grantee will comply with the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (34 C.F.R. 99).
27.		Sub-grantee will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, which prohibits discrimination on a basis of disability.
28.		In accordance with the Federal Drug-Free Workplace and Community Act Amendments of 1989 and the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, the Sub-grantee understands that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance, marijuana, or dangerous drug is prohibited at geographic locations at which individuals are directly engaged in the performance of work pursuant to the 21 <sup>st</sup> CCLC grant.
29.		All technology purchases (software and hardware) will be approved by the LEA Technology Director for compatibility with current operating systems and building infrastructure. The Technology Director must ensure that any purchases for the building will be able to be implemented and sustained beyond the grant period.

My signature below certifies that I am the authorized signatory for the Fiscal Agent and official Sub-grantee, and that I have read, understand, and agree to abide by all assurances. I also understand that failure to abide by all assurances may result in loss or reduction of grant funding.

**Please sign in blue ink.**

---

**Signature of Fiscal Agency Head (required)**

**Dr. Robert Avossa**

---

**Typed Name of Fiscal Agency Head (required)**

**Superintendent**

---

**Typed Position Title of Fiscal Agency Head (required)**

---

**Date (required)**

**Name of entity/agency acting as Co-Applicant, if applicable:**

---

**Co-Applicant Assurance Signatures, if applicable:**

My signature below certifies that I am the authorized signatory of the Co-Applicant for the grant program, and that I have read, understand, and agree to abide by all Assurances enumerated in pages 1-5. I also understand that failure to abide by all Assurances may result in loss or reduction of grant funding.

**Please sign in blue ink.**

---

**Signature of Co-Applicant's Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)**

---

**Typed Name of Co-Applicant's Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)**

---

**Typed Position Title of Co-Applicant Authorized Agency Head (if applicable)**

---

**Date (if applicable)**

K. Letter of Support – Sheltering Arms Pre-K Faculty



# Sheltering Arms

**EARLY EDUCATION AND FAMILY CENTERS**

385 Centennial Olympic Park Drive ♥ Atlanta, GA 30313 ♥ 404-523-2767 ♥ FAX 404-523-9952

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**  
Elizabeth C. Richards  
Chairman  
T. Shawn Lanier  
Vice Chairman  
Timothy J. Pakenham  
Immediate Past Chairman  
John S. McColl  
Treasurer  
Holly H. Hughes  
Secretary

Kent E. Blackmon, PMP  
W. J. Blane  
Bennie Boswell, Jr.  
Rhonda Burnough  
Gerry Carson  
Wendy L. Conover  
Darell Cooper  
Vincent Crawford  
Blair Curtis  
Mark Dvorak  
Anthony Embrey  
Paige Green  
Cathy Hilton  
Deva Hirsch  
Jeff Kammerer  
Donna Lowry  
Pegul Mariduena  
Arthur J. McClung, Jr.  
Canditra McLemore

John Meers  
Rod Odum  
A. Summey Orr III  
Lovette Russell  
Caryn Schikstra  
W. Ross Singletary II  
Michael Smith  
Jaclen Steele

**BOARD OF ADVISORS**  
Dr. James Bland  
Trey Childress  
Jocelyn Dorsey  
Charles F. Easley Sr.  
Bill Graves  
John L. Pruitt III  
L. Barry Teague

**HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS**  
Anne H. Carson  
Stiles Y. Conrad  
Robert H. Gunn Jr.  
Janet Johnson  
Virginia S. Williams  
President / CEO  
Elaine P. Draeger

[www.shelteringarmsforkids.com](http://www.shelteringarmsforkids.com)



Accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children and supported by Head Start, United Way, the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning, and center communities.

December 12, 2011

We, the members of the Stonewall Tell Early Education and Family Center Management Team, pledge that we fully support this application for a Striving Readers Initiative. We will support our Lead Teachers and Teachers as they work to improve their skills in teaching language and literacy to the Pre-k children enrolled in our Center, and we will provide guidance to the project as members of the Literacy Team.

