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Teacher Keys Effectiveness System Usage Statement
The TKES Handbook was developed on behalf of the Georgia Department of Education. School systems are required to use this supporting document for TKES implementation. The materials in this handbook are copyrighted by either the Georgia Department of Education or Dr. James Stronge (© 2011). The materials may not be revised or modified without the express written permission of the applicable copyright holder. Georgia public schools may use these materials without alteration to meet applicable requirements or for educational purposes as long as the materials continue to reflect: "All Rights Reserved."

The contents of this handbook were developed under a grant from the U. S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U. S. Department of Education, and one should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Every child in every community deserves excellent, effective classroom teachers. That is why Georgia developed the Teacher Keys Effectiveness System (TKES) to provide teachers with more meaningful feedback and support so they can achieve the goal of increasing academic learning and achievement for all students. We believe students have the greatest chance to succeed when teachers receive support to continuously improve their knowledge and skills.

Ongoing feedback and targeted professional development help teachers meet the changing needs of their students. We believe TKES provides teachers with meaningful information about how their practice and performance impact student learning. TKES acknowledges the central role of teachers and provides the opportunity to refine their practice to continually and effectively meet the needs of all students.

In 2016, O.C.G.A.§20-2-210 was passed by the legislature and signed into law by Governor Nathan Deal. This law reduced the number of state-mandated tests students must take and by reducing the percentage that student test scores count for TKES evaluations.

Some of the major changes include:

- Weight of student test scores on TKES reduced from 50% to 30%, with the remaining 20% coming from Professional Growth, allowing the evaluation system to become more of a coaching tool.
- Students must be in attendance in class 90% of the instructional days of the course in order to count toward a teacher’s evaluation (previous version was only 65% enrollment).
- Modified observations for some teachers, allowing administrators to spend more time with teachers who need more assistance while giving teachers who receive high evaluation scores the benefit of fewer observations and more flexibility in the classroom.
- One growth measure per teacher required instead of two, decreasing the number of tests that must be administered.
In 2020, Senate Bill 367, which was codified as O.C.G.A. § 20-2-281, was passed by the legislature and signed into law by Governor Brian Kemp. This law further helped change the landscape of Georgia education by reducing the number of state-mandated tests students must take. The number of state-administered assessments was reduced from 32 to 19, allowing schools to focus on literacy and numeracy in the early grades, giving students a better foundation for success.

For the 2023 CCRPI calculation, GaDOE will resume reporting progress for districts and schools using Student Growth Percentiles (SGPs). For educator-level evaluation purposes, our Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) recommended waiting to resume utilizing SGPs until another year of post-pandemic test scores are available. To maximize the validity and reliability of growth measures for evaluating educator performance, GaDOE is continuing TKES/LKES flexibility for school leaders and teachers in the 2023-2024 school year. All pre-pandemic requirements related to TKES and LKES will resume in the 2024-2025 school year.

The following flexibilities will continue in the 2023-2024 school year:

- **Teacher Effectiveness Measures (TEM) and Leader Effectiveness Measures (LEM) ratings will not be determined** this year to maximize the validity and reliability of the measures of educator performance.
- The “pre-evaluation conference, mid-year evaluation conference, and summative evaluation conference” must still be conducted per state law. Evidence does not have to be uploaded to the Platform.
- The self-assessment may still be conducted, but it is not required. If self-assessment is utilized, it should be conducted in support of a teacher’s and leader’s professional growth.
- TAPS and LAPS Summative Assessment Ratings are not required by GaDOE or GaPSC for the 2023-2024 school year. Certificate renewal will not be affected. If an educator needs to remediate, remediation plans must be established at the local level.
- State law and Board rule require “multiple observations.” GaDOE interprets this as a minimum of two observations for all teachers for the 2023-2024 school year. School Observations can occur at any time during the school year.
- **Professional learning requirements are in state law.** However, school system leaders will determine what is appropriate considering the local context and system expectations, and GaPSC will accept verification from school system leaders for renewal purposes.
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Components of the Teacher Keys Effectiveness System (TKES)
The Teacher Keys Effectiveness System (TKES) is comprised of three components which contribute to an overall Teacher Effectiveness Measure (TEM): Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), Professional Growth, and Student Growth.

Annual Evaluation Notification
Official Code of Georgia 20-2-210 requires that in advance of each school year, every LEA provides written notice to all teachers of record of the evaluation measures and any specific indicators that will be used for evaluation purposes. To comply with this, it is suggested that LEAs address this in the contract or an attached document disseminated with the contract. The suggested language follows:

Your annual evaluation for next year will be based on the Teacher Keys Effectiveness System in accordance with Official Code of Georgia 20-2-210, all applicable rules of the State Board of Education, and the Implementation Handbook for TKES.

Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS)
• TAPS provide evaluators with a qualitative, rubrics-based evaluation method by which they can measure teacher performance related to quality Performance Standards.
• All ten Performance Standards shall be rated on two Formative Assessments with the Full Formative Process.
• All ten Performance Standards must be rated across the combination of observations with the Flexible Process
• Observations by a credentialed evaluator shall inform the Summative Performance Evaluation each year.
• All ten Performance Standards shall be rated on the Summative Performance Evaluation.

Professional Growth
Professional Growth may be measured by progress toward or attainment of Professional Growth Goal(s) or Professional Growth Plan(s). These goal(s) or plan(s) may or may not be reflective of the Professional Learning Goal(s) or Professional Learning Plan(s) as defined by the Georgia Professional Standards Commission (GaPSC).

Student Growth
• Student Growth Percentile Measures: For teachers of SGP grades and courses, this component is comprised of a Student Growth Percentile which shall be calculated annually for student growth based on state assessment data.
• LEA Determined Measures: For teachers of non-SGP grades and courses, this component is comprised of LEA determined measures which may be Student Learning Objectives or a similar pre to post measure, the School or District Mean Growth Percentile, or another measure identified or developed and implemented by the LEA which measures student growth.
• Student Growth data shall be a lagging measure; when available, the prior year’s growth measures will inform the current annual Summative Performance Evaluation.

The Teacher Keys Effectiveness System is depicted in Figure 1.
Part I: Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS) – 50%

Each student has unique talents, needs and challenges. No one classroom is the same, so an evaluation system for teachers must reflect that and be unlike a typical evaluation in another profession. The Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS) was included in TKES to provide a fair and comprehensive evaluation system that provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both teachers and evaluators will fully understand their job expectations. Clearly defined professional responsibilities for teachers constitute the foundation for TAPS. Evaluators shall be appropriately trained and credentialed.

TAPS define the expectations for teacher performance consisting of 5 domains and 10 Performance Standards (see Figure 2).
**Domains and Performance Standards**

Performance Standards refer to the major duties performed by a teacher. There are ten Performance Standards that serve as the basis for the evaluation. Figure 3 shows the five domains and the associated standards that comprise the TAPS components of TKES. Evaluators should always refer to the Performance Standards when rating a teacher.
### Figure 3: TAPS Performance Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Planning</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional Knowledge</strong></td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Instructional Planning** | The teacher plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all students. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Instructional Delivery</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instructional Strategies</strong></td>
<td>The teacher promotes student learning by using research-based instructional strategies relevant to the content area to engage students in active learning and to facilitate the students’ acquisition of key knowledge and skills.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Differentiated Instruction** | The teacher challenges and supports each student’s learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Assessment of and for Learning</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment Strategies</strong></td>
<td>The teacher systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Assessment Uses** | The teacher systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to both students and parents. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Learning Environment</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive Learning Environment</strong></td>
<td>The teacher provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Academically Challenging Environment** | The teacher creates a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Professionalism and Communication</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professionalism</strong></td>
<td>The teacher exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school’s mission, participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Communication** | The teacher communicates effectively with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning. |
**Performance Indicators**

Performance Indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behaviors for each standard. The Performance Indicators are examples of the types of performance that will occur if a standard is being successfully met. While it is likely the evaluator will observe many of the indicators during an observation, the list of Performance Indicators is not exhaustive. The evaluator may also observe many appropriate Performance Indicators that are not listed. Performance Standards and Performance Indicators can be found in the Appendix.

**Performance Appraisal Rubrics**

Teachers shall be rated using the Performance Appraisal Rubrics. The Performance Appraisal Rubric is a behavioral summary scale that guides evaluators in assessing how well a standard is performed. It states the measure of performance expected of teachers and provides a qualitative description of performance at each level. The resulting Performance Appraisal Rubric provides a clearly delineated stepwise progression, moving from highest to lowest levels of performance.

Each level is intended to be qualitatively superior to all lower levels. The description provided for Level III of the Performance Appraisal Rubric is the actual performance standard, thus Level III is the expected level of performance. Teachers who earn a Level IV rating must meet the requirements for Level III and Level IV. The Appendix includes Performance Appraisal Rubrics related to each Performance Standard.

**TAPS Process Flow**

The process by which LEAs shall implement the TAPS portion of the Teacher Keys Effectiveness System is depicted in Figure 4. This flow chart provides broad guidance for the TAPS process, but LEAs should consider developing internal timelines for completion of steps at the LEA and school level.

*Figure 4: Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards Process Flow*
The steps below outline the TAPS process.

Step 1: Orientation
To ensure both teachers and evaluators have a clear understanding of expectations, building administrators shall annually conduct a Teacher Keys Effectiveness System (TKES) Orientation prior to observations. This orientation should be scheduled as soon as possible once school begins or within the first month of hiring a new teacher. Resources are available within the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform which will assist with the TKES Orientation. After the orientation is completed, teacher sign off is required within the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform or a GaDOE approved data system.

