



Date:	
District:	
Person(s) Interviewed:	Interviewer(s):

The purpose of this worksheet is to provide a tool for collecting relevant information and recording a district's rating on various items related to Georgia's Tiered System of Supports for Students implementation. Descriptions of rating for each item are provided on Georgia's Tiered System of Supports for Students Implementation Rubric.

Information about district-level implementation may be collected through interviews with district and school personnel and through observations and document review. After all of the information has been collected, use your notes and the Rubric to rate the district on each item. The Rubric provides a five-point rating scale and descriptions of practices that would score a 1, 3, or 5. Data collectors may assign the district a rating of 2 or 4 if the information collected suggests the district falls between the rubric descriptions. For example, if the reviewer judges a district to be performing at a level higher than the Rubric describes for a 3 rating but not quite at the level described for a 5, then the reviewer should rate the district as performing at a 4.





Assessments -	Assessments — Screening, progress monitoring, and other supporting assessments are used to inform data-based decision making		
Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/ Remarks	Ratings
	a's Tiered System of Supports for Students framework accurately i comes or challenging behaviors	dentifies students in need of enrichment/accelerati	on and students at risk of
Screening	What tools do you use for screening (probe across content areas and/or domains)?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	Does your district select or recommend screening tools for the schools to use?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	How much attention was given to the vendor's evidence regarding the validity and reliability?		
	How accurately does the screening tool predict student to be at-risk or need for acceleration or enrichment?	Recommendations:	
	Does the district have documentation from the vendor that these tools have been shown to be valid, reliable, and accurate with subgroups in your school?		
	Does staff understand how the tool is intended to be used?		
	Can district staff provide evidence of the technical adequacy (i.e., reliability, validity, classification accuracy) of the tools?		
Universal Screening	Describe the process for conducting universal screening in your schools at the elementary, middle and high school level? To what extent is this process consistently followed?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	Are all students screened?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	Does the district set or do the schools set a well-defined cut score or decision point to identify students at risk?		





Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/ Remarks	Ratings
	How do you ensure that administration of screening assessments follows the developer's guidelines?  How many times during the year are students screened?	Recommendations:	
	· ·		
Data Points to Verify Risk	Is additional information used to verify that the results of the initial screening are accurate before placing a student in Tier II: Secondary-Level Intervention or Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention? If so, what other types of	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)  Justification for rating
	assessment data are used?	Evidence Sources:	
	Do you ensure that school staff use other data sources? If yes, what is your process for ensuring that they are using other data sources?	Recommendations:	
	i <b>ng</b> — Ongoing and frequent monitoring of progress quantifies rate grams. Measures are appropriate for the student's grade and/or so		e and the development of
Progress- Monitoring Tools	What tools do your schools – elementary, middle and high - use for progress monitoring (probe across content areas and/or domains)?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	and/or domains):		Justification for rating
	How may alternate forms of equal difficulty are available?	Evidence Sources:	_
	Does the vendor provide a scoring manual or other information that specified minimal acceptable growth?		





Assessments – S	creening, progress monitoring, and other supporting asses	ssments are used to inform data-based decision	making
Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/ Remarks	Ratings
	Benchmarks for minimum acceptable end-of-year performance?	Recommendations:	
	Did district staff consider the evidence from the vendor regarding the validity, reliability, and accuracy of the progress monitoring tool(s) when selecting it/them?		
	Does your district have documentation from the vendor that the tool(s) have been shown to be valid, reliable, and accurate with subgroups in your school?		
	Are the tools valid for use with student populations similar to those in your schools/district?		
	Can district staff articulate the evidence supporting the rigor of the tool(s)?		
Progress- Monitoring Process	Describe the process used for progress monitoring in your district.	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	How often is the progress of students in Tier II: Secondary Level-Intervention monitored?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	How often is the progress of students in Tier III: Tertiary- Level Intensive Intervention monitored?		
	Does monitoring occur with sufficient frequency to show a trend in academic progress over time?	Recommendations:	
	How closely does administration of the progress monitoring tool(s) follow the developer's guidelines?		







Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/ Remarks	Ratings
	To what extent is this process consistently followed?		
	Are there differences in the process for different students? If so, describe these differences.		
	Is there anything about the process that would jeopardize the accuracy of the results? If so, please describe.		





**Data-Based Decision Making** – Data-based decision-making processes are used to inform instruction, movement within the tiered system and disability identification (in accordance with state law).

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/ Remarks	Ratings
Decision-Making Process	Describe how decisions are made to increase the intensity of instruction and/or intervention in your schools?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	To what extent are the screening, progress monitoring, and other assessment data used to inform instruction at all tiers, including the core instruction?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	What data are used to inform those decisions, and how are they used?	December and etions.	
	Who is involved in decision making?	Recommendations:	
	What written criteria and guidelines are used for making decisions?		
	Do your schools use consistent decision-making rules for all students?		
	Do your schools use disaggregated data to support decision-making rules for all students/subgroups?		
	How do you ensure that your schools are consistently using disaggregated data to support decision-making rules for all students/groups?		
	Do you have reason to believe that the decision-making process may be subject to bias or inappropriate influence? Explain your thinking.		





**Data-Based Decision Making** – Data-based decision-making processes are used to inform instruction, movement within the tiered system and disability identification (in accordance with state law).

ltem	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/ Remarks	Ratings
Data System	Is there a data system for collecting student academic data,	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
	behavior data, screening data, progress monitoring data,		(please circle)
	and other forms of data in a timely manner in your schools?		
	If so, please describe.		Justification for rating
		Evidence Sources:	
	How easy is it for stakeholders to access individual student-		
	level data? Describe.		
		Recommendations:	
	Is the system used consistently across school staff?		
	Do your schools have a system for organizing student academic, behavior, screening, progress monitoring, and other forms of data in tables and graphs? If so, please describe.		
	How does the data system facilitate or support setting and evaluating goals?		
	Are instructional decisions made about students tracked in		
	the data system or through another method (including		
	movement between tiers)?		







**Data-Based Decision Making** – Data-based decision-making processes are used to inform instruction, movement within the tiered system and disability identification (in accordance with state law).

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/ Remarks	Ratings
Responsiveness to	Describe how your schools make decisions about	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
Secondary and	responsiveness to Tier II: Secondary-Level Intervention or		(please circle)
Intensive Levels of	Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention.		
Intervention	<ul> <li>Are progress monitoring data used?</li> </ul>		Justification for rating
	How is baseline performance established?	Evidence Sources:	
	<ul> <li>What goal setting method is used (e.g., end-of-year benchmarks, rate of improvement, intra-individual framework)?</li> </ul>	Recommendations:	
	<ul> <li>Are rates or norms provided by the vendor/developer?</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Are decision rules published to support goal setting and adjustments to interventions?</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Are the criteria implemented accurately and consistently?</li> </ul>		





#### **Multi-level Instruction/Prevention** – The tiered system includes a school-wide, multi-level system of instruction and interventions for preventing school failure. Comments/Remarks Item **Sample Interview Questions Ratings** Primary Level - Instruction/Core Curriculum (Tier I) Research-Based Does the district select or recommend core instructional Notes: Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle) Curriculum materials to schools? **Materials** Justification for rating Describe Tier I: Primary-Level Instruction (core curriculum) **Evidence Sources:** materials. What research is provided for the target population of learners (including subgroups)? Recommendations: When the district or school selected its core instructional materials, how much attention was paid to the research? **Articulation of** Describe the process that supports the articulation of Notes: Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 teaching and learning from one grade to another in your (please circle) Teaching and Learning (in and schools. Justification for rating **Evidence Sources:** across grade Describe the process that supports the articulation of levels) teaching and learning among teachers in the same grade in your schools. Recommendations:



How consistent is the learning experience among students with different teachers in the same grade and subject?





