Determination Areas	Category	Conditions with Consequences ^a	Examples/ Formula* (see formula key and notations p. 4)
Significant Disproportionality Identification	ALL Disabilities	Risk Ratio (RR) ≥3.0 for FY20, FY21, and FY22 (3 years of data with RR ≥3.0) The risk of identification as a student with a disability (SWD) for students in each racial/ethnic subgroup in the LEA compared to the risk of identification as a SWD for all other racial/ethnic subgroups in the LEA or the state risk*. Children ages 3-21	An LEA has a RR of 3.0 for Black students identified as having a disability means that Black students, in the LEA, were identified 3 times MORE as having a Disability than all other racial/ethnic groups in the LEA. A. (# of children in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA identified as SWD) B. (All children, same racial/ethnic group in LEA FTE 1 enrollment) C. (# of children in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA identified as SWD) D. (All children, all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA FTE 1 enrollment)
Significant Disproportionality Identification	6 Disabilities -Autism -Emotional Behavioral Disorders -Intellectual Disabilities -Specific Learning Disabilities -Other Health Impaired -Speech Language Disability	Risk Ratio (RR) ≥3.0 for FY20, FY21, and FY22 (3 years of data with RR ≥3.0) The risk of identification as an SWD in a specific disability category (see column on left) for students in each racial/ethnic subgroup in the LEA compared to the risk of identification in a specific disability category for all other subgroups in the LEA or the state risk**. Children ages 3-21	An LEA has a RR of 3.0 for Hispanic students identified as having an Intellectual Disability means that Hispanic students, in the LEA, were identified 3 times MORE as having an Intellectual Disability than all other racial/ethnic groups in the LEA. A. (# of SWD in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA in specific disability category) B. (All children, same racial/ethnic group in LEA FTE 1 enrollment) C. (# of SWD in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA in specific disability category) D. (All children, all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA FTE 1 enrollment)
Significant Disproportionality Placement "in the general education setting"	< 40% of the day	Risk Ratio (RR) ≥ 3.0 for FY20 FY21, and FY22 (3 years of data with RR ≥3.0) The risk of a SWD in each racial/ethnic subgroup in the LEA being placed in the general classroom < 40% of the day compared to the risk of this placement in all other racial/ethnic subgroups in the LEA or the state risk**. Children ages 6-21	An LEA has a RR ratio of 3.5 for White students receiving special education and related services outside the general classroom setting means that White SWD were 3½ times MORE likely to participate in the general classroom setting < 40% compared to all other racial/ethnic subgroups in the LEA. A. (# of SWD in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA in gen ed <40% of the day) B. (SWD in same racial/ethnic group LEA FTE 1 enrollment) C. (# of SWD in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA in gen ed <40% of the day) D. (SWD in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA FTE 1 enrollment)