Step 2: Familiarization
Once teachers have completed the TKES Orientation, it is important they be provided with opportunities to become more familiar with the TAPS/TKES process. Familiarization is not intended to be a single event. Ongoing familiarization dialogue and professional learning opportunities will increase understanding of the TAPS/TKES process. Professional learning modules, including videos, are located on the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform.

Step 3: Self-Assessment
Reflecting on professional practice is an important step in the TAPS process. Teachers may complete a Self-Assessment prior to the Pre-Evaluation Conference, but it is not required. The ten Performance Standards may be used to determine professional strengths and areas for growth. The Self-Assessment, located on the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform, will be available to both the teacher and the school evaluator for review and professional growth planning. This information should be used to inform Professional Growth Goal(s) or Professional Growth Plan(s).

Step 4: Pre-Evaluation Conference
Evaluators shall conduct a Pre-Evaluation Conference for all TKES evaluated teachers. This conference follows Orientation and Self-Assessment. The conference shall be used to inform the individual being evaluated of his or her expectations and to finalize the Professional Growth Goal(s) or Professional Growth Plan(s). The Performance Standards and Performance Appraisal Rubrics shall be included in the Pre-Evaluation Conference discussion. Professional learning opportunities which align to the teacher’s needs should also be addressed during the conference.

Please note, the “pre-evaluation conference, mid-year evaluation conference, and summative evaluation conference” must still be conducted per state law. Evidence does not have to be uploaded to the Platform.

The Pre-Evaluation Conference may be held individually or in a small group setting when appropriate and must occur before any observations are conducted for teachers. The conference shall be recorded electronically via the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform or a GaDOE approved data system.
**Types of Implementations**

O.C.G.A.§20-2-210 allows districts to implement a process that reduces the number of observations. Highly effective teachers are eligible to receive fewer observations, while teachers that are part of the six groups listed in Step 5a: Full Formative Assessment Process may receive the standard six classroom observations.

Please note, **State law and Board rule require “multiple observations.” GaDOE interprets this as a minimum of two observations for all teachers for the 2023-2024 school year.** School Observations can occur at **any time** during the school year.

**Step 5a: Full Formative Assessment Process (specified or less than proficient teachers)**

Appropriately trained and credentialed evaluators shall conduct six classroom observations for teachers assigned to participate in the Full Formative Assessment Process. The Full Formative Assessment Process may be completed on the following groups of educators:

- Induction (3 or less years of teaching experience),
- Teaching out-of-field,
- New position (change in field certification),
- Out of the profession for longer than one year,
- Moving into the state, and
- Evaluation performance of Needs Development or Ineffective.

**Observations**

The identified categories of educators may receive full implementation including 4 classroom Walkthrough Observations and 2 Formative Observations/Assessments. The Formative Assessment Process includes the Formative Observation. All ten Performance Standards shall be rated in the Formative Assessment Process. Observations may be announced or unannounced. It is strongly recommended that all observations include commentary on all the rated standards. Walkthrough Observations shall be at least ten minutes in duration based on a limited number of Performance Standards and shall inform the Formative Assessment. Formative Observations should be at least thirty minutes in duration and are based on all ten Performance Standards. Additional observations may be conducted at the building administrator’s discretion.

**Step 5b: Flexible Process (veteran teachers with proficient or exemplary summative ratings)**

Appropriately trained and credentialed evaluators shall conduct classroom observations for teachers assigned to participate in the Flexible Process. The Flexible Process shall consist of a minimum of two classroom observations per teacher. The differentiated implementation requirements are based on years of experience, previous year’s annual Summative Evaluation ratings, and specific categories of educators as defined by GaPSC.

The Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS) focuses on two data sources, **observations and documentation.** The totality of evidence and consistency of practice will be considered when an evaluator rates a teacher on all ten Performance Standards.
Observations
All teachers with more than three years of experience and who have received a Level III (Proficient) or Level IV (Exemplary) rating on the previous year's annual Summative Evaluation must receive a minimum of two classroom observations (Walkthroughs and/or Formative Observations/Assessments) as determined by the LEA. It is recommended that a minimum of one Walkthrough and one Formative Observation/Assessment is completed as the required observations per teacher. The Formative Assessment Process includes the Formative Observation. All ten Performance Standards shall be rated in the Formative Assessment Process. Walkthrough Observations shall be at least ten minutes in duration and Formative Observations shall be at least thirty minutes in duration. If a Walkthrough Observation and a Formative Observation/Assessment are selected as the two observations, the Walkthrough Observation shall inform the Formative Assessment. If Walkthrough Observations are selected as the two observations, all ten Performance Standards must be rated across the combination of both observations.