#### **Multi-level Instruction/Prevention** – The tiered system includes a school-wide, multi-level system of instruction and interventions for preventing school failure.

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Differentiated Instruction	To what extent do teachers differentiate instruction for students on, below or above grade level in your schools?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	To what extent do teachers use student data to identify and address the needs of students?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	To what extent do teachers use knowledge of student readiness, language, and culture to offer students in the same class different teaching and learning strategies to address student needs?	Recommendations:	
	How consistent is this effort among the teaching staff?		
Standards-Based	To what extent is the core curriculum in reading and mathematics aligned to state standards?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	Are the instructional materials aligned to the standards? Are model or sample lessons and activities that demonstrate effective teaching of the standards available to teachers?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	Have teachers been trained in the content of the standards and how to use that content within their lessons?	Recommendations:	
	Are teachers utilizing their training and aligning their instruction to these standards?		
	How do you ensure that new teachers or those who need extra support have access to training?		







Multi-level Inst	ruction/Prevention — The tiered system includes a school-w	vide, multi-level system of instruction and intervent	ions for preventing school
Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Exceeding Benchmark	Are programs and activities provided to enrich or accelerate the curriculum for students exceeding benchmarks (this is not just for gifted students)? If so, please describe.  Are there opportunities for enrichment and/or acceleration to students at each grade level?	Notes:  Evidence Sources:  Recommendations:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)  Justification for rating
Secondary Level - In (Tier II)	tervention		
Evidence-Based Intervention	What program(s) at the elementary, middle and high school level are used for Tier II: Secondary- Level Intervention?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	How were these programs selected?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	Have these programs demonstrated efficacy with the target populations (e.g., has research shown that the interventions positively impact student achievement)?	Recommendations:	





#### **Multi-level Instruction/Prevention** – The tiered system includes a school-wide, multi-level system of instruction and interventions for preventing school failure.

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Complements Core Instruction	How do instructors of Tier II: Secondary- Level Intervention ensure that the content they address is well aligned with core instruction and incorporates foundational skills that	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	support the learning objectives of the core curriculum?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
		Recommendations:	
Instructional Characteristics	At Tier II: Secondary-Level Intervention, are interventions standardized and evidence-based? And are they provided in a prescribed manner to all students with similar learning	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	difficulties?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	Is Tier II: Secondary- Level Intervention always led by staff adequately trained to implement the interventions with fidelity?	Evidence Sources.	
	If not, who provides Tier II: Secondary- Level Intervention and what is their background?	Recommendations:	
	Is Tier II: Secondary- Level Intervention conducted with the optimal group size and dosage for the age and needs of students? What is used to determine dosage and group size?		







**Multi-level Instruction/Prevention** – The tiered system includes a school-wide, multi-level system of instruction and interventions for preventing school failure. **Sample Interview Questions** Comments/Remarks Item Ratings Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 Is Tier II: Secondary- Level Intervention always implemented Addition to Notes: (please circle) **Primary** as a supplement to the core curriculum for all students including students with disabilities (SWD) and English Justification for rating Learners (ELs)? **Evidence Sources:** If not, please explain. Recommendations: Tertiary Level - Intensive Intervention — Individualized with a focus on the academic needs of students with disabilities and those with significantly below grade level (Tier III) Data-Based How are evidence-based interventions intensified or Notes: Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle) Interventions individualized at the Tier III: Tertiary-Level to address **Adapted Based on** individual student needs? Justification for rating **Evidence Sources: Student Need** How are interventions selected for Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention? Recommendations: How are the interventions used at Tier III: Tertiary-Level developed? Does the decision-making team use an iterative process to adapt and intensive interventions to meet the individual needs of the student? Are the intensive (tertiary) level interventions more intensive than the secondary? If so, how are the intensive (tertiary) ones more intensive?