Determination Areas	Category	Conditions w/ Consequences*	Examples/ Formula (see formula key and notations p. 4)
Significant Disproportionality Placement "in the general education setting"	Separate Settings (public or private separate schools and residential facilities)	Risk Ratio (RR) ≥ 3.0 for FY20, FY 21, and FY22 (3 years of data with RR ≥3.0) The risk of a SWD in each racial/ethnic subgroup in the LEA being placed in separate settings compared to the risk of this placement in all other racial/ethnic subgroups in the LEA or the state risk**. Children ages 6-21	An LEA has a RR ratio of 3.0 for Hispanic students receiving special education and related services in separate settings means that Hispanic SWD were 3 times MORE likely to be placed in separate settings than all other racial/ethnic subgroups in the LEA. A. (# of SWD in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA in a separate setting) B. (SWD in same racial/ethnic group in LEA FTE 1 enrollment) C. (# of SWD in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA in a separate setting) D. (SWD in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA FTE 1 enrollment)
Significant Disproportionality Discipline	Total Disciplinary Removals *Disciplinary removal is each day of ISS or OSS	Risk Ratio (RR) for the count of Total Disciplinary Removals* ≥ 3.0 for FY19, FY20, and FY21 The SWD risk of disciplinary removal for (ISS, OSS) for each racial/ethnic subgroup in the LEA compared to risk for all other subgroups LEA. Children ages 3-21 *SR = Student Record	An LEA has a RR of 3.0 for Black SWD Total Disciplinary Removals means that Black SWD were 3 times MORE likely to have a Disciplinary Removal of ISS or OSS than all other racial/ethnic groups in the LEA. A. (# of SWD removals in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA) B. (Cumulative SWD SR* enrollment in same racial/ethnic group in LEA) C. (# of SWD removals in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA) D. (Cumulative SWD SR* enrollment in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA)
Significant Disproportionality Discipline	Discrete ISS Calculations: Count of SWDs with ISS ≤ 10 days ISS > 10 days Calculate separately for each measure	Risk Ratio (RR) for the number of students with ISS ≤ 10 Days ≥ 3.0 for FY19, FY20, and FY21 Risk Ratio (RR) for the number of students with ISS > 10 Days ≥ 3.0 for FY19, FY20, and FY21 SWD risk ratio for students in each ethnic/racial subgroup for ISS ≤ 10 days in the LEA compared to the risk for all other subgroups in the LEA. SWD risk ratio for students in each ethnic/racial subgroup for ISS > 10 days in the LEA compared to the risk for all other subgroups in the LEA. Children ages 3-21	An LEA has a RR of 3.0 for White SWD for ISS > 10 days, means that White SWD were 3 times MORE likely to have ISS > 10 days than all other racial/ethnic groups in the LEA. Discrete Calculation formula (ISS > 10 days): A. (# of SWDs with ISS > 10 days in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA) B. (Cumulative SWD SR* enrollment in same racial/ethnic group in LEA) C. (# of SWDs with ISS > 10 days in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA) D. (Cumulative SWD SR* enrollment in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA)

Determination Areas	Category	Conditions w/ Consequences*	Examples/ Formula (see formula key and notations p. 4)
Significant Disproportionality Discipline	Discrete OSS Calculations: Count of SWDs with	Risk Ratio (RR) for the number of students with OSS ≤ 10 Days ≥ 3.0 for FY19, FY20, and FY21 Risk Ratio (RR) for the number of students with OSS > 10 Days ≥ 3.0 for FY19, FY20, and FY21	An LEA has a RR of 3.0 for Hispanic SWD for OSS ≤ 10 days, means that Hispanic SWD were 3 times MORE likely to have OSS ≤ 10 days than all other racial/ethnic groups in the LEA. Discrete Calculation formula (OSS ≤ 10 days):
	OSS ≤ 10 days OSS > 10 days Calculate separately for each measure	SWD risk ratio for students in each ethnic/racial subgroup for OSS ≤ 10 days in the LEA compared to the risk for all other subgroups in the LEA. SWD risk ratio for students in each ethnic/racial subgroup for OSS > 10 days in the LEA compared to the risk for all other subgroups in the LEA. Children ages 3-21	A. (# of SWDs with OSS ≤ 10 days in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA) B. (Cumulative SWD SR* enrollment in same racial/ethnic group in LEA) C. (# of SWDs with OSS ≤ 10 days in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA) D. (Cumulative SWD SR* enrollment in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA)
Disproportionate Representation	ALL Disabilities	Risk Ratio (RR) ≥3.0 for FY21 and FY22 (2 years)	An LEA has a RR of 3.0 for Hispanic students identified as having a disability means that Hispanic students, in the LEA, were 3 times MORE likely to be
Overrepresentation in Identification APR Indicator 9		The risk of identification as a student with a disability (SWD) for students in each racial/ethnic subgroup in the LEA compared to the risk of identification as a SWD for all other racial/ethnic subgroups in the LEA or the state risk**.	identified as having a disability than other racial/ethnic subgroups. A. (# of children in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA identified as SWD) B. (All children, same racial/ethnic group in LEA FTE 1 enrollment) C. (# of children in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA identified as SWD)
		Children ages 5 in K, and ages 6-21	÷ D. (All children, all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA FTE 1 enrollment)
Disproportionate Representation	6 Disabilities -Autism -Emotional Behavioral	Risk Ratio (RR) ≥3.0 for FY21 and FY22 (2 years) The risk of identification as an SWD in a	An LEA has a RR of 3.0 for Two or More Races students identified as having a Specific Learning Disability means that Two or More Races students, in the LEA, were 3 times MORE likely to be identified as having a Specific Learning Disability than other racial/ethnic subgroups.
Overrepresentation in Identification	Disorders -Intellectual Disabilities -Specific	specific disability category (see column on left) for students in each racial/ethnic subgroup in the LEA compared to the risk of identification in a specific disability category	A. (# of SWD in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA in specific disability category) ÷ B. (All children, same racial/ethnic group in LEA FTE 1 enrollment)
APR Indicator 10	Learning Disabilities -Other Health Impaired -Speech	for all other subgroups in the LEA or the state risk**.	C. (# of SWD in all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA in specific disability category) : D. (All children, all other racial/ethnic groups in LEA FTE 1 enrollment)
	Language Disability	Children ages 5 in K, and ages 6-21	

