## Note-Taking Guide for Next Steps

# Infrastructure: Knowledge, resources, and organizational structures necessary to operationalize all components of the framework in a unified system to meet the established goals

	Recover	Re-examine	Re-engage
Prevention Focus			
Leadership			
Professional			
Learning			
·			
Schedules			
Resources			
Comily and			
Family and Community			
Engagement			
Communication			
with and			
Involvement of All			
Staff			
Effective Teaming			
<b>•</b> • • • • • • •			
Cultural Linguistic Responsiveness			
nesponsiveness			

## Houston County School District Tiered Support Framework

The tiered support framework categorizes all schools into three levels of support: universal (Tier 1), strategic (Tier 2), and intensive (Tier 3). The universal tier includes foundational supports available to all schools, whereas school-specific improvement plans inform supports for schools in the strategic tier. Schools in the intensive tier receive district support for accelerated improvement and school redesign. The tiered support framework leverages district capacity to provide support for all schools while ensuring that higher-need schools receive additional and differentiated supports and resources. Altogether, the district identifies, plans, supports, and monitors school performance in order to help all schools improve.

The tiered support framework will be implemented through three distinct identification phases. Phase 1 identifies schools based on school characteristics that have been shown to have the greatest effect on student achievement. In Phase 2, schools will be differentiated based on an analysis of historical student performance, as defined by school performance on specific components of the College and Career Ready Performance Index. Phase 3 will use behavior and other school climate data to further differentiate tier level support for schools.

#### Phase 1 – School Characteristics

- Use of school characteristics to identify schools which, due to variables beyond their control, may benefit from additional district supports
- The following school characteristics are used to calculate the tier level of each school:
  - o Percentage of economically disadvantaged students
  - Percentage of students with disability
  - Percentage of English language learners
  - Student mobility

#### Phase 2 – Historical Performance

- Use of historical student achievement and growth data to identify schools who may benefit from additional district supports
- The following historical CCRPI data are used to inform the tier level of each school:
  - Three years of content mastery data, including the weighted proficiency scores from ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies
  - Three years of progress data, including weighted progress scores and district-generated aggregation of student growth percentiles

#### Phase 3 – School Climate

- Use of behavior and other school climate data to further identify schools who may benefit from additional district supports
- The following data are used to inform the tier level of each school:
  - Weighted suspension rate accounts for half of the weight in assigning tier level in this phase
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Survey data to include: student, teacher, and administrator data  $\;$
  - o Safe and substance-free learning environment data

