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Goals of the SPP/APR Work Session

• Sign in and Provide Your Role(s) Group D- Preschool Outcomes, Parent Survey, & Legal

• Review a process for SPP/APR development using Indicators grouped together in clusters.

• Evaluate the trend data for Indicators 7, 8, 15 and 16 of the SPP/APR and identify which are Results Indicators requiring targets.

• Examine processes for target setting.

• Review stakeholder requirements, previously shared May 6, 2021, in the SAP Meeting.

• Plan for feedback collection and finalizing targets.
High School & Life Outcomes
- Graduation Rate-1
- Dropout Rate-2
- Post Secondary Transition-13
- Post School Outcomes-14
- State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP)-17

Facilitators: Ann Cross, Wina Lowe

Disproportionality
- Significant Discrepancy-4
- Disproportionate Representation (All Disabilities)-9
- Disproportionate Representation (Specific Disabilities)-10

Facilitators: Felicia Peavey, Vickie Cleveland, Linda Castellanos

Environment & Timelines
- School Age Environments-5
- Early Childhood Environments-6
- Child Find/Initial Evaluation-11
- Part C to B Transition-12

Facilitators: Amber McCollum, Phoebe Atkins

Preschool, Parent Involvement & Legal
- Preschool Outcomes-7
- Parent Involvement/Survey-8
- Resolution Sessions-15
- Mediation-16

Facilitators: Jamila Pollard, Kachelle White, Scott Smith

Assessment
- Assessment-3

Facilitators: Lynn Holland, Karen Suddeth, Carson Cochran
Collaborative Process for SPP/APR Development

• SPP/APR 2020-2025 Process
  • **February 2021** Development of an EXCEL Template of SPP/APR 2020-2025 Requirements and Data with Targeted Questions for all Indicators by Part B Data Managers shared with Zelphine Smith-Dixon and Kachelle White
  • **May 6, 2021**, SAP meeting- members chose an Indicator Cluster for which to provide feedback and set targets
  • **June 2, 2021**, Meeting with Part B Data Managers, Zelphine Smith-Dixon and Kachelle White regarding SPP/APR 2020-2025
  • **August 4, 2021**, GLRS and District Liaisons (DLs) Presentation
  • **August 10, 2021**, DSESS staff Presentation
  • **August 11, 2021**, DSESS SPP/APR Group Facilitator share information
  • **August 18, 2021**, SSIP (Indicators 1 & 2) Presentation
Collaborative Process for SPP/APR Development

- **September 2021- November 2021** stakeholders provided with current data on Indicators and feedback gathered to provide advisement on the targets for the SPP/APR 2025
- **November 2021** specific targets set for each Indicator for the SPP/APR 2020-2025
- **November 2021 (late)** public access to the targets and opportunity for comment
- **December 2021** updates provided to participating stakeholders of the targets established and any updated data provided
- **December 2021 (late)** SPP/APR template published by OSEP and available for entry on EMAPS
- **December 2021-January 10, 2022** Development of SPP Narrative and Editing with DSESS Program Managers, Part B Data Managers, and other relevant staff
- **January 15, 2022** SPP/APR provided to the Director of DSESS for review and submission to OSEP by Feb. 1, 2022
Stakeholder Input
Stakeholder Feedback Requirements

i. The number of parent members and a description of how the parent members of the State Advisory Panel, parent center staff, parents from local and statewide advocacy and advisory committees, and individual parents were engaged in setting targets, analyzing data, developing improvement strategies, and evaluating progress;

ii. Description of the activities conducted to increase the capacity of diverse groups of parents to support the development of implementation of activities designed to improve outcomes for children with disabilities;

Reference


The IDEA Data Center (IDC) created this publication under U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs grant number H373Y190001. Richelle Davis and Rebecca Smith serve as the project officers. The information herein does not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the U.S. Department of Education. No official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education of any product, commodity, service, or enterprise in this publication is intended or should be inferred. This product is in the public domain. Authorization to reproduce it in whole or in part is granted.
Stakeholder Feedback Requirements

iii. The mechanisms and timelines for soliciting public input for target setting, analyzing data, developing improvement strategies, and evaluating progress; and

iv. The mechanisms and timelines for making the results of the target setting, data analysis, development of the improvement strategies, and evaluation available to the public.

Reference


The IDEA Data Center (IDC) created this publication under U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs grant number H373Y190001. Richelle Davis and Rebecca Smith serve as the project officers. The information herein does not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the U.S. Department of Education. No official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education of any product, commodity, service, or enterprise in this publication is intended or should be inferred.