*Roslyn Williams*

*Lee Stew*

*Nicole Mason*

*Denise Davison*

*Jess Lewis*

*Paul Lewis*

*Penny Beard*

*Shilda Davis*

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**The power of education begins here.™**



# Sheltering Arms

**EARLY EDUCATION AND FAMILY CENTERS**

385 Centennial Olympic Park Drive ♥ Atlanta, GA 30313 ♥ 404-523-2767 ♥ FAX 404-523-9952

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Elizabeth C. Richards  
*Chairman*  
T. Shawn Lanier  
*Vice Chairman*  
Timothy J. Pakenham  
*Immediate Past Chairman*  
John S. McCall  
*Treasurer*  
Holly H. Hughes  
*Secretary*

**Kent E. Blackmon, PMP**

W. J. Blane  
Bennie Boswell, Jr.  
Rhonda Burnough  
Gemy Carson  
Wendy L. Conover  
Darrell Cooper  
Vincent Crawford  
Blair Curtis  
Mark Dvorkak  
Anthony Embrey  
Paige Green  
Cathy Hilton  
Deva Hirsch  
Jeff Kammerer  
Donna Lowry  
Pegui Mariduena  
Arthur J. McClung, Jr.  
Canditra McLemore  
John Mears  
Rod Odom  
A. Summey Orr III  
Lovette Russell  
Cayn Schiokra  
W. Ross Singletary II  
Michael Smith  
Jaclen Steele

**BOARD OF ADVISORS**

Dr. James Bland  
Trey Childress  
Jocelyn Dorsey  
Charles F. Easley Sr.  
Bill Graves  
John L. Pruitt III  
L. Barry Teague

**HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS**

Anne H. Carson  
Stiles Y. Conrad  
Robert H. Gunn Jr.  
Janet Johnson  
Virginia S. Williams  
*President / CEO*  
Elaine P. Draeger

[www.shelteringarmsforkids.com](http://www.shelteringarmsforkids.com)



Accredited by the  
National Association for the  
Education of Young Children  
and supported by Head Start,  
United Way, the Georgia Department  
of Early Care and Learning,  
and center communities.

December 12, 2011

We, the members of the Welcome All Early Education and Family Center Management Team, pledge that we fully support this application for a Striving Readers Initiative. We will support our Lead Teachers and Teachers as they work to improve their skills in teaching language and literacy to the Pre-k children enrolled in our Center, and we will provide guidance to the project as members of the Literacy Team.

Linda Shea

Bonnie Paschal

Helen Caldwell

Quanya Jant

Carmen Scott

Kitasha Jenkins

Michelle Debney

V. Horton

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

The power of education begins here.™



# Sheltering Arms

**EARLY EDUCATION AND FAMILY CENTERS**

385 Centennial Olympic Park Drive ♥ Atlanta, GA 30313 ♥ 404-523-2767 ♥ FAX 404-523-9952

- BOARD OF DIRECTORS**  
 Elizabeth C. Richards  
*Chairman*  
 T. Shawn Lanier  
*Vice Chairman*  
 Timothy J. Pakenham  
*Immediate Past Chairman*  
 John S. McCall  
*Treasurer*  
 Holly H. Hughes  
*Secretary*
- Kent E. Blackmon, PMP  
 W. J. Blane  
 Bennie Boswell, Jr.  
 Rhonda Burnough  
 Gery Carson  
 Wendy L. Conover  
 Darrell Cooper  
 Vincent Crawford  
 Blair Curtis  
 Mark Dvorak  
 Anthony Embrey  
 Paige Green  
 Cathy Hilton  
 Deva Hirsch  
 Jeff Kammerer  
 Donna Lowry  
 Pegui Mariduena  
 Arthur J. McClung, Jr.  
 Canditra McLemore  
 John Mears  
 Rod Odum  
 A. Summey Orr III  
 Lovette Russell  
 Caryn Schistra  
 W. Ross Singleary II  
 Michael Smith  
 Jaclen Steele
- BOARD OF ADVISORS**  
 Dr. James Bland  
 Trey Childress  
 Jacelyn Dorsey  
 Charles F. Easley Sr.  
 Bill Graves  
 John L. Pruitt III  
 L. Barry Teague
- HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS**  
 Anne H. Carson  
 Stiles Y. Conrad  
 Robert H. Gunn Jr.  
 Janet Johnson  
 Virginia S. Williams  
*President / CEO*  
 Elaine P. Draeger

December 12, 2011

We, the members of the Oakley Township Early Education and Family Center Management Team, pledge that we fully support this application for a Striving Readers Initiative. We will support our Lead Teachers and Teachers as they work to improve their skills in teaching language and literacy to the Pre-k children enrolled in our Center, and we will provide guidance to the project as members of the Literacy Team.