Documentation
Documentation of teacher practice and process shall be the second data source for TAPS. The primary source of information for teacher evaluation should be observation. The request for documentation is at the discretion of the evaluator. Evaluators may request documentation from a teacher when a standard is not observed during a Formative Observation(s), Walkthrough(s) or when the consistency of a teacher’s practice cannot be established. The teacher is responsible for submitting requested documentation in a timely manner. For it to be considered, requested documentation must be submitted prior to the completion of the Formative Assessment and/or Summative Annual Evaluation.

Additionally, teachers may elect to submit documentation at any time during the evaluation process for consideration by the evaluator. However, an evaluator is not required to consider the submitted documents when finalizing ratings. Documentation should be submitted for review via the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform or GaDOE approved data system. Note: No documentation should be created specifically for the evaluation system. Rather, it should reflect normal instructional practices. Blanket documentation requirements are discouraged. Please also note, evidence does not have to be uploaded to the Platform.

Full Formative Assessment Process and Flexible Process
Performance Appraisal Rubrics are behavioral summary scales that describe performance levels for each Performance Standard. Ratings of Level IV, Level III, Level II, or Level I shall be provided for each of the ten Performance Standards on each Formative Assessment. If sufficient evidence is not present to rate a teacher’s performance on any of the ten Performance Standards, evaluators may request additional documentation relevant to the identified standard(s). In addition, knowledge gained through professional interaction with teachers may also be considered as evidence to rate any of the ten Performance Standards. This knowledge should be documented in commentary for the appropriate standard(s).
It is strongly recommended that evaluators provide specific commentary to acknowledge performance strengths as well as Level II or Level I ratings for any of the ten Performance Standards. Commentary should include specific feedback which will promote professional growth.

A teacher’s classroom observation shall be shared within 10 working days from the date of each observation. The results of each observation shall be recorded in the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform or GaDOE approved data system.

Step 6: Mid-Year Conference
The Mid-Year Conference shall be used to inform the individual being evaluated of his or her progress on the TKES components. The conference will focus on Student Growth data, the ten Performance Standards and Professional Growth. Teachers will sign off on the Teacher’s Assurances during the Mid-Year Conference.

As previously stated, the “pre-evaluation conference, mid-year evaluation conference, and summative evaluation conference” must still be conducted per state law.

The Mid-Year Conference may be held individually or in a small group setting when appropriate. It shall be recorded electronically via the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform or a GaDOE approved data system.

Step 7: Summative Assessment Evaluation
The Summative Assessment Evaluation shall be based on observational data and documentation. The TAPS Summative Assessment Ratings are not required by GaDOE or GaPSC for the 2023-2024 school year. Certificate renewal will not be affected. If an educator needs to remediate, remediation plans must be established at the local level.

Summative Evaluation Process
A Summative Performance Evaluation shall be completed for each teacher which may establish a final rating on all ten Performance Standards. These ratings shall consider ALL available data sources.

Ratings of Level IV, Level III, Level II, or Level I may be provided for each of the ten Performance Standards using the Performance Appraisal Rubrics. The evaluator may rate each of the ten Performance Standards based on the totality of evidence and consistency of practice; ratings are not required by GaDOE or GaPSC for the 2023-2024 school year.

Evaluators may document the Summative Assessment Evaluation using the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform or another GaDOE approved data system.
Step 8: Summative Conference

The Summative Conference may be used to inform the individual being evaluated of his or her Summative Assessment Evaluation results. Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards, and student data trends may be included in the Summative Conference discussion. Professional Growth Goal(s) and/or Professional Growth Plan(s) should also be addressed during the conference.

Evaluators shall utilize evaluation results to provide high-quality, job-embedded, and ongoing mentoring, support, and professional development for teachers as identified in his or her evaluation. **A Remediation Plan shall be required if a teacher earns a Level I or Level II on the TAPS Summative Assessment rating.**

The “pre-evaluation conference, mid-year evaluation conference, and summative evaluation conference” must still be conducted per state law. **Evidence does not have to be uploaded to the Platform.**

The Summative Conference will be held individually with each teacher so that specific feedback can be provided during the conference. It shall be recorded electronically via the GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform or a GaDOE approved data system.

**Part II: Professional Growth – 20%**

TKES should be an evaluation system that is an authentic coaching tool. The professional growth measure will make TKES a better tool for teachers because it will give credit for meeting important professional development goals that ultimately help students in the classroom.

Professional Growth shall be measured by progress toward or attainment of Professional Growth Goal(s) or Professional Growth Plan(s). These goals or plans may or may not be reflective of the Professional Learning Goals or Professional Learning Plans as defined by the Georgia Professional Standards Commission (GaPSC). For additional information concerning GaPSC recertification requirements, see GaPSC adopted rule 505-2-.36 which went into effect July 1, 2017.