#### **Multi-level Instruction/Prevention** – The tiered system includes a school-wide, multi-level system of instruction and interventions for preventing school failure.

Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Describe an example of a student experiencing Tier III:	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention.		(please circle)
Does the group size allow for the interventionist to individualize instruction to address the needs of each student?	Evidence Sources:	Justification for rating
	Recommendations:	
Who provides Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention?		
Can you describe their background and level of training in providing data-based individualized instruction?		
What is used to determine dosage and group size of Tier III:		
Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention?		
Are intensive interventions always implemented as	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
		(please circle)
please explain.		Justification for rating
How does the district ensure that a student receiving Tier	Evidence Sources:	- Justineation for fating
III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention also receives Tier I Instruction/Core Curriculum?		
How do you ensure intensive interventions are aligned to the specific skill needs of the student to help him/her make progress toward core curriculum standards in your schools?	Recommendations:	
	Describe an example of a student experiencing Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention.  Does the group size allow for the interventionist to individualize instruction to address the needs of each student?  Who provides Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention? Can you describe their background and level of training in providing data-based individualized instruction?  What is used to determine dosage and group size of Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention?  Are intensive interventions always implemented as supplements to the core curriculum in your schools? If not, please explain.  How does the district ensure that a student receiving Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention also receives Tier I Instruction/Core Curriculum?	Describe an example of a student experiencing Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention.  Does the group size allow for the interventionist to individualize instruction to address the needs of each student?  Who provides Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention? Can you describe their background and level of training in providing data-based individualized instruction?  What is used to determine dosage and group size of Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention?  Are intensive interventions always implemented as supplements to the core curriculum in your schools? If not, please explain.  How does the district ensure that a student receiving Tier III: Tertiary-Level Intensive Intervention also receives Tier I Instruction/Core Curriculum?  How do you ensure intensive interventions are aligned to the specific skill needs of the student to help him/her make







Measures	1	3	5
<b>Prevention Focus</b>	To what extent do you believe district staff view a Tiered	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
	System of Supports for Students as the primary means to		(please circle)
	prevent students from having academic and/or behavioral		
	problems?		Justification for rating
		Evidence Sources:	
	What portion of the district staff view a Tiered System of		
	Supports for Students as a pre-referral process e.g. the		
	means to refer a student for evaluation to consider special		
	education services?	Recommendations:	
Leadership	To what extent do the actions taken and decisions made by		Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
Personnel	district administrators proactively support the essential	Notes:	(please circle)
	components of a Tiered System of Supports for Students		
	framework at your school?		Justification for rating
	To what extent do the actions taken and decisions made by	Evidence Sources:	
	school administrators proactively support the essential		
	components of the framework at your school?		
	What actions and decisions of district leaders help make the		
	framework more effective? Describe.	Recommendations:	
	What actions and decisions of school leaders help make the		
	framework more effective? Describe.		
	Does the district have a designated person who oversees		
	and manages the implementation of a Tiered System of		
	Supports for Students? If yes, what percentage of that		
	person's time is devoted to overseeing and managing this		
	framework?		





Measures	1	3	5
School-Based	Has the staff been trained on Georgia's Tiered System of	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
Professional	Supports for Students framework and essential		(please circle)
Development	components?		
			Justification for rating
	How often is refresher or new training provided?	Evidence Sources:	
	Is Georgia's Tiered System of Supports for Students		
	framework training provided to new teachers?		
	What ongoing professional development is made available	Recommendations:	
	for those who provide secondary-level and tertiary-level	Recommendations.	
	intervention?		
	intervention:		
Schedules	Does the schedule reflect additional time beyond the core	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
	for secondary and tertiary-level prevention?		(please circle)
			Justification for rating
	How do you know that students who are scheduled to	5.1	Justification for fating
	receive secondary or tertiary-level intervention are	Evidence Sources:	
	receiving the prescribed dosage?		
	Is there time scheduled for teacher collaboration on		
	instruction and interventions?	Recommendations:	
	mod dollar and med ventions.	The contraction of the contracti	
	Are all the pertinent teachers and interventionists available		
	for these collaborative meetings?		