This product is in the public domain. Authorization to reproduce it in whole or in part is granted.
Setting Baselines & Targets
## Setting Baselines & Targets- Impacted Indicators

### Overview of the New SPP/APR Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPP/APR changes</th>
<th>Ind. 1</th>
<th>Ind. 2</th>
<th>Ind. 3</th>
<th>Ind. 4</th>
<th>Ind. 5</th>
<th>Ind. 6</th>
<th>Ind. 7</th>
<th>Ind. 8</th>
<th>Ind. 9</th>
<th>Ind. 10</th>
<th>Ind. 11</th>
<th>Ind. 12</th>
<th>Ind. 13</th>
<th>Ind. 14</th>
<th>Ind. 15</th>
<th>Ind. 16</th>
<th>Ind. 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor changes / clarifications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to response rate and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>representativeness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change to data source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicators requiring a new baseline for the SPP/APR to be submitted in Feb. 2022 are circled in red. Indicators with new baselines in the SPP/APR submitted Feb 2021 are enclosed in a blue box.

IDC Monthly Webinar: Setting Baselines and Targets in a World of Questionable Data Quality March 25, 2021, PPT Slides/Webinar Recording [Setting Baselines & Targets-Webinar Setting Baselines & Targets- PPT](#) (PPT in Facilitator Resources Folder)
Compliance & Results Indicators

• Compliance Indicators have a mandatory target that is set at 0% or 100%.
  • 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13

• Results Indicators require targets that must show an increase over baseline, be rigorous, and set with the advice of stakeholders.
  • 1, 2, 3, 4a, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16, and 17
Setting Baselines & Targets

• When do you set new baselines and targets?
• Only when there is a new component added to an Indicator or
• Changes in the formula, method, or group being measured in the Indicator
• **Not** just because of a new SPP/APR package (i.e., SPP/APR 2020-2025) if there are no new components or measurement
Setting Baselines & Targets

Why Would I Want to Change Baseline?

Impact of COVID-19 on data quality, completeness and accuracy

- The baseline data are not representative
- State or local programs have out-of-range data
- Data collection tools and/or methods changed
- Measurement requirements changed

IDC Monthly Webinar: Setting Baselines and Targets in a World of Questionable Data Quality March 25, 2021, PPT Slides/Webinar Recording Setting Baselines & Targets-Webinar Setting Baselines & Targets- PPT (PPT in Facilitator Resources Folder)
Setting Baselines & Targets

What strategies may be used for Baseline Selection?
Selection of a particular reporting year of data from these possibilities:

- Use the most recent year of data available
- Consider going back and recalculating previous years of data based on the new measurement/calculation and selecting an earlier year
- Be aware that data may be highly unstable due to COVID, lack of Assessment in 2020, etc., and baselines may need to be changed several times across the 2020-2025 package.

IDC Monthly Webinar: Setting Baselines and Targets in a World of Questionable Data Quality March 25, 2021, PPT Slides/Webinar Recording Setting Baselines & Targets-Webinar Setting Baselines & Targets- PPT
(PPT is in your Facilitator Resources Folder)
Setting Targets

What Are Some of the Methods for Calculating Targets?

- Eyeball method
- Trend lines
- Growth or change from year to year
- Increase by a set percent or percentage point every year
- Start with the end goal
- Statistical validation of targets

IDC Monthly Webinar: Setting Baselines and Targets in a World of Questionable Data Quality March 25, 2021, PPT Slides/Webinar Recording Setting Baselines & Targets-Webinar Setting Baselines & Targets- PPT (PPT in Facilitator Resources Folder)
Setting Targets

Target Setting Methods: Eyeball Method

Eyeball method
Eyeball estimation refers to inspecting data and quickly making an educated guess about the approximate magnitude of relevant statistics.

Target Setting Methods: Trend Lines

- Use trend lines to estimate data for future years
- Select target from the trend line
Setting Targets

Target Setting Methods: Growth

- Figure average growth/change from year to year and add to the current data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021 Target</th>
<th>2022 Target</th>
<th>2023 Target</th>
<th>2024 Target</th>
<th>2025 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual data</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>+1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Option 1: State could look at years 2011 forward and see growth of at least 1 percentage point last two years but know growth is likely slowed down due to pandemic and set target of +0.5 each year

Target Setting Methods: Stable Data

- Figure average growth/change from year to year and add to the current data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual data</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>+1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- State could decide growth has been steady and recommend keeping the target the same for a few years as no new initiatives are planned
Setting Targets

**Target Setting Methods: Start With the End Goal**
- Start with the end in mind
- Where are we now?
- Where do we want to be at the end of the SPP?
- How do we break that end goal into steps or targets for each year?