**Professional learning requirements are in state law.** However, school system leaders will determine what is appropriate considering the local context and system expectations, and GaPSC will accept verification from school system leaders for renewal purposes.

LEAs must determine the criteria for rating this component. **It is highly recommended this component mirrors the expectation of the GaPSC recertification requirements.** Professional Growth Goal(s) or Plan(s) may extend beyond a single school year. This component should be rated based on progress toward or attainment of the goal(s) and/or completion of the plan(s).

Professional Growth Goal(s) and Professional Growth Plan(s) may address the following:

- Weaknesses identified through the TAPS process,
- Teacher’s individual professional goals,
- School Improvement Goals,
- District Improvement Goals, or
- Any other district or school identified need.
To assist LEAs in determining criteria for the purpose of rating this component, please see the sample *rubric below.

*Use of this rubric is OPTIONAL, and the development is meant only as a support.

**Figure 5: TKES Professional Growth Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher leader continually applies the knowledge and/or skills in classroom practice and provides evidence that the professional growth experience has been extended to lead others in acquisition and application of the knowledge and skills.</td>
<td>The teacher provides evidence that classroom practice has been changed. The knowledge and/or skills is (are) applied in the classroom on a consistent basis.</td>
<td>The teacher provides evidence of use of knowledge and/or skills acquired through the professional growth activity in classroom practice.</td>
<td>The teacher participates in a professional growth activity. Sign in sheets verify attendance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part III: Student Growth – 30%**

The third component of the Teacher Keys Effectiveness System is Student Growth which is comprised of Student Growth Percentiles (SGP) for teachers of SGP grades and courses and LEA Determined Measure(s) for teachers of non-SGP grades and courses.

As noted previously, for the 2023 CCRPI calculation, GaDOE will resume reporting progress for districts and schools using Student Growth Percentiles (SGPs). For educator-level evaluation purposes, our Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) recommended waiting to resume utilizing SGPs until another year of post-pandemic test scores are available. **To maximize the validity and reliability of growth measures for evaluating educator performance, GaDOE is continuing TKES/LKES flexibility for school leaders and teachers in the 2023-2024 school year. All pre-pandemic requirements related to TKES and LKES will resume in the 2024-2025 school year.**

**Part IV: Teacher Effectiveness Measure (TEM)**

The Teacher Effectiveness Measure (TEM) is the annual evaluation which consists of three components: (1) Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), (2) Professional Growth, and (3) Student Growth. These three components contribute to the overall TEM for each teacher.

**Teacher Effectiveness Measures (TEM) and Leader Effectiveness Measures (LEM) ratings will not be determined** this year to maximize the validity and reliability of the measures of educator performance.
The TAPS component of TKES provides evaluators with a qualitative, rubrics-based evaluation method by which they can measure teacher performance related to ten quality Performance Standards that are scored from 0 to 3 (sum score can range from 0-30). Districts choosing to provide an overall TAPS Summative Cut Score, may use the scale below to support feedback for professional growth.

**Figure 6: TAPS Summative Cut Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final Ratings</th>
<th>TAPS Summative Cut Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level I</td>
<td>0 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level II</td>
<td>7 – 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level III</td>
<td>17 – 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level IV</td>
<td>27 - 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level I ratings = 0  Level II ratings = 1  Level III ratings = 2  Level IV ratings = 3
Part V: TKES Logistics

Positions to be Evaluated
The Teacher Keys Effectiveness System is designed for use with all teachers of record, grades Pre-K through 12, who are full-time or part-time. For this purpose, teachers of record are educators who are responsible for a specified portion of a student's learning within a course aligned to performance measures.

TKES is not designed to be used with personnel in positions identified as Contributing Professionals unless they are required by the LEA to provide direct instruction to students. LEAs should continue to use appropriate instruments to evaluate the following positions designated as Contributing Professionals.

Contributing Professionals include but are not limited to:

- Adaptive Physical Education Teachers
- Behavior Interventionists
- Behavior Specialists
- Career Technical Instruction (CTI)
- Graduation Coaches
- School Counselors*
- Gifted Collaborative
- Gifted Instructional Facilitator
- Hospital/Homebound Teachers
- In-school Suspension Teachers
- Instructional Coaches, Instructional Lead Teachers, and Academic Coaches who do not have responsibility for direct instruction
- Instructional Technology Specialists
- Interpreters (sign language and other language)
- Media Specialists*
- Mobility Training Specialists
- Occupational Therapists
- Paraprofessionals, even if certified
- Physical Therapists
- Psychologists*
- School Social Workers
- Special Education Coordinators / Case Managers who do not provide direct instruction
- Speech Language Pathologists
- Teachers on Special Assignment who do not have responsibility for direct instruction
- Translators
- Virtual School Teachers who do not provide direct instruction to students
- Work-based Learning

*Though GaDOE did not develop nor endorse evaluation systems for these positions, documents exist and are available from the professional organizations.
Complaint Process
Except as provided in the next paragraph, teachers are permitted to use the school system’s local complaint process to file grievances related to procedural deficiencies on the part of the local school system or charter school when it conducted the TKES evaluation. The performance ratings contained in personnel evaluations conducted pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 20-2-210, professional development plans, and job performance shall not be subject to complaint under the provisions of O.C.G.A. § 20-2-989.5, et seq.; provided, however, this shall not apply to procedural deficiencies on the part of the local school system or charter school in conducting an evaluation pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 20-2-210. See O.C.G.A. § 20-2-989.7(a).