*Carmen Scott*

*Bertrice Paschall*

*Elaine Powers*

*Ann McLean*

*Carol Griffin*

*Lucius Mayes*

*Margaret Scott*

*Wita Byrd*

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

[www.shelteringarmsforkids.com](http://www.shelteringarmsforkids.com)



Accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children and supported by Head Start, United Way, the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning, and center communities.

The power of education begins here.™



# Sheltering Arms

**EARLY EDUCATION AND FAMILY CENTERS**

385 Centennial Olympic Park Drive ♥ Atlanta, GA 30313 ♥ 404-523-2767 ♥ FAX 404-523-9952

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Elizabeth C. Richards  
Chairman  
T. Shawn Lanier  
Vice Chairman  
Timothy J. Pakenham  
Immediate Past Chairman  
John S. McCall  
Treasurer  
Holly H. Hughes  
Secretary

**Kent E. Blackmon, PMP**

W. J. Blane  
Bernie Boswell, Jr.  
Rhonda Burroughs  
Gerry Carson  
Wendy L. Conover  
Darrell Cooper  
Vincent Crawford  
Blair Curtis  
Mark Dvorak  
Anthony Embrey  
Paige Green  
Cathy Hilton  
Deva Hirsch  
Jeff Kammerer  
Donna Lowry  
Pegui Mariduena  
Arthur J. McClung, Jr.  
Canditra McLemore  
John Mears  
Rod Odom  
A. Summey Orr III  
Lovette Russell  
Caryn Schilstra  
W. Ross Singletary II  
Michael Smith  
Jaclen Steele

**BOARD OF ADVISORS**

Dr. James Bland  
Trey Childress  
Jocelyn Dorsey  
Charles F. Easley Sr.  
Bill Graves  
John L. Pruitt III  
L. Barry Teague

**HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS**

Anne H. Carson  
Stiles Y. Conrad  
Robert H. Gunn Jr.  
Janet Johnson  
Virginia S. Williams  
President / CEO  
Elaine P. Draeger

[www.shelteringarmsforkids.com](http://www.shelteringarmsforkids.com)



Accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children and supported by Head Start, United Way, the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning, and center communities.

December 12, 2011

We, the members of the East Point Early Education and Family Center Management Team, pledge that we fully support this application for a Striving Readers Initiative. We will support our Lead Teachers and Teachers as they work to improve their skills in teaching language and literacy to the Pre-k children enrolled in our Center, and we will provide guidance to the project as members of the Literacy Team.

Kathy Balling

Bernice Paschall

Janet Adams

Angela Howard

Anto Mallard

Debrah Anderson

Alice Jones

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

The power of education begins here.™

## **X. Budget**

### **A. District Budget Narrative**

#### **Assessments and Supplies**

Fulton County Schools (FCS) requested funding includes costs for the purchase of required DIBELS Next assessment for all elementary schools, as well as supplies for four teachers per grade level at eight elementary schools. Additionally, funding is requested for required Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI), as well as licenses, teacher kits, and product support plans.

#### **Curriculum and Instructional Supplies**

We request funding for instructional materials and supplies for each targeted Pre-K site with extended programming for 17 weeks, as well as for ESOL curriculum and other appropriate curricula. We also request funding for curriculum and instructional supplies to be used by one cohort of the Parent Leadership Institute for teenage parents at McClarin High School, in order to build the skills and capacity of parents to take greater leadership roles in the systems serving their children, including early learning programs and schools, and to make suggestions for revisions to the curriculum and training. Also, Bright from the Start has approved the use of several curricula in order to provide students with materials that promote oral language development, phonological awareness, and print awareness across all subject areas. Each Pre-K classroom and special needs Pre-K classroom would receive these materials.