**Statistical Validation of Targets**

**Stratify districts by size to review data and recommend targets**
- Look at size group progress over time
- Weight by size

**Consider using tests of statistical significance such as**
- T test
- Z test

Resources for statistical analysis
- [http://www.surveystar.com/ztest.htm](http://www.surveystar.com/ztest.htm)
- [https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/](https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/)
Baselines & Targets Summary

• Which Indicators require new Baselines?
• Should there be a consideration of using a prior year’s data on any Indicator?
• Which method(s) of Target Setting do you recommend for each Indicator?
Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes
Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved:
   A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
   B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy); and
   C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive Social/Emotional Skills FFY20/FY21 <strong>NEW</strong></th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>85.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A, by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>55.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>FFY20/FY21 NEW</strong></td>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td><strong>Data</strong></td>
<td><strong>Status</strong></td>
<td><strong>Slippage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B, by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their need</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY20/FY21 NEW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td>86.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C, by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td>64.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Social/Emotional Skills FFY19/FY20</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.52</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A, by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>54.86</td>
<td>Did Not Meet Target</td>
<td>Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills FFY19/FY20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82.16</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B, by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44.34</td>
<td>Did Not Meet Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their need FFY19/FY20</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.08</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C, by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>65.01</td>
<td>Did Not Meet Target</td>
<td>Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preschool Outcomes - Positive Social Emotional Outcomes

Year | A1 | A1 Target | A2 | A2 Target
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2013 | 78.36 | 80.63 | 61.42 | 61.4
2014 | 80.32 | 80.3 | 65.58 | 61.4
2015 | 78.46 | 78.5 | 64.3 | 61.5
2016 | 79.75 | 78.5 | 62.01 | 61.6
2017 | 80.53 | 78.6 | 62.16 | 61.7
2018 | 80.52 | 78.6 | 62.16 | 61.8
2019 | 80.35 | 80.3 | 54.86 | 62

Preschool Outcomes - Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Needs

2013: C1 - 71.45, C1 Target - 71.5, C2 - 71.49, C2 Target - 71.9
2014: C1 - 70.91, C1 Target - 71.7, C2 - 71.5, C2 Target - 71.6
2015: C1 - 74.85, C1 Target - 71.9, C2 - 71.7, C2 Target - 71.04
2016: C1 - 80.43, C1 Target - 78.55, C2 - 81.58, C2 Target - 79.74
2017: C1 - 81.27, C1 Target - 79.74, C2 - 80.56, C2 Target - 80.08
2018: C1 - 77.38, C1 Target - 80, C2 - 80.56, C2 Target - 80.08
2019: C1 - 77.35, C1 Target - 80, C2 - 80.08, C2 Target - 80
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement
Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior Analysis Requirements</th>
<th>Current Analysis Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Include the State’s analysis of the extent to which the demographics of the parents responding are representative of the demographics of children receiving special education services.</td>
<td>When reporting the extent to which the demographics of the children for whom parents responded to surveys are representative of the demographics of children receiving special education services, States must include race and ethnicity in their analysis (beginning with FFY 2021 submission due February 2023).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States should consider categories such as race and ethnicity, age of the infant or toddler, and geographic location in the State.</td>
<td>At least one of the following demographics: age of student, disability category, gender, geographic location, and/or another category approved through the stakeholder input process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parent Involvement
**Indicator 15: Resolution Sessions**
Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))

**Indicator 16: Mediation**
Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))
Resolution Sessions Data FY 2019-2020

16/37 = 43% of Resolution Sessions resulted in written settlement agreements

Target= 63.10%  Did not meet target    Slippage
### Mediations

#### (2.1) Mediations held

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mediations held</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediations held related to due process complaints</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediation agreements related to due process complaints</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediations held not related to due process complaints</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediation agreements not related to due process complaints</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

56/85 = 65.8% of Mediations held resulted in Mediation Agreements

Target = 50% (low) – 70% (high)  Met Target  No Slippage
Mediations

- Mediation Target
- Mediation Target High
- Mediation Data


Values:
- 2013: 63.27
- 2014: 60.71
- 2015: 48.53
- 2016: 54
- 2017: 63.11
- 2018: 61.54
- 2019: 65.8

Legend:
- Orange line: Mediation Target
- Blue line: Mediation Target High
- Purple line: Mediation Data
Preliminary Target Setting & Questions

- What are the celebrations in the data and areas requiring greater emphasis?
- Based on the information, what are your suggestions for setting the targets for each Indicator?
  - Preschool Outcomes
  - Parent Survey
  - Legal
Collaborative Process for SPP/APR Completion

- **September 2021- November 2021** stakeholders provided with current data on Indicators and feedback gathered to provide advisement on the targets for the SPP/APR 2025
- **November 2021** specific targets set for each Indicator for the SPP/APR 2020-2025
- **November 2021 (late)** public opportunity to comment on targets
- **December 2021** updates provided to participating stakeholders of the targets established and any updated data provided
- **December 2021 (late)** SPP/APR template published by OSEP and available for entry on EMAPS
- **December 2021-January 10, 2022** Development of SPP Narrative and Editing with DSESS Program Managers, Part B Data Managers, and other relevant staff
- **January 15, 2022** SPP/APR provided to the Director of DSESS for review and submission to OSEP by Feb. 1, 2022
Survey Link:

https://forms.office.com/pages/responsepage.aspx?id=g1ylGkMDy069Ob1_Q4dr19Bi69FUMHpFpKehdFp8znVUQ1dSQThBSERNMEtFMjlUM1AxTExKN0JBVyQlQCN0PWcu
Thank you!