## HCBE Tiered Support Framework

						L								
	Direct	NC 1 114	GWD	ELI	Exp	Phase 1	Diff in CM	Phase 2	Climate	Phase 3	FINAL	CCRPI	Content	D
School	Cert	Mobility	SWD	ELL	Score	Tier	Score	Tier	Score	Tier	Tier	2018	Mastery	Progress
Birch Elementary School	59.0	30.6	11.5	11.3	59.3	3	-18	3	87.2	3	3	60.2	41	81.2
Pinewood Elementary School	64.6	36.2	13.5	17.3	55.1	3	-10	3	87.7	3	3	58.4	45	70.7
Red Oak Elementary School	50.5	23.7	8.3	0	66.1	3	-10	3	89.2	3	3	69.1	55.9	76
Loblolly Elementary School	53.8	30.2	18	8	60.7	3	-10	3	93.4	1	3	69.3	50.7	79.8
Longleaf Elementary School	73.0	36.3	16	10.7	49.9	3	-7	2	89.4	3	3	65.8	43.3	80.4
Maple Elementary School	51.3	27.1	14.5	9.2	63.6	3	-6	2	90.3	2	3	63.7	58.1	74.2
Boxwood Elementary School	36.4	16.5	15.5	1	73.7	2	-11	3	89.4	3	3	60.4	62.9	65.1
Hickory Elementary	26.0	13.6	11.1	13.6	80.1	2	-13	3	91.8	1	2	68.6	66.9	77.4
Cedar Elementary School	52.1	26.4	18	2.1	62.7	3	2	1	91.5	2	2	77.2	64.8	96
Redbud Elementary School	36.5	15.9	10.8	0.2	75.1	2	-8	2	90.6	2	2	72.4	67.4	67.9
Persimmon Elementary School	38.0	22	15.1	6.8	71.9	2	0	1	88.1	3	2	67.8	71.9	77.8
Dogwood Elementary School	20.0	14.7	14.9	3.5	82.5	1	-11	3	91.1	2	2	74.2	71.2	79.6
Beechwood Elementary School	40.9	24.2	20.3	11.8	68.4	2	-2	1	91.4	2	2	76.4	66.2	87.7
Holly Elementary School	11.5	12.3	8.1	14.1	88.6	1	-5	2	93.0	1	1	80	83.3	86.1
Walnut Elementary School	23.7	14	15.2	1.9	81.2	1	-5	2	95.6	1	1	82.5	76.4	93.5
Red Cedar Elementary School	8.1	11.5	10.1	6.9	90.5	1	-4	1	89.8	3	1	81.5	86.4	69.7
Crabapple Elementary School	22.0	14.9	14.5	6.7	81.6	1	4	1	91.9	1	1	87.5	85.5	96.2
Sycamore Elementary School	21.1	12.9	14.1	4.6	82.8	1	-2	1	92.2	1	1	74.8	80.7	78.2
Scarlet Oak Elementary School	14.4	10.3	15.8	0.9	86.5	1	-1	1	94.4	1	1	78.9	85.9	82.3
Red Oak Elementary School	18.3	11.3	16.8	1	84.3	1	3	1	97.3	1	1	78.4	87.4	84.9
Elementary Average	36.1	20.2	14.1	6.6	73.2	2	-5.7	2	91.3	2	2	72.4	67.5	80.2
Magnolia Middle School	41.9	26	15.3	4.9	68.5	3	-11	3	85.5	3	3	70	57.9	88.2
Willow Oak Middle School	49.7	25.8	15.4	5.3	64.7	3	-9	2	78.9	3	3	76.8	55.8	82.5
Sassafras Middle School	40.0	20.5	16.1	6.8	70.7	2	-10	3	82.4	3	3	69.4	60.6	82.5
Baldcypress Middle School	29.9	12.3	14.1	0.8	78.3	2	-9	2	86.3	3	2	78.1	69.8	82.2
American Elm Middle School	35.0	23.6	16.6	3	72.6	2	-3	1	82.3	3	2	79.3	69.5	84.9
Cherry Middle School	9.8	11.7	8.6	1.2	90.2	1	-8	2	87.8	2	2	85.5	82.4	85.9
Poplar Middle School	11.3	11.6	14.6	3.2	88.0	1	-6	2	92.1	1	1	87.2	82.1	86
Sugar Maple Middle School	15.1	10.5	15	3	85.9	1	-4	1	93.5	1	1	78.1	81.9	81.8
Middle Average	29.1	17.8	14.5	3.5	77.3	2	-7.3	2	86.1	2	2	78.1	70.0	84.3
Ironwood High School	35.1	20.9	14.4	3.7	73.3	2	-19.8	3	88.7	2	3	65.3	53.5	75.2
Aspen High School	35.0	16.4	14.3	2.7	74.4	2	-16.3	3	81.8	3	3	70	58.1	76.5
Spruce High School	54.7	349.6	22.2	1.5	-4.1	3	34.7	1	63.7	3	2	46.9	30.6	76.7
Elmwood High School	20.9	13.2	10.9	0.7	83.4	1	-14.4	3	87.1	2	2	77.4	69	88.2
Pecan High School	9.4	9.7	12	0.5	89.9	1	-7.4	2	85.7	3	2	83.6	82.5	93.4
Live Oak High School	10.3	7.8	12.3	3.4	89.6	1	-1.6	1	88.1	2	1	92.5	88	97.3
High Average	27.6	69.6	14.4	2.1	67.8	2	-4.2	2	82.5	3	2	72.6	63.6	84.6