For teachers who have accepted a school year contract for the fourth or subsequent consecutive school year, summative performance ratings of 'Unsatisfactory' or 'Ineffective' contained in personnel evaluations conducted pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 20-2-210, procedural deficiencies on the part of the local school system or charter school in conducting an evaluation pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 20-2-210, and job performance shall be subject to appeal pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 20-2-989.7(b).

Local Education Agencies (LEAs) are required to establish an appeals policy that shall allow a teacher to appeal a summative performance rating of 'Unsatisfactory' or 'Ineffective.'

Part VI: GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform
The GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform shall provide web-based access to multiple components of the Teacher Keys Effectiveness System. The GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform will be provided to school LEAs and schools; however, a school LEA may choose to use a GaDOE approved data system.

The GaDOE TLSD Electronic Platform can be accessed through the GaDOE Statewide Longitudinal Data System (SLDS)/Georgia Connects. The User Guides tab provides detailed instructions for both teachers and evaluators to utilize the platform to effectively complete the TKES process.

In the platform, the following will be provided:

- TAPS Formative and Summative Assessment Evaluation ratings
- Student Growth Percentile (SGP) summary results
- Teacher Effectiveness Measure (Annual Evaluation)
- Conference Tools (Pre-Conference, Mid-Year Conference, Summative Conference)
Appendix: TAPS Performance Standards and Performance Appraisal Rubrics

Performance Standard 1: Professional Knowledge
The teacher demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The teacher:
- Addresses appropriate curriculum standards and integrates key content elements.
- Facilitates students’ use of higher-level thinking skills in instruction.
- Demonstrates ability to link present content with past and future learning experiences, other subject areas, and real-world experiences and applications.
- Demonstrates accurate, deep, and current knowledge of subject matter.
- Exhibits pedagogical skills relevant to the subject area(s) taught and best practices based on current research.
- Bases instruction on goals that reflect high expectations for all students and a clear understanding of the curriculum.
- Displays an understanding of the intellectual, social, emotional, and physical development of the age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher inconsistently demonstrates understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and student needs, or lacks fluidity in using the knowledge in practice.</td>
<td>The teacher inadequately demonstrates understanding of curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and student needs, or does not use the knowledge in practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The teacher continually demonstrates extensive content and pedagogical knowledge, enriches the curriculum, and guides others in enriching the curriculum. (Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)
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Performance Standard 2: Instructional Planning

The teacher plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The teacher:

- Analyzes and uses student learning data to inform planning.
- Develops plans that are clear, logical, sequential, and integrated across the curriculum (e.g., long-term goals, lesson plans, and syllabi).
- Plans instruction effectively for content mastery, pacing, and transitions.
- Plans for instruction to meet the needs of all students.
- Aligns and connects lesson objectives to state and local school district curricula and standards, and student learning needs.
- Develops appropriate course, unit, and daily plans, and can adapt plans when needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all students.</td>
<td>The teacher does not plan or plans without adequately using state and local school district curricula and standards, or without using effective strategies, resources, or data to meet the needs of all students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The teacher continually seeks and uses multiple data and real-world resources to plan differentiated instruction to meet the individual student's needs and interests in order to promote student accountability and engagement. (Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)

The teacher consistently plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all students.

The teacher inconsistently uses state and local school district curricula and standards, or inconsistently uses effective strategies, resources, or data in planning to meet the needs of all students.
**Performance Standard 3: Instructional Strategies**

The teacher promotes student learning by using research-based instructional strategies relevant to the content to engage students in active learning and to facilitate the students’ acquisition of key knowledge and skills.