#### **Professional Development**

We request funding for targeted teachers to have a full day of SRI Implementation training. Additionally, we request funding for targeted teachers to attend the Leadership Institute for Language and Literacy, a four-day series of workshops focused on leading language and literacy improvement through a variety of best practices. United Way Pre-K teachers will attend

two-day, five-hour professional learning opportunities based on their needs. We also request funding for professional learning opportunities for group daycare, family daycare homes, and selected childcare centers in the targeted feeder patterns that support the coordination and alignment of services from birth-5, and support the feeder patterns in these communities. The three-day, five-hour trainings for Fulton County Pre-K teachers will focus on implementing researched based instruction to foster language and literacy, support and extend Pre-K curriculum concepts, and integrate math and science concepts. Pre-K teachers will also attend professional learning for a total of five days at the Literacy Institute with the United Way to learn additional literacy strategies, as well as professional learning to develop skills in implementing the Bright from the Start curriculum. All requested professional development funding also includes benefits calculated at 27.7% for full-time employees and at 10% for teacher stipends for targeted teachers to attend content-specific professional learning.

Additionally, professional development funds will be utilized in a partnership with the Georgia Wolf Trap Literacy Program K-2, which has a professional learning focus. In GA Wolf Trap/Alliance Theatre, classroom teachers work with professional teaching artists to learn drama strategies that promote learning in literacy skills including speaking, listening, reading and writing. The program also builds drama skills in oral and non-verbal expression, creative and critical thinking, ensemble work and self-regulation. Targeted teachers will attend an on-site full-day orientation and instructional session presented by Alliance Theatre at the Woodruff Arts Center staff and Teaching artists, as well as a Summer Seminar. These costs include teacher registration fees and teacher stipends. Also, services provided include 12 classroom sessions with Teaching Artists, to include coaching, demonstration lessons and observations.

**Subcontractors/Consultants**

We request funding for one full-time equivalent Project Director to manage grant funds, and one Professional Assistant III. Both of these costs include benefits calculated at 27.7%.

Requested contractor funding also includes the cost of Pre-K lead teachers for an additional 21 days and Pre-K teacher assistants for an additional 16 days. The amount includes the daily rate for these teachers and 27.7% for benefits. Additionally, we request funding for Pre-K teachers to be supported by experienced literacy coaches from Bright from the Start throughout the school year.

The GA Wolf Trap Literacy Program K-2 costs include contract fees for Teaching Artists to hold five planning sessions with targeted teachers, documentation, assessment, and curriculum development for each classroom, supplies, including simple props, puppets, and story-telling objects for each classroom, and program administration fees, including training and supervision of Teaching Artists, site coordination, curriculum development, scheduling and data management, budget administration, workshop and seminar design, and other administrative tasks carried out by the Director and Assistant Director of the Institute for Educators and Teaching Artists. Teaching Artists are paid Social Security (Alliance Theatre) at .09%, based on residency, planning, and workshop fees.

**Print Materials**

We request funding for print materials through GA Wolf Trap, including books, scripts, and supplies for targeted Pre-K classrooms.

**Events**

We request funding for a parent/caregiver event offered each year through GA Wolf Trap, including a Teaching Artist fee per targeted classroom. We also request funding for targeted Pre-K classrooms to have Read Aloud Workshops.

**Pupil Travel/Field Trip**

We request funding for the annual field trip through GA Wolf Trap to an Alliance Theatre production for young people. These costs include ticket prices for students, a complimentary ticket for the teacher, and bus transportation per classroom. Targeted Pre-K classrooms will have the option to attend either a play at the Alliance Theatre, or a performance at the Teaching Museum.

**Stipends/Additional Salary Money**

We request funding for teacher stipends for required Georgia Department of Education Summer Training to implement the grant.

**Travel**

We request funding for teacher travel expenses for required Georgia Department of Education Summer Training to implement the grant.