Determination Areas	Category	Conditions w/Consequences	Examples/ Formula		
KEY POINTS Significant Discrepancy is different from ALL other Determinations. The Comparison Group, C and D (the Denominator of the Equation) is always the State Comparison Group. The Denominator will be made up of the # of SWD in the State with OSS > 10 days ÷ the Cumulative SWD enrollment in the State.					
Significant Discrepancy Suspension/ Expulsion (OSS > 10 Days)	Count of SWDs with OSS > 10 Days	Rate Ratio (RR) for District Level OSS >10 days ≥ 2.0 for FY20 and FY21 The LEA must have 10 SWD who were out of school (OSS) suspended for > 10 days and have a cumulative SWD enrollment of 30 or more students for consequences. The LEA students with disabilities >10 days OSS rate is compared to the SWD State Rate for	An LEA with a rate ratio of 2.0 for removals of SWD with OSS > 10 days means the LEA removed SWD for OSS > 10 days at a rate 2 times MORE than the state removed SWD for OSS > 10 days. A. (# of SWD in the LEA with OSS > 10 Days) B. (LEA SWD Cumulative SR Enrollment)		
APR Indicator 4a		OSS. (Rate Ratio compares district OSS rate risk to State OSS rate). Children ages 3-21	C. (# of SWD in the State with OSS > 10 days) ÷ D. (Cumulative SWD enrollment in the State)		
Significant Discrepancy Suspension/ Expulsion (OSS > 10 Days)	Count of SWDs by Race/Ethnicity with OSS > 10 Days	Rate Ratio (RR) for District Level OSS >10 days by racial/ethnic subgroup ≥ 2.0 for FY20 and FY21 Each racial/ethnic subgroup containing 10 SWD with > 10 days of OSS and a cumulative enrollment of 30 is compared to the SWD State Rate for > 10 days of OSS.	An LEA with a rate ratio of 2.0 for removals of White SWD with OSS > 10 days means the LEA removed White SWD for OSS > 10 days at a rate 2 times MORE than the state removed SWD for OSS > 10 days. A. (# of SWD with OSS > 10 days in a specific racial/ethnic group in LEA) B. (Cumulative SWD SR enrollment in same racial/ethnic group in LEA)		
APR Indicator 4b		(Rate Ratio compares district OSS rate risk to State OSS rate). Children ages 3-21	C. (# of SWD in the State with OSS > 10 days) . D. (Cumulative SWD enrollment in the State)		
*Formula Key: For each part of the equation, the minimum number for each group:			A . Must be ≥ 10 B . Must be ≥ 30 C . Must be ≥ 10 D . Must be ≥ 30		

ALL ratios are calculated. However, if A is less than 10, or B is less than 30, the LEA will not have CCEIS consequences.

**If the LEA size group in C < 10 or the LEA group in D < 30, the Alternate Risk Ratio compares the state of Georgia specified groups for C and D to the LEA specified groups for A and B.

^a CCEIS and/or other consequences are applied at the number of years indicated for each category. However, an LEA is Disproportionate or Discrepant <u>any year</u> that the Risk or Rate Ratio threshold is met or exceeded.

Revised November 8, 2021