**Sample Performance Indicators**

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

- Engages students in active learning and maintains interest.
- Builds upon students’ existing knowledge and skills.
- Reinforces learning goals consistently throughout the lesson.
- Uses a variety of research-based instructional strategies and resources.
- Effectively uses appropriate instructional technology to enhance student learning.
- Communicates and presents material clearly, and checks for understanding.
- Develops higher-order thinking through questioning and problem-solving activities.
- Engages students in authentic learning by providing real-life examples and interdisciplinary connections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher inconsistently uses research-based instructional strategies. The strategies used are sometimes not appropriate for the content area or for engaging students in active learning or for the acquisition of key skills.</td>
<td>The teacher does not use research-based instructional strategies, nor are the instructional strategies relevant to the content area. The strategies do not engage students in active learning or acquisition of key skills.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The teacher **continually** facilitates students’ engagement in metacognitive learning, higher-order thinking skills, and application of learning in current and relevant ways. *(Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)*

The teacher **consistently** promotes student learning by using research-based instructional strategies relevant to the content to engage students in active learning, and to facilitate the students’ acquisition of key skills.

The teacher **inconsistently** uses research-based instructional strategies. The strategies used are sometimes not appropriate for the content area or for engaging students in active learning or for the acquisition of key skills.
**Performance Standard 4: Differentiated Instruction**

The teacher challenges and supports each student’s learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.

**Sample Performance Indicators**

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

- The teacher:
  - Differentiates the instructional content, process, product, and learning environment to meet individual developmental needs.
  - Provides remediation, enrichment, and acceleration to further student understanding of material.
  - Uses flexible grouping strategies to encourage appropriate peer interaction and to accommodate learning needs/goals.
  - Uses diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment data to inform instructional modifications for individual students.
  - Develops critical and creative thinking by providing activities at the appropriate level of challenge for students.
  - Demonstrates high learning expectations for all students commensurate with their developmental levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher inconsistently challenges and supports each student’s learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.</td>
<td>The teacher does not challenge students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher <strong>continually</strong> facilitates each student’s opportunities to learn by engaging him/her in critical and creative thinking and challenging activities tailored to address individual learning needs and interests. <em>(Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)</em></td>
<td>The teacher <strong>consistently</strong> challenges and supports each student’s learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> challenges students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Performance Standard 5: Assessment Strategies**

The teacher systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.

**Sample Performance Indicators**

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The teacher:

- Aligns student assessment with the established curriculum and benchmarks.
- Involves students in setting learning goals and monitoring their own progress.
- Varies and modifies assessments to determine individual student needs and progress.
- Uses formal and informal assessments for diagnostic, formative, and summative purposes.
- Uses grading practices that report final mastery in relationship to content goals and objectives.
- Uses assessment techniques that are appropriate for the developmental level of students.
- Collaborates with others to develop common assessments, when appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are sometimes not appropriate for the content or student population.</td>
<td>The teacher chooses an <strong>inadequate</strong> variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are not appropriate for the content or student population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher <strong>continually</strong> demonstrates expertise and leads others to determine and develop a variety of strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population and guides students to monitor and reflect on their own academic progress. <em>(Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)</em></td>
<td>The teacher systematically and <strong>consistently</strong> chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are sometimes not appropriate for the content or student population.</td>
<td>The teacher chooses an <strong>inadequate</strong> variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are not appropriate for the content or student population.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Performance Standard 6: Assessment Uses**

The teacher systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to both students and parents.

**Sample Performance Indicators**

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

- The teacher:
  - Uses diagnostic assessment data to develop learning goals for students, to differentiate instruction, and to document learning.
  - Plans a variety of formal and informal assessments aligned with instructional results to measure student mastery of learning objectives.
  - Uses assessment tools for both formative and summative purposes to inform, guide, and adjust instruction.
  - Systematically analyzes and uses data to measure student progress, to design appropriate interventions, and to inform long- and short-term instructional decisions.
  - Shares accurate results of student progress with students, parents, and key school personnel.
  - Provides constructive and frequent feedback to students on their progress toward their learning goals.
  - Teaches students how to self-assess and to use metacognitive strategies in support of lifelong learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level III is the expected level of performance.</strong></td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, or to provide feedback in a constructive or timely manner.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>does not</strong> gather, analyze, or use relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, or to provide feedback in a constructive or timely manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher <strong>continually</strong> demonstrates expertise in using data to measure student progress and leads others in the effective use of data to inform instructional decisions. <em>(Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)</em></td>
<td>The teacher systematically and <strong>consistently</strong> gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to both students and parents.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, or inconsistently provides timely or constructive feedback.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Standard 7: Positive Learning Environment

The teacher provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

- The teacher:
  - Responds to disruptions in a timely, appropriate manner.
  - Establishes clear expectations for classroom rules, routines, and procedures and enforces them consistently and appropriately.
  - Models care, fairness, respect, and enthusiasm for learning.
  - Promotes a climate of trust and teamwork within the classroom.
  - Promotes respect for and understanding of students’ diversity, including – but not limited to – race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability.
  - Actively listens and pays attention to students’ needs and responses.
  - Creates a warm, attractive, inviting, and supportive classroom environment.
  - Arranges the classroom materials and resources to facilitate group and individual activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> provides an orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inadequately</strong> addresses student behavior, displays a negative attitude toward students, ignores safety standards, or does not otherwise provide an orderly environment that is conducive to learning or encourages respect for all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher <strong>continually</strong> engages students in a collaborative and self-directed learning environment where students are encouraged to take risks and ownership of their own learning behavior. (Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>consistently</strong> provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inadequately</strong> addresses student behavior, displays a negative attitude toward students, ignores safety standards, or does not otherwise provide an orderly environment that is conducive to learning or encourages respect for all.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Performance Standard 8: Academically Challenging Environment**

The teacher creates a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners.