Measures	1	3	5
Resources	Are there adequate materials, programs, and personnel resources allocated to support interventions, assessments and professional development?  Do the programs and materials match the needs of the	Notes:  Evidence Sources:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)  Justification for rating
	students at each level of prevention?  Is there a process for monitoring the use of resources?	Recommendations:	
Cultural and Linguistic Responsiveness	What efforts have been made to ensure that instructional practices at Tier I, II and III are culturally and linguistically relevant?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
	What efforts have been made to ensure that assessments are culturally and linguistically relevant?  How are the demographic, academic, and behavior data of subgroups represented in the district used to inform	Evidence Sources:  Recommendations:	Justification for rating
	Georgia's Tiered System of Supports for Students framework?		
Communications With and Involvement of Families	Are families knowledgeable about Georgia's Tiered System of Supports for Students framework?  Describe how your district communicates with families	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)  Justification for rating
	about Georgia's Tiered System of Supports for Students framework and student performance.	Evidence Sources:	<u> </u>





Measures	1	3	5
	How are families involved in decision making regarding the participation of their child in secondary-level or tertiary-level intervention?  How are families of students at the secondary or tertiary-	Recommendations:	
	level informed of their children's progress?		
Communication	Are teachers in your school knowledgeable about Georgia's	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5
With and	Tiered System of Supports for Students framework?		(please circle)
Involvement of All Staff	Does your school have a Tiered System of Supports for Students team? Which roles are represented on the team?  Describe how you communicate with staff to inform them of the structure and processes that are in place to guide decisions within the school's Tiered System of Supports for Students framework?  How are teachers of students at the secondary-level or tertiary-level of intervention informed of their student's progress in the intervention?  What process does your school use to ensure time is set	Evidence Sources:  Recommendations:	Justification for rating
	aside for teams to meet regularly?		D.: 4 2 2 4 5
Tiered System of	Does the district have a Tiered System of Supports for Students team? If so:	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)
Supports for Students			(pieuse circle)
Implementation	<ul><li>Who composes that team?</li><li>How often does the team meet?</li></ul>		Justification for rating
Teams	- Are there established processes and protocols that help the team work effectively? What are they?	Evidence Sources:	





Measures	1	3	5
	How does the team communicate and collaborate with other staff?	Recommendations:	
Fidelity and Ev	valuation — System for collecting and analyzing data to me	asure fidelity and effectiveness of the	implemented model.
Measures	1	3	5
Fidelity	Are procedures in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum? Of secondary-level intervention? Of tertiary-level intensive intervention? If so, please describe.	Notes:  Evidence Sources:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)  Justification for rating
	Are procedures in place to monitor the processes of administering and analyzing assessments i.e., screening and progress monitoring? If so, please describe.		
	Does the evidence indicate that instruction, interventions, and assessments are implemented with fidelity?	Recommendations:	
Evaluation	How is Georgia's Tiered System of Supports for Students framework evaluated?  - Is a plan in place to evaluate short and long-term goals?	Notes:	Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 (please circle)  Justification for rating
	<ul> <li>Is a process in place for reviewing student-level data to evaluate effectiveness of the framework (i.e., core curriculum is effective, interventions are effective, screening process is effective)?</li> <li>Does the process include review of data for all</li> </ul>	Evidence Sources:	
	students and for subgroups of students?  - Is a process in place to evaluate implementation fidelity?	Recommendations:	





Measures	1	3	5
	- Are teachers and interventionists involved in giving		
	and receiving feedback on the effectiveness of the		
	programs and materials?		
	- Who is involved in evaluating the implementation		
	to monitor fidelity and efficiency across all		
	components of the framework?		