## Achievement Status & Growth with Quadrant Report Prompts

What?		So What?					
Percentage of Students Met or Reading	Exceeded Projected RIT Math	<ul> <li>Locate the percentages in the summary table at the bottom of the report</li> <li>How do your students compare to typical growth? (equal to, greater than, less than 50-55%)</li> <li>How does student growth in each area compare? Are students meeting/exceeding their projections at higher rates in one area?</li> <li>Which students met/exceeded? Which students did not? Surprises? Wonders? Questions?</li> <li>What are your hypotheses about the results of the data? What might have contributed to the growth percentages achieved?</li> </ul>					
Median Conditional Gro	owth Percentile	• Locate the <b>median conditional growth percentile</b> in the summary table at the bottom of the report					
Reading	Math	<ul> <li>Determine the range by identifying the lowest and highest values in the conditional growth percentile column on the report</li> <li>How are your students growing as a group?</li> <li>How does student growth in each area compare? Are students achieving growth at higher rates in one area?</li> </ul>					
Conditional Growth Percentile Range Reading Math		<ul> <li>How does student growth in each area compare? Are students achieving growth at higher rates in one area?</li> <li>Which students are meeting growth projections (=50%ile)? Which students are exceeding growth projections (≥ 51%ile)? Which students are not meeting growth projections (&lt;50%ile)? Surprises? Wonders? Questions?</li> <li>What are your hypotheses about the results of the data? What might have contributed to the growth percentile achieved?</li> </ul>					
Number of Low Achieven	nent/Low Growth	Determine the number of students in each of the growth categories by counting how many students are in each					
Reading	Math	<ul> <li>quadrant* (<u>Note</u>: It is easier to count students if you filter the report by subject on the right side of the quadrant)</li> <li>Pink = low achievement/low growth</li> <li>Yellow = high achievement/low growth</li> <li>Orange = low achievement/high growth</li> </ul>					
Number of High Achieven	nent/Low Growth	<ul> <li>Green = high achievement/high growth</li> </ul>					
Reading	Math	<ul> <li>Which students are achieving high growth? Which students are achieving low growth? Surprises? Wonders? Questions?</li> <li>Do you notice any trends in the data?</li> <li>How does student growth compare between low and high achieving students? Is one group growing better than</li> </ul>					
Number of Low Achieven	nent/High Growth	the other?					
Reading	Math	• What does your data suggest regarding the responsiveness of your instruction to student instructional readiness to learn? In other words, did you target and meet students in their "sweet spots" (zones of proximal development – ZPD)?					
Number of High Achieven	nent/High Growth	You can apply filters to drill down into the data by groups (genders, ethnicities, special populations identified on your					
Reading	Math	district roster import) – use the checkboxes on the right side of the quadrant					
Use of MAP data and Lea	arning Continuum	<ul> <li>Describe your use of MAP data and the Learning Continuum across the comparison periods</li> <li>Which reports did you access, interpret, and apply? How often?</li> <li>How did you determine flexible groups? When and how often did you regroup students?</li> <li>When and how did you use the statements in the Learning Continuum to support your instruction?</li> <li>What did your formative assessment practice look like?</li> <li>What impact do you think your use of MAP data and the Learning Continuum might have had on your students' results?</li> </ul>					

#### Now What?

Action Planning:

- Which metrics do you want to maintain or improve? Why?
- What goals do you want to set moving forward? Why?
- What level of challenge do you anticipate in meeting these goals? (research says to strive for moderately challenging goals)
- What might you need to do differently in the future to reach your goals? (ex. data analysis and use, instructional planning, instructional delivery, flexible grouping, formative assessment practice, mastery assessment)
- What might you need to sustain that is already producing positive results? (ex. data analysis and use, instructional planning, instructional delivery, flexible grouping, formative assessment practice, mastery assessment)
- What next steps might you take based on the analysis of your ASG w Quadrant?
- What support do you need to carry out your action steps and goals?

## Data Analysis Notice & Wonder Protocol

A protocol for analyzing data both descriptively and inferentially

## Time: 40 minutes

**Step 1:** Participants are presented with a table and/or graph of data pertaining to their practice. The data may be displayed on a screen for all to see, or it may be given to each member in hardcopy form. (I prefer the former, since graphs and sometimes data in table form are often illustrated in color.)

**Step 2:** Each participant is given an index card. Quietly and individually, participants write three observations evident in the graph or table. These observations must be free of inference or speculation; they are factually based from objectively examining the display. Each observation starts with the phrase "I notice that..." (5 min)

**Step 3:** <u>Round 1.</u> In turn, each participant reads aloud one new observation that has not yet been shared, each time beginning with the phrase "I notice that..." The facilitator records the responses on chart paper. After the last participant shares one new observation, the first participant offers a second new observation and the process continues until all observations have been shared aloud, without discussion. (5 min)

**Step 4:** Each participant turns over his or her index card and quietly writes three speculations or question-statements based on the observations heard in Round 1. These speculations attempt to offer possible explanations for the observations, or pose suggestions for pursuing additional data. No attempt should be made to solve the problems that surface; the intent is to gain insights into what the data suggest, how the data are connected and what the data imply. Each speculation starts with the phrase "I wonder why..." or "I wonder if..." (5 min)

**Step 5:** <u>Round 2.</u> In turn, each participant reads aloud one new speculation that has not yet been shared, each time beginning with the phrase "I wonder..." The facilitator records the responses on chart paper. This process continues as in Round 1 until all speculations have been shared aloud, without discussion. (10 min)

**Step 6:** <u>Discussion</u>. The team discusses what has been shared and possible causes, connections and links to classroom instruction and notes other additional data that may be needed. (15 min)