**Sample Performance Indicators**

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

- The teacher:
  - Maximizes instructional time.
  - Conveys the message that mistakes should be embraced as a valuable part of learning.
  - Encourages productivity by providing students with appropriately challenging and relevant material and assignments.
  - Provides transitions that minimize loss of instructional time.
  - Communicates high, but reasonable, expectations for student learning.
  - Provides academic rigor, encourages critical and creative thinking, and pushes students to achieve goals.
  - Encourages students to explore new ideas and take academic risks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher inconsistently provides a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels or where students are self-directed learners.</td>
<td>The teacher does not provide a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels, or where students are self-directed learners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher <strong>continually</strong> creates an academic learning environment where students are encouraged to set challenging learning goals and tackle challenging materials. <em>(Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)</em></td>
<td>The teacher <strong>consistently</strong> creates a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Performance Standard 9: Professionalism**

The teacher exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school’s mission, participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession.

**Sample Performance Indicators**

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The teacher:

- Carries out duties in accordance with federal and state laws, Code of Ethics, and established state and local school board policies, regulations, and practices.
- Maintains professional demeanor and behavior (e.g., appearance, punctuality and attendance).
- Respects and maintains confidentiality.
- Evaluates and identifies areas of personal strengths and weaknesses related to professional skills and their impact on student learning and sets goals for improvement.
- Participates in ongoing professional growth activities based on identified areas for improvement (e.g., mentoring, peer coaching, course work, conferences) and incorporates learning into classroom activities.
- Demonstrates flexibility in adapting to school change.
- Engages in activities outside the classroom intended for school and student enhancement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</td>
<td>Level III is the expected level of performance.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> supports the school’s mission or seldom participates in professional growth opportunities.</td>
<td>The teacher shows a <strong>disregard</strong> toward professional ethics or the school’s mission or rarely takes advantage of professional growth opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The teacher continually engages in a high level of professional growth and application of skills and contributes to the development of others and the well-being of the school and community.</strong> <em>(Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)</em></td>
<td>The teacher <strong>consistently</strong> exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school’s mission, participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession.</td>
<td>The teacher <strong>inconsistently</strong> supports the school’s mission or seldom participates in professional growth opportunities.</td>
<td>The teacher shows a <strong>disregard</strong> toward professional ethics or the school’s mission or rarely takes advantage of professional growth opportunities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Across all levels, teachers are expected to abide by the Code of Ethics.

Performance Standard 10: Communication
The teacher communicates effectively with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

- Uses verbal and non-verbal communication techniques to foster positive interactions and promote learning in the classroom and school environment.
- Engages in ongoing communication and shares instructional goals, expectations, and student progress with families in a timely and constructive manner.
- Collaborates and networks with colleagues and the community to reach educational decisions that enhance and promote student learning.
- Uses precise language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and appropriate forms of oral and written communication.
- Explains directions, concepts, and lesson content to students in a logical, sequential, and age-appropriate manner.
- Adheres to school and district policies regarding communication of student information.
- Creates a climate of accessibility for parents and students by demonstrating a collaborative and approachable style.
- Listens and responds with cultural awareness, empathy, and understanding to the voice and opinions of stakeholders (parents, community, students, and colleagues).
- Uses modes of communication that are appropriate for a given situation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level IV</th>
<th>Level III</th>
<th>Level II</th>
<th>Level I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>In addition to meeting the requirements for Level III</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level III is the expected level of performance.</strong></td>
<td><strong>The teacher inconsistently communicates with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, or other stakeholders or communicates in ways that only partially enhance student learning.</strong></td>
<td><strong>The teacher inadequately communicates with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, or other stakeholders by poorly acknowledging concerns, responding to inquiries, or encouraging involvement.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher <strong>continually uses communication techniques in a variety of situations to proactively inform, network, and collaborate with stakeholders to enhance student learning. (Teachers rated as Level IV continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)</strong></td>
<td>The teacher communicates effectively and <strong>consistently</strong> with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.</td>
<td>The teacher communicates with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, or other stakeholders or communicates in ways that only partially enhance student learning.</td>
<td>The teacher communicates with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, or other stakeholders by poorly acknowledging concerns, responding to inquiries, or encouraging involvement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>