# **Multiple Measures of Data**

## Demographics

Enrollment Attendance Mobility Ethnicity

Gender Discipline Disability Grade Level

## Perceptions

Student Health Survey Parent Survey Personnel Survey Observations



## **School Processes**

Description of school programs and processes

## **Student Learning**

GMAS Performance HCLI Levels MAP Assessment Results Graduation Rates Common Assessments

#### Weekly Collaboration Agenda

#### **Collaboration Dates:**

#### Grade Level:

#### Attendees:

# Team Norms:

## **Meeting Topics/Products/Outcomes/Goals:**

- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

### **Collaboration Cycle: Highlight Your Team's Daily Focus**

Tuesday – Unit Preview/Overview	Wednesday – Study the data and assessments	Thursday – Plan instruction	
<ul> <li>Unpack, discuss, and clarify the standards in upcoming unit</li> <li>Standards into barrels: nice to know, need to know</li> <li>Discuss unit overview</li> <li>Review materials for upcoming unit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analyze data of common assessment</li> <li>Review common assessment for essential standards and balance of questions</li> <li>Create common assessment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Make a 20 day plan</li> <li>Plan daily instruction</li> <li>Decide when to administer specific common formative assessments</li> </ul>	
Quest	ions to Guide Collaboration		
What do we want students to know and	be able to do?		
<ul> <li>How will we know if they can?</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>What will we do if they can't?</li> </ul>			
• What will we do if they already can?			

## **Questions/Concerns:**

- **1**.
- <u>2.</u>
- 3.

## **Things going well:**

- 1.
- <u>2.</u>
- 3.

## Next Collaboration Meeting Topics/Products/Outcomes/Goals (copy and paste to the beginning of next week's agenda):

## **Grade Level Data Team Meeting Template**

 Date:
 Time:
 Location:

Attendees:

Sample Meeting Norms:

- 1. Begin on time.
- 2. Provide five minutes to share at the beginning.
- 3. Have a positive perspective.
- 4. Be willing to be honest and share.

Questions to Guide Collaboration:

- 1. What do we want students to know and be able to do?
- 2. How will we know if they learned it?
- 3. What will we do if they did not learn it?
- 4. What will we do if they did learn it?

**Discussions about Students:** 

- 1. Which students are not meeting academic expectations?
- 2. In what area/areas are students not proficient?
- 3. What strategies or interventions are we using to address learning gaps?

Topics on Today's Agenda:

Meeting Notes:

Standard: 5NBT1 Questions: 3,10 Date:		
<u>GOT IT (5/5)</u>	ALMOST THERE	NEEDS HELP
Enrichment:	Small Group Ideas/Resources:	Misconceptions:

Standard: 5NBT2 Questions: 4,5,14, Date:	15	
<u>GOT IT (5/5)</u>	ALMOST THERE	NEEDS HELP
Enrichment:	Small Group Ideas/Resources:	Misconceptions:

Standard: 5NBT5 Questions: 1,2,	6,12,17,18	
Date:		
<u>GOT IT (5/5)</u>	ALMOST THERE	NEEDS HELP
<u>Enrichment:</u>	<u>Small Group Ideas/Resources:</u>	<u>Misconceptions:</u>

Unit 1 Math	Assessment	Item	Analysis
-------------	------------	------	----------

Standards	Questions/Class #	Reteach:
<b>*5.NBT.1</b> I can recognize that in a	3:	Small Group
multi-digit number, a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right and 1/10 of what it represents in the place to its left	<b>10:</b>	Whole Group
		Revisit Later
*5.NBT.2 I can explain patterns in the	4:	Small Group
number of zeros of the product when multiplying a number by powers of 10, and explain patterns in the placement of the decimal point when a decimal is multiplied	5: 14:	Whole Group
or divided by a power of 10	15:	Revisit Later
*5.NBT.5 I can fluently multiply multi-	6:	Small Group
digit whole numbers using the standard algorithm or other strategies	12: 17:	Whole Group
	18:	Revisit Later
<b>5.NBT.6</b> I can fluently divide up to 4-	7:	Small Group
digit dividends and 2-digit divisors by using partial quotients or other strategies	13:	Whole Group
		Revisit Later
5.OA.1 I can use parentheses, brackets,	1:	Small Group
or braces in numerical expressions, and evaluate expressions with these symbols	2: 11:	Whole Group
	16:	Revisit Later
<b>5.MD.4</b> Measure volumes by counting	8:	Small Group
unit cubes, using cubic cm, cubic in, cubic ft, and improvised units.	9:	Whole Group
		Revisit Later